



This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.


About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at <http://books.google.com/>

Wetroppe
MTA



1




Wetrop
MT.

BOHN'S ILLUSTRATED LIBRARY.

HANDBOOK OF ARCHÆOLOGY.

THE NEW YORK
PUBLIC LIBRARY

ASTOR, LENOX AND
TILDEN FOUNDATIONS.



JUPITER OLYMPIUS OF PHIDIAS.

Frontispiece.

HANDBOOK

HEROLOGY.

SPITAN—HERM—ITR—
ROMAN.

RODDER & WESTROG

SECOND EDITION REVISED

J. L. BILL AND SONS, FOLIO STREET,
COVENT GARDEN

1876.

1. The first group of people who are interested in the results of the study are the researchers themselves. They want to know how well the study was conducted and whether the results are reliable and valid. They also want to know how the study was funded and whether there were any conflicts of interest.

HANDBOOK
OF
A R C H Æ O L O G Y.

**EGYPTIAN—GREEK—ETRUSCAN—
ROMAN.**


BY
HODDER M. WESTROPP.

SECOND EDITION, REVISED.

**LONDON: GEORGE BELL AND SONS, YORK STREET,
COVENT GARDEN.**

1878.

JNE



LONDON:

PRINTED BY WILLIAM CLOWES AND SONS,
STAMFORD STREET, AND CHARING CROSS.

ROY W. M.
1884
1884

PREFACE.

VISITING the ancient countries of Egypt, Greece, and Italy being the chief object of travelling at the present day, the consequent interest taken in the remains of the former grandeur, magnificence, and high civilisation of these countries, has made Archæology one of the most important and most interesting studies. Egypt, Greece, and Italy were the fountain heads of our civilisation and the sources of our knowledge; to them we can trace, link by link, the origin of all that is ornamental, graceful, and beautiful in our architecture, sculpture and in the arts of design. Remains, evincing the perfection they have reached in these arts, and attesting the stages of development which have been passed through leading to that culminating point of excellence, are still objects of the greatest interest in those countries. An intimate knowledge, therefore, of the original state and former perfection, and also of the present state of these remains has been a matter of the deepest interest to many. Each country has found ardent investigators of its history and antiquities. The ruins of Egypt have yielded an endless amount of historical information to the ardent researches and zeal of Young, Champollion, Rosellini, Wilkinson, Bunsen, Lepsius, Birch. The temples and Cyclopean remains of Greece have been accurately drawn and described by Chandler, Stuart, Dodwell, Müller, Leake, Falkener, Wordsworth, Penrose. The remains of ancient art in Italy have been always a favourite theme of writers of different countries, English,

French, German, as well as of Italian writers. Braun, Cramer, Dennis, Fergusson, Lanzi, Micali, Inghirami, Canina, have written largely on these subjects.

The works of these authors, treating of the various subjects of ancient art, are for the most part not only voluminous and very costly, but also difficult to be procured. The present work has therefore been compiled to supply a want often felt when travelling in Greece, Italy or Egypt; a work which would afford concise general information on the objects of antiquity so frequently met with in these countries. Its chief object has been to condense, within the smallest possible compass, the essence of the information contained in the writings of authors who are considered as authorities on these subjects.

We have adopted the following division in this work:—

ARCHITECTURE	{ EGYPTIAN, GRECIAN, ETRUSCAN, ROMAN,	{ Walls, houses, temples, Basilicæ, altars, columns, obelisks, pyramids, theatres, odea, amphitheatres, naumachiæ, hippodromes, stadia, baths, public roads, bridges, gates, aqueducts, tombs.
SCULPTURE.	{ EGYPTIAN, GRECIAN, ETRUSCAN, ROMAN,	{ Statues. Busts. Bas-reliefs.
PAINTING . .	{ EGYPTIAN, GRECIAN, ETRUSCAN, ROMAN,	{ Frescoes, painted sculpture, painted vases, mosaics.
GLYPTIC ART .	{ EGYPTIAN, GRECIAN, ETRUSCAN, ROMAN,	{ Engraved stones, in intaglio and cameo.
INSCRIPTIONS .	{ EGYPTIAN, GRECIAN, ETRUSCAN, ROMAN,	{ Material, alphabets, languages, abbreviations.

To avoid notes of reference, appended is a list of the works

and writers consulted, and whose words are frequently quoted and introduced.

BUNSEN'S *Egypt*.

LEPSIUS' *Egypt*.

WILKINSON'S *Ancient Egyptians*.

SHARPE'S *Egypt*.

MÜLLER'S *Ancient Art* (Leitch's translation).

FERGUSSON'S *Handbook of Architecture*.

DENNIS' *Etruria*.

FLAXMAN'S *Lectures*.

WESTMACOTT'S *Handbook of Sculpture*.

GELL'S *Pompeiana*.

WINCKELMANN.

LUBKE'S *History of Art*.

CANINA'S *Roma Antica*.

DYER'S *Athens*.

VITRUVIUS.

SMITH'S *Dictionary of Antiquities*.

——— *Classical Dictionary*.

GWILT'S *Encyclopædia of Architecture*.

RAWLINSON'S *Herodotus*.

WORNUM'S *Epochs of Painting*.

BIRCH'S *Ancient Pottery*.

——— *Egypt from the Earliest Times*.

C. W. KING'S *Antique Gems*.

——— *Natural History of Precious Stones*.

Dr. McCAUL'S *Christian Epitaphs*.

VAUX'S *British Museum*.

W. R. COOPER on *Obelisks*.

C. NEWTON.—Article on Greek Inscriptions in *Contemporary Review*.

Articles: "Alphabet," "Archæology," "Architecture," in the *Encyclopædia Britannica*. Ninth edition.

We must acknowledge with gratitude many suggestions and corrections from Dr. BIRCH, TALFOURD ELY, Esq., Rev. ISAAC TAYLOR, W. R. COOPER, Esq., and A. S. MURRAY, Esq., of the British Museum.

To the kindness of Mr. SAMUEL SHARPE we are much indebted for the use of several woodcuts from his "History of Egypt."

The illustrations of painted vases are selected with permission from the Catalogue of Pottery in the Museum of Practical Geology, Jermyn Street.

H. M. W.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

First Division.

MONUMENTS OF ARCHITECTURE.

SECTION I.—WALLS—MORTAR—BRICKS.

Walls: Egyptian, page 2; Grecian, 4; Italian, 5; Roman, 8; Mortar, 13; Bricks, 14.

SECTION II.—HOUSES.

Egyptian, 16; Greek, 16; Roman, 17.

SECTION III.—TEMPLES.

Egyptian, 23; Grecian, 31; Etruscan, 39; Roman, 40.

SECTION IV.—BASILICÆ.

Roman, 51.

SECTION V.—ALTARS.

Egyptian, 53; Grecian, 53; Roman, 54.

SECTION VI.—COLUMNS—OBELISKS.

Columns: Egyptian, 55; Grecian, 57; Caryatides, 63; Roman, 64; Composite, 68; Etruscan, 68; Monumental, 69; Naval, 71; Milliaria, 71; Termini, 72; Obelisks: Egyptian, 73; Greek, 75; Roman, 76.

SECTION VII.—PYRAMIDS.

Egyptian, 78; Etruscan, 83; Greek, 83; Roman, 83.

SECTION VIII.—THEATRES—ODEA—AMPHITHEATRES—CIRCI, HIPPODROMES—NAUMACHIÆ—BATHS, THERMÆ—TRIUMPHAL ARCHES—AGORÆ—FORA.

Theatres: Egyptian, 84; Greek, 84; Etruscan, 88; Roman, 88. Odea, 90. Amphitheatres: Etruscan, 91; Roman, 91. Circi, 95. Naumachiæ, 97. Hippodromes, 97. Stadia, 98. Baths, Balneæ, Thermæ, 98. Triumphal Arches, 102. Agoræ, 105. Fora, 105.

SECTION IX.—PUBLIC AND MILITARY ROADS—BRIDGES—GATEWAYS—AQUEDUCTS.

Public and Military Roads, 107; Roman Roads, 108. Bridges: Roman, 111; Etruscan, 113. Gateways, 113. Aqueducts, 120.

TOMBS.

Tombs, 125; Egyptian, 126; Mummies, 128. Mummy Cases and Sarcophagi, 132. Canopi, 133. Papyri, 135. Greek Tombs, 136. Etruscan, 139. Roman, 142.

SCULPTURE.

Egyptian, 148; Etruscan, 153; Greek, 155; Dædalean, 157; Æginetan, 159; Phidian, 163; Praxitelean, 170; Decline, 178; Roman, 179.

MYTHOLOGY OF SCULPTURE.

Egyptian, 183. Egyptian Deities, 183: First order, 184; Second order, 185; Third order, 186. Gods and Goddesses of Pure Human Form, 189. Deities of Human Form with the Head of an Animal, 193. Symbolic Animals, 194. Sphinx, 195. Kings and Queens, 197. Private Individuals, 198. Animals, 199. Sepulchral Tablets, 201. Etruscan Deities, 202. Greek and Roman, 204. Grecian Costume, 206. Roman Costume, 208. The Twelve Olympian Deities: Zeus—Jupiter, 208; Hera—Juno, 210; Poseidon—Neptune, 211; Demeter—Ceres, 211; Apollo, 212; Artemis—Diana, 214; Hephæstus—Vulcan, 215; Athena—Minerva, 215; Ares—Mars, 218; Aphrodite—Venus, 219; Hermes—Mercury, 223; Hestia—Vesta, 225; Dionysus—Bacchus, 225. Deities in connection with Dionysus: Satyrs, Fauns, 228; Sileni, 230; Pan, 230; Mænades, 231; Centaurs, 232; Eros—Cupid, 233; Hermaphroditus, 233; Psyche, 233; Charites (the Graces), 234; the Muses, 234; Æsculapius, 235; Hygeia, 235; Rhea—Cybele, 235; Hades—Pluto, 236; Persephone—Proserpine, 236; Moiræ (the Fates), 236; Eumenides, Erinyes, Furiæ, 236; Tyche—Fortune, 236; Nemesis, 238; Iris, 238; Flora, 238; Nike—Victory, 238; Hebe, 239; Hercules,

239; Theseus, 240; Amazons, 241; Achilles, 241; Meleager, 242; Orpheus, 242; Perseus, 242; The Dioscuri, Castor, Pollux, 242.

Bas-reliefs, 243: Egyptian, 244; Etruscan, 244; Greek and Roman, 244. Materials of Sculpture, 249.

PAINTING.

Egyptian, 253; Etruscan, 257; Greek, 257. Methods of Painting, 264. Polychromy, 264; Roman, 268; Mosaic, 276.

PAINTED VASES.

Etruscan, 285; Greek, 287. Epochs of Painted Vases, 305. Shapes, 317. English and Italian Nomenclature, 323.

Second Division.

GLYPTOGRAPHY, OR ENGRAVED STONES.

Introduction, 324. Origin and History, 327. Materials of the Art, 330. Knowledge and Tests of Engraved Stones, 335. Subjects of Engraved Stones, 343. Glyptographic Collections among the Ancients, 347. Ancient Artists, 349; Celebrated Engraved Stones, 364. Cups, 374. Modern Collections, 375. Glyptography of Ancient Nations, 377; Egyptian, 378; Etruscan, 384; Greek, 390; Roman, 394. Mithraic and Gnostic Engraved Stones, 397. Rings, 400.

STONES USED FOR ENGRAVING KNOWN TO THE ANCIENTS.

Adamas—Corundum, page 410; Smaragdus—Emerald, 412; Hyacinthus—Sapphire, 413; Carbunculus Indicus—Ruby, 413; Lychnis—Balas Ruby, 414; Topazius—Chrysolite, 414; Chrysolithos—Oriental Topaz, 414; Topazius Prasoides—Peridot, 415; Lyncurium, 415; Amethystos—Amethyst, 415; Beryllus—Beryl, 416; Chrysoberyllus—Yellow Beryl, 417; Carbunculus Garamanticus—Garnet, 417; Crystallum—Rock Crystal, 418. *Semi-transparent*: Opalus—Opal, 419; Astrion—Star Sapphire, 419; Asteria—Cymophane, 419; Prasius—Plasma, 420; Prasius Sanguineis Punctis—Heliotrope, 420; Chrysoprasius—Amazon stone, 420; Jaspis—Chalcedony, 421; Sarda—Sard, 421; Sardachates—Carnelian, 422; Onyx—Nicolo—Sardonyx, 422; Achates—Agate, 423; Murrha—Fluor Spar, 424; Sandastros (male), Sunstone

(female), Avanturine, 425; Sandaresos—Green Avanturine, 425; Nilion—Jade, 425. *Opaque*: Jasper, 426; Heliotropium—Bloodstone, 427; Molochites—Green Jasper, 427; Sapphirus—Lapis Lazuli, 427; Smaragdus Medicus—Malachite, 427; Callais—Turquoise, 428; Hæmatites—Hæmatite, 428; Magnes—Magnetite, 428; Obsidianum—Obsidian, 429; Basaltes—Basalt, 429; Porphyrites—Leptopsephos—Porphyry, 429; Ophites—Serpentine, 429; Granite, 429; Imitations, 430; Impressions, 430.

PALÆOGRAPHY, OR INSCRIPTIONS.

I. Aim and Utility of its Study, 431. II. Materials which bear Inscriptions and Varieties of Inscriptions, 433. III. Relative Importance of Inscriptions, 434. IV. Critical Knowledge of Inscriptions, 435. V. Classification of Inscriptions, 436. VI. History of Palæography, 437.

THE PALÆOGRAPHY OF DIFFERENT NATIONS.

Egyptian, 443.

Greek, 453. Sigla, or Abbreviations in Greek Inscriptions, 467. Examples of Greek Inscriptions, 469.

Etruscan, 477. Examples of Etruscan Inscriptions, 487.

Roman, 488. Sigla, or Abbreviations in Roman Inscriptions, 503. Examples of Roman Inscriptions, 512.

Christian Inscriptions, 521. Sigla, or Christian Abbreviations, 527. Examples of Christian Inscriptions, 528.

APPENDIX.

Table of Egyptian Chronology	537
Table of Greek and Roman Artists	544
List of the Principal Greek and Roman Architects	553
List of Roman Emperors, Empresses, and their Relations	555
Glossary of Terms used in Greek and Roman Architecture	566
Table of some of the Principal Greek and Roman Temples	575
Synopsis of the Proportions of the Doric, Ionic, Corinthian, and Composite Orders	579
List of Obelisks	580
Dimensions in English Feet of the principal Amphitheatres	582
Greek and Roman Short Measures of Length	583, 584

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS.

SEPARATE PLATES.

Jupiter Olympius of Phidias.	<i>Frontispiece.</i>
Plan of the Baths of Caracalla	<i>to face page</i> 100
Battle of Issus	282
Alphabets	445

	PAGE		PAGE
1. Masonry	4	21. The Erechtheum	38
2. Cyclopean walls	6	22. Plans of Temples.	44
3. Polygonal walls	6	23. Section of the Parthenon	48
4. Walls of Cosa.	7	24. Mode of lighting Temple	49
5. Irregular horizontal walls	9	25. Temple, from Fresco,	
6. Regular horizontal walls	9	Pompeii.	49
7. House of Pansa	18	26. Temple, from coin of Juba I.	49
8. Restored atrium of house		27. Capitals of Egyptian Co-	
at Pompeii	19	lumns	55
9. Triclinium	20	28. Osiride Pillar	56
10. Plan of Triclinium, show-		29. Column. Memnonium	56
ing the disposition of the		30. Capital, Dendera	57
guests	20	31. Grecian Doric Column	58
11. Entrance to an Egyptian		32. Grecian Ionic Column	58
Temple	23	33. Grecian Corinthian Capitals	60
12. Pylon	24	34. Caryatide.	62
13. Plan of Rhamesion	25	35. Telamones	63
14. Hall of Rhamesion, show-		36. Roman Doric Column	64
ing clerestory	26	37. Roman Ionic Column	65
15. Plan of the Temple of		38. Corinthian Column	66
Karnak	27	39. Composite Column	66
16. The Temple of Isis at Philæ	29	40. Tuscan Column	68
17. Front of Temple of Aboo-		41. Column of Trajan	69
simbel	30	42. Pompey's Pillar	70
18. Temple of Athene at		43. Termini	72
Ægina restored	31	44. Cleopatra's Needle	75
19. The Theseum	34	45. Plan of Pyramids	77
20. The Parthenon, west front	35	46. Section of Pyramid	79

	PAGE		PAGE
47. Ethiopian Pyramid . . .	81	84. Cupid of Praxiteles . . .	171
48. Pyramid according to Lepsius	82	85. Venus of Cnidos . . .	173
49. Pyramid of Erasinus. . .	83	86. Venus of a Roman period	180
50. Greek Theatre	85	87. Bust of a Roman Lady .	182
51. Roman Theatre	89	88. Amun	185
52. Circus of Romulus . . .	96	89. Khem	185
53. Plan of Baths, Pompeii .	102	90. Kneph	185
54. Gateway at Segni . . .	114	91. Neith	186
55. Gate of Arpino	114	92. Phtah	186
56. Brick arch at Thebes . .	115	93. Pasht	187
57. Gates at Æniadæ	116	94. Osiris	187
58. Arch in Campbell's Tomb	117	95. Isis	187
59. Gate at Pompeii	118	96. Nepthys	187
60. Aqueduct	121	97. Athor	187
61. Tomb at Beni Hassan . .	128	98. Crowns of the Pharaohs .	188
62. Egyptian Mummy Case . .	129	99. Chonso	190
63. Genii of Amenti	134	100. Sebak	190
64. Judgment of the soul . .	135	101. Mentu	190
65. Stele	137	102. Hapimou	190
66. Tomb of Southern Italy . .	139	103. Thoth	192
67. Grotta Campana, Veii . .	142	104. Horus	192
68. Cippus at Pompeii . . .	143	105. Anubis	192
69. Sarcophagus of Scipio . .	144	106. Thoeris	192
70. Sepulchral Urn	144	107. Serapis	192
71. Columbarium	146	108. Sphinx	196
72. Seated Figure of Sekhet .	149	109. The Great Sphinx . . .	196
73. Egyptian Kneeling Figure	150	110. Seti Menepthah II. . .	198
74. Colossal Figure of Rameses II.	151	111. Egyptian Figures . . .	199
75. Etruscan Figures. . . .	153	112. Scarabæi	200
76. Minerva	156	113. Grecian Drapery . . .	207
77. Early Statue	156	114. Double Chiton	208
78. Bronzes of the Dædalean School	158	115. Jupiter	209
79. Advancing Figure from Ægina	160	116. Bust of Juno	210
80. Frieze from the Theseum	163	117. Neptune	211
81. Jupiter Olympius of Phidias, restored by Quatremère de Quincy	165	118. Head of Pallas, with Corinthian helmet . . .	215
82. Metope of Parthenon . .	166	119. Minerva	216
83. Theseus, Parthenon . . .	168	120. Coin of Athens	217
		121. Venus of the Capitol . .	221
		122. Mercury	224
		123. Bust of Indian Bacchus .	226
		124. Rondinini Faun	229
		125. Bacchante	231

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS.

xv

	PAGE		PAGE
126. Centaur	232	161. Ptolemy Philadelphus and	
127. Head of Cybele	235	Arsinoe	366
128. Fortune	237	162. Tazza Farnese	367
129. Amazons	241	163. Ptolemy and Berenice	368
130. Mezzo-Relievo	245	164. Jupiter Ægiochus	369
131. Bas-relief	246	165. Celebrated Engraved	
132. Sarcophagus	247	Stones	372, 373
133. Egyptian painting	253	166. The Flying Stork, of Dexa-	
134. Proportions used by The-		menos	374
ban painters	255	167. Cup of St. Denys	375
135. Dancing Figure, Pompeii	269	168. Ulysses and the Palladium	378
136. } Paintings, Pompeii .270, 272		169. } Egyptian Scarabæi	379, 380
137. }		170. }	
138. Achilles delivering Briseis		171. Charioteer	385
to the Heralds	273	172. Agate of Florentine Gal-	
139. Head of Achilles	274	lery	387
140. Mosaic Pavement, Pompeii	277	173. Council of the Five Heroes	
141. Mosaic of Dioscorides	278	before Thebes—a Gem	388
142. Acratus on a Panther	279	174. Three Gems	389
143. Mosaic Pavement.	280	175. Abraxas	398
144. Early or Egyptian Vase	306	176. Kneph, or Chnubis	398
145. } Archaic Greek Vases 307, 308		177. Seth	398
146. }		178. Ring of Cheops	401
147. Painted Vases of the		179. Ring of Amunoph III.	402
Beautiful, or Greek		180. Ring of Horus	402
Style	310, 311	181. Scarab Ring	402
148. Vases of the Florid		182. Hand with Rings	403
Style	313, 314	183. Etruscan Swivel Ring	404
149. Cylix of the Florid Style.	315	184. } Roman Rings	406, 407
150. Vase of the Decadence	316	185. }	
151. Shapes of Vases	318, 319	186. Thumb Ring	408
152. Augustus, a Cameo	324	187. Examples of Hieroglyphic,	
153. Taras, a Beryl	327	Hieratic, and Demotic	
154. Venus Marina	331	Writing	443
155. Gryllus	334	188. Oval of Rameses II.	448
156. Faun	343	189. The Sigeon Inscription	469
157. Apollo	348	190. Inscription to Balbillus	474
158. Zeus overcoming the		191. Monogram of Christ	522
Giants	349	192. Tomb in a Catacomb	534
159. Cameo of the Ste. Chapelle	364	193. Monogram of Christ with	
160. Gemma Augustea	365	Palms of Martyrdom	536

ERRATA.

PAGE

- 50, last line, after 'material required,' *insert* 'a coating of stucco and'
159, line 8, *for* 'Xantheus,' *read* 'Xanthus.'
197, line 22, *for* 'Pierrot,' *read* 'Pierret.'
398, transpose names of cuts, 'Kneph' and 'Abraxas.'
457, last line, *for* 'eighth,' *read* 'fifth.'
458, fourth line, *read* 'no long inscription.'
470, *read* eighth line of second Sigeian inscription thus—ο μελεδαινευ με ο.
477, in translation of inscription on the tomb of Dexileos, *read* 'one of five knights killed at Corinth.'
484, in note *, *for* 'caps,' *read* 'cups.'
485, fourth line from bottom of page, place the 'Mr.' before 'Newman'
490, in note *, *for* 'Epist.' *read* 'Epitaph.'
496-7, *for* 'Emperor,' *read* 'Imperator.'
506, col. ii. line 3, *for* 'fleri hæres fuit,' *read* 'fleri hæres fecit.'
508, col. i. line 7 from bottom, *for* 'θανούσα,' *read* 'θανούση.'
512, col. ii. line 10, *for* 'uti rogar,' *read* 'uti rogas.'

HANDBOOK OF ARCHÆOLOGY.

First Division.

MONUMENTS OF ARCHITECTURE.

ARCHITECTURE, like the other arts, had its stages of development, from the earliest efforts of primitive man, in the construction of dwellings, which must have been determined solely by his physical wants, until it culminated in those more perfect structures resulting from higher ideas of symmetry and proportion.

Vitruvius lays down three qualities as indispensable in a fine building—stability, utility, and beauty. In an architectural point of view, the last is the principal, though not the sole element; and, accordingly, the theory of architecture is occupied for the most part with æsthetic considerations, or the principles of beauty in designing. Of such principles or qualities the following appear to be the most important: size, proportion, harmony, symmetry, and ornament. Size or vastness of proportion impresses with a deep sense of the majesty of human power. The feelings with which we gaze on the gigantic structures raised by different nations, the Pyramids and Temples of Egypt, the Colosseum of Rome, the megalithic monument of Stonehenge, sufficiently attest the truth of this principle.

The qualities in the general disposition of the parts of a building which are calculated to give pleasure to the beholder, are proportion, harmony, and symmetry. Proportion depends essentially upon the employment of mathematical ratios in the dimensions of a building. By harmony is meant the general balancing of the several parts of the design, while symmetry is uniformity in plan.

Next to general beauty or grandeur of form in a building

comes architectural ornament. As a general rule it should be confined to the decoration of constructive parts of a fabric.

Each nation had its rules and proportions, and has originated forms peculiar to itself. So definite are the characteristics of the styles of different nations, that from the mere form, carving, or decoration of any structure, its age and its architects can usually be fairly determined.

The architecture of a people is an important part of their history. It is the external and enduring form of their public life; it is the index of their state of knowledge and social progress. The influence of climates and public institutions was particularly displayed in the productions of architecture.

In our West, temples open to the sky would be as little suited to its climate as to our habits. Scenic representations formed more a part of the national customs of the Greeks and Romans than with us; and lastly, the art of war, such as it was among the ancients, imposed other principles on military architecture.

The material also afforded by the country must necessarily have an important influence on the architecture of a people. Thus, where trees abounded, stone was probably a material seldom used, as it entailed a much greater amount of labour than timber; but as stone would neither burn nor rot, it was preferred for all durable purposes. Where wood was plentiful, as in Greece and in Lycia, stone architecture exhibits traces of an original timber construction. The columns were originally posts, and the architraves and triglyphs beams resting on each other. On the other hand, in the plains of Egypt, where building timber is scarce, and where there is abundance of large stone in the mountains, the mason element seems to have prevailed. In such plains as those of Nineveh and Babylon bricks were used, made of dried or burnt clay.

SECTION I.—WALLS—MORTAR—BRICKS.

WALLS: *Egyptian*.—The walls of inclosure of the Egyptian towns are generally constructed of crude bricks, dried in the sun. Their dimensions are various; the mud of the Nile supplied the material, which, however, required straw to prevent the bricks cracking. Sometimes they bear short hieroglyphic inscriptions enclosed in an oval, which is the stamp of the king under whose reign they were made. Burnt bricks were not

used in Egypt, and when found they are known to be of a Roman time. Egyptian masonry is probably the earliest known to us. It is chiefly remarkable for the enormous size of the stones employed, said to be frequently thirty feet in length; the weight of these masses rendered the use of mortar unnecessary. The most ancient buildings were constructed of limestone, hewn from the mountains bordering the valley of the Nile to the east and west; and that it was used long before sandstone, is proved by the tombs of the Pyramids, as well as those monuments themselves, and by the vestiges of old substructions and ruins in Upper Egypt. Limestone continued to be occasionally employed for building even after the accession of the twelfth dynasty; but so soon as the durability of sandstone was ascertained, the quarries of Silsilis were opened, and this material was universally adopted, and preferred for its even texture, and the ease with which it was wrought. Sandstone was generally used in the walls of the temples of Thebes. Granite was largely employed in the most ancient buildings. The employment of squared granite blocks, and the beauty of the masonry in the interior of the Pyramids, prove the degree of skill the Egyptians had reached at a time long anterior to the building of the walls of Tiryns, and consequently to the rudest attempts in masonry in Italy or Greece. Granite was used in some of the gateways and walls of the temples of Thebes.

The only works of Egyptian architecture known are temples, palaces, pyramids, walls of inclosure, quays, and other public constructions: private constructions, houses, &c., have disappeared in the lapse of time, either because they were built of clay or brick, or of some other as perishable material. The pyramidal or sloping line was a characteristic feature of the Egyptian style in temples and other buildings—the chief object of which was solidity. Another feature was the torus, with lines cut obliquely on it, on the angles formed by the faces of the wall. The walls were surmounted by a projecting cornice. The solidity of Egyptian masonry is well known: it is the result of the good choice of materials, of its extraordinary size, and of the care bestowed on the building. It has been frequently remarked, that in the courses the neighbouring stones were attached to one another by plugs of wood, dove-tailed at each end, and imbedded in the stones. The Greeks and Romans employed bronze and iron for the same purpose. There is no

appearance that metallic cramps were ever used among the Egyptians.



MASONRY.

- A. The reticulated work (*opus reticulatum*).
- B. The uncertain (*opus incertum*).
- C. The *isodomum*.
- D. The *pseudisodomum*.
- E. *ἀσώλεστος* (*emplecton*).
- F. The *δατόροι* or bondstones.
- G. The *isodomum* (on a larger scale).

Grecian.—At first the Greeks built their walls of rough stones of large proportions; the interstices were filled up with smaller stones: remains of similar walls can be seen at Tiryns. At Mycenæ, Corinth, Eretria, Delphi, Cadyanda in Lycia, and Cadynda in Caria, the most ancient walls are of irregular polygons, carefully cut, and well joined together. The mode of building walls, which took place after this, was in parallel courses of rectangular stones of unequal size, but of the same height. This style is common in the Phocian cities, and in some parts of Boeotia and Argolis. To that succeeded the mode most common in, and which is chiefly confined to, Attica. It consists of horizontal courses of masonry, not always of the same height, but composed of rectangular stones. It occurs also in the treasury of Atreus at Mycenæ.

When Grecian architecture arrived at perfection, it adopted three different kinds of masonry:—the *isodomum*; courses of stone of the same height, and in general very long: the *pseud-isodomum*; courses of stone of irregular height: the *emplecton*, for extraordinary thicknesses. The two faces of the wall were built with cut stone, and the intervening space was filled with rough stones imbedded in mortar, and, at certain distances, stones (*διατόνοι*) long enough to extend to both sides, consolidated this kind of construction.

At Athens, the Acropolis was originally surrounded by a wall said to have been built by the Pelasgians. At the time of the Peloponnesian war only the north part of this wall remained, and this portion was still called the Pelasgic wall, while the south part, which had been rebuilt by Cimon, was called the Cimonian wall. The walls of Themistocles included on the west the hill of the Nymphs and the Pnyx, on the south they extended a little beyond the Ilissus, and on the east they crossed the Ilissus, near the Lyceum, which was outside the walls. The entire circuit of the walls of Athens was 175 stadia (22 miles), of which 43 stadia belonged to the city, 75 stadia to the *long walls*, and 57 stadia to the port towns.

The *long walls* (*τὰ μάκρα τείχη*) connected the city with the sea, and were built under the administration of Themistocles and Pericles. They consisted of the wall to Phalerum on the east, and of the wall to Piræus on the west, each about four miles in length. Between these two, at a short distance from the latter and parallel to it, another wall was erected, thus making two walls leading to the Piræus (sometimes called the Legs, *σκέλη*), with a narrow passage between them. There were, therefore, three long walls in all, but that name seems to have been confined to the two leading to the Piræus, while that leading to Phalerum was distinguished by the appellation of the *Phalerian wall*. The walls of Messene present some magnificent specimens of Hellenic masonry. Sparta had no walls.


Italian.—In Italy, the stages of the development of masonry are not very different from those followed in Greece. The following division of the relative antiquity of the different styles of masonry in ancient walls seems to be approved of by the best authorities, and may answer for the description of walls both in Greece and Italy, for the sequence of styles was similar in both

countries. First, the *Cyclopean*, composed of unhewn masses, rudely piled up, with no further adjustment than the insertion of small blocks in the interstices, and so described by Pausanias. Of this rudest style of masonry few specimens now exist; the



CYCLOPEAN WALLS.


most celebrated one is the citadel of Tiryns. The second style, which we would call the *Polygonal*, though generally called the Pelasgian, is a natural and obvious improvement of the former.



POLYGONAL WALLS.

The improvement consists in fitting the side of the polygonal blocks to each other, so that exteriorly the walls may present a smooth and solid surface. What goes far to prove the high antiquity of this polygonal masonry is the primitive style of its

gateways, and the absence of the arch in connection with it; and also that it is found as a substruction under walls built in the horizontal style, which is of later origin, as in the walls of Cosa. This style is prevalent at Mycenæ, and also to be seen in the walls of Cadyanda in Lycia. It is also to be met with in the Etruscan cities of Cosa and Saturnia. Similar polygonal masonry is to be found in the walls of Alatri and Arpino.* In the third



WALLS OF COSA.

style, which we shall call the *Irregular Horizontal*, by some called Etruscan, and also Hellenic, from its being the prevalent style in Etruria and in Greece, the blocks are laid in horizontal courses, with more or less irregularity; and the joints, sometimes accurately fitted, are either perpendicular or oblique. Cement was not employed in any of these walls: the massiveness of the parts rendered it unnecessary. An approximation to this style is visible at Mycenæ, but is seen in perfection in the cities of Etruria, many of which still retain their ancient walls; we may


* This polygonal masonry has been used at a much later period, as in the walls of the Temple of Fortune, built by Sylla at Præneste. These later polygonal walls are, however, easily distinguishable from the earlier by the greater accuracy of the joints, and the workmanlike style of the masonry.

name Fiesole, Volterra, Cortona, Populonia, Roselle, and others.* To this may be added a fourth style, which is the final improvement on the irregular horizontal, and is composed of regular horizontal courses of cut stone, such as was used in the flourishing period of Greece, and similar to that now in universal use. This may be distinguished as the *Regular Horizontal*; these different styles are not, however, of the same period or age in all countries, but they mark the stages of development of the art of masonry in the country in which they are found.


Roman.—At first the Romans imitated the Etruscans, their masters, and were ever borrowing of their neighbours, not only civil and religious institutions, but even the sterner arts of war. In the same manner in their architecture and fortification: in the Sabine country they seemed to have copied the style of the Sabines, in Latium, of the Latins, in Etruria, of the Etruscans. The distinct styles of building adopted in the outer walls of the Mons Palatinus, which have been lately discovered, have been

* Some attribute the adoption of these different styles of masonry to constructive necessity, and affirm that the character of the masonry is determined by the material, limestone splitting readily into polygonal forms, and travertine having a horizontal cleavage. This theory is, however, contradicted by the walls of Saturnia, for they are polygonal and built of travertine. The walls of Empulum also are polygonal and of tufa.

If I may be allowed to hazard a conjecture, I would say, that in the art of building, as in every other art, there is a progress from the rudest state to perfection; each separate style of masonry is the result or necessary consequence of that progress and gradual development in the art of building in any country, and not peculiar to any particular race; each style marking the stage of development in the art. As in sculpture, there are three different styles; the first, rigid, hard, and rude, which was the first beginning of art; the second, when there was more regard to proportion and beauty; and lastly, the third or perfect style—so in masonry, the first or primitive style was but a piling up of rough blocks which might be suggested to any people; the second style may be considered an improvement of the former; the third style, a still greater improvement, when the masonry was brought to its most perfect state. Specimens of polygonal and horizontal masonry, with a similar sequence of styles, are found in Peru and in the central parts of America (Missouri), where they cannot be said to be of either Pelasgic or Etruscan origin. According to Mr. Fergusson, examples occur in Peru of every intermediate gradation between the polygonal walls of the house of Manco Capac and the regular horizontal masonry of the Tambos, precisely corresponding with the gradual progress of art in Latium, or any European country where the Cyclopean or Pelasgic style of building has been found.



IRREGULAR HORIZONTAL.



REGULAR HORIZONTAL.

referred satisfactorily to the respective periods of their erection ; thus the walls formed of large square blocks of stone, not sawn, but wrought into shape with a hammer, or some such tool, and fixed without mortar, are referred to the time of the kings. So, again, the walls of small stones, arranged lozenge-wise (composed of tufa), are of the republican period ; whilst those of tufa, with horizontal layers of tiles, are of the latest period of the republic ; the Imperial work being entirely of brick, the bricks very thin, some at least two feet long, and beautifully arranged.

There were several varieties of stone employed by the Romans in their buildings:—1. Tufa, a volcanic sandstone, called by Vitruvius, Lapis ruber, Tophus. The ancient quarries of this are in the Cælian, Aventine, and Capitoline hills. The walls of Romulus are entirely of this material, and were probably built from quarries in the Palatine hill itself. 2. Lapis Albanus, now called Peperino, also a volcanic sandstone, but hard and rough, the surface covered with knobs of flint. The ancient quarries are near Alba Longa, on Monte Cavo. The Mamertine Prison, the Agger of Servius Tullius, and the Temple of Antoninus and Faustina, are of this material. 3. Lapis Gabinus, called Sperone. This resembles the Peperino, but it is not easy to distinguish them. The ancient quarries are at Gabii. The triple arch of the Cloaca Maxima, and the substructure of the Tabularium, are of this material. 4. Lapis Tiburtinus, called Travertino, or Travertine, a limestone ; the ancient quarries of which are at Tibur, or Tivoli, near the Anio. It is white when new and becomes a warm yellow. The tomb of Cecilia Metella and the Colosseum are well known examples of this stone.

The earliest kind of construction in stone is the *opus quadratum*. The stones are squared, but not necessarily square ; they are usually oblong, and in the earliest examples these large stones are laid alternately lengthwise and crosswise, like modern bricks. This kind of construction has been divided into several periods by Mr. Parker. The earliest and best example is the wall of Romulus on the Palatine, which is decidedly of Etruscan character. In Rome the only material for these walls is tufa. The stones of this earliest mode of construction are also the largest ; as time goes on they gradually become smaller. In the very early walls also the stones are split off the rock with wedges, and not cut with any iron tool. The stones are put together without mortar or cement of any kind, and are

supported by their own weight only. This earliest style is believed to have been continued to about a century after the time of Romulus.

The next division differs but slightly from it, the only difference is that the stones are smaller and not exclusively of tufa. The peperino from Albano now comes into use.

The earliest example after the wall of Romulus is the wall of the kings in the Aventine, sometimes attributed to the Latins when they first settled on this hill, and the celebrated Agger of Servius Tullius; the Pulchrum Littus on the bank of the Tiber, and the wall of the kings under the church of St. Anastasia on the Palatine, by the side of the Circus Maximus. Portions of the old walls of the time of the kings against the cliffs of the hills in various parts of Rome also belong to this second division of the Etruscan style of construction, the stones being gradually smaller as the buildings were later. In the later buildings of this style the stones are cut, and not merely split.

The same style is continued in the early part of the republic. The substructure of the Tabularium on the Capitol and the Mamertine Prison are fine examples. In the time of Camillus, after the capture of Veii, there is a marked improvement in the art of building; the stones are well cut with the saw, and closely fitted together, as in the triple arch of the Cloaca Maxima on the bank of the Tiber, *inserted* in the Pulchrum Littus.

The three temples in the Forum Olitorium, now in the church of St. Nicolas in Carcere, both in the crypt and in the walls, and on the roof, all belong to this style, though not exactly of the same date. These are of the earliest stones, either tufa, or peperino, or gabine, not travertine. The arcades of the earliest aqueducts, the Appia and the Marcia, are of the same style; that of Claudius is of later character, though still of large stones, and looking a good deal like the earlier ones at first sight, but the material is travertine. This mode of construction continued for a long period, and to a certain extent continues still where similar building material is found.

The walls of Aurelian were chiefly built of brick, in some parts it was a mixture of rough stone and brick in alternate layers at regular intervals.

At a later period the Romans adopted two kinds of construction: the *incertum*, or *antiquum*, composed of small rough pieces placed irregularly, and imbedded in a large quantity of mortar:

and the *reticulatum*, composed of stones, cut and squared, but joined so that the line of the joining formed a diagonal, which gave to the walls the appearance of net-work. Vitruvius says, that this mode of building was the most common in his time; several examples of it still remain: one may be seen in that part of the walls of Rome called the Muro Torto. The Greeks gave it the name of *dictyotheton*, synonymous with net; they also communicated to the Romans their *emplecton*. Another structure of which the Romans made great use, and which was one of the most durable of all, was that composed of long flat tiles; this was called *opus lateritium*. Canina distinguishes five species of Roman masonry: (1) when the blocks of stone are laid in alternate courses, lengthwise in one course and crosswise in the next: this is the most common. (2) When the stones in each course are laid alternately along and across: this construction was usual when the walls were to be faced with slabs of marble. (3) When they were laid entirely lengthwise; (4), entirely crosswise. (5) When the courses are alternately higher and lower than each other, as in the temple of Vesta, over the Tiber. Rome was encircled with walls at three different periods. These were the Wall of Romulus, B.C. 752; the Walls of Servius Tullius, B.C. 578; the Walls of Aurelian, A.D. 272. The direction of the Wall of Romulus is described by Tacitus. It commenced at the Forum Boarium, and ran along the foot of the Palatine, having the valley afterwards occupied by the Circus Maximus on the right, as far as the altar of Consus, nearly opposite to the extremity of the circus; thence it turned round the southern angle of the Palatine, followed the foot of the hill nearly in a straight line to the Curiae Veteres, which stood not far from the site of the Arch of Constantine; thence descended again on the other side to the angle of the Forum, which was then a morass. Portions of this wall have been discovered and laid bare on the Palatine hill. The Wall of Servius Tullius was seven miles round, and enclosed seven fortified villages in one city. Starting from the agger, or mound, which was raised by Servius Tullius across the broad table-land formed by the junction of the Quirinal, Viminal, and Esquiline hills, the walls of Servius ran along the outside edge of the Cælian and Aventine hills to the river Tiber by the Porta Trigemina; from this point to the Porta Flumentana, near the S.W. extremity of the Capitoline hill, there appears to

have been no wall, the river itself being considered a sufficient defence. At the Porta Flumentana the fortifications again commenced; and ran along the outside edge of the Capitoline and Quirinal hills, till they reached the northern extremity of the agger at the Porta Collina.*

The Wall of Aurelian. This wall was thirteen miles long and eighty feet high. It contained corridors for the sentinels, and towers at equal intervals. This wall is partially the same as that which surrounds the modern city of Rome, with the exception of the part beyond the Tiber. The Janiculum and the adjacent suburb was the only portion beyond the Tiber which was included within the fortifications of Aurelian. On the left bank of the Tiber the wall of Aurelian embraced on the north the Collis Hortulorum or Pincianus, on the west the Campus Martius, on the east the Campus Esquilinus, and on the south the Mons Testaceus.

MORTAR.—The perfection of that of the ancients has passed into a proverb. The Egyptians never employed it in their great constructions; but other monuments preserve traces of it: the pyramids were formerly covered with a coating which supposes its use. That plaster, lime, bitumen were employed in the arts, is attested by numerous examples. The Greeks and Etruscans were also acquainted with it, evidences of which are to be seen in a reservoir at Sparta, built of stones, cemented together; and in the sepulchral vaults of Tarquinii, which are plastered with stucco, covered with paintings. Lime mortar was not brought into general use at Rome until two or three centuries before the Christian era; the earliest dated example of the use of lime is believed to be the Emporium on the bank of the Tiber, B.C. 175. Necessity must have made the use of mortar familiar to every people. Time, which has hardened it, has caused it to be considered more perfect than the modern. Its

* By the excavations lately made at Rome, it has been clearly shown that Servius Tullius did not build one continuous wall seven miles in extent, as is shown on most of the German and English maps, of even recent days, but that he simply connected together the several fortified hills or camps, by a series of short aggeres across the valleys. "To demonstrate this plain fact," says Mr. Parker, "seven pits were dug in a line across the valley, from the foot of the Cælian on the east to that of the Aventine on the west. In each of these pits the *agger* was found with a wall on the outer side of the agger, or great bank of earth."

extreme hardness may probably be accounted for by merely referring to the circumstance that the long exposure which it has undergone, in considerable masses, has given it the opportunity of slowly acquiring the carbonic acid from the air, which converts it again into limestone, and upon which its hardness and durability depend. The chief excellence of the mortar of the ancients lay in their knowledge of the art of mixing lime with sand, and in the lime being burnt on the spot and used quite fresh. In Rome the mortar, when well made, consisted of three parts of sand and one of lime. The sand was of two kinds, *fossicia*, or river sand, and *pozzolana* (*pulvis puteolanus*), volcanic ashes, quarries of which extend over the Roman campagna. So scrupulous were the ancient masons in the mixing and blending of mortar, that the Greeks kept ten men constantly employed for a long space of time in beating the mortar with wooden staves, which rendered it of such prodigious hardness, that Vitruvius tells us that slabs of plaster cut from the ancient walls served to make tables.

BRICKS.—The ancients both baked their bricks and dried them in the sun. The Egyptians used sun-dried bricks, of clay mixed with straw and made in a mould, in the large walls which inclosed their temples, and in the constructions about their tombs. Pyramids were sometimes built of these bricks. In some of the paintings in Egyptian tombs, slaves are represented mixing and tempering the clay, and turning the bricks out of the mould. They are sometimes found stamped with the oval of the king in whose reign they were made. They are about sixteen inches long, seven wide, and five thick. Burnt bricks were not used in Egypt until the Roman period.

It has been supposed that the Greeks did not employ bricks until after their subjugation by the Romans, as none of the works executed prior to that period, the ruins of which still exist, exhibit any signs of brickwork: yet there are Greek buildings mentioned by Vitruvius as built of brick. Vitruvius (lib. ii. cap. 8) mentions the walls of Athens, towards Mounts Hymettus and Pentelicus, and the cella of the temples of Jupiter and Hercules. The Greek names for bricks were *didoron*, *pentadoron*, *tetradoron*, from the Greek *δῶρον*, a handbreadth. The *didoron* was a foot long and half a foot wide. The *pentadoron* was five *dora* wide, and the *tetradoron* four *dora* wide on each

side. All these bricks were also made half the size, to break the joint of the work; and the long bricks were laid in one course, and the short in the course above them. Vitruvius says, the pentadora were used in public works; and the tetradora in private. The Romans, according to Pliny, began to use bricks about the decline of the republic; bricks burnt in kilns probably came into use about the time of Sylla. The Roman brick used in the buildings on the Palatine hill, in the baths of Caracalla, and in various remains of Roman buildings in England, is more like a tile than a brick, being very thin compared with its length and breadth. The dimensions of Roman bricks vary, being $7\frac{1}{2}$ inches square and $1\frac{1}{2}$ thick; $16\frac{1}{2}$ inches square, $2\frac{1}{2}$ thick, and 1 foot 10 inches square, and $2\frac{3}{4}$ thick; the colour is red. They were laid flat in the walls, excepting round arches, where they are placed edgewise. The earliest bricks are said to have been made from the mud of the Tiber. The terms used by the Romans for bricks dried in the sun, were *lateres crudi*; and for bricks burnt in the kiln, *lateres cocti*, or *coctiles*. These bricks are usually stamped with the name of the maker and the owner of the property where they were made. Though Augustus boasted that he found Rome brick and left it marble, brick continued to be generally used in the Roman buildings erected in the times of the later Roman emperors. The boast of Augustus evidently referred to the many buildings of brick which were covered with plates of marble casing. Almost all the structures in Rome, with very few exceptions, were of brick, aqueducts, palaces, villas, baths, and temples.

SECTION II.—HOUSES.

THE ancients acted differently from the moderns in this essential part of social customs. It does not seem that they ever occupied themselves in adorning towns by private buildings; public monuments had alone this privilege, and the honours decreed to citizens who had them built or repaired at their own expense, turned towards them their attention and the employment of their fortune rather than towards domestic habitations. The degree of comfort exhibited in the arrangement of their houses is a very important characteristic of a nation's degree of civilisation; and we may mark the progress of this civilisation in its successive stages from a rude condition to a high state

of perfection by studying the architecture of a people as shown in their ordinary dwellings.


Egyptian.—Egyptian houses were built of crude brick, stuccoed and painted with all the combinations of bright colour in which the Egyptians delighted; and a highly-decorated mansion had numerous courts and architectural details derived from temples. Over the door was sometimes a sentence in hieroglyphics, as “A good house,” or the name of a king, under whom the owner probably held some office. The plans varied according to the caprice of the builders. In some houses the ground plan consisted of a number of chambers on three sides of a court, which was often planted with trees. Others were laid out in chambers round a central area, similar to the Roman impluvium, and paved with stone, or containing a few trees, a tank, or a fountain, in its centre. The houses in most of the Egyptian towns are destroyed, leaving few traces of their plans; but sufficient remains of some at Thebes and other places to enable us, with the help of the sculptures, to ascertain their form and appearance.

Greek.—The Greeks, according to Vitruvius, and probably the rich Greeks, divided their house into two apartments distinct one from the other, that of the men—*andronitis*, and that of the women—*gynæconitis* or *gynæceum*. A porter guarded the entrance of the house, which was generally a long corridor leading to the apartments, a *Hermes*, or a statue of *Apollo Agyieus*, or an altar to that god, adorned the entrance; at the end of this corridor was the *peristyle* of the *andronitis*, which was a space open to the sky in the centre, and surrounded on all four sides by porticoes, which were used for conversation and for exercise. Round the *peristyle* were arranged rooms used as banqueting rooms, music rooms, sitting, sleeping rooms, picture galleries, and libraries. A door from this *peristyle* opened into a passage leading to the *gynæceum*, which was at first in the upper story, when the *andronitis* was on the ground floor; afterwards it occupied, adjoining the latter, the most distant part of the house. Greek habits condemned women to habitual seclusion. A large hall was destined for their usual employments, surrounded by their slaves; at the further end of this hall or *peristyle* was the *προσῆς* or vestibule, on the right and left of which were two bedchambers, the *θαλαμος* and *αμφιθαλαμος*, the former was the

principal bedchamber of the house. A dining-room, and the other rooms necessary for domestic purposes, lay contiguous. Some smaller buildings, next the house, were destined for strangers. It seems that Greek houses had but one story; the pavement was a very hard cement, the roof was a platform surrounded by a balustrade. The light was admitted more through the upper part of the house than through the sides.

Roman.—The Romans, who lived in a common apartment with their women, adopted for their houses a different distribution from that of the Greeks; they were divided into two parts, one intended for public resort, the other for the private service of the family. The door, ostium, led through the vestibule, or prothyrum, where the porter, ostiarius, usually had his seat, into the atrium or cavædium, a kind of portico built in the shape of a parallelogram, according to the proportions of the different orders of architecture. It was roofed over, but with an opening in the centre, called compluvium, towards which the roof sloped, so as to throw the rain-water into a cistern in the floor, called impluvium. The atrium was the most important part of the Roman house; it was used as a reception hall. Here the wealthy Roman exhibited to his numerous clients and flatterers all his wealth and magnificence. The atrium of M. Scaurus was celebrated for the richness of its marble columns and the beauty of its decorations. Vitruvius distinguishes five species of atria: I. The Tuscanicum, or Tuscan atrium, the oldest and simplest of all. It was merely an apartment, the roof of which was supported by four beams crossing each other at right angles, the included space forming the compluvium. It was styled Tuscan from the Tuscans, from whom the Romans adopted it. II. The tetrastyle, or four-pillared atrium, resembled the Tuscan, except that the girders or main beams of the roof were supported by pillars placed at the four angles of the impluvium. III. The Corinthian atrium differed from the tetrastyle only in the number of pillars and size of the impluvium. IV. The atrium displuviatum had its roof inclined the contrary way, so as to throw the water off to the outside of the house instead of carrying it into the impluvium. V. The atrium testudinatum was roofed all over, without any vacancy or compluvium. At the further end of the atrium was the tablinum, where the family archives were kept. It was separated from the cavædium

by an aulæum or curtain, like a drop-scene. The tablinum was also used as a reception room. Near the tablinum were two small open rooms (alæ), and in a corner of the atrium was the



lararium, or small private chapel. By the side of the tablinum was a corridor (fauces) which led to the private apartments—the first of which to be mentioned is the peristyle. It resembled


the atrium, being in fact a court open to the sky in the middle and surrounded by a colonnade, but it was larger in its dimen-



RESTORED ATRIUM OF HOUSE AT POMPEII.

sions. The centre of the court was often decorated with shrubs and flowers, and was then called xystus. The other rooms---


besides the bedchambers, the smaller ones for the women (*cubacula*), others with an alcove (*thalami*) for the master of the house, for his daughters—were the *triclinium*, or dining room,



TRICLINIUM.

so named from the three couches, *κλιναι*, which encompassed the table on three sides, leaving the fourth open to the attendants.*

* The whole arrangement of the triclinium consisted of three couches, and each couch contained three persons, each person having his seat ac-



PLAN OF TRICLINIUM, SHOWING THE DISPOSITION OF THE GUESTS.

The *œci*, from *oikos*, a house, were spacious halls or saloons borrowed from the Greeks. They were used for more extensive banquets; the *œci*, like the *atria*, were divided into tetrastyle and Corinthian; the *pinacotheca* or picture gallery, and the *bibliotheca* or library. The *exedra* was either a seat intended to contain a number of persons, or a spacious hall for conversation. In the furthest corner of the house was the *aulina* or kitchen. The floors of the higher order of Roman houses were generally covered with stone, marble, or mosaic. The houses at Pompeii contain specimens of floors in mosaic, exhibiting exquisite taste in the variety of ornament elaborated in them. The walls of the rooms were sometimes lined with thin slabs of marble; they were also painted in fresco. These decorative paintings generally represented mythological subjects, dancing figures, landscapes, and ornamentation in boundless variety. Windows (*finestræ*) were seldom used in Roman houses. The *atria* and *peristyles* being always open to the sky, and the adjoining rooms receiving their light from them, prevented the necessity of windows; windows were only required when there was an upper story. Roman life, as at the present day, being so much out of doors, windows were seldom wanted.

The house of Lepidus was at first considered the finest in Rome; the thresholds of the doors were of Numidian marble; but he was soon surpassed by others in splendour and magnificence, especially by Lucullus. At Athens the houses of Themistocles, of Aristides, differed but little from those of the poorest citizen. The Romans had many stories to their houses; to prevent the inconveniences which would result, Augustus restricted their height to seventy feet, which Trajan reduced to sixty.

In the palace of the Flavii, lately excavated on the Palatine Hill, the disposition of the apartments is that of an ordinary Roman dwelling (*atrium*, *tablinum*, *peristyle*, &c.), but on a much larger scale, and without offices. The *tablinum*, which in private dwellings was the principal sitting room, was here used

according to his rank and dignity. The places were thus appropriated: 1. The host. 2. His wife. 3. Guest. 4. Consular place, or place of honour. 5, 6, 7, 8, 9. Other guests. In some houses, in the dining-room, there was a semicircular couch called *Stibadium*, suitable to a round table, which Servius tells us, was adopted after tables made of citron wood came into general use. It was also called *Sigma*, from its likeness to the Greek letter.

as an *aula regia*, or throne room, where the emperors granted audiences. This extensive hall, 117 feet by 147, with its large semicircular apse, which was occupied by the throne, was originally entirely covered with a richly-decorated ceiling; adjoining this is the peristylum, a large rectangular garden, 174 feet in length, originally surrounded by a colonnade. Opening on the peristyle, was the triclinium or dining hall, about 120 feet long, with a semicircular apse at the end. Adjacent to this is the nymphæum or fountain saloon, containing an elliptical basin. Beyond the triclinium are two rooms, conjectured to have been the bibliotheca, and the academia, or lecture room.

A private house has been lately discovered in the Palatine hill, and is supposed to be that of the Empress Livia, to which she retired after the death of Augustus. A flight of six steps descends to the mosaic pavement of the vaulted vestibulum, which leads to a quadrangular court, originally covered; adjoining which are three chambers, beautifully decorated with mural paintings opposite the entrance; adjoining the right side of the court is the triclinium, ornamented with landscapes; at the back of the house are situated the bedroom, store-rooms, &c.


It was in their villas or country houses that the Romans displayed a boundless luxury; objects of art and the productions of the most distant nations were collected there in addition to the profusion of other ornaments. Lucullus erected several magnificent villas near Naples and Tusculum, which he decorated with the most costly paintings and statues, in which he lived in a style of magnificence and luxury which appears to have astonished even the most wealthy of his contemporaries. The emperors Nero and Hadrian also built magnificent villas, which the arts of Greece and the luxury of the East contributed to adorn. It was in the villas of the emperors, or of the most wealthy citizens, that the most beautiful productions of ancient art have been found.

A Roman villa, according to the rule laid down by Vitruvius, and the younger Pliny's description of his Laurentine villa, had its atrium next the door or porch at the entrance. Opposite the centre of the peristyle was a *cavædium*, after which came the triclinium, on every side of which were either folding doors or large windows, affording a vista through the apartments, and views of the surrounding scenery and distant mountains. Near this were several apartments, including bedchambers and a

library. Attached to the villa were baths, halls for exercise, gardens (xystus), and every arrangement which could conduce to the pleasure and amusement of a wealthy Roman. The suburban villa of Diomedes at Pompeii presents a somewhat different arrangement to that of Pliny's Laurentine villa.

SECTION III.—TEMPLES.


TEMPLES are sacred edifices destined to the worship of the divinity. All nations have raised them, and the piety which founded them hastened the progress of architecture by the desire to render these edifices more worthy of their destination. The Egyptians have surpassed all nations in the extent and magnificence of these public monuments; they had ancient temples when the oracle of Delphi dwelt in a cabin of laurels, and the Jupiter of Dodona had but an old oak for an abode.



ENTRANCE TO EGYPTIAN TEMPLE.

Egyptian.—The temple, properly so called, or the cella, or adytum, was in the form of a square, or an oblong square. It was there that the god dwelt, represented by his living symbol, which superstitious minds have taken for the divinity itself. The religious rituals prescribed in all its minutiae the order of the service of the priests towards these sacred animals, the repre-

sentatives of the god, chosen and pointed out according to exterior signs prescribed by the ritual. The adytum, or *σηκος*, the principal part of the temple, is always the most ancient part, and bears the name of the king who had it built and dedicated. The plans of the different temples of Egypt display a great diversity, but evince a certain uniformity in the principal parts. They are classified by Sir Gardner Wilkinson thus: 1. *Sanctuary temples*, or those with only one single chamber. 2. *Peripteral temples*, or the like, but surrounded with columns. 3. *Temples in antis*, with a portico of two or four columns in front. 4. Those




PYLON.

with porticoes of *many* columns, as Esne, Dendera, &c., and many inner chambers. 5. Those with large *courts*, and with pyramidal towers, or propylons in front. An Egyptian temple, as Mr. Fergusson remarks, is an aggregation of parts around a small but sacred centre, which have been gradually elaborated during several centuries, and were the work of successive kings. The larger temples were generally approached by a pylon and a *δρῶμος*, or an avenue of sphinxes, and a pair of obelisks was placed in front of the propyla. We extract the

following description of the temple known as the Rhamession from Mr. Fergusson's "Handbook," as affording a typical example of an Egyptian temple. The whole temple was built by Rhamses the Great, in the fifteenth century B.C. Its façade is formed by two great pylons, or pyramidal masses of masonry, which are the most appropriate and most imposing part of the structure externally. Between these is the entrance doorway (propylon), leading almost invariably into a great square courtyard, with porticoes, always on two, and sometimes on three sides. This leads to an inner court, smaller, but far more splendid, than the first. On the two sides of this court, through which the central passage leads, are square piers with colossi in front, and on the right and left are double ranges of circular columns, which are continued also behind the square piers fronting the entrance. Passing through this, we come to a hypostyle


hall of great beauty, formed by two ranges of larger columns in the centre, and three rows of smaller ones on each side. These hypostyle halls almost always accompany the larger Egyptian temples of the great age. They derive their name from having an upper range of columns, or what in Gothic architecture would be called a clerestory, through which the light is admitted to the central portion of the hall. Although some are more extensive than this, the arrangement of all is nearly similar. They possess two



PLAN OF RHAMESSION.

ranges of columns in the centre, so tall as to equal the height of the side columns, together with that of the attic which is placed on them. These are generally of different orders; the central pillars having a bell-shaped capital, the under side of which is perfectly illuminated from the mode in which the light is introduced; while in the side pillars the capital was narrower at the top than at the bottom, apparently for the sake of allowing its ornaments to be seen. Beyond this are always several smaller

apartments, in this instance supposed to be nine in number, but they are so ruined that it is difficult to be quite certain what their arrangement was. These seem to have been rather suited to the residences of the king or priests, than to the purposes of a temple, as we understand the word. Indeed, palace-temple, or temple-palace, would be a more appropriate term for these buildings than to call them simply temples. They do not seem to have been appropriated to the worship of any particular god, but rather for the great ceremonies of royalty, of kingly sacrifice to the gods for the people, and of worship of the king by the people. He seems to have been regarded, if not as a god, at least as the representative of the gods on earth. Though the Rhamession is so grand from its dimensions, and so beautiful




HALL OF RHAMESSION—SHOWING CLERESTORY.

from its designs, it is far surpassed, in every respect, by the palace-temple at Karnak, which is, perhaps, the noblest effort of architectural magnificence ever produced by the hand of man. Its principal dimensions are 1200 feet in length, by about 360 feet in width, and it covers, therefore, about 430,000 square feet. The following description is from Sir G. Wilkinson. The principal entrance of the grand temple is on the north-west side, or that facing the river. From a raised platform commences an avenue of Crio-sphinxes leading to the front propyla, before which stood two granite statues of a Pharaoh. One of these towers retains a great part of its original height, but has lost its summit and cornice. Passing through the pylon* of these towers, you arrive at a large open court, or area, 275 feet

* Sir G. Wilkinson terms the pyramidal towers, pro-pyla; and the entrance gateway, the pylon. Mr. Fergusson seems to reverse this.

by 329 feet, with a covered corridor on either side, and a double line of columns down the centre. Other propylæa terminate this area, with a small vestibule before the pylon, and form the front of the grand hall of assembly, the lintel stones of whose doorway were 40 feet 10 inches in length. The grand hall, or hypostyle hall, measures 170 feet by 329 feet, supported by a central avenue of twelve massive columns, 62 feet high (without the plinth or abacus), and 11 feet 6 inches in diameter; besides 122 of smaller, or, rather, less gigantic dimensions, 42 feet 5 inches in height, and 28 feet in circumference, distributed in seven lines on either side of the former. The twelve central columns were originally fourteen, but the northernmost have been enclosed within the front towers or propyla, apparently in the time of Sethi or Osirei, himself, the founder of the hall. The two at the other end were also partly built into the projecting wall of the doorway. Attached to this doorway are two other towers, closing the inner extremity of the hall; beyond which are two obelisks, one standing on its original site, the other having been thrown down and broken by human violence.




PLAN OF TEMPLE OF KARNAK.

Similar, but smaller, propyla succeed to this court, of which they form the inner side. The next court contains two obelisks of larger dimensions, the one now standing being 92 feet high, and 8 feet square, surrounded by a peristyle of Osiride figures.

Passing between two dilapidated propyla, you enter another smaller area, ornamented in a similar manner, succeeded by a vestibule, in front of the granite gateway of the towers which form the façade of the court before the sanctuary. This sanctuary is of red granite, divided into two apartments, and surrounded by numerous chambers of small dimensions, ranging from 29 feet by 16 feet, to 16 feet by 8 feet. The sanctuary, which was the original part of this great group, was built by Osirtasen, the great monarch of the twelfth dynasty. Behind this a palace, or temple, was erected by Thotmes III., considered by Mr. Fergusson as one of the most singular buildings in Egypt. The hall is 140 feet long by 55 feet in width, internally, and the roof supported by two rows of massive square columns, and two of circular pillars of most exceptional form, the capital being reversed. Like almost all Egyptian halls it was lighted from the roof.

A dromos, or avenue of sphinxes lead from Karnak to the temple of Luxor, in front of which were two obelisks covered with hieroglyphics, remarkable for admirable execution. One of these has been carried to Paris. Immediately in front of the propylon are two sitting statues of Rameses II. Behind these tower two enormous pylons, the façades of which are covered with bas-reliefs, representing the wars and victories of king Rameses. Within there was a court, 190 feet by 170 feet, surrounded by a peristyle consisting of two rows of columns. This was built at a different angle from the rest of the building, being turned so as to face Karnak. Beyond this was once a great hypostyle hall, of which the central colonnade alone remains. To this succeeds a court of 155 feet by 169 feet, surrounded by a peristyle, terminating in a portico of thirty-two columns. Still further back were smaller halls and numerous apartments, evidently meant for the king's residence, rather than for a temple, or place exclusively devoted to worship. Like the palaces of Nineveh, the Egyptian temples were, doubtless, palace-temples; for the sovereigns of Assyria and Egypt combined the offices and duties of priest and king. The irregularity of this temple has led to the conjecture that the whole was not built at once, according to a general plan, but that it was the work of successive ages. The southern end was built by Amunoph III.; the great court, the pylons, statues, and obelisks, were added by Rameses the Great.

The temples of Apollinopolis Magna (Edfou), and of Tentyra (Dendera), being of a later age, differ considerably in plan and arrangement from the elder palace-temples, for they are more essentially temples. They are also remarkable for their dimensions and richness of decoration. The large temple at Edfou is built on the grandest scale, and like most Egyptian temples, is covered with paintings and sculpture, representing mythological and regal personages. It was erected in the age of the Ptolemies. The columns of this temple are remarkable for their elegance



THE TEMPLE OF ISIS AT PHILÆ.

and variety, being formed on the type of the different plants and flowers of the country. It has the usual façade of an Egyptian temple, 225 feet in extent, the two large and massive pylons with a gateway in the centre. Within these is a court, 140 feet by 161 feet, surrounded by a colonnade on three sides, and rising by easy steps, the whole width of the court, to the front or portico, which in Ptolemaic temples takes the place of the great hypostyle halls of the Pharaohs. It is lighted from the front over low screens placed between each of the pillars, a peculiarity scarcely ever found in temples of earlier date. Within this is an

inner and smaller porch, which leads through two passages to a dark and mysterious sanctuary. The temple of Dendera, was dedicated to the goddess Athor, the Egyptian Venus. It was built in a Roman or Ptolemaic period, and consequently in the decline of Egyptian art. It is a large and massive building, overcharged with hieroglyphic sculpture and ornament, evincing in its profusion and gracelessness the decadence of the Egyptian




FRONT OF TEMPLE OF ABOO SIMBEL.

style. It has no forecourt, nor propylons. Its columns terminate in a capital representing the head of the goddess Athor, repeated four times, surmounted by a quadrangular pylon.

The temple of Isis at Philæ, was commenced by Ptolemy Philadelphus and Arsinoë, and completed by succeeding monarchs. Many of the sculptures on the exterior are of the later epoch of the Roman emperors. Many parts of this building, particularly the portico, though not possessing the chaste and simple style of

Pharaonic monuments, are remarkable for lightness and elegance, and from the state of their preservation, they convey a good idea of the effect of colour combined with the details of architecture.

Temples were also excavated in the rock by the Egyptians. The rock-cut temple of Abou Simbel in Nubia is the most remarkable. Its façade is about 100 feet in height and adorned by four colossi, each 70 feet in height, representing the king, Rameses II., who caused the excavation to be made. The main feature internally is a grand hall supported by two rows of detached piers, in front of each of which is a statue 17 feet 8 inches high. There is a very beautiful, though small example at Kalabsche, belonging



TEMPLE OF ATHENE AT ÆGINA RESTORED.

to the same age, remarkable for the beauty of its sculptured bas-reliefs.

Grecian.—Temples in Greece were very numerous. Cities erected them to their tutelary deities: Athens to Minerva, Ephesus to Diana, &c., and the inhabitants of the country to the rustic divinities. The temples of the Greeks never equalled those of Egypt in extent, size was not the object with the Greeks. Their genius was shown more in the exquisite perfection of architectural design and sculpturesque ornament employed in

their religious erections. All within the sacred fence or wall, *περιβολος*, which enclosed the temple properly so called, the habitations of the priests, and ground sometimes of considerable extent, was styled the Hieron (*ιερον*), and also *τεμενος*. The naos, cella or temple, properly so called, was generally in the shape of a parallelogram. Sometimes a court, surrounded by a portico or colonnade, was placed before it, as at the temple of Isis, at Pompeii, and at the temple of Serapis, at Pozzuoli. A portico surrounded the cella, the extent of which depended on the construction of the temple. It was there that the people assembled, the priests alone had the right of entering the cella; the Peribolos, or court, surrounded by a wall which separated it from the rest of the sacred grounds, added still more to the extent of space; it was generally adorned with statues, altars, and other monuments, sometimes even with small temples. The temples of the tutelary divinities were, in general, on the highest point of their town: those of Mercury on the lower grounds; the temples of Mars, Venus, Vulcan, Æsculapius, outside and near the gates; the best situations were chosen, and the oracles were also consulted for this purpose. According to Vitruvius, the entrance of the temples looked towards the west, so that those who came to make their sacrifices were turned to the east, whence the statue of the god seemed to come; most of the temples, however, still extant in Attica, Ionia, Sicily, have their entrance towards the east. The anterior part, before the entrance of the cella, was called the pro-naos, or *προδρομος*, the vestibule; the posterior part, if there was any, the posticum. The opisthodomos was the chamber behind the cella, which sometimes served as a place in which the treasures of the temple were kept. Above the entablature of the columns arose, at both fronts, a pediment or triangular termination of the roof, called *Aetos* and *Aetoma* by the Greeks, and *fastigium* by the Romans, which was generally adorned with statues and bas-reliefs. In Greek temples the height of the pediment was about one-seventh of the length, in Roman one-fifth. The roofs were covered with thin slabs or tiles of marble. The front was always adorned with an equal number of columns—of four (*tetrastyle*), of six (*hexastyle*), of eight (*octastyle*), of ten (*decastyle*). On the sides the columns were generally in an unequal number, and as the length of the temple was generally the double of the breadth, there were thirteen columns on the side of the front of six,

seventeen for that of eight, counting both the columns at the angles, which is to be seen in the smaller temple at Pæstum, in that of Concord, at Agrigentum, and in the Parthenon, at Athens.* The statue of the god to which the temple was consecrated, was the most sacred object in it, and the work of the most skilful artists. The eastern part of the cella, or *σηκός*, was assigned to it, and it always faced the entrance. The place where the statue stood was called *ἕδος*, and was generally surrounded by a balustrade. Private persons might place, at their own expense, either in the naos, or in the pronaos, statues of other gods and heroes. Sacrifices were made to them also, and the altars were dedicated to the principal divinity, and the other gods adored in the same temple; *θεοὶ συννάοι*. The altar of sacrifices was placed before the statue of the principal divinity. Sometimes many altars were to be seen in the same cella. The interior walls were covered with paintings, representing the myth of the god, or the actions of heroes. The rich offerings, the spoils carried off from the enemy, which were consecrated to the gods by kings, towns, generals, and private persons, were deposited in the treasury of the temple, frequently placed in the opisthodomos. Sometimes, also, the public treasure was deposited in the temple. Around the temple was a platform of three ascending steps, which formed a basis or substructure, on which the colonnade was placed, this was termed the stylobate, and also stereobate. These structures present the most beautiful models of ancient architecture; the Doric order characterises the most ancient, the Corinthian the most beautiful.

Mr. Fergusson thus traces the several steps of the development of the form of the Greek temple: "The simplest Greek temples were mere cells, or small square apartments suited to contain an image—the front being what is technically called *distyle in antis*, or with two pillars between the *antæ*, or square pilaster-like piers terminating the side walls. Hence the interior enclosure of Grecian temples is called the cell or cella, however large or splendid it may be. The next change was to separate the interior into a cell and porch by a wall with a large doorway in it, as in the small temple at Rhamnus. A third change was

* There are, however, deviations from this common rule of Doric temples. The temple of Athene in Ægina has six at each end, and twelve on each side. In the temple of Apollo Epicurius at Bassæ, each front had six, and each of the sides fifteen columns, reckoning those at the angles twice.

to put a porch of four pillars in front of the last arrangement, or, as appears to have been more usual, to bring forward the screen to the position of the pillars as in the last example, and to place the four pillars in front of this. None of these plans admitted of a peristyle, or pillars in the flanks. To obtain this, it was necessary to increase the number of pillars of the portico to six, or, as it is termed, to make it hexastyle, the two outer pillars being the first of a range of thirteen or fifteen columns,



THE THESÆUM

extended along each side of the temple. The cell in this arrangement was a complete temple in itself—distyle in antis, most frequently made so at both ends, and the whole enclosed in its envelope of columns. In this form the Greek temple may be said to be complete.”

Among Grecian temples, the most ancient existing specimen of the Doric order is the temple at Corinth. Its massive proportions, the simplicity of its forms, the character of its workmanship, and the coarseness of the material, are sufficient indications of its antiquity. The latest date that can be ascribed

to this temple is the middle of the seventh century B.C. Seven columns alone remain of it. Next in age to this is the temple at *Ægina*. The temple of Athene, at *Ægina*, was of the Doric order, and was hexastyle and peripteral. It is remarkable for the traces of painting on its architectural decorations, and the archaic sculpture of its pediments. The style of its architecture indicates the middle of the sixth century B.C. The next in order of time and style is the Doric temple of Theseus at Athens. It is of a rectangular form, peripteral, and hexastyle. This temple, remarkable for its exact proportions, and for being



PARTHENON—WEST FRONT.

perhaps the best preserved monument of antiquity, probably furnished the model of the Parthenon. As Mr. Fergusson remarks, it constitutes a link between the archaic and the perfect age of Grecian art. Of all the great temples (we again quote Mr. Fergusson), the best and most celebrated is the Parthenon, the only octastyle Doric temple in Greece, and, in its own class, the most beautiful building in the world. It was constructed by two architects, Callicrates and Ictinus, in the time and by the order of Pericles, and was adorned by Phidias with those inimitable sculptures, fragments of which are now in the British

Museum. It was erected about 448 B.C. The length is about 230 feet and breadth 100 feet. Its plan is peripteral octastyle. Besides the outer columns there is an inner pronaos hexastyle. The naos was 98 feet long and 63 feet wide. At the further end of this was the chrys-elephantine statue of Minerva, by Phidias. Behind was the opisthodomos, or treasury of the temple. The sculptures of the pediment, the metopes, the bas-reliefs of the frieze, were the productions of the school of Phidias, and the most perfect examples of sculpture executed. After this comes the temple of Jupiter at Olympia, famous for its size and beauty.* To the same age belong the temple of Apollo Epicurius at Bassæ—its frieze, probably the work of the scholars of Phidias, is now in the British Museum; the temple of Minerva at Sunium, and the greater temple at Rhamnus.

Sicily and Magna Grecia, colonies of Greece, afford a number of examples of Grecian temples. In Sicily, the earliest example is that of Selinus. The style of its sculpture indicates a very early date, about the middle of the seventh century B.C. At Agrigentum there are three Doric temples, and one remarkable for its gigantic dimensions. At Segeste is a temple in an excellent state of preservation. Pæstum, in Magna Grecia, presents a magnificent group of temples. Of these the earliest is the temple of Neptune, supposed to be coeval with the earliest period of Grecian emigration to the south of Italy. It is hexastyle and hypæthral. Solidity combined with simplicity and grace distinguishes it from the other buildings. The other temples, the basilica, and the temple of Ceres, betray the influence of a later or Roman style. At Metapontum are the ruins of a Doric temple, of which fifteen columns with the architrave are still standing.

The earliest Ionic temple of which remains are yet visible is supposed to be that dedicated to Juno at Samos. It was originally Doric. It was burnt by the Persians, but soon rebuilt, probably in the time of Polycrates (B.C. 537); this second temple was of the Ionic order, decastyle, dipteral, 346 feet long by 119 feet wide. At Teos, a town in Ionia, there is a very beautiful

* Excavations have been recently (1876) made at Olympia, under the direction of Messrs Hirschfeld and Bötticher, and the ground adjacent to the eastern front of the temple has been explored, where have been discovered the drums and capitals of the temple, intermixed with which were fragments of the sculptures in the eastern pediment, which are attributed by Pausanias to Pæonios of Mende.

Ionic temple dedicated to Bacchus. It is now in ruins. Besides these there was a splendid decastyle temple dedicated to Apollo Didymæus, at Miletus, 156 feet wide by 295 feet in length.

The celebrated temple of Diana at Ephesus is said to have been Ionic. According to Pliny, "the total length of the temple is 425 feet, the width 225 feet. It has 100 columns, 27 of which were given by different kings, and 60 feet in height, of these 36 are carved, one by Scopas." It has been lately discovered by Mr. Wood, and is proved to be octastyle and dipteral. It had twenty columns on each side, and the intercolumniation of the latter are chiefly three diameters, making the temple diastyle. The statement of Pliny, as to its having had one hundred columns is proved to be correct, the thirty-six carved columns being the two front rows of columns at each extremity, and the four in the pronaos; estimating their height by eight and a half times the dimensions of the "drums" or frusta, it would have been 56 feet, or very nearly the height of 60 feet described by Pliny. According to Mr. Wood the temple stood on a platform of fourteen steps, each step having a rise of eight, and a tread of nineteen inches. Measured at the lowest step, this platform had an extent of 418 feet in length by 239 feet in breadth. The temple itself, measured along the line of columns, was 342 feet long and 164 feet wide. The temple was hypæthral, that is, open in the centre, with an inner court. Of Ionic temples in Greece, the oldest example probably was the temple on the Ilissus, now destroyed, dating from about 487 B.C. Next to this is the temple dedicated to Nike Apteros, or Wingless Victory, built about fifteen years later, in front of the Propylæa, on the Acropolis, Athens. Of all examples of this order, the most perfect and the most exquisite is the Erechtheum at Athens. It was a double temple, of which the eastern division was consecrated to Minerva Polias, and the western, including the northern and southern porticoes, was sacred to Pandrosus, the deified daughter of Cecrops. The eastern portico, or entrance to the temple of Athena Polias, consisted of six Ionic columns. The northern portico or pronaos of the Pandroseum, had four Ionic columns in front and one in each flank. The southern portico, or Cecropium, which was a portion of the Pandroseum, had its roof supported by six caryatides.* Within its sacred enclosure were

* Mr. Fergusson has lately put forward a new view, that the temple of Pandrosus was not included in the building as it now stands, but over

preserved the holiest objects of Athenian veneration—the olive of Minerva and the fountain of Neptune. Its sculptured ornaments exhibit the most perfect finish and delicacy in their execution.

Though of Grecian origin, there are few examples of the Corinthian order among Greek temples. The temple of Jupiter Olympius at Athens may be considered as the sole example of that order in Greece. It is, however, of a Roman period, having



ERECTEUM—FROM NORTH-WEST.

been commenced by the Roman architect Cossutius and completed by Hadrian. The original founder of this temple was Peisistratos. It was rebuilt by Antiochus Epiphanes, from the designs of Cossutius, added to by Augustus, and was finally completed by Hadrian, about seven centuries after its foundation by Peisistratos, viz., in the third year of 227 Olympiad, or A.D. 130. It was a magnificent structure, and of vast dimensions,

a separate enclosure attached to the west side, which appears in the wood-cut.

measuring in its length 354 feet, and in its breadth 171 feet. It was decastyle in front, with a double range of twenty columns on each flank, so that it could not well have had less than 120 columns, all about 58 feet in height, and of the most elegant Corinthian order. It was hypæthral, that is, having an inner court in front of the cell, which was lighted through its inner wall. This seems to have been the hypæthral temple at Athens mentioned by Vitruvius. The enclosure or peribolus was 142 yards in breadth and 223 in length. Fifteen columns are all that remain of this magnificent structure.

Etruscan.—According to Vitruvius, there were two classes of temples in Etruria. The first circular, and dedicated to one god; the other rectangular, with three cells, sacred to three deities. Mr. Fergusson believes the original Etruscan circular temple to have been a mere circular cell with a porch. In the opinion of Müller, Vitruvius took his rules of an Etruscan temple from that of Ceres, in the Circus Maximus, dedicated in the year of Rome 261, which was of a rectangular form, and divided in two parts in its length, the outward for the portico, and the inner for the temple, which was divided into three cells. There are no remains at the present day of an Etruscan temple—supposed to be in consequence of their being principally constructed of wood. The temple of Jupiter Capitolinus at Rome was evidently, from the description of Dionysius, as there are no traces of it at the present day, built in the Etruscan style. According to Dionysius, it had three equal cellæ (σηκοί) within the walls, having common sides: that of Jupiter in the middle, on one side that of Juno, and on the other that of Minerva, all under the same roof. It was commenced by Tarquinius Priscus and finished by Tarquinius Superbus. Burnt down in the wars of Sylla and Marius, it was restored by the former according to the original plan, upon the same foundations. It was burnt down a second time by the soldiers of Vitellius, A.D. 69, and was rebuilt by Vespasian, but it was burnt down a third time in the reign of Titus A.D. 80, and was again rebuilt by Domitian with greater splendour than before. Opinions are divided with regard to its site. Some writers, Canina, Nibby, Braun, and Dyer, are of opinion it was on the Ara Cæli height; others, Niebuhr, Bunsen, Preller, and Bunbury, that it was on the south-western part of the Capitoline hill. The most decisive arguments are, however,

in favour of its position on the south-western or Caffarelli height, one of the most important being the position of Caligula's bridge.

Roman.—The Romans in ancient times adopted the Etruscan forms of architecture, and their earliest temples, such as that of Jupiter in the Capitol, were built in this manner. At a later period Rome, the disciple of Greece, imitated it in general, in the construction of its temples, and what has been said of the temples of the Greeks can be almost entirely applied to those of the Romans. “From the Greeks they borrowed the rectangular peristylar temple, with its columns and horizontal architraves, though they seldom if ever used it in its perfect purity, the cella of the Greek temples not being sufficient for their purposes. The principal Etruscan temples were square in plan, and the inner half occupied by one or more cells, to the sides and back of which the portico never extended. The Roman rectangular temple is a mixture of these two; it is generally, like the Greek examples, longer than its breadth, but the colonnade never entirely surrounds the building. Sometimes it extends to the two sides as well as the front, but more generally the cella occupies the whole of the inner part, though frequently ornamented by a false peristyle of three-quarter columns attached to its walls. Besides this, the Romans borrowed from the Etruscans a circular form of temple unknown to the Greeks, but which to their tomb-building predecessors must have been not only a familiar but a favourite form. As used by the Romans it was generally encircled by a peristyle of columns, though it is not clear that the Etruscans so used it. Perhaps this is an improvement adopted from the Greeks in an Etruscan form. In early times these circular temples were dedicated to Vesta or Cybele” (Fergusson.) The Romans differed essentially from the Greeks in the arrangement of the columns placed on the sides. The Romans, in fact, counted not the columns, but the intercolumniations, and Vitruvius informs us that on each side they placed double the number on the front, so that a Roman temple which had six or eight columns on the front, had eleven or fifteen on each side. The temple of Fortuna Virilis at Rome has four columns in front and seven on the sides, thus the number of intercolumniations of the sides was double that of the front. But exceptions are to be found to this rule. The statue of the god was also the principal object in the temple, an altar was raised before it. Some temples had many

statues and many altars. The temples of the Romans contained paintings also; in the year of Rome 450 (304 B.C.), Fabius ornamented the temple of the goddess Salus with them, which acquired for him the surname of Pictor, preserved by his descendants. Paintings carried off from the temples of Greece were sometimes placed in those of Rome. The national style of temple architecture of the Romans, with few exceptions, was the Corinthian; that of Greece and its Italian colonies, the Doric.

It has been observed that there is perhaps nothing that strikes the inquirer into the architectural history of the Imperial city more than the extreme insignificance of her temples as compared with the other buildings of Rome itself, and with some temples found in the provinces. The only temple which remains at all worthy of such a capital is the Pantheon. All others are now mere fragments. The finest example of a temple of the Corinthian order at Rome is that which is now styled the temple of Castor and Pollux. Its three remaining columns are frequent models of the Corinthian order. It was octastyle in front. It was raised on a stylobate 22 feet in height; the extreme width of which was 98 feet. The height of the pillars was 48 feet, and that of the entablature 12 feet 6 inches. The temple of Vespasian, at the foot of the Capitol, formerly styled the temple of Jupiter Tonans, has only three columns left standing. These Corinthian columns, only slightly inferior in size to those of the temple of Castor and Pollux, belonged to a building about 85 feet long and 70 feet wide. This was hexastyle and peripteral. The temple of Saturn, near this, presents a portico of eight Ionic columns, six of which are in front and two in the flanks. The temple of Mars Ultor, erected by Augustus, formerly considered to be a portion of the Forum of Nerva, has only three columns remaining. It is of the Corinthian order. It is nearly square in plan (112 feet by 120 feet). Its cella terminates in an apse—an early instance of what became afterwards a characteristic of all places of worship. The temple of Antoninus and Faustina, in the Corinthian order of a much later period, affords an example of a pseudo-peripteral temple; it measures 72 feet by 120. Of this class is also the small Ionic temple of Fortuna Virilis. It is the purest specimen of that order in Rome. Of the Composite order, though a Roman invention, there are no examples among Roman temples. The other temples at Rome, the existing remains of which are but

few, are the temple of Concord, the temple of Venus and Rome, the temple of Minerva Medica, the temple of Æsculapius, the temple of Remus.

Of circular temples the Pantheon is the most famous. It has been admitted to be the finest temple of the ancient world. It was built by M. Agrippa in his third consulship (B.C. 27) and its name is supposed to be derived from its being dedicated by him to all the gods. It is a circular building, with a portico (108 feet wide, 42 feet deep) in front, composed of sixteen Corinthian columns, eight columns of these are in front, and the remaining eight are arranged behind them. The interior of the temple is circular, covered with a dome, one of the features for which modern architecture is indebted to the Romans. The internal diameter is 142 feet. The height from the pavement is 147 feet. A remarkable feature in this building is the central opening of the top, about 28 feet in diameter, to admit light into the interior.* Pliny and other ancient writers call this building a temple, and there is no reason for supposing, as some modern writers have done, that it was originally an entrance to the

* "The portico, as we know," Mr. Fergusson writes, "not only from the inscription, but from the style, belongs to the age of Augustus, and it is generally supposed that it was added by Agrippa to the pre-existing rotunda. I feel convinced that the contrary was the case, and the rotunda is very much more modern than the portico. We know from history that the building was frequently damaged by fire; that it was first restored by Hadrian, and afterwards, according to an inscription still existing on its portico, that it was repaired, if not rebuilt, by Marcus Aurelius and Septimius Severus. The inscription expressly says it was restored because it was ruined from age (*vetustate consumptum*). It is, therefore, natural to conclude that the present is not that alluded to, and that the original cella was of the usual square Etruscan form, and probably contained a great deal of wood in its construction, like all temples of that class, which necessarily exposed it to accidents by fire as well as to decay, neither of which is proved to have been incident to the existing building. We know, however, of no attempt at vaulting on anything like such a scale as this in the Augustan age, during which time the temples all affected the Greek peristylar form. Thenceforward the cells were gradually enlarged; and gradually, too, the exterior was sacrificed to the interior, which characteristics are carried to excess. Besides this, the masonry of the rotunda is full of constructive discharging-arches, and shows other peculiarities of the latest age. All these considerations put together would rather lead to a conviction that the building dates almost as late as the age of Constantine, but as no record of any restoration so recently as that has yet come to light, we must, for the present at least, be content to assume its erection in the age of Aurelian or of Septimius Severus."

public baths. The temples of Vesta at Rome and of the Sibyl at Tivoli were circular peripteral. The circular cella of the temple of Vesta* is surrounded by a peristyle of twenty Corinthian columns. The entablature and ancient roof have disappeared. It is supposed to have been originally covered by a dome, which rested on the circular wall of the cella. The temple at Tivoli is supposed to have been also dedicated to Vesta. Its cella was surrounded by a peristyle of eighteen Corinthian pillars, ten of which remain. It is $21\frac{1}{2}$ feet in diameter.

The examples of Roman architecture exhibited in the temples of Palmyra and Baalbec are not to be surpassed for extent and magnificence. The buildings of Palmyra, whose ruins yet remain, were evidently built at very different times, but the prevalence of the Corinthian order must make them rank as Roman structures. The temple of the Sun, the chief building among the ruins, is in an enclosed space 660 feet square. This court was bounded by a wall having a row of pilasters in each face. In the midst of this court are the mighty ruins which formed the temple, exhibiting an amazing assemblage of columns, sculptured profusely with those decorations which constitute the distinctive features of the Roman Ionic and Corinthian orders.

The temples of Baalbec form a most magnificent temple group. They consist of three structures: a temple of the sun, or great temple, a smaller temple, and a very beautiful circular temple. The great temple, if completed (which, however, it probably never was) would have been 160 feet by 290 feet, and was decastyle peripteral. Only nine of its colossal columns are now standing. It had in its front a court nearly 400 feet square, which was approached by an hexagonal court with a portico of twelve Corinthian columns. The terrace on which the temple stands is formed of stones of enormous magnitude; at the north-west angle are three stones, two of which are 60 feet, and the third 62 feet 9 inches in length. They are 13 feet in height, and about 12 feet thick. This temple was built in the reign of Antoninus Pius (138–161 A.D.). Close to this is the

* “The most probable conjecture as to its name,” writes Mr. Burn, “is that first suggested by Piale, that it is the round temple of Hercules in the Forum Boarium, mentioned in the tenth book of Livy, and alluded to by Festus as the Æmilian Temple of Hercules.”

smaller temple; it is octastyle peripteral, measuring 117 feet by 227 feet. It is remarkable for the beauty and proportions of its portico. In plan it somewhat resembles a Roman basilica. The circular temple is of the Corinthian order, with niches on the exterior of the cella, and decorated with twelve columns.




IN ANTIS




MONOPTERAL




PROSTYLE



PSEUDO DIPTERAL



HYFÆTHRAL




DIPTERAL



AMPHIPROSTYLE



PERIPTERAL



PERIPTERAL

PLAN OF TEMPLES.

The Maison Carrée, at Nîmes, is also a Roman temple. It is a pseudo-peripteral Corinthian temple, for the side columns are half imbedded in the walls of the cella. It has a hexastyle portico in front, and eleven columns along each flank, three of

which stand free and belong to the portico, the remaining eight are attached to the walls of the cella. The columns of the back-front are also encased in the walls of the building. There are no windows, and, consequently, it must have been lighted from an opening in the roof. It is 45 feet by 88 feet. It has been recently shown to have been erected to M. Aurelius and L. Verus. There is also a Roman temple at Evora in Portugal, in excellent preservation. The portico is hexastyle Corinthian.

Among the Greeks and Romans the simplest form of the rectangular temple was the *aptemal* or *ἄστυλος*, without any columns; the next was that in which the two side walls were carried out from the naos to form a porch at one or both extremities of the building. Those projecting walls were terminated on the front, or on both faces of the building, by pilasters, which, thus situated, were called *antæ*; and hence this kind of temple was said to be *in antis*, *ἐν παραστάσι*. It had two columns between the *antæ*. When columns were placed at one extremity of the building, in advance of the line joining the *antæ*, the temple was *prostyle*, *πρόστυλος*. It had four columns in front. If columns were placed in a similar way at both extremities of the building, it was said to be *amphiprostyle*, *ἀμφιπρόστυλος*. A temple having columns entirely surrounding the walls was called *peripteral*, *περίπτερος*, *ἀμφικίων*. A temple was of the kind called *dipteral*, *δίπτερος*, when it had two ranges of columns, one within the other, and which entirely surrounded the naos. When there were two rows of columns in front and in rear, and only a single row on each flank, the temple was said to be *pseudo-dipteral*, *ψευδοδίπτερος*. When a temple had a range of columns in front, and the side columns were engaged in the wall of the cella instead of standing out at a distance from it, this arrangement was termed *pseudo-peripteral*. It was invented by the Roman architects of a late period for the purpose of increasing the cella without enlarging the whole building. A temple was called *hypæthral*, *ὑπαίθρος*, or, as Vitruvius describes it, "*sine tecto et sub divo*," when it contained an inner court, in front of the cella and open to the sky. It was also, according to Vitruvius, *decastyle*. This arrangement was only used in temples of unusual size and dimensions, such as the temple of Jupiter Olympius at Athens, and that of Diana at Ephesus. The walks round the exterior of the temple were

called *pteromata*. The names given to the temples, according to the number of columns in the front, were the following :

τετράστυλος, tetrastyle; when there were four columns in front.

ἑξάστυλος, hexastyle, when there were six.

ὀκτάστυλος, octastyle, when there were eight.

δεκάστυλος, decastyle, when there were ten.

Vitruvius gives the following set of terms applied to the temples according to their intercolumniations :

Πυκνόστυλος, pycnostyle, or thick set with columns; the intercolumniation was a diameter and a half. This was adopted in the temple of Venus, in the forum of Cæsar.

Σύστυλος, systyle, the intercolumniation was two diameters. An example of this was to be seen in the temple of Fortuna Equestris. Vitruvius considers both these arrangements faulty.

Εὐστυλος, eustyle, the intercolumniation was two diameters and a quarter. This Vitruvius considers not only convenient, but also preferable for its beauty and strength. There is no example of this style in Rome.

Διδόστυλος, diastyle, the distance between the columns was three diameters.

Ἀραιόστυλος, aræostyle, when the distances between the columns were greater than they ought to be. In consequence of the excessive length, the architrave or epistyle was obliged to be of wood. The temples in Rome built in this style were the temple of Ceres, near the Circus Maximus, the temple of Hercules, erected by Pompey, and that of Jupiter Capitolinus.

Several of the most celebrated Greek temples are peripteral, such as the temple of the Nemean Jupiter, near Argos; of Concord, at Agrigentum; of Theseus, at Athens. The Parthenon, the most perfect and the most majestic temple in the world, was peripteral and octastyle; it had eight columns on the front, and seventeen on each flank. The Grecian peripteral was larger than the Roman by two columns. According to Vitruvius, the examples of the peripteral form in Rome were the temple of Jupiter Stator, by Hermodus, and the temple of Honor and Virtue. The dipteral and pseudo-dipteral forms of temples were only used in


the grander and more expensive edifices, and, consequently, few of them were erected. The celebrated temple of Diana at Ephesus, built by Ctesiphon, and the Doric temple of Quirinus at Rome were dipteral. The temple of Diana, in Magnesia, built by Hermogenes of Alabanda, and that of Apollo by Menesthes were pseudo-dipteral. According to Vitruvius, no example of this form of temple is to be found in Rome.

The Greeks and Romans built temples of a circular form also; this invention does not ascend very high in the history of the art, as it is of a late date. These buildings were covered with a dome, the height of which was nearly equal to the semidiameter of the entire edifice. The temples were either monopteral or peripteral, that is, formed of a circular row of columns without walls, or with a wall surrounded by columns distant from this wall by the breadth of an intercolumniation. The Philippeion, or Rotunda of Philip, at Olympia, was peripteral; such were also the temples of Vesta at Rome, and that of the Sibyl at Tivoli. This kind of round temples was usually dedicated to Vesta, Diana, or Hercules. Another form, of which we have the chief example in the Pantheon, consists of a circular cella surmounted by a dome, without a peristyle, but with an advanced portico, presenting eight columns in front, surmounted by a pediment. The dome was first introduced as an architectural feature in their temples by the Romans, the most important example of which is that of the Pantheon. There was an ascent of two steps, and, in general, the temples of the ancients were surrounded by steps which served as a basement.

The temples received their light in different ways: the circular monopteral, formed of columns without walls, received it naturally; the circular peripteral through windows made in the wall or in the dome. The rectangular temples received their light according to their dimensions: the smaller temples, generally through the door alone. The large temples received their light from on high through an opening in the roof. An hypæthral temple was lighted in the centre by a peristylar court open to the sky, and in front of the cella, which was probably lighted by an opening in the roof, like the other temples.

Mr. Fergusson's solution of the difficulty with regard to the manner in which Greek temples were lighted, is the suggestion "of a clerestory, similar internally to that found in all the great Egyptian temples, but externally requiring such a change of

arrangements as was necessary to adapt it to a sloping instead of a flat roof. This seems to have been effected by counter-sinking it into the roof, so as to make it, in fact, three ridges in those



SECTION OF THE PARTHENON, SHOWING MODE OF LIGHTING TEMPLE, ACCORDING TO MR. FERGUSSON.

parts where the light was admitted, though the regular slope of the roof was retained between these openings, so that neither the ridge nor the continuity of the lines of the roof was interfered with. This would effect all that was required, and in the most beautiful manner, besides that it agrees with all the remains of Greek temples that now exist, as well as with the descriptions that have been handed down to us from antiquity."

This suggestion may suit such temples as the Parthenon, the great hexastyle at Paestum, but does not fulfil Vitruvius' description of an hypæthral temple, "*medium sub divo est sine tecto.*" This kind of temple, there is every reason to believe, contained an inner court, open to the sky, and the term can be applied only to the temple of Jupiter Olympius at Athens, the example evidently alluded to by Vitruvius.


As, however, it is evident, from the allusions * in ancient

* Varro ('Ling. Lat.' lib. IV.) uses the words "*perforatum tectum ut videatur divum, id est cælum*" in connection with a temple of Jupiter. Ovid also says, 'Fast.' II. 6 71, "*Exiguum templi tecta foramen habent.*" Virgil speaks of the altar being under the open sky:

"Ædibus in mediis, nudoque sub ætheris axe,
Ingens ara fuit."

A temple of Jupiter at Thebes appears also to have been open to the sky: *κατὰ δὲ μέσον του περιστελον, ὑπαίθραον Βομὸν κατεκευδσθαι.* The altar is placed in the middle of the peristyle open to the heavens. Died. Sic., lib. I. c. 48.

writers, that such temples as the Parthenon and the temple of Jupiter at Elis, were lighted from an opening in the roof, I would suggest the mode as given in the woodcut.



MODE OF LIGHTING TEMPLE.

This plan will apply to the great temple at Agrigentum, and to the great hexastyle at Paestum, as, in the first, the cornice of the telamones, and in the latter, the architrave over the columns of the second range, evidently touched the roof.

It has been objected to this view, that the Greeks would have done nothing so inartistic as to break the ridge of the roof of their temples. But there must have been a break in the ridge of the temple of Jupiter Olympius at Athens, as it was hypæthral, that is, with an inner court (*sine tecto*) open to the sky, in the centre of the temple.*

* The authority of a fresco at Pompeii, and of a coin of Juba I., affords a well-grounded supposition that there was in the centre of some of the



TEMPLE, FROM FRESCO, POMPEII.



TEMPLE, FROM COIN
OF JUBA I.

A peculiar feature in Greek temples of the best period, and of which the most remarkable instance is to be found in the Parthenon, must not be omitted here, which is the systematic deviation from ordinary rectilinear construction, which has for its object the correction of certain optical illusions arising from the influence produced upon one another by lines which have different directions, and by contrasting masses of light and shade. Almost all lines which are straight and level in ordinary architecture are here delicate curves; and those lines which are usually perpendicular have here a slight inclination backwards or forwards, as the case may be. This peculiarity may be very palpably remarked in the steps of the Parthenon, which rise very perceptibly in the middle, and give to the whole pavement a convex character. The rise is about 3 inches in 100 feet at the fronts, and 4 inches in the flanks. This refinement in the construction of Greek temples was first noticed by Mr. Pennethorne, and afterwards more fully elucidated and developed by Mr. Penrose. Mr. Fergusson's impression, however, with regard to these curves appears to be a just one: that they were nothing more than drainage curves, for the purpose of carrying off the rain.

We must also notice here the practice adopted by the Greek architects of colouring the architectural decorations of the temples. It cannot admit of a doubt, however repugnant to our cherished notions of the purity of Greek taste, that the Greeks adopted the practice of colouring the architectural decorations of their temples. The mouldings of the cornice and ceiling were brought into prominence by the aid of lively colouring. The capitals of the antæ, the mouldings of the pediments, were severally adorned with the designs usually distinguished as the fret, mæander, egg and dart. The triglyphs were also painted blue. Some even believe they have discovered traces of paint on the marble columns; but it has been proved that these traces are not results of painting, but natural oxidation. The Greeks, however, made a careful distinction with regard to the material on which they painted. The old tufa temples were coloured, because the material required colour: the marble temples were white,

larger temples a kind of skylight. This would be an excellent mode of lighting a large temple, but as is evident from the fresco and coin, one without a pediment. This skylight could not have been introduced by the Pompeian painter or on the coin without some authority.

because marble needs no colour. Colouring in marble temples was confined to the mouldings, triglyphs, and other ornaments alone. The marble columns were never coloured. In later times among the Romans, the practice of colouring buildings seems to have degenerated into a mere taste for gaudy colours. Pliny and Vitruvius both repeatedly deplore the corrupt taste of their own times. In Pompeii we have several examples of painted temples. The material, however, painted is always stucco or plaster.

SECTION. IV.—BASILICÆ.

A **BASILICA** was a public hall, either of justice, of exchange, or other business, among the Romans. It was generally placed in the forum or most frequented and central part of the city. The plan was usually a rectangle, divided into aisles by rows of columns, that in the middle being the widest, with a semicircular apse at one end, in which the tribunal was placed.

At Rome there were several basilicæ, but the two most splendid buildings of this class were those of Trajan, or the Ulpian, and of Maxentius. We take the following descriptions of them from Mr. Fergusson:

“The rectangular part of Trajan’s basilica was 180 feet in width, and a little more than twice that in length, but neither end having yet been excavated, its exact longitudinal measurement has not been ascertained. It was divided into five aisles by four rows of columns, each about 35 feet in height, the centre being 87 feet wide, and the side aisles 23 feet 4 inches each. The centre was covered by a wooden roof of semicircular form, covered apparently with bronze plates richly ornamented and gilt. Above the side-aisles was a gallery, the roof of which was supported by an upper row of columns. From the same columns also sprang the arches of the great central aisle. The total internal height was thus probably about 120 feet. At one end was a great semicircular apse, the back part of which was raised, being approached by a semicircular range of steps. In the centre of this platform was the raised seat of the quæstor or other magistrate who presided. On each side, upon the steps, were places for the assessors or others engaged in the business being transacted. In the front of the apse was placed an altar, where sacrifice was performed before commencing any important public business.

The basilica of Maxentius, which was probably not entirely finished till the reign of Constantine, was rather broader than that of Trajan, being 195 feet between the walls, but it was 100 feet less in length. The central aisle was very nearly of the same width, being 83 feet between the columns, and 120 feet in height. The side aisles were roofed by three great arches, each 72 feet in span, and the centre by an immense intersecting vault, in three compartments. In this building no pillars were used, with the exception of eight great columns in front of the piers, employed merely as ornaments to support in appearance, though not in construction, the springing of the vaults.

The Basilica Julia in the Forum was founded by Julius Cæsar, and inaugurated in B.C. 46. Augustus extended it, but did not witness its completion, as it was destroyed by fire. It was restored several times, the last being in A.D. 377. It has been lately (1871) completely excavated. The plan was a rectangle, about 330 feet long, 159 feet wide. Along the four sides were double aisles, separated by rows of columns, sixteen at each side, and ten at each end, which enclosed a central space 270 feet by 51 feet.

Other basilicæ in the Forum were the Porcia, Æmilia, and Sempronia. An example of a provincial basilica occurs at Treves; it consists of a great hall 85 feet in width internally, and rather more than twice that dimension in length. The walls are about 100 feet in height, and pierced with two rows of windows. At one end was the apse, rather more than a semicircle of 60 feet in diameter. A building of this description has been found at Pompeii, which may be considered a fair example of a provincial basilica of the second class. It is of an oblong form, 220 feet in length by 80. The roof was supported by a peristyle of twenty-eight large Ionic columns, constructed of brick. Thus the space between the exterior walls and the peristyle was converted into a covered gallery, where the suitors were sheltered from the weather, while the light was admitted hypæthrally from the centre of the peristyle. The tribunal was placed at the further end of the building, and on each side of it were two square chalcidicæ. It has a square termination instead of a semicircular apse.

SECTION V.—ALTARS.

THEIR shape is greatly diversified and depends on their destination, either for the purpose of making libations, or for the sacrifices of living animals, or, in fine, for placing vases, or offerings on them. Votive altars are often remarkable for their simplicity, being made of a single stone, more or less ornamented, and bearing an inscription indicating the reasons and period of their consecration, with the name of the divinity and that of the devotee who had erected it. Many have been discovered belonging to the Greeks and Romans; they must not, however, be confounded with the pedestals of statues dedicated in the same way by the zeal and piety of private individuals. The votive inscriptions bear great resemblance to one another in these two kinds of monuments; but the remains of the soldering of the statues which they bore, or the holes which served to fix them, can be observed on the pedestals.

Egyptian.—Egyptian altars are generally in green basalt and in granite, and made of a single stone. One in the British Museum shows the trench for carrying off the libation. An altar was usually erected before a tomb for presenting the offerings.

Grecian.—Grecian altars, at first of wood, afterwards of stone, and sometimes of metal, are in general remarkable for the taste exhibited in their execution. These altars were of three kinds: those dedicated to the heavenly gods (Βωμοί) were often structures of considerable height; those of demigods and heroes were low and near the ground (ἑσχαράι); and those of the infernal deities (if such may be called altars) were trenches sunk in the ground (βόθρος, λάκκος). They may again be divided into three classes: those for burnt offerings (ἑμπυροί); those on which no fire was used, which were meant for offerings of fruit, cakes, &c. (ἄπυροί); and those on which fire might be used to consume vegetable productions, but upon which no blood was to be spilt (ἀναιμάκτοι); when dedicated to either of the latter classes it was often nothing more than a raised hearth or step. Each temple usually had two altars; one in the open air before it, for burnt offerings, another before the statue of the god to whom the


building was sacred. Altars were often erected where there was no temple. The altars placed in the temples were of different forms, square, circular, or triangular, of brick or of stone; they never were too high, so as to conceal the statue of the god. The altars destined for libations were hollow, the others solid. They were often made of marble, and elegantly sculptured: they were ornamented with olive leaves for Minerva, myrtle for Venus, with pines for Pan. Sculptors afterwards imitated these ornaments, and the difference of the leaves, of the flowers, or fruits which composed them, indicated the god to whom they were consecrated. Greek altars exhibit Greek dedicatory inscriptions.

Roman.—What has been said of the Grecian altars can be, in general, applied to the Roman altars. We must, however, distinguish between *altare* and *ara*. The former, as is indicated by the syllable *alt*, signifying high, was an elevated structure, used only for burnt offerings, and dedicated to none but heavenly gods; the latter might belong either to the heavenly or infernal gods, or to heroes. Latin inscriptions mark the Roman altars; we must not, however, forget that the Romans employed only Grecian artists, and the taste of the latter predominates in all their works. The instruments and vessels of sacrifice often occur upon these altars as ornaments:—The *securis*, or axe, with which the victims were slain. 2. The *secespiter*, or *culter*, with which the sacrifices were cut to pieces. 3. *Prefericulum*, or ewer, which contained the wine for libation. 4. The *patera*, or bowl, into which the wine was poured before it was thrown upon the altar. They were also ornamented with heads of victims, roses, bas-reliefs, the subject of which was relative to the sacrifices.

SECTION VI.—COLUMNS.—OBELISKS.

COLUMNS: A column is a cylindrical pillar, which serves either for the support or ornament of a building, and is composed of the *shaft*, or body of the column, of a head, or *capital*, and of a foot, or *base*. At first they were made of wood, and afterwards of stone and marble. Columns at first were but supports, but taste and the progress of the arts ornamented them afterwards, and the difference of the ornaments, and of the proportions which were given to the different parts of the column, constitute the different classic orders, which have been reduced to five:—

Greek orders—Doric, Ionic, and Corinthian; Roman orders—Tuscan, Roman, or Composite. Specimens of almost all these orders remain.




CAPITALS OF EGYPTIAN COLUMNS.

Egyptian.—The form of the genuine Egyptian column, anterior to the influence of the Greeks, is greatly diversified. The

simplest form, such as is found in the earliest constructed porticoes, was that of a plain square pier, such as would be suggested by a prop or support in mines, or as would be used in quarries. The second stage in the development of the column was the octagon form, produced by cutting off the angles of the square, with an abacus surmounting it. By further cutting off the angles of the octagon, it was gradually converted into a polygonal shape, such as is seen in the tombs of Beni-Hassan. The want, however, of room, and a place for sculpturing and painting hieroglyphical inscriptions and mythological figures, led at a



OSIRIDE PILLAR.



COLUMN.* MEMNONIUM.

later period to the necessity of adopting a round form of shaft, such as was used in the temples of Karnac and Luxor. These were always covered with sculpture and hieroglyphica. Their proportions varied greatly. Those columns destined to support large masses are of a very large diameter in proportion to their height. Their capitals were in endless variety. Some capitals in the shape of the calyx of a lotus, or of a bell shape, are of extraordinary elegance and richness. On others we have the papyrus plant, with its stem and leaves, and the palm branch, with its leaves and fruit. According to Herodotus the pillars were in imitation of palm trees. Indeed, the imitation of natural objects

* With the lotus flower from which it was copied.


may be traced in every part of Egyptian columns. One of the most curious capitals is that on the pillars of the portico of Dendera. It is quadrangular, with the head of Athor on each side, surmounted by another quadrangular member, each face of which contains a temple doorway. The square pillar, with a colossus in front of it, commonly called Caryatide, has been styled an Osiride pillar by Sir G. Wilkinson, as the colossus attached to the pillar was the figure of the king, in the form of Osiris.

Grecian.—The three main portions of the column are:—

I. *Spira*, the Base. It gives the column, besides a broader foundation, a sort of girding of the lower end of the shaft; it is therefore suitable for slender and more developed forms of columns, whereas the Doric columns of the early period ascend immediately from the pavement. Its divisions are:—

A. In the Attic order:—1. plinth; 2. torus; 3. scotia, or trochilus; 4. A second upper torus.

B. The Ionic:—1. plinth; 2. trochilus; 3. an upper trochilus; 4. torus; in which are not included the separating and preparatory filets.



CAPITAL. DENDERA.

II. *Scapus*, the Shaft. It is generally fluted, and the column gains in apparent height by means of the vertical stripes, and also in beauty by the more lively play of light and shade. The external surface of the column is by this means divided either into mere channels or flutings, or into flutings and filets. In the shaft we observe, in the later Doric and other columns, the *στρασις*, or swell.

III. *Capitulum*. Capital.

A. The Doric, divided into:—1. hypotrachelium, neck,



GRECIAN DORIC



GRECIAN IONIC.

with the grooves or channels as a separation from the shaft; 2. echinus with the annuli or rings (originally, perhaps, hoops of metal around the wooden capital); 3. plinthus s. abacus (in Roman edifices with a cymatium).

B. The Ionic:—1. hypotrachelium (only in the richer form); 2. echinus with an astragalus lesbius beneath (a torus above it in the richer kind); 3. canalis, the canal, and the volutes with the oculi and axes on two sides, on the two others the pulvini, cushions, with the baltei, straps; 4. abacus and cymatium.

C. The Corinthian. Two main parts:—1. calathus, the vase or bell of the capital, the ornaments of which rise in three rows; *a.* eight acanthus leaves; *b.* eight acanthus leaves with stalks (cauliculi) between; four volutes and four scrolls (helices) with acanthus buds and leaves: 2. abacus consisting of cymatium and sima, or otherwise composed with projecting angles, and at the curved parts enriched with flowers.

To this may be added the entablature, or horizontal portion of the temple, which rests upon the abacus of a column, and is composed, of three parts, architrave, frieze, and cornice. Immediately above the abacus is the architrave, i.e., chief beam (ἐπιστύλιον, Epistylum). Above this is the frieze, or central space (ζωφόρος), and over this projects the cornice, or projecting moulding (κορώνις, coronis), which forms a finish to the entablature. Each of the orders has its appropriate entablature, of which both the general height and sub-division are regulated by a scale of proportion derived from the diameter of the column.

The most ancient order among the Greeks was the Doric. It is a column in its simplest suggested form. From its resemblance to the pillars at Beni Hassan some wish to argue its Egyptian origin.* It was short and massive, such as would be

* A doubt has been very justly expressed as to the view which is generally entertained, that the columns at Beni Hassan have been the pro-

used in ancient and primitive constructions; yet it combines a noble simplicity with much grandeur. The Doric was at first



GRECIAN CORINTHIAN CAPITALS.

TOWER OF THE WINDS.

MONUMENT OF LYSICRATES.

very thick and very low: it was but four diameters of the base in height, as in the temple at Corinth; afterwards it was made

tototype of the Doric. The dissimilarity between the two is most obvious. The earliest Greek Doric columns were mere stumps, and squat in proportion; had an entasis; a considerable diminution in diameter between the top and bottom—and a wide projecting abacus, and an echinus moulding underneath. The Egyptian column had no entasis, an almost imperceptible diminution in diameter, and an abacus the width of the column alone. Can we not allow independent invention to the Greek as well to the Egyptian? The form of the Greek temple was of pure Greek invention, evidently derived from a timber original; why, then, attribute an Egyptian origin to the columns?

a little higher; such are the columns of the two temples at Pæstum. Later it was given five diameters and a half—this reform was made about the time of Pericles; those of the propylæa at Athens have nearly six; those of the Parthenon over six; and lastly, the columns were given seven diameters, as at the temple of Apollo at Delos.

The shaft is generally divided into twenty flutings meeting in an edge. The architrave of the entablature is surmounted with a plain fillet, called the *tenia*: the frieze is ornamented by flat projections with three channels cut in each, which are called *triglyphs*; the spaces between these are called *metopes*; under the *triglyphs* and below the *tenia* of the architrave are placed small drops or *guttæ*; along the top of the frieze runs a broad fillet, called the capital of the *triglyphs*: the soffit of the cornice has broad and shallow blocks worked on it, called *mutules*, one of which is placed over each *metope* and each *triglyph*; on the under surface are several rows of *guttæ* or drops.

The Doric order flourished chiefly in Greece, and the Dorian colonies of Sicily and Magna Græcia.


The *Ionic* order combines simplicity and gracefulness, and is much more slender than the Doric. Its chief characteristic feature is the volute or spiral scroll. In some instances, as in the Eretheum at Athens, there is a *hypotrachelium* separated from the shaft by an *astragal* moulding, ornamented with the *anthemion*, or honeysuckle pattern. The shaft is fluted with twenty-four flutes, which are separated from each other by small fillets. At first its height was eight diameters. The columns of the Eretheum at Athens are about nine. The bases used with this order are principally varieties of the Attic base. The members of the entablature, in good ancient examples, are sometimes perfectly plain, and sometimes enriched, especially the bed-mouldings of the cornice, which are frequently cut with a row of *dentils*. Authors differ with regard to the earliest known example, some giving the temple of Artemis at Ephesus, others the temple of Juno at Samos. The principal examples of the Grecian *Ionic* are in the temples of Minerva Polias, of Eretheus, the aqueduct of Hadrian, and the small temple on the Ilissus, at Athens; in the temple of Minerva Polias at Priene; of Bacchus at Teos; of Apollo Didymæus at Miletus. At Bassæ there is a variety of this order, in which three sides of the capital are equal, and the fourth attached to the wall. The



CARYATIDE.

Ionic order flourished chiefly in Asia, as if it were the land most congenial to it.

The *Corinthian* column, properly so called, is more a Roman than a Grecian order, and was only introduced into Greece on the decline of art. According to Mr. Fergusson, the most typical specimen we know of the Grecian Corinthian is that of the choragic monument of Lysicrates (see p. 60.). Its capital is formed of a row of acanthus leaves overlapping one another, and rising from a sort of calyx. It is surmounted at each corner by a scroll volute, the intervening space being filled up with scrolls and the anthemion. Its base and shaft partake of the Ionic. Another Athenian example is that of the Tower of the Winds (see p. 60). The capital is in the form of a calyx, with a row of acanthus leaves close to the bell, and without any volutes. This column has no base. The Corinthian columns of the temple of Jupiter Olympius at Athens belong to the Roman order.




TELAMONES.

Caryatides. Another form of column only used in connection with the Ionic order, is the so-called caryatide (see p. 62); a draped matronal figure supporting a cornice. According to Vitruvius, these figures represent the captive women of Carys, a city of the Peloponnesus. The most famous examples of these are in the temple of Erechtheus, at Athens. Others bear baskets on their heads, and are supposed to represent Canephoræ, who assisted in the Panathenaic procession. Another form of sup-

port are the Telamones, or giants, sustaining the roof of the great temple at Agrigentum.

Roman: Doric.—This was considered by the Romans as an improvement on the simpler and severer Grecian Doric. The




ROMAN DORIC.

shaft of the Roman Doric is nearly eight diameters in height, and generally has a base. It is distinguished from the Tuscan by the triglyphs in the frieze. An example of the Roman Doric may be seen in the lower columns of the Theatre of Marcellus at Rome. The temple of Hercules at Cora presents a singular

specimen of this order; the columns are enormously tall, the shafts are partly fluted, while the capitals are very shallow.


Ionic.—This modification of the Ionic was, like all Roman modifications, for the worse. The change consisted in turning all the volutes angularly, making them mere horns, as Mr. Fer-



ROMAN IONIC.

gusson remarks, and destroying all the meaning and all the grace of the order. It has an attic base. The only remaining examples of the Roman Ionic are the temple of Saturn, in the Forum, and the temple of Fortuna Virilis.

The Corinthian column surpasses all others in elegance and magnificence. It is, except in its capital, of the same proportion




CORINTHIAN.



COMPOSITE.

as the Ionic: but the additional height of its capital makes it taller and more graceful; the Ionic capital being but one-third of the diameter of the shaft in height, whilst that of the Corinthian nearly exceeds a diameter. The capital is composed of two rows of acanthus leaves, eight in each row, and the upper row is placed between and over the divisions of the lower row. Four spiral volutes in each face rise out of two bunches of the acanthus leaf; two of them are connected at the angles, and the other two are intertwined in the centre; these are called *cauliculi*. They support an abacus, the face of which forms the segment of a circle. The capital rests on an astragal, which serves as a base, and which terminates the shaft of the column. The flutings of the shaft are twenty-four, and divided by fillets. It has an Attic base. The entablature of this order is frequently very highly enriched, the flat surfaces as well as the mouldings being sculptured with a great variety of delicate ornaments. The architrave is generally formed into two or three faces, or *faciæ*; the frieze in the best examples is flat, and is sometimes united to the upper fillet of the architrave by an apophyge, or inverted cavetto: the cornice has both modillions and dentils. The entablature varies in different examples from one diameter and seven-eighths to more than two diameters and a half in height. The entablature of the portico of the Pantheon is more than two diameters and a quarter, while that of the temple of Castor and Pollux is more than two diameters and a half in height. The invention of the capital is ascribed to Callimachus, who, seeing a small basket covered with a tile, placed in the centre of an acanthus plant, which grew on the grave of a young lady of Corinth, was so struck with its beauty that he executed a capital in imitation of it. In Rome the Corinthian order assumed a new and not less beautiful form and character, and was varied to a wonderful extent, but without losing its original and distinctive features. It became to the Romans what the Doric has been to the Greeks, their national style. Both at home and abroad, in all conquests and colonies, wherever they built they employed the Corinthian order. The best examples are to be sought for rather in Rome than Greece. The most correct examples of the orders that remain are to be found in the Stoa, the arch of Adrian, at Athens; the Pantheon of Agrippa, and the three columns of the temple of Castor and Pollux (the most elegant of all Roman

examples), in the Forum. The temple of Antoninus and Faustina at Rome, and temple of Vesta at Tivoli, the temple of Jupiter Olympius at Athens, those of Baalbec, and the Maison Carrée at Nismes, present other examples of this order. There are said to be at least fifty varieties of Corinthian capitals to be found either in Rome, or in various parts of the Roman empire.



TUSCAN.

Composite.—The Composite order is a Roman invention, and as its name imports, a compound of others, the Corinthian and Ionic. The capital was composed of the Corinthian acanthus leaves, surmounted by the Ionic volutes, while an Ionic echinus was substituted for the Corinthian cauliculi. Though considered an improvement on the order out of which it grew, it never came into general use. The arrangements and general proportions are exactly those of the Corinthian order. The principal examples of the order in Rome are in the temple of Bacchus, the arches of Septimius Severus, and of Titus; and in the baths of Diocletian.

COMPOSITE ARCHES.


Composite arcades.—The true Roman order, Mr. Fergusson writes, was not any of these columnar ordinances, but a composite arcade, or arrangement of two pillars placed at a distance from one another nearly equal to their own height, and having a very long entablature, which, in consequence, required to be supported in the centre by an arch springing down from piers. The Romans used these arcades with all the three orders, frequently one over another, as in the Coliseum. At a later period they removed the pier behind the pillar, and made the arch to spring directly from the capital to the columns.

Etruscan.—The Tuscan order belongs properly to the Etruscans.

The height of the Tuscan column, the capital and base included, was equal to a third of the width of the temple. The lower diameter was one-seventh of the height, and the diminution of the shaft was about a fourth of the diameter. The height of the capital was half a diameter. Such are the proportions given by Vitruvius, after the Tuscan temple of Ceres, at Rome. No examples of it remain to the present day. It was thought to be found in the amphitheatre of Verona, but the proportions differ sensibly from the primitive Tuscan which is spoken of here. It is probable the Tuscan, as adopted by the Romans, is only a simplification of the Doric order. It was unknown to the Greeks. Its entablature is always simple and without any enrichment. The shaft is never fluted. The only remaining examples of this order of a Roman period are the lower columns of the Coliseum, which are Tuscan, and not Doric, as the entablature wants the distinguishing feature of that style, the triglyph.

Monumental.—They are of large proportions, and have been erected in honour of an emperor or military chief. A spiral column of this kind was called *columna cochlis*. Of these there are several still remaining.

The column of Trajan, in his Forum at Rome, erected about A.D. 115, was dedicated to Trajan by the Roman senate and people in commemoration of his two Dacian conquests. It is of the Doric order, and is composed of thirty-four blocks of Carrara marble. The shaft is covered with bas-reliefs, which go round the whole from the bottom to



TRAJAN'S COLUMN.

the top in twenty-three spirals. They represent the exploits of Trajan in both his Dacian expeditions. There is a spiral staircase within, which winds thirteen times round, and contains 184 steps. The height from the base to the summit of the capital is 124 feet. A bronze gilt statute of Trajan formerly surmounted the whole. The column of Marcus Aurelius, commonly known as the Antonine Column, was erected to him by the senate in commemoration of his victories in Germany over the Marco-



POMPEY'S PILLAR.

manni. The bas-reliefs represent these victories. The column is formed of twenty-eight blocks of white marble. It is 122 feet high, including the base and capital. The column or pillar, so called, of Pompey, at Alexandria in Egypt, a later inscription announces to have been erected by a Roman prefect in honour of the emperor Diocletian. It is 88 feet 6 inches high, and its shaft is of a single piece. The capital announces the decline of the arts.

There is also the column of Phocas in the Roman Forum, erected in A.D. 608, by Smaragdus the Exarch to the Emperor Phocas. It is in the Corinthian style, 54 feet in height.

Naval, or Columna Rostrata.—In the Capitol at Rome is a plain column of marble, in bas-relief, with three prows of ships on each side, and part of an inscription in obsolete Latin; it is a restoration of the column which was erected by C. Duilius after his first naval victory over the Carthaginians, A.U.C. 493, B.C. 260. It is mentioned by Pliny.

Milliaria, also called Lapides.—Milliaria or milestones were erected along the roads throughout the Roman dominions. The miles along the Roman road were measured from the gates of the Servian wall. Augustus erected a column in the Forum, B.C. 28, which was called the Milliarium Aureum, bearing a bronze-gilt tablet, where the distances to which the various Roman roads of Italy reached from the metropolis was recorded. Some remains of it still exist close to the arch of Septimius Severus. On the milestones were generally inscribed—1. The name of the town from which the distance was reckoned; 2. The number of miles expressed in figures, with MP (millia passuum) prefixed; 3. The name of the constructor of the roads, and of the emperor in whose honour the work was dedicated. On the balustrade of the Capitol at Rome are two of these milliary columns. One marked the first mile on the Appian way. It was found beyond the modern Porta San Sebastiano, about one Roman mile from the site of the ancient Porta Capena. It has the names of Vespasian and Nerva inscribed on it. The other was erected at the seventh mile on the same road. A column found at Saqueney, in Burgundy, on the road from Langres to Lyons, bears this inscription—AND. MP XXII ab Andematuno millia passuum viginti duo; Andematunum being the ancient name of Langres. One has been lately discovered in the Vosges, and is in the museum of Dinon. The inscription reads—D(eo) MER(curio) L(ucius) VATINI(us) FEL(ix) MILIARIA A VICO SARAVO LXII C(uravit) (poni?) V(otum) S(olvens) L(ibenter) M(erito). It is dedicated to Mercury, as the god of roads and commerce. In some parts of Gaul the distances were marked in leagues, as in the following inscription—AB . AVG . SVESS . LEVG VII ab


Augusto Suessionum leugæ septem. Augusto Suessionum is the ancient name of Soissons. The date of this column was about the time of Caracalla.



TERMINAL FIGURE.

Termini.—Boundary stones were erected throughout the Roman dominions to mark out each separate division of every civic territory, and the private estates assigned out of it. They were consecrated to the god Terminus with rustic offerings, and hallowed with solemn formularies. The citizen who removed a landmark was devoted to the gods, the slave was thrown into chains or subjected to hard labour. They were erected at different periods, for we find mention not only of Termini Gracchiani and Sullani but also of Lapides Augustales, Neroniani,


Vespasiani. The stones set up by the authority of Augustus to mark the latest assignments of land made to his veterans after Actium, are specially referred to by ancient writers. They were



inscribed with numbers or figures, plated with bronze, and differed from one another in shape. Those of Augustus and Caligula were *rotundi*, perhaps rounded at the head; others were *quadrati*. These boundary stones have, however, utterly disappeared, as they furnished the readiest materials for building and the repairs of roads. Besides, they were usually placed over pieces of money, like the foundation stones of modern edifices, and were no doubt often torn up for the

sake of the concealed treasure.

Hermæ, or pillars with the head of a man, were also used as termini. In Greece these boundary pillars were termed *Ερμαι*; they were placed in front of temples, near tombs, in public places, at the corner of streets, and on high roads, with distances inscribed on them. Some of these terminal pillars belonging to an early period are in the shape of a cross, evidently rude representations of the human figure, and perhaps the original form of this kind of pillar. Two are thus figured in Colonel Leake's 'Travels in Greece,' &c.; another with a human head



COIN OF PLAUTILLA.

on the upper part, appears on the reverse of a coin of Plautilla, from Ægina. At a later period Hermæ of all kinds were in great request among the wealthy Romans for the decoration of their houses and villas.

OBELISKS.—Obelisks were in Egypt commemorative pillars recording the style and title of the king who erected them, his piety, and the proof he gave of it in dedicating these monoliths to the deity whom he especially wished to honour. They are made of a single block of stone, cut into a quadrilateral form, the width diminishing gradually from the base to the top of the shaft, which terminates in a small pyramid (pyramidion). They were placed on a plain square pedestal, but larger than the obelisk itself. Obelisks are of Egyptian origin. The Romans and the moderns have imitated them, but they never equalled their models. The word *ὀβελίσκος* is a diminutive of *ὀβελός*, a spit.

Egyptian.—Egyptian obelisks are generally made of red granite of Syene. There are some, however, of smaller dimensions made of sandstone and basalt. They were generally placed in pairs at the entrances of temples, on each side of the propyla. The shaft was commonly ten diameters in height, and a fourth narrower at the top than at the base. Of the two which were before the palace of Luxor at Thebes, one is 72 feet high, and 6 feet 2 inches wide at the base; the other is 77 feet high, and 7 feet 8 inches wide. Each face is adorned with hieroglyphical inscriptions in intaglio, and the summit is terminated by a pyramid, the four sides of which represent religious scenes, also accompanied by inscriptions. The corners of the obelisks are sharp and well cut, but their faces are not perfectly plane, and their slight convexity is a proof of the attention the Egyptians paid to the construction of their monuments. If their faces were plane they would appear concave to the eye; the convexity compensates for this optical illusion. The hieroglyphical inscriptions are in a perpendicular line, sometimes there is but one in the middle of the breadth of the face, and often there are three. The inscription was a commemoration by the king who had the temple or palace built before which the obelisk was placed. It contained a record stating the honours and titles which the king who erected, enlarged, or gave rich presents to a temple, had received in return from the priesthood, and setting forth, for instance, that Rameses was the lord of an obedient people, and the beloved

of Amun. Such is the subject of the inscription which is in the middle of each face of the obelisk; and though the name of the same king and the same events are repeated on the four sides, there exists in the four texts, when compared, some difference, either in the invocation to the particular divinities or in the titles of the king. Every obelisk had, in its original form, but a single inscription on each face, and of the same period of the king who had erected it; but a king who came after him, adding a court, a portico, or colonnade to the temple or palace, had another inscription relative to his addition, with his name engraved on the original obelisk; thus, every obelisk adorned with many inscriptions is of several periods. The pyramidion which terminates them generally represents in its sculptures the king who erected the obelisk making different offerings to the principal deity of the temple, and to other divinities. Sometimes also the offering is of the obelisk itself. The short inscriptions of the pyramidion bear the oval of the king and the name of the divinity. By these ovals can be known the names of the kings who erected the obelisks still existing, whether in Egypt or elsewhere. The largest obelisk known is that of St. John Lateran, Rome. It was brought from Heliopolis to Alexandria by the emperor Constantine, and was conveyed to Rome by Constantius, who erected it in the Circus Maximus. The height of the shaft is 105 feet 7 inches. The sides are of unequal breadth at the base, two measure 9 feet 8½ inches, the other two only 9 feet. It bears the name of Thotmes III., in the central, and that of Thotmes IV. in the lateral lines, kings of the eighteenth dynasty, in the fifteenth century B.C. The two obelisks at Luxor were erected by the king Rameses II., of the nineteenth dynasty, 1311 B.C. (Wilkinson). One of these has been taken to Paris. The obelisk of Heliopolis bears the name of Osirtasen I., 2020 B.C. (Wilkinson), and is consequently the most ancient. It is about 67 feet high. The obelisks at Alexandria are supposed to have been brought from Heliopolis. They bear the name of Thotmes III. In the lateral lines are the ovals of Rameses the Great. They are of red granite of Syene. One is still standing, the other has been thrown down. The standing obelisk, which bears the name of Cleopatra's Needle, is about 70 feet high, with a diameter at its base of 7 feet 7 inches. The obelisk of the Piazza del Popolo claims greater interest, as it once stood before the temple of the Sun at

Heliopolis. Lepsius attributes it to Meneptha. It was removed to Rome by Augustus, B.C. 19, to ornament the Circus Maximus. The obelisk in front of St. Peter's was brought to Rome by Caligula, and placed on the Vatican in the Circus of Caligula. It is about 83 feet high. There are several other Egyptian obelisks in Rome. Nothing can afford a greater idea of the skill of the Egyptians, and of their wonderful knowledge of mechanism, than the erection of these monoliths.



CLEOPATRA'S NEEDLE.


Greek.—The Greeks never made obelisks out of Egypt. The Macedonian kings, or Ptolemies, who reigned in that country, from Alexander to Augustus, erected, terminated, or enlarged many monuments, but always according to Egyptian rules. Egyptian artists executed obelisks for their Greek princes, but

they did not depart, any more than in the other monuments, from their ancient customs. The Egyptian style and proportions are always to be recognized, and the inscriptions are also traced in hieroglyphics. The obelisk found at Philæ was erected in honour of Ptolemy Evergetes II. and of Cleopatra, his sister, or Cleopatra, his wife, and placed on a base bearing a Greek inscription relating the reason and occasion of this monument. It was removed from Philæ by Belzoni, and has been now erected at Kingston Hall, Dorset, by Mr. Banks. It is very far from equalling the Pharaonic obelisks in dimensions, it being only 22 feet high.

Roman.—After the Romans had made of Egypt a Roman province, they carried away some of its obelisks. Augustus was the first who conceived the idea of transporting these immense blocks to Rome; he was imitated by Caligula, Constantine, and others. They were generally erected in some circus. Thirteen remain at the present day at Rome, some of which are of the time of the Roman domination in Egypt. The Romans had obelisks made in honour of their princes, but the material and the workmanship of the inscriptions cause them to be easily distinguished from the more ancient obelisks. The Barberini obelisk, on the Monte Pincio, is of this number; it bears the names of Adrian, of Sabina his wife, and of Antinous his favourite. The obelisk of the Piazza Navona, from the style of its hieroglyphics, is supposed to be a Roman work of the time of Domitian. The name of Santus Rufus can be read on the Albani obelisk, now at Munich, and as there are two Roman prefects of Egypt known of that name, it was, therefore, one of these magistrates, who had executed in that country these monuments in honour of the reigning emperors, and then had them sent to Rome. The Romans also attempted to make obelisks at Rome; such is the obelisk of the Trinita de' Monti, which formerly stood in the Circus of Sallust. It is a bad copy of that of the Porta del Popolo. The Roman emperors in the east had also some Egyptian obelisks transported to Constantinople. Fragments of two of these monuments have been found in Sicily, at Catania; one of them has eight sides, but it is probably not a genuine Egyptian work. The use of the obelisk as a gnomon, and the erection of it on a high base in the centre of an open space, were only introduced on the removal of single obelisks to Rome.

SECTION VII.—PYRAMIDS.

In the earliest ages the tumulus, or mound of earth, was the simplest form of sepulture for heroes and kings. The pyramid of stone was afterwards adopted by nations as the most lasting form of sepulture for their kings. Many nations have raised pyramids. The form of the pyramid is well known. It was evidently built, not as some suppose, as a symbol of the sun or creative principle, but as the most lasting and permanent form which could be given to an erection intended for lengthened



PLAN OF PYRAMIDS.


duration. There is, however, this difference in the form, that some pyramids are raised with steps, others with inclined plane surfaces. The most celebrated are those of Egypt; the Etruscans have also erected some, and the Romans imitated them. Some suppose the word *πυραμς* to be derived from *πυρ*, fire; others from the Egyptian "pehram," "the sacred place:" the Greeks, adopting the native name, and adding a termination of their own, it was converted into the Greek word *Pyramis*.* The Egyptian name for pyramid appears to be *mer* or *abmer*.

* Mr. Kenrick gives a more obvious and judicious derivation; according to him it is probably Greek, on the following authority, "Etym. M. voc. *Πυραμς*, ἡ ἐκ πύρων καὶ μέλιτος ὥσπερ σησαμς, ἡ ἐκ σησαμῶν καὶ μέλιτος." The *πυραμς* was a pointed cake used in Bacchic rites. That

Egyptian.—All antiquity has admired the pyramids of the environs of Memphis. They are distinctly mentioned by the oldest Greek historian, Herodotus; and the three largest are ascribed by him to Cheops, Chephren, and Mycerinus, three Pharaohs who succeeded each other. There has been much discussion with regard to their destination, but at the present day there are no further doubts on the subject—the pyramids were tombs. The faces of three pyramids stand exactly opposite to the four cardinal points. They are built of nummulitic limestone, partly from the neighbouring hills. Granite was also employed for some portion of the outer part. The principal chamber in one of them is of granite. It was there that the sarcophagus of the owner of the tomb was found, in which his mummy was formerly enclosed. Many chambers and passages in different directions have been discovered in those which have been entered. The entrance of the pyramid was carefully concealed by an interior casing, and was on the north side. In the interior the passages communicated sometimes with wells and deep subterranean passages excavated in the rock on which the pyramid was erected. It seems that some of them were covered over with stucco or marble, and that religious and historical subjects, and hieroglyphical inscriptions, were sculptured on them, but no trace of them remains at the present day. The environs of Memphis not having, like those of Thebes, high mountains in which they could excavate the tombs of the kings, these factitious mountains were raised, and this explains their real destination. The larger pyramid at Memphis, called that of Cheops, or Khufu, rises in a series of platforms, each smaller than the one on which it rests, thus presenting the appearance of steps. Of these steps there are 203. On the top the area is about 10 feet square. The length of each face, when entire, was 764 feet. Its present base is 732 feet. Its perpendicular height, when entire, was 480. The present height 460 feet. The external slope of the outer angles is about $51^{\circ} 50'$. It covered an area of about 571,536 square feet, or $13\frac{1}{2}$ acres. To form an idea of the great pyramid, the reader has only to suppose the vast square of Lincoln's Inn Fields, the dimensions of which are the

the name, he adds, of the mathematical solid was derived from an object of common life, and not *vice versa*, may be argued from analogy: σφαῖρα was a hand ball; κύβος, a die for gaming; κώνος, a boy's top; κύλινδρος, a husbandman's or gardener's roller.

exact base of the great pyramid, wholly filled up from side to side, and gradually rising in a pyramidal form, to a height exceeding that of St. Paul's, by at least one third. The solid contents of the pyramid has been calculated at 85,000,000 cubic feet. The entrance to the great pyramid is in the north face,



SECTION OF PYRAMID.

- | | | | |
|---|--------------|---|------------------|
| A | Air channel. | D | Queen's chamber. |
| B | Entrance. | E | King's chamber. |
| C | Well. | F | Great Gallery. |

about 47 feet from the base. From the entrance a passage, 4 feet high, leads downwards at an inclination of 26° : this passage leads to another, which has an ascending inclination of 27° , at the top of which is the entrance to the great gallery. From this point a horizontal passage leads into what is called the queen's chamber, which is small, and roofed by long blocks resting against each other, and forming an angle; its height is about 20 feet. At the end of the great gallery, which is 132 feet long, 28 high, and nearly 7 wide, and is a continuation in the same line of the former ascending passage, is another horizontal passage, which leads to the king's chamber, which measures 17 feet 1 inch by 34 feet 2 inches, and 19 in height. Its walls are lined, and the roof is formed of splendid slabs of red granite. Here was discovered a sarcophagus of red granite; the cover and contents have been carried away; it is entirely plain, and without hieroglyphics. Above the king's chamber are five successive small chambers, which, according to Sir G. Wilkinson, were for the sole purpose of relieving the pressure on the king's chamber. Here was discovered, by Colonel Vyse, the oval containing the name of the founder Khufu (Suphis).

Another has been discovered in a small tomb near the pyramid.* This pyramid was called "the splendour of pyramids." The second pyramid, generally attributed to Chephren, or Shafra, is smaller in size, and its style of masonry inferior to that of the larger pyramid. It stands on higher ground than the great pyramid. It was probably built by Shafra, whose oval has been found in one of the tombs near the great pyramid. The length of its base is 707 feet, and its height 454 feet. It retains a portion of the smooth facing of limestone with which this and the larger pyramid was once covered. The passages in this pyramid lead only to one main chamber, in which is a sarcophagus sunk in the floor. This pyramid had two entrances. It was opened by Belzoni. The third pyramid, that of Mycerinus, or Menkare, is about 218 feet high, and its base 354 feet long. The outer coating was of red granite, many portions of which still continue in their original position at the lower parts. The chamber has a flat roof, formed of stones placed one against the other. The name of Mencheres, its founder, was discovered by Colonel Vyse on a wooden coffin, which was found in this chamber, now in the British Museum. It was opened by Colonel Vyse. This pyramid is built in stages or stories, to which a sloping face has been afterwards added. It has suggested a theory to Dr. Lepsius. Near the great pyramid are three smaller ones. The centre one is stated by Herodotus to have been erected by the daughter of Cheops. Besides the pyramids of Gizeh (Memphis) there are several other pyramids at Abooseer, Sakkára and Dashoor. The researches of Lepsius and others prove that their number in Egypt is probably not under 100. The largest pyramid of Sakkára has its degrees of stories stripped of their triangular exterior. It is the only pyramid that does not exactly face the north and south. It appears to have had a base of nearly 394 feet square, and rose to the height of 196 feet, with a slope or angle of $73^{\circ} 30'$. It was constructed of calcareous stone and granite, and had seven steps, like the Babylonian towers. According to Dr. Birch, it was built by Ouenephes, the fourth monarch of the first dynasty, and is the oldest monument hitherto found. The largest pyramid at Abooseer, measured

* Sir G. Wilkinson is of opinion that the Great Pyramid was built by two kings (Shofa and Nou-Shofa) who reigned together, and that the funereal chambers were, one for each king, rather than, as generally supposed, for the king and queen.


originally, according to Colonel Vyse, 359 feet 9 inches square, and 227 feet 10 inches high, now reduced to 325 feet and 164 feet. Two brick pyramids are at Dashoor. The south one has a slope about half way of 53° , which afterwards is flattened to 44° . It is supposed they were originally cased with stone. There is also a pyramid at Meydoun, which is built in three great steps, its angle being $74^{\circ} 10'$. According to Dr. Birch, it belongs to the time of Neferka-ra or Nephhercheres, the sixth king of the 2nd dynasty. Pyramids also occur at Napata in Ethiopia, differing however in plan from those of Memphis by the addition of a portico.



ETHIOPIAN PYRAMID.

According to Lepsius, the height of these royal monuments corresponded with the length of the monarch's reign under whom it was erected. We here quote his words:—"It occurred to me that the whole building had proceeded from a small pyramid, which had been erected in stages of about 40 feet high, and then first increased and heightened simultaneously on all sides, by super-imposed coverings of stones from 15 to 20 feet in breadth, till at length the great steps were filled up so as to form one common flat side, giving the usual pyramidal form to the whole. This gradual growth explains the enormous magnitude of particular pyramids, besides so many other small ones. Each king began the building of his pyramid as soon as he

ascended the throne; he only designed a small one, to insure himself a complete tomb, even where he was destined to be but a few years on the throne. But with the advancing years of his reign, he increased it by successive layers till he thought that he was near the termination of his life. If he died during the erection, then the external covering was alone completed, and the monument of death finally remained proportionate to the duration of the life of the king." Mr. Bartlett, in his pleasing work on Egypt, has advanced an objection which is somewhat fatal to this theory; his words are, "it appears inconsistent with the construction of the great pyramid of Cheops, since the existence of a series of interior passages and chambers, and even air passages communicating with the exterior, seems to argue a



PYRAMID ACCORDING TO LEPSIUS.

A Sepulchral chamber


B, C, C Sizes of pyramid according to length of reign.

regular design for the construction of the entire monument." It would be more natural to suppose that their relative sizes were in accordance with the rank and popular estimation of the deceased.

Small pyramids in stone were also made in Egypt. They are generally of a single block, and are about 1 or 2 feet in height. They bear on their four faces inscriptions and figures, or scenes analogous to those on the sepulchral tablets found in tombs, both being destined for the same purpose. They were dedicated to the dead. They were found more frequently in the environs of Memphis and in Lower Egypt than in Upper Egypt. There are several in the British Museum.

Etruscan.—The Etruscans also erected pyramids. According to Pliny, the tomb of king Porsena was a monument in rectangular masonry, each side of which was 300 feet wide, 50 high, and within the square of the basement was an inextricable labyrinth. On that square basement stood five pyramids, four at the angles and one in the centre, each being 70 feet wide at its base, and 150 high, and all so terminating above as to support a brazen circle and a petasus, from which were hung certain bells, which, when stirred by the wind, resounded afar off.

Greek.—Pyramids of remote antiquity are also found in Greece. The best preserved of these pyramids is that of Erasinus, near Argos. The masonry of this edifice is of an intermediate style



PYRAMID OF ERASINUS, NEAR ARGOS.

between the polygonal and irregular horizontal, consisting of large irregular blocks, with a tendency, however, to quadrangular forms and horizontal courses; the inequalities being, as usual, filled up with smaller pieces. It is supposed to be a monument of the same primitive school of art as the Gate of Lions, and the Royal Sepulchres of Mycenæ.

Roman.—There is only one Roman pyramid. It is the tomb of Caius Cestius, who was one of seven epulones, appointed to prepare the banquets for the gods at public solemnities, in the time of Augustus. It is close to the Porta San Paolo, Rome. It is 114 feet high and 90 feet broad at the base. It is built of brick and tufa, covered with slabs of white marble. In its interior is a chamber adorned with paintings. The Pope Alexander VII. had it restored.


SECTION VII.—THEATRES.—ODEA.—AMPHITHEATRES.
—CIRCI, HIPPODROMES.—NAUMACHIÆ.—BATHS,
OR THERMÆ.—TRIUMPHAL ARCHES.—AGORA
—FORA.

THEATRES.—After the temples the theatres were, among the Greeks and Romans, the most necessary public edifices. Connected with the worship of the gods scenic representations were not considered profane: the public also assembled in the theatre on certain solemn occasions. They were generally consecrated to Bacchus, because he was considered the inventor of comedy; at least it is supposed to have taken its origin in the solemn procession in honour of that god. Sometimes the theatre was built in the temple itself of Bacchus. The enormous extent of many of them, and the prodigious solidity of their construction, are attested by the numerous remains of such edifices which have been explored, not only in Greece and Italy, but also in Asia Minor.

Egypt.—No traces remain which would allow us to attribute the use of theatres to the Egyptians. The solemnities and pomps of religious ceremonies were festivals more suited to the gloomy and religious mind of the Egyptians.

Greek.—The Greeks, to whom we are indebted for the invention of the drama, constructed the first theatres; cabins of branches of trees, destined to shelter the actor from the sun, were soon replaced by wooden scaffolds, in the towns especially; and lastly, by stone edifices, remarkable for size and magnificence. Before a theatre was built at Athens there was an orchestra in the Agora which was used for choric dances. Round this a wooden theatre was erected. Its fall occasioned the building of the stone one under the Acropolis. The first great theatre of Athens, that of Dionysus, situated near a temple of the god, was excavated, in the time of Themistocles, on the south-east side of the Acropolis. Other theatres, probably built after the model of that of Athens, as they resemble one another in the main point, are found in various parts of Greece and Sicily, at Argos, Nicopolis, Tauromenium, Syracuse. Those of Ægina, Epidaurus and Megalopolis, surpassed all others by their extent and

magnificence, that of Dramysus, perhaps the largest in Greece, was 443 feet across. The Greeks of Asia Minor followed the example of the Greeks of Europe and of Sicily. The theatre at Ephesus must have been the largest ever erected. Its diameter was 660 feet; according to Mr. Wood's calculation, it would accommodate 24,700 spectators.* The building itself (Θέατρον) may be



GREEK THEATRE.

* The theatre of Dionysus at Athens, which saw the production of the great masterpieces of the Attic drama, was laid bare in 1862. It lies on the south-east side of the Acropolis, where the slope is sufficient to allow the upper banks of seats to be cut out of the rock *in situ*, while the lower ones were constructed of hewn stones brought from elsewhere. The first row consists wholly of seats of honour, assigned to heads of the state, and the priests of the various temples at Athens, among whom the priest of Dionysus, the god in whose honour and at whose festival the dramatic entertainments were held, presided in the most conspicuous and largest chair, that in the middle of the half-circle, directly facing the logeion or stage. Of this chair the British Museum possesses a cast. These seats are arm-chairs of Pentelican marble of brilliant whiteness, and have the name of the official who was to occupy each, cut in large uncial letters below the seat. The floor of the orchestra or semicircular space between

divided in two parts—the *κοῖλον*,—in Latin *cavea*, the part for the audience; and that devoted to the business of the play, which is again subdivided into the *ὀρχήστρα*, and *σκηνή*, the orchestra and stage. The *κοῖλον* was bounded by two concentric circular arcs, one of which separated it from the orchestra, the other formed its extreme outer limit. The Romans seldom suffered the arc to exceed a semicircle. The Greeks commonly used a larger arc. It was composed of a succession of seats, in most cases cut into the rock, divided into two or more flights by *διαζώματα*, or *præcinctiones*, a sort of landing which ran round the whole, and facilitated the access from one part to another. These were again subdivided into *κερκίδες*, *cunei*, or wedges, by stairs, *κλίμακες*, converging to the centre of the orchestra, and leading from the bottom to the top of the building. When the theatres were large, there were commonly intermediate staircases, to facilitate the ascent to the upper and broader portion of these *cunei*. The lowest seats, of course, were the best, and were reserved for the judges (*agonothetes*), the magistrates, and those who, by their own or their ancestors' services, had acquired a right (*προεδρία*) to have places reserved for them. Behind these were the young men, *ephebi*, and behind them again, the citizens and the rest of the people. The Greeks generally cased the seats of the theatre with stone or marble; the Romans with wood. The rich brought cushions and carpets with them.

At Athens women were allowed to be present at both comic and tragic performances, but they sat apart from the men, occupying the upper seats.

In the theatre of Dionysus at Athens the upper part of the *κοῖλον*, or the place for the audience, was excavated out of the cliff, whilst the lower part, including the stage, was constructed of wood and masonry. Stone and marble seats for the spectators

the auditorium and the stage, is paved with large flags, having, however, in its centre a large rhomboidal space paved with small diamond-shaped pieces of marble. This latter evidently marks out the ground to be trodden by the chorus, and shows that the chorus did not occupy an elevated platform which would bring them on a level with the stage. It suits better the part which the Attic chorus had to play, since they were not actors, but rather expressed the feelings which the spectators of the action would naturally put in words. The logeion or stage is raised about four feet, and its front is formed of a marble wall, with exquisite bas-reliefs, still retaining its dazzling whiteness.

were not added until the time of Lycurgus, B.C. 337. The marble thrones for those entitled to *προεδρία*, or first row, which recent excavations have brought to light, were perhaps also added in his time.

The orchestra (*ὀρχήστρα*) was a circular level space, extending in front of the spectators, and somewhat below the lowest row of benches. But it was not a complete circle, one segment of it being appropriated to the stage. The orchestra was the place for the chorus, where it performed its evolutions and dances, for which purpose it was covered with boards. In the centre of the circle of the orchestra was the thymele (*θυμέλη*), that is, the altar of Dionysius, which was, of course, nearer to the stage than to the seats of the spectators, the distance from which was precisely the length of a radius of the circle. The chorus generally arranged itself in the space between the thymele and the stage. The thymele itself was of a square form, and was used for various purposes, according to the nature of the different plays, such as a funeral monument, an altar. It was made of boards, and surrounded on all sides with steps. On these steps, sometimes, the chorus ascended; the coryphæus, or leader of the chorus, then mounted the upper portion of the thymele, which was on a level with the *σκηνή*. According to Millin, it served as a tribune, when popular assemblies were held in the theatre.

The stage (*σκηνή*) was elevated ten or twelve feet above the orchestra; the wall which supported it was called *ὑποσκήνιον*, and was relieved by statues, pillars, and other architectural ornaments. The stage itself was a broad, shallow platform, called by the Greeks *λογεῖον* or *προσκήνιον*; by the Romans *pulpitum*. Strictly speaking, the *προσκήνιον* was the entire space from the *scena* to the orchestra; the *λογεῖον*, the narrow portion opposite the centre of the scene, where the actors stood and spoke. The back side of the stage was closed by a wall called the *σκηνή* or *scena*. It represented a suitable background,

* The splendour and dimensions of Greek theatres need not create surprise, if we consider that such edifices were appropriated not only to dramatic representations, but to assemblies of the people. Here they listened to the harangues of generals, and orators. Here they decreed crowns and other rewards of patriotic merit or athletic skill; here they arraigned and sentenced criminals of the highest rank.

The plan of the Greek theatre was somewhat more than a semicircle, having seats cut out of the side of some hill convenient for the purpose.


or the locality in which the action was going on. The *παρασκήνια* were rooms behind the stage, where the actors retired to dress, and where the decorations and machines were kept. In the Roman theatre this part of the building was called the *post-scenium*. In the front of the stage was a recess in the floor, meant to contain a curtain (*aulæa*), which was drawn up previous to the performance, to conceal the scene. A flight of steps, called *κλιμακτῆρες*, led up from the *thymele* to the stage, for the use of the characters of the play, who, when they were supposed to come from a distance, often entered by the orchestra. There was a flight of steps concealed under the seats of the spectators, called *Charon's staircase* (*χαρώνιοι κλίμακες*), by which ghosts entered, and proceeded up the *thymele* to the stage.

As the theatres of the ancients were never covered, a large awning (*velarium*) was extended over the theatre, of a purple colour, and sometimes highly ornamented; it was attached to poles placed in the orchestra and on the walls. In hot weather the enclosure was refreshed by jets of perfumed water, thrown up in the finest rain. To increase the resonance of the voice, brazen vases (*ἡχεῖα*), resembling bells, were placed in different parts of the theatre, under the seats of the spectators. Vitruvius relates that Lucius Mummius carried off vases of this kind from the theatre of Corinth, and dedicated them in the temple of Juno.

Etruscan.—The Etruscans were exceedingly fond of scenic representations. They were connected with religious practices, and were intermingled with music and dance. We have historical evidence that Rome derived her theatrical exhibitions from Etruria. Livy tells us that the *ludi scenici* were introduced into Rome in the year 390, in order to appease the wrath of the gods for a pestilence then devastating the city; and that "*ludiones*" were sent for from Etruria, who acted to the sound of the pipe, in the Tuscan fashion. He adds that they were also called "*histriones*," *hister*, in the Etruscan tongue, being equivalent to *ludio*, in Latin. There is strong ground for the presumption that the edifices the Etruscans used were copied by the Romans. Remains of theatres are found at Falleri, Ferento, Fiesole. They are, however, now proved to be of a Roman period.

Roman.—In the Roman theatre the construction of the orchestra and stage was different from that of the Greeks. By

the construction peculiar to the Roman theatre, the stage was brought nearer to the audience (the arc not exceeding a semi-circle), and made considerably deeper than in the Greek theatre. The length of the stage was twice the diameter of the orchestra. The Roman orchestra contained no thymele. The back of the stage, or proscenium, was adorned with niches, and columns, and friezes of great richness, as may be seen in some of the theatres of Asia Minor, and in the larger theatre at Pompeii, which



ROMAN THEATRE.

belong to the Roman period. On the whole, however, the construction of a Roman theatre resembled that of a Greek one. The Senate, and other distinguished persons, occupied circular ranges of seats within the orchestra; the prætor had a somewhat higher seat. The space between the orchestra and the first præcinctio, usually consisting of fourteen seats, was reserved for the equestrian order, tribunes, &c. Above them were the seats of the plebeians. Soldiers were separated from the citizens. Women were appointed by Augustus to sit in the portico, which encompassed the whole. Behind the scenes were the post-scenium, or retiring-room, and porticoes, to which, in case of sudden showers, the people retreated from the theatre. The earliest theatres at Rome were temporary buildings of wood. A

magnificent wooden theatre, built by M. Æmilius Scaurus, in his edileship, B.C. 58, is described by Pliny. In 55 B.C., Cn. Pompey built the first stone theatre at Rome, near the Campus Martius. A temple of Venus Victrix, to whom he dedicated the whole building, was erected at the highest part of the cavea. The next permanent theatre was built by Augustus, and named after his favourite, the young Marcellus, son of his sister Octavia. Vitruvius is generally reported to have been the architect of this building, which would contain 30,000 persons. The audience part was a semicircle 410 feet in diameter. Twelve arches of its external wall still remain. From marks still visible in the large theatre at Pompeii, the place reserved for each spectator was about 13 inches. This theatre contained 5000. The theatre of Pompey, at Rome, contained 40,000. The theatre of Scaurus is said to have contained 80,000. The Romans surpassed the Greeks in the grandeur and magnificence of these buildings. They built them in almost all their towns. Remains of them are found in almost every country where the Romans carried their rule. One of the most striking Roman provincial theatres is that of Orange, in the south of France.

Odea.—Odeum was a building intended for the recitations of rhapsodists and the performances of citharædists, before the theatre was in existence. In its general form and arrangements the odeum was very similar to the theatre. There were, however, some characteristic differences. The odeum was much smaller than the theatre, and it was roofed over. The ancient and original odeum of Athens in the agora was probably erected in the time of Hipparchus, who, according to Plato, first introduced at Athens the poems of Homer, and caused rhapsodists to recite them during the Panathenæa. There were two others in Athens—the odeum of Pericles, and that of Herodes Atticus. The Odeum of Pericles was built in imitation of the tent of Xerxes. It was burnt by Sylla, but was restored in exact imitation of the original building. It lay at the east side of the theatre of Dionysus. The odeum of Herodes Atticus was built by him in memory of his departed wife Regilla, whose name it commonly bore. It lies under the south-west angle of the Acropolis. Its greatest diameter within the walls was 240 feet, and it is calculated to have held about 8000 persons. There were odea in several of the towns of Greece, in Corinth, Patræ, and at Smyrna,

Ephesus and other places of Asia Minor. There were odea also in Rome; one was built by Domitian, and a second by Trajan. There are ruins of an odeum in the villa of Adrian, at Tivoli and at Pompeii.

AMPHITHEATRES: *Etruscan*.—Remains of amphitheatres are found in several cities of Etruria. The amphitheatre of Sutri is considered to be peculiarly Etruscan in its mode of construction. It is cut out of the tufa rock, and was no doubt used by that people for festal representations long before Rome attempted anything of the kind. The Romans copied these edifices from the Etruscans. We have historical evidence, also, that gladiatorial combats had an Etruscan origin, and were borrowed by the Romans.

***Roman*.**—Amphitheatres were peculiar to the Romans. The gladiatorial shows, and the chase and combats of wild beasts with which the amphitheatre is always connected, were at first given in the circus. Its unsuitableness for such sports determined Julius Cæsar, in his dictatorship, to construct a wooden theatre in the Campus Martius, built especially for hunting. Caius Scribonius Curio built the first amphitheatre, for the celebration of his father's funeral games. It was composed of two theatres of wood, placed on pivots, so that they could be turned round, spectators and all, and placed face to face, thus forming a double theatre, or amphitheatre (*ἀμφι*, on both sides; *θέατρον*, a theatre), which ending suggested its elliptical shape. Statilius Taurus, the friend of Augustus, B.C. 30, erected a more durable amphitheatre, partly of stone and partly of wood, in the Campus Martius. Others were afterwards built by Caligula and Nero. The amphitheatre of Nero was of wood, and in the Campus Martius.

Ever since, this kind of edifice was erected in numbers, in almost all the towns of the Roman Empire. The form of the amphitheatre generally adopted was that of an ellipsis, with a series of arcaded concentric walls, separating corridors, which have constructions with staircases and radiating passages between them. It enclosed an open space called the arena, from its being strewn with the finest sand, on the level of the surface of the ground on which the structure was raised. It was here that were given the combats of gladiators and wild beasts, which

were enclosed in cells (*carceres*) on the same level as the *arēna*. From the innermost concentric wall, which surrounded the arena, and which was of sufficient height, about fifteen feet, to guard the spectators against any danger from the wild beasts, an inclined plane rose upwards over the intermediate walls, staircases, and corridors, to a gallery, or galleries, over the outermost corridors. The inner and upper part of the inclined plane was covered with a graduated series of benches. On the top of the first concentric wall or parapet (the podium), was a broad *præcinctio*, or platform, which ran immediately round the arena. This was set apart for the senators, magistrates, and other persons of distinction. Here the magistrates brought their curule seats, or *bisellia*, and here was the *suggestus*, a covered seat appropriated to the Emperor. The person who exhibited the games (*editor*) had his seat here also. Above the podium were the *gradus*, or seats of the other spectators, which were divided into stories, called *mæniana*. The first *mænianum* was appropriated to the equestrian order. Then, after a horizontal space, termed a *præcinctio*, and forming a continued landing-place from the several staircases which opened on to it, succeeded the second *mænianum*, where were the seats called *popularia*, for the third class of spectators, or the populace. The doors which opened from the staircases and corridors on to the several landing-places, were designated by the very appropriate name of *vomitoria*. Behind the second *mænianum* was the second *præcinctio*; above which was the third *mænianum*, where there were only wooden benches for the *pullati*, or common people. The open gallery at the top was the only part of the amphitheatre in which women were permitted to witness the games. The seats of the *mæniana* did not run in unbroken lines round the whole building, but were divided into portions called *cunei* (from their wedgelike shape), by short flights of stairs, which facilitated the access to the seats. The whole of the interior was called the *cavea*. A contrivance by which the spectators were protected from the overpowering heat of the sun, must not be omitted. It was called *Velum*, or *Velarium*. This was a vast extent of canvas, which was supported by masts fixed into the outer wall. Projecting stones are still to be seen at the top of the Colosseum and other amphitheatres, which were evidently connected with this contrivance. Sailors were employed for the purpose of straining the canvas. We learn from Lucretius

that this covering was coloured, and Dio mentions a purple awning, in the middle of which was a figure of Nero driving his chariot, and stars of gold placed round him.

The most famous amphitheatre was the Colosseum or Amphitheatrum Flavium, at Rome. This amphitheatre was begun by Vespasian in A.D. 72, and dedicated by Titus, in his eighth consulate, A.D. 80. It was completed by Domitian. At the dedication of the building 5000 wild beasts were slaughtered in the arena, and the games in honour of the event lasted for nearly 100 days. It was the scene of gladiatorial spectacles for nearly 400 years. The amphitheatre is, as usual, elliptical. The wall which surrounds the whole consists of three rows of arches, one above the other, with columns between each arch. In each row there are eighty arches: still higher was a fourth row of pilasters, with forty square windows, but without arches. The Tuscan, Ionic, and Corinthian orders were successively employed in the three rows; and the pilasters of the fourth or upper row were also Corinthian. It was terminated by an entablature. The entrances were by eighty arches in the outer wall, which opened into the first arcade: from thence the people might pass by as many arches into the second, where they found at intervals staircases leading to the seats. The immense crowds which frequented this amphitheatre could enter and depart in a short time, and with little confusion. The arches were all numbered on the outside, from I. to LXXX. Between XXXVIII. and XXXIX. is an arch a little wider than the rest, without a number, and with no cornice over it, which is supposed to have served as the private entrance from the palace of Titus, on the Esquiline hill. The height of the outer wall is 157 English feet. The major axis of the building, including the thickness of the walls, is 620 feet; the minor axis 573 feet. The length of the arena is 287 feet; the width 180 feet. It covers nearly six acres of ground. According to P. Victor, 87,000 persons would be accommodated in the seats, and some consider it probable that 20,000 more could have found places above.*

* The general conclusion which archæologists have arrived at from recent excavations, in regard to the arena in the Colosseum, is that there were two. The upper or spectacular arena, which was a boarded stage, supported on travertine brackets, the lower area, which served for the naumachia, prior to the performance of which the elliptical area was flooded with water, and the boarded stage removed. On the upper arena the gladiatorial combats and wrestling of athletes took place. On this

As a delight in the bloody scenes of the arena was peculiarly a Roman feature, and an enjoyment so much indulged in by Roman soldiery, it is natural to expect that we should find amphitheatres wherever we find a Roman settlement. Remains of amphitheatres are to be met with at Verona, Pæstum, Pompeii, Pozzuoli, and Capua, in Italy; at Nismes, Arles, Frejus, Saintes, Autun, in France; at Pola, in Istria; at Syracuse, Catania, and some other cities of Sicily; at Tysdrus in Africa; even in the remotest parts of Britain and Germany. They are all constructed on the same general principles as the Colosseum. Next in extent to the Colosseum is that of Capua. Its dimensions are 558 feet by 460; feet its height externally 95 feet. It had three stories, all of the Doric order. The amphitheatre of Verona is interesting from its interior being nearly perfect. Its dimensions are 502 feet by 401 feet, and 98 feet high, in three stories of the Tuscan order, beautifully proportioned. It is generally considered to be of late date. Maffei assigns it to the time of Maximianus. The amphitheatre at Pola derives its chief interest from its exterior being perfect. It has three stories, and is 97 feet high. The amphitheatre of Pola and that of Nismes have nearly the same dimensions—436 feet by 346 feet. In the amphitheatres of Capua and Pozzuoli the arena contains many substructures and chambers such as have been lately excavated in the Colosseum.


At Eljem, the ancient Tysdrus, is an amphitheatre, whose beauty and size entitle it to rank only second to the Colosseum. The three tiers of arches, their flanking columns with composite capitals, and the respective stylobatæ, or, in other words, the whole of the vast circular façades, are in a complete state of pre-

arena the hunting of wild beasts also was introduced. The animals were brought from the vivaria, where they were kept in wooden cages, which were sent up in lifts to the trap-door. In the recent excavations, the original pavement was found twenty-one feet below the level of the arena, which was a boarded floor covered with sand, and full of trap-doors on the level of the foot of the podium, and of the present soil. Under this platform are all sorts of contrivances for theatrical displays above—dens for wild beasts for the hunt on the arena, and grooves for the lifts by which they were sent up from their dens to the stage above; remains of four canals for water, the whole length of the building, 500 feet, which were evidently supplied with water by the aqueducts. These were called *stagna*, and were provided for the *naumachia*, or naval fights. Each canal was ten feet deep, and at least the same width, in some considerably wider. The bottom of these canals was about eight feet above the pavement, and there were passages under them for the workmen and performers.

servation. Its extreme length is 489 feet 7 inches, and its extreme breadth 403 feet 3 inches.

CIRCI.—The circus was another kind of building peculiar to the Romans. Both the circus and its games were, however, probably of Etruscan origin, for we know that the Romans had no such edifices before the accession of Tarquin, the first of the Etruscan dynasty, who built the Circus Maximus, and “sent for boxers and racehorses to Etruria” (Livy). It was a place for chariot-races and horse-races; before the introduction of the amphitheatre it was often used for combats of gladiators and wild beasts. The circus was of an oblong form, straight at one end and curved at the other, the length being about three times the breadth. At the straight end, which was termed *oppidum*, were the *carceres*; in the centre was the *ostia*, by which the horsemen and the chariots entered. On each side of this were six apertures, or *carceres*, where the chariots stood before they started. A little in front of these were two small pedestals, to which was attached a chalked rope (*alba linea*) for the purpose of making the start fair. The space enclosed by the seats was called the *area*. Running down the centre of the area was the *spina* (so called from the central position of the spine in the human body), round which the chariots ran, keeping it always on the left. It was a brick wall 4 feet high, at each end of which was a *meta*, or goal, round which the chariots turned, and on which were placed three wooden cylinders, with an oval ornament at the top. An obelisk sometimes adorned the middle of the *spina*. There were also between the *meta* and the central obelisk two small pillars, on which eggs were placed to mark the number of times the chariots had gone round, and on the other side of the obelisk two columns sustaining seven dolphins, termed *delphinæ*, or *delphinarum columnæ*. At the curved end of the circus was the *Porta Triumphalis*, by which it is supposed the conqueror at the games went out. Seats (*gradus*, *sedilia*) were arranged round the area, with similar divisions, as in the amphitheatre. Each curia had its peculiar place assigned to it, as well as the senators and knights. The emperor’s seat, or *pulvinar*, was placed near the *carceres*, where the emperor would have the best view of the start and of the arrival at the goal. On the other side, not far from the *Porta Triumphalis*, was the seat of the person at whose expense the games were given, editor

spectaculorum. The Circus Maximus of Rome was built in the reign of Tarquinius Priscus. It was enlarged by Cæsar, and embellished by Augustus and Trajan. Cæsar separated the area from the seats by a euripus, or ditch, in order that the spectators might not be exposed to the attacks of the animals, which sometimes broke down the barriers. In the time of the Empire, the exterior consisted of a triple range of arcades, one above the other, supported on piers, with the usual ornamental half columns added. The inner sides of the two lower arcades supported the seats, which were arranged as in an amphitheatre; and the upper arcade formed a covered gallery. The upper seats were of wood resting upon stone substructures, and the lower tier were of stone. At each end was a grand gateway, and at each corner of the rectangular end (or oppidum), and at the extremities of the hemicycle of the rounded end, were towers, called mæniana, where persons of distinction had places assigned to them. The emperor's pavilion, a projecting portico, was on the left of the carceres, and so placed that he could give the signal for starting from it. According to Dionysius, this circus was $3\frac{1}{2}$ stadia long and about 4 plethra (about 400 feet) wide. It contained 150,000 people. Pliny makes it only 3 stadia long, and 1 wide, containing 260,000. Each computation is, however, supposed to have reference to different periods. The remains of a circus outside the walls of Rome have afforded means of studying the



CIRCUS OF ROMULUS.

general arrangements of this class of building. It was formerly named the Circus of Caracalla, but inscriptions have been discovered, recording that it was erected in honour of Romulus, the son of Maxentius, A.D. 311. Its length is 1580 feet, and its breadth 260 feet. It is calculated that it could have contained 20,000 spectators. The next circus, in point of antiquity, to the Circus Maximus, was that of Flaminius, built by the consul of that name, v.c. 531, but this has entirely disappeared. The other circi at Rome were the circus Agonalis, or Alexandri, built

by the Emperor Alexander Severus, the exact form of which may be traced in the Piazza Navona. The length was about 750 feet. The circus of Flora was situated in the space between the Quirinal and Pincian hills, now partly occupied by the Piazza Barberini. The circus of Sallust, called Circus Apollinaris, was situated in the depression between the Quirinal and Pincian hills. Slight vestiges of it may still be traced. The circus of Nero stood partly on the site of the Basilica of St. Peter. It was destroyed by Constantine when he built the old church, A.D. 324.

NAUMACHIÆ.—Naumachiæ were mock naval engagements. This kind of spectacle was enjoyed by the Romans. The naumachiæ generally took place in the circi and amphitheatres. Subterranean canals brought in the water requisite for the entertainment; there were other canals for the purpose of letting it off. These two operations were performed in the presence of the spectators, and in a few minutes. Some of the emperors erected buildings on purpose, which were called Naumachiæ. Two of the largest were built by Cæsar and Augustus. Suetonius, speaking of the former, says a lake was dug in the form of a shell, in which ships, representing the Tyrian and Egyptian fleets, engaged, with a vast number of men on board. It was filled up after Cæsar's death. The naumachia of Augustus was on the other side of the Tiber, and was 1800 feet in length, and 200 feet in width, so that thirty ships could engage in it. Domitian also constructed one, and erected a building of stone round it, with seats for the spectators. It was on the site of the present Piazza di Spagna. The Emperor Claudius changed the lake Fucinus into a naumachia, placing seats round about it for the spectators. In the sea-fight 19,000 combatants were engaged, and there were fifty ships on each side. The combatants were usually captives or criminals condemned to death. Helio-gabalus, upon one occasion, filled the euripus with wine, and had naval exhibitions performed in it. P. Victor mentions ten naumachiæ.

HIPPODROMES.—Hippodromes were used for chariot and horse races. They were peculiar to the Greeks. The general form of the hippodrome was an oblong, with a semicircular end, and with the right side somewhat longer than the left. At the other

end was the starting-place, in the form of the prow of a ship. Along the sides of this were stalls for the chariots which were to run. When the cord fell, the contending chariots formed into a line, and started. At the further end was the goal they were bound to reach, which was placed in such a manner that but one chariot at a time could pass near it. The Greeks generally managed that the seats of the spectators on one side should be on the slope of a hill. Music accompanied these games. The judges were seated where the race ended. The Greek hippodrome was much wider than the Roman circus. The hippodrome of Olympia was 4 stadia long, and 1 wide. There were two at Constantinople, and the remains of others have been found in Greece, Syria, and Egypt. Hadrian, who erected several structures in imitation of Greek and Egyptian buildings, introduced a hippodrome into his villa, near Tibur.

STADIA.—The stadium was also peculiar to the Greeks; it was generally appropriated to foot races and gymnastic exercises. It was an oblong area, terminated at one end by a straight line, at the other by a semicircle termed σφενδονή. The starting place was opposite the straight line, and was called ἀφesis. The goal was at the centre of the semicircle, and was called τέρμα. The most celebrated stadium was that of Olympia. Its length (600 Greek feet) became the standard measure of distance in Greece. The stadium of Athens was on the south side of the Ilissus. According to Pausanias, it was a hill rising from the Ilissus, of a semicircular form in the upper part, and extending thence in two parallel right lines to the bank of the river. The spectators were seated on the turf until Herodes Atticus constructed Pentelic marble steps, and otherwise completed and adorned the stadium. Its actual length was about 630 feet and the breadth of the arena 130 feet. It is supposed to have been capable of holding 40,000 spectators. Extensive ruins of stadia still remain at Sicyon, Delos, and Delphi.

BATHS.—**BALNEÆ.**—**THERMÆ.**—The Greek name is βαλανείον, of which the Roman balneum is only a slight variation, and generally signified a private bath. The bath was in general use among the Greeks, but we have little knowledge of the construction of their baths. The public baths of the Romans were generally called Thermæ, which literally means “warm

waters." In the time of Scipio Africanus, the Roman baths were very simple; it was not until the age of Agrippa, and the emperors after Augustus, that they were built and finished in a style of luxury almost incredible. The public baths were opened at sunrise, and closed at sunset. The price of a bath was a quadrans, the smallest piece of coined money. The usual hour for the bath amongst the Romans was the ninth in winter, and the eighth in summer.

The most complete kind of baths were composed of the following separate rooms or halls:

I. The *Apodyterium* of the Greeks, the *Spoliatorium* of the Romans, where the bathers undressed. Slaves, called *capsarii*, were stationed here, who took care of the clothes.

II. The *Λουτρόν* of the Greeks, the *frigidarium* of the Romans, where cold baths were taken.

III. The *Tepidarium* was a temperate hall, which was merely heated with warm air of an agreeable temperature, in order to prepare the body for the great heat of the vapour and warm baths, and, upon returning, to obviate the danger of a too sudden transition to the open air.

IV. *Concamerata sudatio*, or *sudatorium*, the vapour bath, was of a circular form,* and was surmounted by a cupola. In the centre of this cupola was an opening, from which a bronze shield (*clipeus*) was suspended. This being raised or lowered regulated the temperature of the apartment. In the centre of the room was a vase (*labrum*) for washing the hands and face. In this room was the *laconicum*, a kind of stove, which served to heat the room.

V. The *Caldarium*, called also the *balneum*, *calida lavatio*, was the hot-water bath. In the centre of this was the basin or bath (*lavacrum*; it is also termed *labrum*); around this was a platform (*schola*) or space for the accommodation of those who were waiting for their turn to enter the bath.† As a further accommodation, a seat (*pulvinar*) was generally added.

VI. The *Elæothesium*, or *unctuarium*: in this were kept the oils and perfumes, which were used on coming out of the baths,

* At Pompeii it is a semicircular niche in the caldarium. † See plan.
H 2

as well as before entering them; this was generally in an apodyterium.

VII. The hypocaustum was a description of flue built under the floor (suspensura) of the bath, fires being lit at the end and the hot air thus generated being driven under the floor. It was of course hollow, the floor of the bath being supported on pillars ranged at regular distances, and usually standing from two feet to three feet high.

In some of the larger baths there was a large hall called Piscina, which contained a reservoir for swimming. Separate baths were assigned to the women, generally on the same principle as those for the men, but on a smaller scale.

The Thermæ of Imperial Rome were not alone baths on the grandest scale of refinement and luxury; they also included promenades, planted with trees, and covered alleys, in which the idle took the fresh air. There were stadia where athletes wrestled and exercised themselves; there were numerous galleries, magnificent pinacothecæ, in which painters exhibited their paintings, sculptors their statues; libraries also, and halls for conversation (exedræ), where wise men came to read, philosophers to discuss, orators and poets to recite their prose and verse. Such were the baths of Caracalla.* In these there were not less than sixteen hundred rooms for baths, all separate, and adorned with precious marbles. They were approached by a royal road, and were surrounded by porticoes; the emperor himself had a palace in it, and a private bath. Many masterpieces have been discovered here. The Hercules of Glycon, the Flora and the Toro Farnese were found in its ruins. Baths of granite and basalt, with other treasures have been discovered within its walls. Next to the Colosseum no ruins afford a greater idea of the magnificence of the structures of Imperial Rome. Size, grandeur, and solidity, with, however, a want of taste in the ornamentation and minor details, were the chief characteristic features of Roman architecture. These thermæ were begun in 212 A.D., by Caracalla, and extended by Heliogabalus, and completed by Alexander Severus, and could accommodate one thousand bathers at once. The following is Mr. Fergusson's description of them. "The general plan of the whole enclosure was a square of about 1150 feet each way, with a bold, but graceful curvilinear projection on two

* See plan.

Reservoir:
Cells for bathing.
Rooms for conversation.
D U
W



A. A circular room, over which was a roof of copper.

A	A circular room, over which was a roof of copper.	U	Cells for bathing.
B	Apodyterium.	W	Rooms for conversation.
C	The great hall.	X	Cisterna.
D	The plectra, or natatio.	Y	The coniatorium.
E, F	Vestibules.	Z	Ornamental recesses for seats.
G, H	Rooms for wrestlers to prepare for the palestra.	1	Seats for spectators.
I	Peristyles for exercise.	2	Apartment for those who had care of the baths.
J	The ephebeum, or place of exercise.	3	Exedrae, where gymnastic exercises were taught.
K	The Eleothesium.	4	Rooms for those who exercised in the stadium.
L	Vestibules.	5	Atria to the academies.
M	Laconicum.	6	Temples.
N	Caldaria, or warm bath.	7	Academies.
O	Tepidarium.	8	Arcades for walking.
P	Frigidarium.	9	Covered baths.
Q	Rooms for spectators.	10	Stairs leading to the top.
R	Exedrae, for philosophers.		
S	The stadium.		

BATHS OF CARACALLA.

[See face page 100.]

THE NEW YORK
PUBLIC LIBRARY

ASTOR, LENOX AND
TILDEN FOUNDATIONS.


sides, containing porticoes, gymnasia, lecture rooms, and other halls for exercise of mind and body. In the rear were the reservoirs to contain the requisite supply of water, and below them the hypocaust or furnace, by which it was warmed with a degree of scientific skill we hardly give the Romans of that age credit for. Opposite to this and facing the street was one great portico extending the whole length of the building, into which opened a range of apartments meant apparently to be used as private baths, which extend also some way up each side. In front of the hypocaust, facing the north-east, was a semi-circus, or *theatridium*, 530 feet long, where youths performed their exercises, or contended for prizes.

These parts were, however, merely the accessories of the establishment surrounding the garden, in which the principal building was placed. This was a rectangle 730 feet by 380 feet, with a projection covered by a dome on the south-western side. There were two small courts (H H) included in the block, but nearly the whole of the rest appears to have been roofed over.

In the centre was a great hall (C) 82 feet wide by 170 feet in length, and roofed by an intersecting vault, in three compartments, springing from eight great pillars. This opened into a smaller apartment at each end of rectangular form, and then again into two other semicircular halls, forming a splendid suite 460 feet in length. This central room is generally considered as the *tepidarium*, or warmed apartments, having four warm baths opening out of it. On the north-east side was the *natatio*, or plunge bath (O) probably tepid, a room of nearly the same dimensions and design as the central one. On the side opposite to this was the circular apartment (A), covered by the dome above-mentioned, which from its situation, and the openness of its arrangements, must have contained a cold bath or baths. There are four other rooms on this side, which seem also to have been cold baths.

Diocletian erected baths on the Quirinal, and Titus on the Esquiline. They are inferior, however, in size and magnificence to those of Caracalla. The baths of Titus are remarkable for the exquisite frescoes which were painted on its walls, and have been imitated by Raphael. Agrippa also erected baths. Remains of them have been found in the rear of the Pantheon. The site of the baths of Nero is uncertain. The baths of Pompeii are built on a smaller scale, such as would be suited to a

provincial town. The Romans carried the luxurious practice of bathing into their remotest provinces. Remains of Roman baths are found in several parts of France and England.



PLAN OF BATHS, POMPEII.

MEN'S BATHS.

- a o p Entrances.
- b Apodyterium.
- c Frigidarium.
- d Tepidarium.
- e Caldarium.
- f Lavacrum.
- g Labrum.
- h Apartments for stokers.
- i Furnace.
- j Passage.

- k Reservoir for cold water.
- l Room for attendants.
- m Court or vestibule to the baths.
- n Colonnade.

WOMEN'S BATHS.

- o Entrance.
- p Apodyterium.
- q Tepidarium.
- r Caldarium.
- s Labrum.
- t Court.

TRIUMPHAL ARCHES.—Triumphal arches were structures peculiar to the Romans. They generally consisted of arches erected at the entrance of cities, across streets, bridges, and public

roads, in honour of victorious generals or emperors, or in commemoration of some remarkable event. At Rome they were generally placed in the way along which the triumphal procession passed to the Capitol. Sometimes temporary arches were erected during the triumph, and the more durable afterwards. The more simple structures had but a single arch, decorated with Corinthian columns, such as the arch of Titus at Rome; that of Verona has two arches, and seems to have served as gates to the town. In those with three arches, the two lateral arches are smaller than the middle one; such is that of Constantine at Rome. The arches of this kind were surmounted by a very lofty attic, which bore inscriptions, sometimes bas-reliefs, and also supported triumphal cars, equestrian statues. Its spandrels were ornamented with Victories bearing palms. The bas-reliefs represented the arms of the conquered enemies, trophies of every kind, and even the monuments of art which had adorned the triumphal procession. When the conqueror in the triumphal procession passed under the middle arch, a figure of victory, attached by cords, placed a crown on his head. When a triumphal arch was erected as a monument of gratitude, or in commemoration of some event, and not in honour of a conqueror, no remains of trophies or military symbols are to be found on them. There are a number of triumphal arches still remaining. The principal are:—1. The arch of Drusus is considered the oldest triumphal arch in Rome, and was erected by the senate to Nero Claudius Drusus, father of the emperor Claudius. It is a single arch, built chiefly of travertine, with cornices of marble, and two marble columns on each side, of the composite order. 2. The arch of Titus, the most elegant of all the triumphal arches, was erected by the senate and the people in honour of Titus, to commemorate the conquest of Jerusalem (A.D. 70), and was dedicated to him under his successor Domitian in 81, as the inscription (the term *divus* being only applied to a deceased emperor) on the side next the Colosseum, records: “Senatus populusque Romanus divo Tito divi Vespasiani filio Vespasiano Augusto.” It consists of a single arch of white marble, with fluted columns of the composite order on each side. 2. Some of the vessels and ornaments which belonged to the Temple at Jerusalem, and which were carried in the triumphal procession, appear on one of the bas-reliefs in the interior of the arch. On the opposite bas-relief the emperor is represented in

a car, drawn by four horses, attended by senators, and crowned with laurel. In the centre of the vault of the arch is a bas-relief representing the apotheosis of Titus. 3. The arch of Septimius Severus. This arch was erected in honour of Septimius and his two sons, Caracalla and Geta, to commemorate two triumphs over the Parthians (A.D. 205). On the summit stood a car, drawn by six horses abreast, containing the statues of the emperor and his sons, as represented on coins. This arch stands at the foot of the Capitol. It is of white marble, and consists of one large arch, with a smaller one on each side, with a lateral communication from one to the other. It is ornamented with eight fluted composite pillars, and has bas-reliefs on each front. 4. The arch of Constantine was erected by the senate in honour of Constantine's victory over Maxentius. This, the largest and most imposing of the arches in Rome, consists of one large arch, with a smaller one on each side, and is ornamented with eight Corinthian columns, surmounted by statues of Dacian captives. The bas-reliefs with which it is decorated are supposed to have come from an arch of Trajan, which stood in his forum. It stands between the Coelian and the Palatine hills, near the Colosseum, and was built in the Via Triumphalis. 5. The so-called arch of Janus was probably not a triumphal arch. There is no certainty with regard to the date or purpose of this arch. Of arches built to commemorate remarkable events, we may notice, in particular, that of Trajan, on the mole at Ancona. It was erected by the senate and people, A.D. 115, in honour of Trajan, for having, at his own expense, constructed the mole, and having thus rendered the port safer to navigators. Another arch erected by the senate and people to Trajan at Beneventum, when he repaired the Via Appia, is not only remarkable for its excellent preservation, but also as affording, perhaps, the best specimen of Roman workmanship existing. It is 53 feet high. It consists of a single arch of Parian marble, and is entire with the exception of part of the cornice; both its sides are adorned with four Corinthian pillars raised on high pedestals. The frieze and panels, as well as the interior of the arch, are covered with rich sculpture, representing Trajan's achievements and his apotheosis. The figures are in alto-relievo and exquisitely executed. Triumphal arches have been erected in several parts of the Roman empire. Many are to be found in various parts of Italy, at Aquino, Aosta, Susa, Rimini, Pola in Istria; several in the

south of France, of which the most remarkable are those of Orange, Nismes, Saint Chamas, Saintes—the latter two are built on bridges. They also are met with in Macedonia, Athens, Syria, and in Barbary; in Egypt also, at Antinoe, there is a gate which is considered a triumphal arch.

AGORÆ.—In Grecian cities the agora was the centre both of political and social life. It was here that the assemblies of the people were originally held, and it was not till the riper years of Athenian history that a separate place, the Pnyx, was set apart for them. It was in and about the agora, as being the heart of the city, that the legislative chambers, the courts of law, and the other establishments for conducting the public business, were placed; and from this cause, as well as from the largest resort for purposes of traffic, the agora became the seat, not only of the finest public buildings, but also of the principal monuments erected in honour of public men. The open space was enclosed by porticoes or colonnades, divided into separate parts for the various occupations which were pursued in it, and adorned with statues, altars, and temples. The agora at Athens lay at the north-west foot of the Acropolis; it formed a parallelogram of about 350 yards in length from east to west, and 250 in breadth from north to south. On the south side were the *Στοὰ Βασιλῆως*, or Royal Portico, so called, apparently, because the archon basileus took his seat in it during his year of office. Next followed the Metroum, or temple of the mother of the gods. Near it was the Bouleuterium, or senate house of the five hundred. In the Agora was the altar of the twelve gods. Like the umbilicus in the Roman forum, it appears to have been the standard point for measuring distances.

FORA.—An important feature in a Roman city or town is the Forum. In the early ages of Rome one open space probably served for all the public meetings of the people, whether for the purposes of traffic, for the administration of justice, or for meetings to deliberate upon public affairs. As wealth and splendour increased, and business became more complicated, it was found inconvenient to have so many different occupations carried on together, and two classes of fora arose—*civilia*, those devoted to the purposes of a place of assembly, and *venalia*, mere markets.

Vitruvius has laid down rules for the plan of a Forum Civile. According to him it should be of an oblong form, the breadth being about two-thirds of the length. Adjoining the forum should be situated the basilica, and around it the public buildings, temples, porticoes, and shops. The basilica was a court of justice; it was also used as an exchange. Vitruvius directs that it should be placed in the warmest side of the forum, so that the merchants might assemble there in winter, without being inconvenienced by the cold. According to Vitruvius, the treasury, prisons, and curia, should also adjoin the forum. The curia was the council house, where the senate and chief magistrates met to consult and deliberate.

The Roman forum was destined for the transaction of public business. Here the comitia were held, here the orators harangued, and through it the triumphal processions passed on their way to the Capitol. Its shape was that of an irregular parallelogram, extending from the foot of the Capitol in the direction of the arch of Titus. Its length was 630 feet and its breadth varied from 190 to 100 feet. At the end near the Capitol were the Rostra or Orator's Tribune, a name derived from the iron prows of the war-ships of Antium, with which the tribune was adorned after the capture of that town in B.C. 338, at the north end of which was the Umbilicus urbis Romæ, or ideal centre of the city and empire. At the south end was the Milliarium Aureum, or central milestone, from which the great roads diverged to the several gates of Rome. It was a gilt marble pillar set up by Augustus in B.C. 28. The most important public building in the Forum was the Curia Hostilia, the place of meeting of the senate, which was said to have been erected by Tullus Hostilius. It was pulled down by Julius Cæsar. A new building was erected by Augustus partly on its site, and was called the Curia Julia.

The celebrated temple of Janus, which had its doors open during war, and shut during peace, stood in front of the Curia. Several other buildings were erected at different periods in the Forum. The Basilica Porcia was erected on the north side by Cato in 184. In 179 followed the Basilica Æmilia, and in 169 the Basilica Sempronia. The spacious Basilica Julia was erected by Julius Cæsar on the south side of the Forum. In the course of time a number of temples, public buildings, and monuments were erected along the sides of the Forum. The open space

in the centre was the Comitium, where the legal assemblies of the people were wont to be held. There were several other fora in Rome: the Forum Julium, of Cæsar, the Forum Augusti, of Augustus, were built as the old forum was not sufficient for the great increase of public business which had taken place. The Forum of Trajan, built by the emperor Trajan after the designs of Apollodorus, was the most splendid of all the fora. It was a large rectangular court, surrounded with porticoes, having a double row of columns, and comprehending a basilica, two libraries, and a splendid temple with its enclosure. The column of Trajan was in the centre of a court adjoining the Basilica Ulpia in this Forum. The Forum of Vespasian had in its centre the magnificent temple of Peace, dedicated by Vespasian in A.D. 75, four years after the triumph he celebrated in commemoration of the capture of Jerusalem, on which occasion the building had been begun. A smaller forum was that of Nerva, also called Transitorium. Although these buildings of the emperors were called fora, yet they were in no respect similar in arrangement to the old Forum. Each had its temple in the centre of a walled court surrounded with porticoes, and resembled a Greek temple with its sacred enclosure more than an open market place with buildings of different kinds standing round it. The tribunals were placed and the courts of justice held either in the temples, or in the hemicycles, and the offices of business for bankers, notaries, government officials, or merchants, were under the arcades which ran round the court. The other fora in Rome, were Fora Venalia, only market-places, such as the forum boarium, the cattle market; forum olitorium, the vegetable market; forum piscatorium, the fish market. The forum at Pompeii illustrates the plan laid down by Vitruvius. It is of an oblong form; at one end are the curia, prisons and treasury, at the other end the temple of Jupiter; at the sides are the basilica, the temples of Venus and Mercury, a granary, a chalcidicum, and the whole was surrounded by porticoes.

SECTION VIII.—PUBLIC AND MILITARY ROADS— BRIDGES—GATEWAYS—AQUEDUCTS.

PUBLIC AND MILITARY ROADS.—Frequent intercourse between different nations led to the necessity of finding means of communication, and thus recourse was had to the plan of laying

down and constructing roads. All nations constructed them with more or less solidity and perfection. Roads and pathways have been constructed in Egypt with much care; but it seems that the Greeks did not give that attention to the laying down of public roads which would have rendered them useful and convenient. The public roads are among the things which Strabo mentions as having been neglected by the Greeks: no people equalled the Romans in this kind of public constructions, which were mainly formed to facilitate military movements. All the works of the Romans,—their roads, their aqueducts, their bridges—speak plainly of their practical, utilitarian spirit. The invention of paved roads was borrowed from the Carthaginians by the Romans.

ROMAN ROADS.—Rome was the central point to which all roads converged, by numerous branches which thus united the most remote provinces. In the early ages of the republic, the construction and superintendence of the roads were committed to the censors. Augustus gave particular care to the construction of roads; he established messengers and, later, couriers. The Romans laid out their roads in a straight line, and avoided all winding by filling up valleys, lowering elevations, tunnelling rocks and mountains, and building bridges. Two trenches (*sulci*) in the first place indicated the breadth of the road, the loose earth between the trenches was then removed, and this excavation as far as the solid ground (*gremium*) was filled with materials to the height fixed on for the road. Some Roman roads were near twenty feet over the solid ground. The lowest course, the *statumen*, was composed of small stones; the second, called the *rudus*, was a mass of broken stones cemented with lime; the third, the *nucleus*, was composed of a mixture of lime, clay, fragments of brick and pottery beaten together; on this was placed the fourth course, the *summum dorsum*, composed of a pavement of flat stones, selected for their hardness, cut into irregular polygons, and sometimes into rectangular slabs. When the fourth course, or pavement, was not put on, the surface was a mixture of pounded gravel and lime. The ordinary breadth of the principal Roman roads was from thirteen to fifteen feet. It was divided into three parts, the middle, somewhat larger, was paved and slightly curved; the two lateral parts were covered with gravel; some roads, however, were only

eight feet wide. Foot paths (margines, umbones) were raised upon each side and strewed with gravel. On the principal roads there were frequently to be seen temples, arches of triumph, villas, and especially sepulchral monuments, which recalled to the passers-by the memory of illustrious men, or of memorable events.

We shall now mention the principal military roads, which were the means of communication between Rome and the provinces.

I. The Via Appia, or Regina Viarum, was commenced B.C. 312, by Appius Claudius Cæcus, the censor. It commenced at the Porta Capena, passed through Aricia, Tres Tabernæ, Appii Forum, Terracina, Fundi, Formiæ, Minturnæ, Sinuessa, Casilinum, and terminated at Capua; it was afterwards prolonged through Calatia and Caudium to Beneventum, and thence, through Venusia, Tarentum, and Uria, to Brundisium; this extension being made, it is said, by Trajan. It became not only the great line of communication with Southern Italy, but with Greece, and with the most remote eastern possessions of Rome. At Brundisium there was a magnificent port, which was the principal point of communication with Greece. This road was famous for the number, beauty and richness of the sepulchral monuments with which its sides were lined. A number of them, extending for over eight miles beyond the tomb of Cæcilia Metella, have been lately discovered and brought to light by the energy and skill of the late Commendatore Canina.

II. Via Latina. This road issued from the Porta Capena. It also led to Beneventum, but kept more inland than the Via Appia. It passed through Anagnia, Frusino, Aquinum, Casinum, Venafrum, and joined the Via Appia at Beneventum. Several tombs, painted with great elegance and taste, have been lately discovered on this road, about two miles from Rome.

III. Via Labicana. It commenced at the Porta Esquilina, it passed Labicum, and joined the Via Latina at the station ad Bivium about thirty miles from Rome.

IV. Via Prænestina, formerly Via Gabina, began at the Porta Esquilina. It passed Gabii and Præneste, and then merged in the Via Latina below Anagnia.

V. Via Tiburtina, so called from its leading to Tibur or Tivoli. It issued from the gate of the same name. It was

continued from Tibur, through the country of the Sabines, to Adria under the name of the Via Valeria.

VI. Via Nomentana, so called from its leading to Nomentum, a Sabine town. It began originally at the Porta Collina, and afterwards from the Porta Nomentana. It crossed the Anio about three miles from Rome, and joined the Via Salaria at Eretum. This road was also called Ficulnensis, from Ficulnea, another town of the Sabines, the situation of which has been lately discovered, about seven miles from the Porta Nomentana.

VII. Via Salaria. It ran from the Porta Salaria, so called from the circumstance of the Sabines coming for salt, which gave the name to the road also. It traversed the Sabine and Picenian country to Reate and Asculum Picenum. It then proceeded towards the coast, which it followed until it merged in the Via Flaminia at Ancona.

VIII. Via Flaminia. It began from the Porta Flaminia (del Popolo). It was commenced in the censorship of C. Flaminius and L. Æmilius Papus, u.c. 533. It went by Otriculum (Otricoli), Interamna (Terni), Fanum Fortunæ (Fano), to Ariminum (Rimini). Then the Via Æmilia began, which was constructed u.c. 567, when M. Æmilius Lepidus was consul. It passed by Bononia (Bologna), Parma, Placentia, Mediolanum (Milan), Brixia (Brescia), Verona, Patavium (Padua), to Aquileia. The Via Cassia struck off from the Via Flaminia near the Pons Milvius (Ponte Molle). It passed near Veii, and then traversed Etruria, until it joined the Via Aurelia at Luna.

IX. Via Aurelia. It issued from the Porta Aurelia, and approached the sea at Alsium (Palo), and then went along the Etruscan and Ligurian coast. It extended as far as Gaul. Via Vitellia also issued from the Porta Aurelia.

X. Via Portuensis. It led from the Porta Portuensis to the Portus Trajani, near the mouth of the Tiber. A branch of this road is called the Via Campana.

XI. Via Ostiensis. It issued from the Porta Ostiensis; keeping the left bank of the Tiber, it led to Ostia, near the mouth of the Tiber. The Via Ardeatina and the Via Laurentina branched off from this road at a short distance from Rome. The first led to Ardea, the second to Laurentum. The Via Severiana was a continuation of the Via Ostiensis, along the coast through Laurentum, Antium, Circeii, to Terracina.

BRIDGES.—It is evident that bridges, at the early periods of Greece, were never used, as well from the smallness of the rivers as from their almost total ignorance of the use of the arch. If any bridge was used, it is probable that it was built entirely of wood, being nothing more than a wooden platform, supported upon stone piers at each extremity. Mr. Newton mentions an ancient bridge near Cnidus, which he considers to be Hellenic. It is formed by horizontal courses of stone approaching each other gradually, and converging at the apex into an acute angle, instead of a curvilinear arch. The roadway over this bridge is 24 feet wide. It is built of blue limestone of moderate size, and the masonry is certainly Hellenic. At the isthmus of Corinth, near to the Hieron, is a bridge of unquestioned Hellenic work with a true arch.

Roman.—The earliest bridges of the Romans were of timber, such was that which joined the Janiculum to the Mons Aventinus, called the Pons Sublicius from the beams (sublices) of which it was composed. The Romans were the first people who availed themselves of their knowledge of the arch to apply it to the construction of bridges. They were thus enabled to erect structures on the grandest scale, and of such solidity that many still remain at the present day in the Roman provinces to attest their strength and utility. The passage way of the Roman bridge was divided into three parts: the centre one, for horses and carriages, was denominated agger or iter; and the raised footpaths on each side (decursoria), which were enclosed by parapet walls. We shall now mention the principal bridges in Rome, and some of the most remarkable in the provinces. Eight bridges across the Tiber are enumerated by P. Victor as belonging to the city of Rome.

I. Pons Sublicius. This was the first bridge ever constructed in Rome. It was so called from Sublices, a Volscian term for the wooden beams of which it was built. It was erected by Ancus Martius, and became celebrated for the feat of Horatius Cocles. It was destroyed by a great flood in the reign of Augustus.*

* It has been commonly assumed that the stone piers now visible in the bed of the river near the foot of the Aventine, belonged to the Sublician bridge. But this seems very unlikely, as the Sublician bridge was always constructed of wood and supported on piles. The most probable account

II. Pons Æmilius.* It was begun by M. Fulvius Nobilior and M. Æmilius Lepidus, u.c. 574, and finished by Scipio Africanus and L. Mummius, u.c. 611. The bridge was named after M. Æmilius Lepidus as Pontifex Maximus. It afterwards bore the name Pons Lapidius, from being the first stone bridge built over the Tiber. Some antiquaries have also called it Pons Senatorius. A few arches still remain. It is now called Ponte Rotto.

III., IV. Pons Fabricius and Pons Cestius connected the Insula Tiberina with the opposite sides of the river. The Pons Fabricius was built by L. Fabricius, in the year of Rome 692. It was also called Tarpeius. It is now called the Ponte Quattro Capi. It consists of two large arches and a smaller one between them, through which the water runs when it is very high. The Pons Cestius leads out of the island towards the Janiculum. Who Cestius was, from whom the bridge takes its name, is unknown.

V. Pons Aurelius or Janiculensis. The date of this bridge is unknown. Some ascribe it to Trajan, some to Antoninus Pius. It is now called Ponte Sisto.

VI. Pons Triumphalis, so called because the generals who had conquered in the north and west of Rome passed over this bridge in triumphal procession on their way to the Capitol. It was also called Pons Vaticanus and Neronianus, as it led to the Vatican and the gardens of Caligula and Nero. When the water of the Tiber is low the ruins of this bridge may be seen at the bend of the river just below the Ponte St. Angelo.

VII. Pons Ælius was built by the emperor Adrian as an approach to his mausoleum. Medals of Adrian represent it nearly as we see it at the present day, for it has come down to the present time nearly perfect. It consists of three large arches of equal size, and a smaller one on each side. It is now called Ponte St. Angelo.

which can be given of these ruined piers, is that they belonged to the bridge of Probus, mentioned by the catalogue of the Curiosum, last in order of the eight bridges.—Burn, Rome, p. 263.

* The name Palatinus, writes Mr. Burn, commonly given by the Italian topographers to this bridge, is not found in the genuine text of the notitia, but is an insertion of the Regionarii.

VIII. Pons Milvius, on the Via Flaminia, of which the modern name, Ponte Molle, is evidently a corruption. It is stated to have been built by Æmilius Scaurus, who was censor u.c. 644. It was repaired by Augustus. Near this bridge took place the celebrated battle between Maxentius and Constantine, which decided the fate of the Roman empire, A.D. 312.


The Pons Narniensis, on the Flaminian way, is considered the noblest relic of the imperial times. It was built by Augustus over the river Nar, near Narni, about sixty miles from Rome. It originally consisted of four arches, three of which are broken. The height of the arches was about 112 feet.

No modern bridge can equal the stupendous construction built by Trajan over the Danube. It consisted of twenty piers of stone, 60 Roman feet broad and 150 feet high, without the foundations, above the bed of the river. The width between each pier was 170 feet, the piers were united by arches of wood. Another remarkable Roman bridge is that at Alcantara, in Spain. It was built in the reign of Trajan, A.D. 108, over the Tagus, by the architect Caius Julius Lacer, who was buried near his work. It consists of six arches. The two central arches are about 100 feet span. The roadway is perfectly level, and is 600 feet long by 28 feet wide. It is 140 feet above the usual level of the river.

Etruscan.—Though the Etruscans were acquainted with the principle of the arch, bridges are rarely met with in Etruria. The Ponte della Badia, at Vulci, is evidently a Roman arch built on Etruscan buttresses, which were the piers of the original bridge, and which may have been connected by an horizontal frame of wood-work.


GATEWAYS.—The earliest and simplest form of Grecian or Etruscan gateways, or entrances to cities, was the earliest known plan or attempt at an arch, which was by sloping the jambs and placing a long block of stone as a lintel over them; an early instance of this style will be found in the gateway of Segni. This style of gateway is always found in connection with the polygonal style of masonry. The next form of gateway adopted was that which was generally used in the second stage of the development of the principle of the arch, which was formed by placing horizontal courses of stones, projecting one over another,

from both sides, till they met at the top, and then cutting the ends of the projecting stones in a curvilinear form, as may be seen in a gateway at Thoricus in Attica, and in the almost



GATEWAY AT SEGNI.

identical one at Arpino.* This style of gateway, and other similar attempts at the principle of the arch, are always in connection with those walls which are built of blocks laid in hori-




GATE OF ARPINO.

* Another instance of this style of arch occurs in the Regulini-Galassi tomb, at Cervetri. Other instances are also met with in the so-called treasury of Atreus at Mycenæ, in gateways at Assos, and at Missolonghi. But, as Mr. Dennis very justly observes, "It is a mode not peculiar to one race, or to one age, or the result of a particular class of materials, but is the expedient naturally adopted in the formation of arches, vaults, and domes, by those who are ignorant of the cuneiform principle; and it is therefore to be found in the earliest structures of

Egypt, Greece, Italy, and other parts of the old world, as well as in those of the semi-civilised races of the new." Arches of a similar style occur at Uxmal, and in gateways at Labna and Kabah in Yucatan, Central America.

zontal courses, and are to be met with both in Etruria and Greece, for there was a correspondence in the sequence and development of styles in arches and walls among the Etruscans and Greeks. The more perfectly developed form, the voussoired or radiating arch, is found in the gateways of Volterra, Falleri, Pæstum, and Pompeii, in connection with the regular horizontal style of masonry.

The discovery of this style of arch is generally attributed to Etruria; the existence, however, of semicircular arches in Egypt, Nineveh, and Ethiopia, of an ancient date, has inclined some antiquaries to contest the honour of originality with Etruria. It is not, however, inconsistent with the independent progress



BRICK ARCH AT THEBES. TIME OF THOTMES III.


of development, that the principle of the arch may have been worked out independently by the Etruscans, while carrying out and following up the development of that principle of the arch. The earliest arch of which we can fix the date in Egypt is a brick arch of elliptical form, 8 feet 6 inches span, in one of the tombs of the queens, bearing the name of Amenophis I., and dating back to 1822 B.C. Another brick arch occurs at Thebes, bearing the name of Thotmes III. In the so-called Campbell's Tomb, near the Pyramids of the supposed date of about 660 B.C., of the



1



2



3




4

GATES AT CENIADÆ.*

* Col. Mure in his travels in Greece gives drawings of gateways at the ruins of Ceniadæ, which offer a distinct gradation of expedients for covering in such structures, from the simple flat arch, trave to the regularly vaulted arch. 2, 3, are the development of the principle. 4, an approximation to the perfect arch.

time of Psammeticus, was found a perfectly formed semicircular arch of brick, but it is not voussoired or radiating, the bricks being placed longitudinally, as is always the case in Egyptian arches. In his researches at Nimroud, Layard discovered vaulted drains and chambers below the north-west and south-west edifices, which were consequently as old as the eighth or ninth century before our era. They were of both circular and pointed forms and built apparently with great care and attention to the principles of the arch. The city gates at Khorsabad were spanned by arches of semicircular form, so perfect both in construction and in the mode in which they were ornamented, as to prove that in the time of Sargon, the arch was a usual and well-understood building expedient, and one consequently which we may justly assume to have been long in use. The earliest example of the voussoired or radiating stone arch in Europe mentioned in history, and now extant, is that of the Cloaca Maxima, at Rome, constructed under the early kings, which is of undoubted Etruscan origin. It is in three rims, and shows a perfect knowledge of the principle of the arch. Its perfection, as Mr. Dennis remarks, might lead us to suppose a long previous acquaintance with this construction.* The first instance of the application of the arch to a bridge appears to have been in the Pons Palatinus.




ARCH IN CAMPBELL'S TOMB.

At a later date, some cities were entered by double gates, with

* One of the finest specimens of an ancient arch in Etruria, is that on the site of Gravisca, discovered by Mr. Dennis. It is not inferior to that of the Cloaca Maxima in span, being about 14 feet, while the masonry is on a much larger scale. The voussoirs are from 5 to 6 feet in depth, those of the Cloaca Maxima being only 2½. This form of arch is common to Etruria with many other parts of the world, but common neither from direct transmission nor from common origin, but because in all times and places like causes produce like effects, and the arch, like many other things, has been invented over and over again.

arches, one designed for carriages entering, and the other for carriages leaving the city. As at Como, Verona, and in a magnificent example at Treves. In other instances, as at Pompeii, we find only one arched gate for carriages, but a smaller one at each side of it for foot passengers.

There were thirty-one gates in the walls of Rome, not including those in the wall of Romulus. In this wall there were three, the number prescribed by the rules of the Etruscan religion.



GATE AT POMPEII.

1. Porta Mugonia, at the northern slope of the Palatine. 2. P. Romanula, at the western angle of the hill. 3. The name and position of the third gate is not known.

The situations of about fourteen gates can be identified in the walls of Servius. 1. Porta Collina, at the northern extremity of the Agger. 2. P. Viminalis, in the centre of the Agger. 3. P. Esquilina, on the site of the arch of Gallienus, which probably replaced it. 4. P. Querquetulana, south of the Esquilina. 5. P. Coelimontana, on the Coelian hill. 6. P. Capena, one of the most celebrated of all the Roman gates, from which issued the Via Appia. The situation of this gate has been clearly determined. It stood at the south-west foot of the Coelian, on the spot now occupied by the grounds of the Villa Mattei. 7, 8. P. Raudusculana and P. Nœvia lay between the Coelian and the Aventine. 9. P. Minucia, on the south of the Aventine. 10. P. Trigemina, on the north-west of the Aventine, near the Tiber. 11. P. Flumentana, near the south-west slope of the

Capitol, close to the Tiber. 12. P. Carmentalis, at the foot of the south-west slope of the Capitol. 13. P. Ratumena, at the north-west slope of the Capitoline. 14. P. Fontinalis, on the west slope of the Quirinal. According to Mr. Burn, the Porta Triumphalis was situated between the Porta Flumentana and Carmentalis. It was kept shut, except on the occasion of a triumphal entry. Of these gates not a vestige now remains.

In the Aurelian walls there were fourteen gates, most of which derived their names from the roads issuing from them. These were, on the north side: 1. P. Flaminia, now del Popolo, whence issued the Via Flaminia. 2. P. Pinciana, on the hill of the same name. 3. P. Salaria, extant under the same name, but restored in modern times. 4. P. Nomentana, leading to the ancient P. Collina, near the present Porta Pia. On the east side: 5. P. Tiburtina. The road to Tibur (Tivoli) issues from this gate. It is now Porta St. Lorenzo. 6. P. Prænestina. The road to Præneste (Palestrina) issues from this gate. It is now Porta Maggiore. On the south side: 7. P. Asinaria, on the site of the modern Porta St. Giovanni. 8. P. Metronis, or Metrovia, which has now disappeared, probably near the foot of the Coelian hill. 9. P. Latina, now walled up. 10. P. Appia, now Porta San Sebastiano. The Via Appia which commenced at the Porta Capena of the wall of Servius ran through this gate. 11. P. Ostiensis, leading to Ostia, now Porta San Paolo. On the west side: 12. P. Portuensis, on the other side of the Tiber near the river, from which issued the road to Portus. 13. P. Aurelia, on the west slope of the Janiculus, now Porta S. Pancrazio. 14. P. Septimiana, near the Tiber, which was destroyed by Alexander VI.

The number of the gates of Athens is unknown, and the position of many of them uncertain: but the following list contains the most important.* On the west side were:—1. Dipylum (Δίπυλον, more anciently Θριασίαι or Κεραμικαί). It lead from the inner Ceramicus to the outer Ceramicus, and to the Academy. The Sacred way also leading to Eleusis issued from this gate. It is the only gate on whose site anything like certainty can be pronounced. 2. The Sacred Gate (αἱ Ἱερὰὶ Πύλαι), supposed to be identical with the Dipylum. 3. The Piræan Gate (ἡ Πειραικὴ), to the north of the Nymph hill, leading to the Piræus. 4. The


* We here follow Dr. Dyer.

Knight's Gate (*αἱ Ἰππαδες π.*), probably between the hill of the Nymphs and the Pnyx. 5. The Melitian Gate (*αἱ Μελιτιδες π.*), so called because it led to the demus Melite. It was between the Pnyx and the Museum hill. On the south side: 7. The Itonian Gate (*αἱ Ἰτωνίαι π.*), near the Ilissus, where the road to Phalerum began. 8. The Diomean Gate (*ἡ Διόμεια π.*), leading to Cynosarges and the demus Diomea. On the east side: 9. The Gate of Diogenes (*αἱ Διοχάρους π.*), leading to the Lyceum. On the north side: 10. The Acharnian Gate (*αἱ Ἀχαρνικαὶ π.*), leading to the demus Acharnæ.

AQUEDUCTS.—The supply of water in the Grecian towns in early times was chiefly from springs and cisterns hewn in the rock. The insufficiency of water from these sources led to the necessity of receiving larger supplies through tunnels and conduits from hills near the towns. In Athens, under the rule of Pisistratus (B.C. 560) an extensive series of works was completed to bring water from the hills Hymettus, Pentelicus, and Parnes. From Hymettus were two conduits passing under the bed of the Ilissus, and the most part of the course was cut in the rock. Pentelicus, richer in water, supplied another conduit. Outside Athens those two conduits met in a large reservoir, from which the water was distributed by a ramification of underground channels throughout the city. Aqueducts were scarcely known in Greece before the time of the Romans. In Italy, in early times, constructions for the conveyance of water, consisted of an oblong basin, divided into several chambers, which received the water of a spring, and then distributed it by pipes (*tubi, fistulæ*) or canals. Of these there is an example at Tusculum. The basin is built of blocks of stone, which, along the sides, overlap each other, till they meet and form a roof.

At a later period aqueducts were used by the Romans. Remains of those stupendous structures are to be met with not only in the neighbourhood of Rome, but also throughout the Roman provinces in Europe, Asia, and Africa. They were apparent or subterranean. The latter, which sometimes traversed considerable space, and were carried through rocks, contained pipes (*fistulæ, tubuli*) of lead or *terra cotta*, frequently marked either with the name of the potter, or the name of the consuls in whose time it was laid down. At convenient points, in the course of these aqueducts, as it was necessary from the water

being conveyed through pipes, there were reservoirs (*piscinæ*), in which the water might deposit any sediment that it contained. Vitruvius has given rules for the laying down of pipes, and for forming reservoirs. The apparent aqueducts were built on the most stupendous scale. Hills were pierced through by tunnels, and valleys crossed either by solid substructions or arches of masonry, according to the height required, bringing water from sources varying from thirty to sixty miles in distance. At one



AQUEDUCT.

period of the history of Rome no less than twenty aqueducts stretched their long line of arches, and brought as many different streams of water, across the wide plain or Campagna in which the city stands. For the most part they were built of brick, and consisted of nearly square piers running up to the same height—a slight and uniform declivity being necessarily maintained—and connected by semicircular arches, over which the conduit (*specus*, *canalis*) ran. This conduit had a paved or tiled floor, and was enclosed laterally by walls of brick or stone lined with cement, and with a transverse arch, or by a simple flat coping of stone. The water either ran directly through this conduit, or was

carried through pipes laid along its floor. These aqueducts were either simple, double or triple, according as they were composed of a single, two, or three tiers of arches. At the termination of the aqueduct, within the city, was a vast reservoir called *castellum*, which formed the head of the water, from which it was conducted through pipes into smaller reservoirs, and thence was distributed through the city, thus supplying the public fountains, baths, and houses. The chief *castellum* was, externally, a highly decorated building. The so-called trophies of Marius, at Rome, are supposed by Piranesi to have been ornaments of a *castellum* or reservoir of the Aqua Julia. Excavations made some years ago seem to confirm his opinion. Remains of works of art found near the *castellum* prove that it must have had a very ornamental exterior.

We shall begin our enumeration of the principal aqueducts by the most ancient in Rome. Before the year of Rome, 441, the city was supplied with water from the Tiber only. In that year (B.C. 313) Appius Claudius, the censor, constructed an aqueduct which brought water from a distance of seven miles; it was called Aqua Appia, after him. It began to the left of the Via Prænestina; and, according to Frontinus, its whole course, except sixty paces near the Porta Capena, was under ground. This last portion was on arches. No traces of it remain.

The Anio Vetus was constructed by M. Curius Dentatus, B.C. 272, and was finished by M. Fulvius Flaccus. The water was derived from a source of the river Anio, near Augusta, twenty miles beyond Tibur, and about forty-three from Rome. It was built of peperino stone. A small portion of this aqueduct is still visible outside the Porta Maggiore.

The Aqua Marcia was constructed by the prætor, Q. Marcius Rex, by command of the senate, B.C. 144. It had its source in a small stream which runs into the Anio, not far from the present town of Subiaco, about thirty-seven miles from Rome. It was repaired by Agrippa. The latter portion of this aqueduct for about six miles from Rome was on arches, the remains of which form one of the most interesting features of the Roman Campagna. It is remarkable for the excellence and wholesomeness of its water.

The Aqua Tepula was constructed by Cneus Servilius Cæpio

and L. Cassius Longinus, B.C. 127. It had its source near the tenth milestone on the Via Latina.

The Aqua Julia was executed by Agrippa in his ædileship, B.C. 33, and was so called in honour of Julius Cæsar. This aqueduct was a union of three streams: the Aqua Marcia, the Aqua Tepula, and the Aqua Julia, properly so called, which had its source two miles beyond that of the Aqua Tepula. It supplied the Esquiline and Palatine hills. It was built partly on massive substructions and partly on arches. The so-called Sette Sale are supposed to have been a reservoir of the aqueduct for the use of the baths of Titus.

The Aqua Virgo was constructed by Agrippa, under Augustus, to supply his baths. Its source was between the seventh and eighth milestone, on the Via Collatina. It derives its name from the tradition that its source was pointed out by a young girl to some thirsty soldiers. It entered Rome near the Porta Pinciana, from whence it was conducted on arches to the Campus Martius. The greater portion of it was subterranean, a small portion of about 700 paces, was on arches. This aqueduct still supplies a large part of modern Rome.

The Aqua Alsietina, on the right bank of the Tiber, was brought by Augustus, from the lacus Alsietinus, to supply his naumachia. It was about thirty miles long.

The Aqua Claudia was commenced by Caligula, A.D. 36, continued and finished by the emperor Claudius, A.D. 50. The springs from which it derived its water were near the thirty-eighth milestone, on the Via Sublacensis, a few miles from Sublaquem (Subiaco). It was more than forty-six miles long. At the present day a line of arches belonging to this aqueduct extend for about six miles across the Campagna, forming the grandest and the most picturesque vista on the plain near Rome. The arches were afterwards used by Sixtus V. to supply the city from another source, under the name of the Aqua Felice.

The Anio Novus, also built by Claudius, was the longest of all the aqueducts, being nearly fifty-nine miles long. Its source was near the forty-second milestone, on the Via Sublacensis. This aqueduct, with the Aqua Claudia, entered the city over the

present Porta Maggiore, in two channels, one above the other. The upper was the Anio Novus, the lower the Aqua Claudia.

It has been calculated that these nine aqueducts furnished Rome with a supply of water equal to that carried down by a river thirty feet broad by six deep, flowing at the rate of thirty inches a second. There were four other aqueducts of later construction which may be added to the list.

The Aqua Trajana was brought by Trajan from the Lacus Sabatinus (Bracciano) to supply the Janiculus and the Regio Transtiberina. The Aqua Alexandrina was constructed by Alexander Severus; its source was in the lands of Tusculum, about fourteen miles from Rome, between Gabii and the lake Regillus. The Aqua Septimiana seems to have been only a branch of the Aqua Julia, formed to supply the baths of the emperor from whom it takes its name, Septimius Severus. The Aqua Argentia had its source at M. Algidus. Its builder is unknown.

There was also the Aqua Crabra, which had its source near that of the Julia, but the water was so bad, that it was abandoned, and hence was called Aqua Damnata.

These seem to have been the fourteen aqueducts, which were still in use at Rome in the time of Procopius. These magnificent and useful works of the ancient Romans were not confined to the capital alone. Constructions of equal magnificence and utility, some even on a grander scale, are to be found not only in the provinces near Rome, but even in the remotest parts of the empire. Among those constructions to be met with in the provinces, the most remarkable for the scale of its magnificence and grandeur, far exceeding anything of the kind in Italy, is the so-called Pont du Gard, which supplies Nismes with water. It consists of three tiers of arches one above the other: the first tier contains six arches; the second, eleven; the third, thirty-five. The whole height is 182 feet; the channel in which the water runs is three feet high. The aqueduct of Segovia, in Spain, is also a Roman work, exhibiting great perfection and solidity in its construction. It is built entirely of stone, in two ranks of arches, the piers being eight feet wide and eleven in depth; 150 arches still remain. The effect, however, is much marred by the houses and other objects that crowd their bases. In the opinion of Mr. Fergusson the aqueduct at Tarragona bears a character of lightness combined with constructive solidity and elegance unrivalled in any other work of its

class. Constructions of this kind are to be met with at Athens, Corinth, Catania, Salona, Nicomedia, Ephesus, Smyrna, Antioch, Alexandria, in the Troad, Mytilene, Syracuse, Arcueil, Metz, Clermont, Auvergne, Lyon, Evora, Merida.

TOMBS.

Respect for the dead, and a considerate regard for the due performance of the rites of burial, have been distinctive features in man in all ages and countries. Among the Greeks and Romans great importance was attached to the burial of the dead, as, if a corpse remained unburied, it was believed that the spirit of the departed wandered for a hundred years on the hither side of the Styx. Hence it became a religious duty to scatter earth over any unburied body which any one might chance to meet. This was considered sufficient to appease the infernal gods. The earliest tomb was the tumulus or mound of earth, heaped over the dead. It is a form naturally suggested to man in the early stages of his development. There are two classes of primitive tombs, which are evidently of the highest antiquity. The *hypergean*, or raised mounds, or tumuli, and *hypogean*, which are subterranean or excavated. The tumulus may be considered as the most simple and the most ancient form of sepulture. Its adoption was universal among all primitive nations. Such was the memorial raised by the Greeks over the bodies of their heroes. These raised mounds are to be met with in all countries. The Etruscans improved upon this form by surrounding the base with a podium, or supporting wall of masonry, as at the Cocumella at Vulci, and in the Regulini-Galassi tomb. The Lydians adopted a similar improvement in the tomb of Alyattes, near Sardis. The pyramid, which is but a further development in stone of this form of sepulture, is not peculiar to Egypt alone; it has been adopted in several other countries. Examples of subterranean tombs are to be found in Egypt, Etruria, Greece. Those of Egypt and Etruria afford instances of extraordinary labour bestowed in excavating and constructing these subterranean abodes of the dead. The great reverence paid by the Egyptians to the bodies of their ancestors, and their careful preservation of them by embalmment, necessitated a great number and vast extent of tombs. The Egyptians called their earthly dwellings inns, because men stay there but


a brief while; the tombs of the departed they called everlasting mansions, because the dead dwelt in them for ever.

Egyptian.—The pyramids were tombs (see p. 77). These monuments were the last abode of the kings of the early dynasties. They are to be met with in Lower Egypt alone. The Theban kings and their subjects erected no pyramids, and none of their tombs are structural. In Upper Egypt numerous excavations from the living rock in the mountains of the Thebaid received their mortal remains. Nothing can exceed the magnificence and care with which these tombs of the kings were excavated and decorated. It appears to have been the custom with their kings, so soon as they ascended the throne, to begin preparing their final resting place. The excavation seems to have gone on uninterruptedly, year by year, the painting and adornment being finished as it progressed, till the hand of death ended the king's reign, and simultaneously the works of his tomb. The tomb thus became an index of the length of a king's reign as well as of his magnificence. Their entrance, carefully closed, was frequently indicated by a façade cut on the side of the hill. A number of passages, sometimes intersected by deep wells and large halls, finally lead, frequently by concealed entrances, to the large chamber where was the sarcophagus, generally of granite, basalt, or alabaster. The sides of the entire excavation, as well as the roof, were covered with paintings, coloured sculptures, and hieroglyphic inscriptions in which the name of the deceased king was frequently repeated. We generally find represented in them the funeral ceremonies, the procession, the visit of the soul of the deceased to the principal divinities, its offerings to each of them, lastly, its presentation by the god who protected it to the supreme god of the Amenti, the under-world or Hades. The splendour of these works, and the richness and variety of their ornamentation, exceeds all conception; the figures, though in great number, are sometimes of colossal size; frequently scenes of civil life are mingled with funereal representations; the labours of agriculture, domestic occupations, musicians, dances, and furniture of wonderful richness and elegance, are also figured on them; on the ceiling are generally astronomical or astrological subjects. Several tombs of the kings of the 18th dynasty and subsequent dynasties have been found in the valley of Biban-el-Molouk on the western

side of the plain of Thebes. One of the most splendid of these is that opened by Belzoni, and now known as that of Osirei Menepthah, of the 19th dynasty. A sloping passage leads to a chamber which has been called "The Hall of Beauty." Forcing his way farther on, Belzoni found, as a termination to a series of chambers, a large vaulted hall which contained the sarcophagus, which held the body of the monarch, now in Sir John Soane's Museum. The entire extent of this succession of chambers and passages is hollowed to a length of 320 feet into the heart of the rock, and they are profusely covered with the paintings and hieroglyphics usually found in these sepulchral chambers. The tombs of the other kings, Rameses III. and Rameses Miamun, exhibit similar series of passages and chambers, covered with paintings and sculptures, in endless variety, some representing the deepest mysteries of the Egyptian religion; but, as Mr. Fergusson says, like all the tombs, they depend for their magnificence more on the paintings that adorn the walls than on anything which can strictly be called architecture.

Private individuals were buried according to their rank and fortune. Their tombs, also excavated from the living rock, consisted of one or of several chambers ornamented with paintings and sculptures; the last contained the sarcophagus and the mummy. According to Sir G. Wilkinson, the tombs were the property of the priests, and a sufficient number being always kept ready, the purchase was made at the shortest notice, nothing being requisite to complete even the sculptures or inscriptions but the insertion of the deceased's name and a few statements respecting his family and profession. The numerous subjects representing agricultural scenes, the trades of the people, in short, the various occupations of the Egyptians, varying only in their details and the mode of their execution, were figured in these tombs, and were intended as a short epitome of human life, which suited equally every future occupant. The tombs at Beni Hassan are even of an earlier date than those of Thebes. Among these the tomb of a nomarch or provincial governor is of the age of Osirtasen I. The walls of this tomb are covered with a series of representations, setting forth the ordinary occupations and daily avocations of the deceased, thus illustrating the manners and customs of the Egyptians of that age. These representations are a sort of epitome of life, or the career of man, previous to his admission to the mansions of the dead. They

were therefore intended to show that the deceased had carefully and duly fulfilled and performed all the duties and avocations which his situation in life and the reverence due to the gods required. In the cemeteries of Gizeh and Sakkara are tombs of the time of Nephhercherea, 6th king of the 2nd dynasty, probably the most ancient in Egypt. Around the great pyramid are numerous tombs of different periods; among them are the tombs of the princes, and other members of the family or time of Khufu. One of the most interesting is that known as Campbell's tomb, of the supposed date of about 660 B.C. It




TOMB AT BENI HASSAN.

contained a tomb built up in its centre, covered by three stones as struts, over which was a semicircular arch of brick. Near it also are several tombs of private individuals, who were mostly priests of Memphis. Many of these have false entrances, and several have pits with their mouths at the top of the tomb. The walls are covered with the usual paintings representing the ordinary occupations of the deceased.

MUMMIES.—The origin of the process of embalming has been variously accounted for. The real origin appears to be this: it was a part of the religious belief of the Egyptians that, as a reward of a well-spent and virtuous life, their bodies after death should exist and remain undecayed for ever in their tombs, for we find in the "Book of the Dead" the following inscription placed over the spirits who have found favour in the eyes of the Great God: "The bodies which they have forsaken shall *sleep for ever* in their sepulchres, while they rejoice in the presence

of God most high." This inscription evidently shows a belief in a separate eternity for soul and body; of an eternal existence of the body in the tomb, and of the soul in the presence of God. The soul was supposed to exist as long as the body existed. Hence the necessity of embalming the body as a means to insure its eternal existence. Some have considered that the want of



ground for cemeteries, and also the excavations made in the mountains for the extraction of materials employed in the immense buildings of Egypt, compelled them to have recourse to the expedient of mummification. Others consider the custom arose rather from a sanitary regulation for the benefit of the

living. According to Mr. Gliddon, mummification preceded, in all probability, the building of the pyramids and tombs, because vestiges of mummies have been found in the oldest of these, and, in fact, the first mummies were buried in the sand before the Egyptians possessed the necessary tools for excavating sepulchres in the rock.* The earliest mode of mummification was extremely simple; the bodies were prepared with natron, or dried in ovens, and wrapped in woollen cloth. At a later period every provincial temple was provided with an establishment for the purpose of mummification. The bodies were delivered to the priests to be embalmed, and after seventy days restored to their friends, to be carried to the place of deposit. The mode of embalming depended on the rank and position of the deceased. There were three modes of embalming; the first is said to have cost a talent of silver (about 250*l.*); the second, 22 minæ (60*l.*); the third was extremely cheap. The process is thus described by Herodotus:—"In Egypt certain persons are appointed by law to exercise this art as their peculiar business, and when a dead body is brought them they produce patterns of mummies in wood, imitated in painting. In preparing the body according to the most expensive mode, they commence by extracting the brain from the nostrils by a curved hook, partly cleansing the head by these means, and partly by pouring in certain drugs; then making an incision in the side with a sharp Ethiopian stone (black flint), they draw out the intestines through the aperture. Having cleansed and washed them with palm wine, they cover them with pounded aromatics, and afterwards filling the cavity with powder of pure myrrh, cassia, and other fragrant substances, frankincense excepted, they sew it up again. This being done, they salt the body, keeping it in natron during seventy days, to which period they are strictly confined. When the seventy days are over, they wash the body, and wrap it up entirely in bands of fine linen smeared on their inner side with gum. The relatives then take away the body, and have a wooden case made in the form of a man, in which they deposit it; and when fastened up they keep it in a room in their house, placing it upright against the wall. (This style of mummy was supposed to represent the deceased

* According to Dr. Birch, the art was practised from B.C. 2000 to A.D. 700, and it has been calculated that about 420,000,000 bodies may have been thus prepared.

in the form of Osiris.*) This is the most costly mode of embalming.

“For those who choose the middle kind, on account of the expense, they prepare the body as follows:—They fill syringes with oil of cedar, and inject this into the abdomen without making any incision or removing the bowels; and taking care that the liquid shall not escape, they keep it in salt during the specified number of days. The cedar-oil is then taken out, and such is its strength that it brings with it the bowels and all the inside in a state of dissolution. The natron also dissolves the flesh, so that nothing remains but the skin and bones. This process being over, they restore the body without any further operation.

“The third kind of embalming is only adopted for the poor. In this they merely cleanse the body by an injection of *syrmaea*, and salt it during seventy days, after which it is returned to the friends who brought it.”

Sir G. Wilkinson gives some further information with regard to the more expensive mode of embalming. The body, having been prepared with the proper spices and drugs, was enveloped in linen bandages sometimes 1000 yards in length. It was then enclosed in a cartonage fitting close to the mummied body, which was richly painted and covered in front with a network of beads and bugles arranged in a tasteful form, the face being laid over with a thick gold leaf, and the eyes made of enamel. The three or four cases which successively covered the cartonage were ornamented in like manner with painting and gilding, and the whole was enclosed in a sarcophagus of wood or stone, profusely charged with painting or sculpture. These cases, as well as the cartonage, varied in style and richness, according to the expense incurred by the friends of the deceased. The bodies thus embalmed were generally of priests of various grades. Sometimes the skin itself was covered with gold leaf; sometimes the whole body, the face, or eyelids; sometimes the nails alone. In many instances the body or the cartonage was beautified in an expensive manner, and the outer cases were little

* According to Sir Gardner Wilkinson, after death every Egyptian, male and female, was represented under the form of Osiris, for all virtuous men became “Osiris,” and returned again to the Good Being whence their souls emanated; the bad alone were condemned to degradation, going through a state of purgatory, by passing into the bodies of animals.


ornamented ; but some preferred the external show of rich cases and sarcophagi. Some mummies have been found with the face covered by a mask of cloth fitting closely to it, and overlaid with a coating of composition, so painted as to resemble the deceased, and to have the appearance of flesh. These, according to Sir G. Wilkinson, are probably of a Greek epoch. Greek mummies usually differed from those of the Egyptians in the manner of disposing the bandages of the arms and legs. No Egyptian is found with the limbs bandaged separately, as those of Greek mummies. On the breast was frequently placed a scarabæus in immediate contact with the flesh. These scarabæi, when of stone, had their extended wings made of lead or silver. On the cartonage and case, in a corresponding situation above, the same emblem was also placed, to indicate the protecting influence of the Deity. The subjects painted upon the cartonage were the four genii of Amenti, and various emblems belonging to deities connected with the dead. A long line of hieroglyphics extending down the front usually contained the name and quality of the deceased, and the offerings presented by him to the gods ; and transverse bands frequently repeated the former, with similar donations to other deities. On the breast was placed the figure of Netpe, with expanded wings, protecting the deceased ; sacred arks, boats, and other things were arranged in different compartments, and Osiris, Isis, Anubis, and other deities, were frequently introduced. In some instances Isis was represented throwing her arms round the feet of the mummy, with this appropriate legend : "I embrace thy feet." A plaited beard was attached to the chin when the mummy was that of a man ; the absence of this appendage indicated the mummy of a woman.

MUMMY CASES AND SARCOPHAGI.—The outer case of the mummy was either of wood—sycamore or cedar—or of stone. When of wood it had a flat or circular summit, sometimes with a stout square pillar rising at each angle. The whole was richly painted, and some of an older age frequently had a door represented near one of the corners. At one end was the figure of Isis, at the other Nepthys ; and the top was painted with bands or fancy devices. In others, the lid represented the curving top of the ordinary Egyptian canopy. The stone coffins, usually called sarcophagi, were of oblong shape, having flat straight sides, like a box, with a curved or pointed lid. Sometimes the

figure of the deceased was represented upon the latter in relief, like that of the Queen of Amasis in the British Museum; and some were in the form of a king's name or oval. Others were made in the shape of the mummied body, whether of basalt, granite, slate, or limestone, specimens of which are met with in the British Museum. These cases were deposited in the sepulchral chambers. Various offerings were placed near them, and sometimes the instruments of the profession of the deceased. Near them were also placed vases and small figures of the deceased, of wood or vitrified earthenware. In Sir John Soane's museum is the sarcophagus of Seti I. (Menephtha) B.C. 1322, cut out of a single block of oriental alabaster. It is profusely covered with hieroglyphics, and scenes on it depict the passage of the sun through the hours of the night. It was found by Belzoni in his tomb in the Biban-el-molook. The sarcophagus now in the British Museum was formerly supposed to have been the identical sarcophagus which contained the body of Alexander the Great. The hieroglyphic name, which has been read upon the monument, proves it to be that of Nectanebo I., of the thirtieth dynasty, who reigned from B.C. 381 to 363. Its material is a breccia from a quarry near Thebes, and is remarkable for its hardness. A remarkable rectangular-shaped coffin of whinstone was that of Menkare, the Mycerinus of the Greeks, and the builder of the third pyramid; this interesting relic, was found by Colonel Vyse in the sepulchral chambers of the third pyramid, but was unfortunately lost at sea while on its way to England. The remains of the cedar-coffin of this monarch are in the British Museum.

CANOPI.—The vases, generally named canopi, from their resemblance to certain vases made by the Romans to imitate the Egyptian taste, but inadmissible in its application to any Egyptian vase, were four in number, of different materials, according to the rank of the deceased, and were placed near his coffin in the tomb. Some were of common limestone, the most costly were of Oriental alabaster. These four vases form a complete series; the principal intestines of the mummy were placed in them, embalmed in spices and various substances, and rolled up in linen, each containing a separate portion. They were supposed to belong to the four genii of Amenti, whose heads and names they bore. The vase with a cover, representing the human head of

Amset, held the stomach and large intestines; that with the cynocephalus head of Hapi contained the small intestines; in that belonging to the jackal-headed Tuautmutf were the lungs and heart; and for the vase of the hawk-headed Kabhsenuf were reserved the gall-bladder and liver. On the sides of the vases were several columns of hieroglyphics, which expressed the adoration of the deceased to each of the four deities whose symbols adorned the covers, and which gave the name of the deceased.




GENII OF AMENTI.

Small figures, called *shabti*, offered through respect for the dead, are to be found in great numbers in the tombs. They were images of Osiris, whose form the deceased was supposed to assume, and who thence was called the Osirian. They are in several shapes, sometimes in that of the deceased, standing in the dress of the period, but more generally in the shape of a mummy, the body swathed in bandages, from which the hands come out, holding a hoe, *hab*, and pickaxe, and the cord of a square basket, slung on the left shoulder, or nape of the neck. The head attire of the deceased is either that of the period or dignity, and in the case of monarchs accompanied by the uræus, emblem of royalty. Some figures hold the emblem of life, *ankh*, and of stability, *tat*, or a whip, *kh*. They are generally of wood, or of vitrified earthenware. The name and quality of the deceased are found on all those in the same tomb, and thrown on the ground round the sarcophagus. They usually bear in hieroglyphics the sixth chapter of the funeral ritual. Some are found with a blank space left for the name of the deceased, which leads one to think that the relations and friends procured these figures from dealers; the funeral formula, with a list of the customary presentations of offerings for his soul to Osiris were already on them; nothing was wanting but the name of

the deceased; this being added, they were then evidently offered as testimonies of respect by the relations and friends of the deceased, perhaps at the funeral, and then collected and placed in the tomb.* Sometimes these small figures were placed in painted cases divided into compartments. These cases were about 2 feet long and 1 foot high.

PAPYRI.—Manuscripts on papyrus, of various lengths, have been found on some mummies. These rolls of papyrus are found in the coffins, or under the swathings of the mummies, between the legs, on the breast, or under the arms. Some are enclosed in a cylindrical case. The papyrus of the Museum of Turin is 66 feet long, that at Paris is 22 feet long; others are of different lengths, down to two or three feet. That of Turin may be considered as complete. On all, the upper part of the page is occupied by a line of figures of the divinities which the soul visits in succession; the rest is filled with perpendicular columns of hieroglyphics, which are prayers which the soul addresses to each divinity; towards the end of the manuscript is painted the judgment scene; the great god Osiris is on his throne; at his feet is an enormous female crocodile, its mouth open; behind is the divine balance,



JUDGMENT OF THE SOUL.

* It would appear from the VI. chapter of the 'Ritual,' that these figures were really supposed to be capable of having an actual personality in the next world, and that they had the power of assisting the deceased in his labours in Hades.

surmounted by a cynocephalus emblem of universal justice; the good and bad actions of the soul are weighed in his presence. Horus examines the plummet, and Thoth records the sentence; standing close by is the soul of the deceased in its corporeal form, conducted by the two goddesses, Truth and Justice, before the great judge of the dead. The name of *Ritual of the Dead* has been given by Egyptologists to these papyri, but in reality they bear the title of "*The Book of the Manifestation to Light*." A copy of this, more or less complete, according to the fortune of the deceased, was deposited in the case of every mummy. The book was revised under the 26th dynasty, and then assumed its final definite form. But many parts of it are of the highest antiquity. The whole series of pilgrimages which the soul, separated from the body, was believed to accomplish in the various divisions of the lower regions, are related in this book. It contained also a collection of prayers for the use of the deceased in the other world, and of magical formulæ intended to secure the preservation of the mummy from decay, and to prevent its possession by an evil spirit, till the ultimate return of the soul of the deceased. Many of these rituals are also found written, not in hieroglyphics, but in hieratic characters, which are an abbreviated form of hieroglyphic signs. Papyri with hieroglyphics are nearly always divided by ruled lines into narrow vertical columns of an inch or less in breadth, in which the hieroglyphic signs are arranged one under the other. Sometimes the papyri are found written in the enchorial character. Several manuscripts in Greek on papyrus have been also discovered in Egypt; they are, however, of a late date, and relate to the sale of lands; many have been discovered referring to lands and possessions about Thebes.

Greek Tombs.—The Greeks also honoured the memory of the dead by public monuments; those of founders of cities, and those of heroes, were in the interior of the city, and the others outside. At Sparta, however, a law of Lycurgus allowed of burial around the temples and in the city. The most ancient tombs of the Greeks were tumuli or mounds of earth (χώματα). Some are still to be seen in the plains of Troy, which have been described by Homer. Subterranean vaults were also used for sepulchral purposes. The so-called "*Treasury of Atreus*," at Mycenæ, and of Minyas at Orchomenos, are supposed to have been royal sepulchres. The structure at Mycenæ consists of a large vault,

58 feet 6 inches in width and 40 in height, of horizontal layers of stone, projecting the one beyond the other, till one small stone closed the whole, and made the vault complete; this gave access by a side door to a small chamber excavated in the solid rock; this was probably the burial place. At a later period, a simple cippus or truncated column, surrounded by trees, arose



STELE.

over the corpse, and an inscription gave the name and titles of the deceased. Those of private individuals were generally in the shape of pillars (*στήλαι*) or upright stone tablets, columns (*κίονες*), and small buildings in the form of temples (*ναῖδα*, or *ἑρᾶα*): others were in the form of altars, but the inscription and emblems on them prevent them from being confounded. Some-

times the stone tablets were surmounted with an oval heading called *ἐπίθημα*. A tomb of a quadrangular form placed in a particular site, and dedicated to the memory of those slain in battle was termed a polyandrion, of which the Lion tomb near Cnidus is supposed to be an example. It consists of a square low basement resting on four steps, and carrying four engaged Doric columns, with a cornice over the whole, being about thirty-one feet square in the basement. Above the cornice are gradini, forming a sort of pyramid of steps having at the summit a lion, now in the British Museum. The Polyandrion, or sepulchral monument of the Greeks who fell at Thermopylæ still exists. It is a tumulus with the remains of a square pedestal built of square blocks of marble. Sepulchral monuments were most frequently built by the side of roads, and near the gates of the city commemorative monuments were also erected, in which architecture and sculpture have vied to enhance the splendour of these sepulchral structures. At Athens the tombs of celebrated men were on the road from the Dipylum to the Academy. The first met was that of Thrasybulus. Next occurred those of Pericles, Chabrias and Phormio. Along the road were also the tombs of all who had fallen in battle. Other tombs were placed in the Cerameicus, where lay those of Harmodius and Aristogiton, the philosophers Zeno and Chrysippus, Nicias, the encaustic painter, and Lycurgus who adorned Athens. Many have been discovered in Lycia, rich with architectural and sculptural decoration. At Telmessus the rock-cut tombs assume the form of temples. They usually have a portico of columns *in antis*, with one or more chambers behind; most of the columns are Ionic, few being Doric. The Harpy tomb, formerly in the acropolis of Xanthus, now in the British Museum, affords examples of archaic sculpture, its date being probably not later than 500 B.C.; the sculptures decorated the four sides of a rectangular solid shaft, about 17 feet high, and supported a roof inclosing a chamber 7 feet 6 inches square; the sculptures are supposed to represent the myth of Pandarus, whose daughters were carried off by harpies. Another remarkable tomb is that of a satrap of Lycia, discovered at Xanthus, now in the British Museum. It resembles a roofed house, with beams issuing forth from the gables, the arch of the roof resembling that of the early Gothic. On each side of the roof is sculptured an armed warrior, conjectured to be Glaucus or Sarpedon, in a chariot of four horses. But the

most sumptuous commemorative monument of ancient times was the mausoleum of Halicarnassus, erected by Queen Artemisia, B.C. 353, in memory of her husband, Mausolus, King of Caria. The most celebrated architects and sculptors of the age were employed by the sorrowing queen, as she had resolved to raise a sepulchral monument which should surpass everything the world had yet seen. It consisted of a lofty basement, on which stood an oblong Ionic edifice surrounded by thirty-six Ionic columns, and surmounted by a pyramid of twenty-four steps. The whole structure, 140 feet in height, was crowned by a chariot group in white marble, on which, probably, stood Mausolus himself.

In Magna Græcia tombs were built underground (*hypææ*, or *hypææ*); they were built with large cut stones, and rarely connected with cement, the walls inside were coated with stucco and adorned with paintings. The corpse was placed on the ground, its feet turned towards the entrance; painted vases were placed by the side of the corpse, and more were suspended on the walls by nails of bronze. Several rock-cut tombs, with frontispieces in the Ionic style, have been lately discovered at Canosa.



TOMB OF SOUTHERN ITALY.

Etruscan.—Mr. Ferguson divides Etruscan tombs into two classes: First, those cut in the rock, and resembling dwelling houses; secondly, the circular tumuli, by far the most nume-

rous and important class. Each of these may be again subdivided into two kinds. The rock-cut tombs include, firstly, those with only a façade in the face of the rock, and one sepulchral chamber within, lighted by the doorway, as at Norchia; their internal arrangement appears to be an imitation of a dwelling chamber with furniture, like the apartment itself, cut out of the rock; secondly, those cut quite out of the rock, and standing free all round, as at Castel d' Asso. The second class may be divided into those tumuli erected over chambers cut in the tufaceous rock, which is found all over Etruria, as at Tarquinii, and those which have chambers built above ground, as in the Regolini Galassi tomb. Besides these rock-hewn and earth-covered tombs, there are at Saturnia, others of a most primitive character, bearing a strong resemblance to the cromlechs of Britain; rude graves sunk a few feet beneath the surface, lined with rough slabs of rock, set upright, one on each side, and roofed over with a single slab of enormous size, covering the whole. Each tomb was evidently inclosed in a mound of earth. In many instances, however, the earth has been washed away, so as to leave the structure standing above the surface. They doubtless date from the infancy of the Etruscan people, and must be considered the first in age.

Etruscan tombs were all subterranean, and mostly hewn in the rock; either beneath the surface of the ground, or in the face of the cliff, or at its foot. They were then shaped by the chisel into a monument, the interior taking the form of a cross. They evince an Oriental character in their architectural style. A remarkable characteristic of Etruscan tombs, according to Dennis, is that they generally show an imitation of the abodes of the living. Some display this analogy in the exterior, others in their interior, a few in both. Some have more resemblance to temples, and may be the sepulchres of augurs, or aruspices, or of families in which the sacerdotal office was hereditary. The walls were covered with paintings representing various scenes of every-day life, banquets, love-scenes, dancers, horsemen, games, bear-hunts. Other paintings represent funeral dances, and other ceremonies relative to burial. In one tomb discovered at Tarquinii a most remarkable painting represents a procession of souls, with good and evil genii; and in another, a group in the frieze running round the tomb represents the good and evil spirits in the act of drawing, on a car, the soul of

the deceased to judgment.* The corpse was placed on the ground, and around it were the painted vases which are generally found in tombs. Armour, lances, and whatever evinced the occupation of the deceased when alive, were buried with the corpse. Beautiful specimens of gold ornaments have been also found in these tombs. The other characteristic feature in the Etruscan mode of sepulture is the constant use of the tumulus, which would seem to confirm the tradition of the Lydian origin of the Etruscans; the tumulus of la Cocumella, at Vulci, bearing a striking analogy to that of Alyattes, King of Lydia, described by Herodotus. One of the most remarkable is the tumulus known as the Regolini Galassi tomb at Cervetri, the ancient Cære. It contains two sepulchral chambers, with sides, and roof vaulted in the form of a pointed arch, the horizontal courses of stone overlapping with a lintel at the top, a style of vaulting which is evidence of very high antiquity. The outer chamber evidently contained the body of a warrior, from the number of beautifully embossed shields found near the bronze bier. The inner chamber is supposed to have contained the body of a priest, from the sacerdotal character of the beautifully embossed breastplate, and other articles of the purest gold found in the ashes of the corpse. This tomb probably dates from the 9th or 10th cent. B.C. The tumulus of la Cocumella, Vulci, is a vast mound of earth, about 240 feet in diameter, and must have been about 115 feet high. It is still 50 feet

* The paintings in the tombs would seem to represent the every-day scenes of life which the deceased passed through, and to show that he had given those entertainments, dances, banquets, gladiatorial combats, races, hunts, which his position in life entitled him to, and which tended to display his wealth. These tombs being evidently of chiefs or of persons of rank and wealth, the entertainments depicted in them were evidences of the high position of the deceased. Attributing symbolical meaning to these representations is evidently absurd, for, as Mr. Dennis remarks, they are truthful delineations of Etruscan customs and manners; and thus depict, not only scenes of every-day life, but also the common occurrences at a feast, as the cat and domestic fowls gleaning the crumbs. They thus cannot possibly represent the bliss of souls in the other world, as is Gerhard's opinion. Some paintings represent the last offices to the inmate of the tomb; others represent the spirit of the deceased under the guidance of Charun, the infernal Mercury of the Etruscans, and conducting demons, who are leading the soul to judgment. These mythical representations of life and death are generally the result of a later stage in the development of religious ideas, and consequently are evidences of these tombs being of a much later period.

high. It was encircled at its base by a wall of masonry. Other tumuli are to be met with in the necropolis of Tarquinii and Cære. An Etruscan necropolis was always outside the walls of the town. The Etruscans—unlike the Greeks, who, in their colonies in Italy and Sicily, formed their cemeteries in the north of the towns—availed themselves of any site that was conve-




GROTTA CAMPANA VEII.

nient, and frequently, as at Veii, buried their dead on several or opposite sides of their cities. Every necropolis in Etruria had its peculiar style of tomb. The tomb near Albano is now generally supposed to be of Etruscan origin, and to be that of Aruns, the son of Porsenna. Mr. Fergusson, however, from the character of the mouldings with which it is adorned, would assign it to a more modern date. It is interesting from its corresponding with the description by Varro of the lower part of the tomb of Porsenna.

Roman.—The Romans called *sepulcrum*, the ordinary tomb, and *monumentum*, the building consecrated to the memory of a person without any funeral ceremony; so that the same person could have several monuments, and in different places, but could have but one tomb. Roman tombs assume different forms. The tombs of the rich were commonly built of marble, and the ground enclosed with an iron railing or wall, and planted with

trees. The best example of a Roman tomb, now remaining, is the well-known one of Cæcilia Metella, the wife of Crassus, and daughter of Quintus Metellus, who obtained the surname of Creticus, for his conquest of Crete, B.C. 67. It is composed of a circular tower, nearly 70 feet in diameter, resting on a quadrangular basement, about 100 feet square. The circular part of the tomb is built with blocks of the finest travertine, fitted together with great precision; it has a beautiful frieze of ox-skulls, with wreaths joining them, and a well-profiled cornice, over which a conical roof is supposed to have risen. On a marble panel below the frieze, on the side towards the Via Appia, is the inscription:—Cæciliæ—Q. Cretici, F.—Metellæ Crassi.” Next in age and importance is the tomb of Augustus, erected by Augustus, during his lifetime, in the Campus Martius. It was a circular building, about 300 feet in diameter, and about 60 feet in height. It is thus described by Strabo:—“It is built upon immense foundations of white marble, and covered with evergreens. On the top is a statue of Augustus in bronze, underneath are the vaults for himself, his relations, and dependents.” It is now completely ruined, and so surrounded with buildings that its plan can be with difficulty made out. The most remarkable and well-known Roman tomb is the Mausoleum of Hadrian. This massive edifice was erected by Hadrian about A. D. 130, on the right bank of the Tiber. It is a massive circular tower, 235 feet in diameter, and 140 feet in height, standing on a square basement, each side of which is 340 feet in length, and about 75 feet high. According to Mr. Fergusson, the whole was crowned, probably by a dome, or at least by a curvilinear roof, which, with its central ornament, must have risen to a height of not less than 300 feet. In the centre of the mausoleum is the sepulchral chamber, in the form of a Greek cross, in which was the urn enclosing the ashes of Hadrian.

The kind of tomb more commonly used was a cippus, or low column, frequently of a quadrangular form, but sometimes round, which bore on its principal face the Latin inscription



CIPPUS AT POMPEII,
ERECTED TO ARRIA,
DAUGHTER OF DIO-
MEDES.



SARCOPHAGUS OF SCIPIO.



SEPULCHRAL URN.

which gave the name, titles, and the relationship of the deceased. The funereal inscriptions generally commence with the letters D M—*Diis Manibus*, followed by the name in the genitive case. Sometimes the letters D M are wanting, then the name and title of the deceased are in the dative case. We find frequently on them the age of the deceased in years, months, and days—the name of the parent, freedman, or of the friend who raised the monument over the tomb of the deceased.

Frequently the body was placed in a sarcophagus or marble coffin, with similar inscriptions; a very remarkable specimen of this kind is the celebrated sarcophagus of Scipio, found in the tomb of the Scipios, at Rome. Under the Antonines sarcophagi were frequently used. They were embellished with ornaments and elaborate bas-reliefs.

The ashes of the bodies were enclosed in cinerary urns, which were composed of various materials, and were varied in form, with or without inscriptions. The urns of the same family were sometimes deposited in a place prepared for that purpose, generally below the level of the ground. Its interior walls were pierced with several stories of arched niches (*loculi*), in each of which one or several urns (*ollæ*) were placed; over the niches the names of the deceased were inscribed on marble tablets. This is what the Romans called a *columbarium*, a name derived from the likeness of the niches in the walls to pigeon-holes.* To the *columbarium* was usually attached an *ustrinum*, where the corpse was burned. When the deceased, having been killed in battle, or having died at sea, did not receive the honours of sepulture, a cenotaph, or empty tomb, was raised to him with the ceremonies regulated by law; these cenotaphs bore the same ornaments as the sarcophagi and tombs. The place appointed for tombs was generally by the side of roads; and though they were not allowed to be constructed within the city, there was no restriction as to their approaching close to the walls. Accordingly we find that most of the roads leading out of ancient towns are lined with tombs, an instance of which we have at Pompeii, where the Street of the Tombs, forming an approach to the city gate, is one of the most interesting objects in that place; and lately it has been discovered that the *Via Appia*, and the *Via Latina* have been lined with tombs close to Rome. A

* There are several of these *columbaria* at Rome. The most remarkable are, the *columbarium* in the *Vigna Codini*, on the Appian way; and the *columbarium* in the *Villa Doria*.

number of these tombs, extending on the Via Appia for over eight miles beyond the tomb of Cæcilia Metella, have been discovered and brought to light by the energy and talent of the late Comendatore Canina, who has published a most interesting work on them, giving restorations of the principal monuments.

Tombs of a Roman period, exhibiting the utmost magnificence



COLUMBARIUM.

of architectural decoration, have been found at Petra. The Khasnè and the Corinthian tomb, in that city of sepulchres, display most splendid architectural façades. Though all the forms of the architecture are Roman, Mr. Fergusson remarks, the details are so elegant, and generally so well designed, as almost to lead to the suspicion that there must have been

some Grecian influence brought to bear upon it. At Mylassa, in Caria, is a tomb of unusual form; it consists of a square base, which supports twelve columns, of which the eight inner ones support a dome. The details are Roman. Tombs of a Roman epoch are also found at Jerusalem, and at Cyrene, on the African coast. One of the remarkable points of the tombs at Jerusalem, Mr. Fergusson writes, is the curious jumble of the Roman orders which they present. The pillars and pilasters are Ionic, the architraves and frieze Doric, and the cornice Egyptian. The capitals and frieze are so distinctly late Roman, that we feel no hesitation as to their date being either of the age of Herod, or subsequent to that time.

At Cyrene the number of tombs is immense, and they almost all have architectural façades, generally consisting of two or more columns between pilasters; the greater part are undoubtedly of Roman date, and the paintings with which many of them are still adorned are certainly Roman in design.

SCULPTURE.

We do not intend to enter here on the history of sculpture in all its phases, but to give the distinctive features which characterize the different styles of Egyptian, Greek, Etruscan, and Roman sculpture, as they are visible in *statues* of the natural or colossal size, in statues of lesser proportion, and lastly in busts and bas-reliefs.

We shall give also the styles of each separate nation which prevailed at each distinct age or epoch, styles which mark the stages of the development of the art of sculpture in all countries. Sculpture, like architecture and painting, indeed all art, had an indigenous and independent evolution in all countries, all these arts springing up naturally, and taking their origin alike everywhere in the imitative faculty of man. They had their stages of development in the ascending and descending scale, their rise, progress, culminating point, decline and decay, their cycle of development; the sequence of these stages being necessarily developed wherever the spirit of art has arisen, and has had growth and progress. The first and most important step in examining a work of ancient sculpture is to distinguish with certainty whether it is of Egyptian, Etruscan, Greek, or Roman workmanship; and this distinction rests entirely on a profound knowledge of the style peculiar to each of those nations. The next

step is, from its characteristic features to distinguish what period, epoch, or stage of the development of the art of that particular nation it belongs to. We shall further give the various attributes and characteristics of the gods, goddesses, and other mythological personages which distinguish the various statues visible in Egyptian, Etruscan, Greek, Roman sculpture.


This enumeration will be found of use in the many sculpture galleries of the various museums both at home and abroad.

Egyptian.—Three great periods of art may be distinctly traced in Egypt:—1. The archaic style, reaching from the date of the earliest known monuments of the country till the close of the twelfth dynasty, in which the hair is in rude vertical curls and heavy masses, the face is broad and coarse, the nose long, and forehead receding, hands and feet large and disproportionate; the execution rude, even when details are introduced, the bas-reliefs depressed. This style continued improving till the twelfth dynasty, at which period many of the monuments are finished with a purity and delicacy rivalling cameos. 2. The art from the restoration of the eighteenth dynasty till the twentieth—the hair is disposed in more elegant and vertical curls, a greater harmony is observable in the proportion of the limbs, the details are finished with greater breadth and care, bas-reliefs become rare, and disappear after Rameses II.; under the nineteenth dynasty, however, the arts rapidly declined. 3. The epoch of the revival of art, commencing with the twentieth dynasty, distinguished for an imitation of the archaic art. The portraiture is more distinct, the limbs freer and rounded, the muscles more developed, the details executed with great accuracy and care, and the general effect rather dependent on the minute finish than general scope and breadth. Under the Ptolemies and Romans a feeble attempt is made to engraft Greek art on Egyptian. But a rapid decay took place both in the knowledge, finish, and all the details. To these may be added a fourth period, in which a pseudo-Egyptian style, not genuine Egyptian, was introduced at Rome in the time of the emperors, and principally under Hadrian, an imitation of Egyptian figures. Antinous, the favourite of Hadrian, is frequently represented in this style. This recurrence to the early and antiquated style being always an evidence of the exhausted and deteriorated state of art.

The general characteristics of Egyptian sculpture are extreme simplicity of lines, absence of motion, want of details; in every


form we find grandeur and simplicity, and in every face serenity and repose, an imposing grandeur which makes the smallest Egyptian statue convey the idea of something colossal. The most prominent feature of Egyptian art is its unchangeableness, its fixity of type. The forms and proportions, the types and subjects of representation remain the same for thousands of years. All the statues we possess of the Egyptians, in whatever material, and of whatever dimensions they may be, are erect, seated, or on their knees, and all, in whatever position they are found, with their back to a pillar, or at least so rarely detached from some support, that this exception confirms rather than weakens the general rule. This pillar was destined to contain inscriptions.

With regard to the erect figures, whether they represent a man or a woman, they have their arms hanging down close to their sides, or crossed symmetrically on their breasts. Sometimes one of the arms is detached from its vertical position and brought forwards, while the other remains stretched down the length of the body; but whatever position they assume, their attitude is rigid and immovable. The hair was disposed in very regular masses of vertical curls, the hole of the ear was on a level with the pupil of the eye, the beard was plaited in a narrow mass of a square or recurved form. The feet are almost always parallel, but not on the same plane; one is always placed before the other, and as the one behind, being thrown further back would appear somewhat shorter, for this reason it is generally a little longer. The extremities of the hands and feet are badly finished, the fingers of unusual length, the muscular development not expressed at all. As to the seated figures, they have uniformly their feet on the same line, and their hands placed parallel on their knees. Figures on their knees have generally a kind of chest before them, figured like a sanctuary, and enclosing some idols. These three positions are characterized by the same rigidity, the same want of action and life. With regard to their costume, the statues of the women are always draped, but generally with a very slight vesture, which



SEATED FIGURE OF
SEKHET.

forms no fold, and fits so close to the figure, that frequently one cannot distinguish the drapery from the body which it enfolds, did one not remark exactly at the neck and at the legs a little rim, which indicates each extremity of the drapery. The form of the breast was sometimes indicated by a circular indented line. The statues of men are entirely nude, with the exception



EGYPTIAN KNEEL-
ING FIGURE.

of a kind of apron falling from the hips to the knees. When we speak of nudity in an Egyptian, we must remember that they did not exhibit the least detail of muscular development, and consequently no real nudity. Egyptian artists evidently attempted no imitation of nature, thus statues were questionless symbols of ideas alone. A statue which was a combination of a human figure with the head of a hawk or jackal, was no imitation of nature, it was a mere symbolic image. They were so intimately connected with the symbolic language, that they may be considered, if we may be allowed the expression, the capital letters of that language. The Egyptian artist, in treating them as symbolic signs only, always neglected the human part, giving all his care to the head of the animal, which was the symbol of the divinity represented. This care and skill in representing the animal form has been frequently observed, a stern theocracy forbidding all study and progress in the knowledge of the anatomy of the human figure. No innovation being allowed, the same early forms were repeated and reproduced in endless varieties.

In the early period of Egyptian sculpture low relief was adopted on all large monuments, and was generally painted. At a later period the intaglio rilievo, or relieved intaglio (by the Greeks called koilanaglyph, and by the French relief en creux), was introduced by Rameses II. The sides of the incavo, which are perpendicular, are cut to a considerable depth, and from that part to the centre of the figure is a gradual swell, the centre being frequently on a level with the surface of the wall. In the bas-reliefs the heads were always given in profile, and the eyes elongated, with a full pupil. These features, however, are the characteristics of art, in all countries, in the early stages of its development.

Sculptures, in this style of bas-relief, cover the walls of the temples, palaces, and tombs, representing not only incidents of private life, work and employment of various kinds, recreations and games, but also the events in the life of the ruling Pharaoh, peaceful incidents and warlike enterprises. Egyptian art was chiefly confined to the delineation of actual life.

A remarkable feature of Egyptian sculpture is the frequent representation of their kings in a colossal form. The two most famous colossi are the seated figures in the plain of Thebes. One is recognised to be the vocal Memnon (Amunoph III.), mentioned by Strabo. They are 47 feet high, and measure about 18 feet 3 inches across the shoulders. But the grandest and largest colossal statue was the stupendous statue of king Rameses II., of Syenite granite, in the Memnonium at Thebes. It represented the king seated on a throne, in the usual attitude of kings, the hands resting on his knees. It is now in fragments. It measured 22 feet 4 inches across the shoulders. According to Sir G. Wilkinson, the whole mass, when entire, must have weighed about 887 tons. A colossal statue of Rameses II. lies with its face upon the ground on the site of Memphis; it was placed before the temple of Pthah. Its total height is estimated at 42 ft. 8 in. without the pedestal. It is of white siliceous limestone. Another well-known colossus is the statue of the so-called Memnon, now in the British Museum. It is supposed to be the statue of Rameses II. It was brought by Belzoni from the Memnonium at Thebes.



COLOSSAL FIGURE OF
RAMESES II.

In the different epochs of Egyptian sculpture, the Egyptian artists were bound by certain fixed canons or rules of proportion to guide them in their labours, and which they were obliged to adhere to rigidly. The following are the canons of three distinct epochs: 1. The canon of the time of the pyramids, the height was reckoned at 6 feet from the sole of the foot to the crown of the head, and subdivisions obtained by one-half or one-third of


a foot. 2. The canon from the twelfth to the twenty-second dynasty is only an extension of the first. The whole figure was contained in a number of squares of half a foot, and the whole height divided into eighteen parts. In these two canons the height above the sixth foot is not reckoned. 3. The canon of the age of Psammetici, which is mentioned by Diodorus, reckoning the entire height at $21\frac{1}{2}$ feet from the sole to the crown of the head, taken to the upper part. The proportions are different, but without any introduction of the Greek canon. The canon and the leading lines were originally traced in red, subsequently corrected by the principal artist in black, and the design then executed. In Egypt, almost every object of sculpture and architecture was painted. The colossal Egyptian statues are generally of granite, basalt, porphyry, or sandstone. The two colossi on the plain of Thebes are of course hard gritstone. The Egyptians also worked in dark and red granites, breccias, serpentines, arragonite, limestones, jaspers, feldspar, cornelian, glass, gold, silver, bronze, lead, iron, the hard woods, fir or cedar, sycamore, ebony, acacia, porcelain and ivory, and terra cotta. All objects, from the most gigantic obelisk to the minute articles of private life, are found decorated with hieroglyphics.

Egyptian sculptors were also remarkable for the correct and excellent representation of animals. There may, indeed, be noticed in their representation a freedom of hand, a choice and variety of forms, a truthfulness, and even what deserves to be called imitation, which contrast with the uniformity, the rigidity, the absence of nature and life, which human figures present. Plato mentions a law which forbade the artists to depart, in the slightest degree, in the execution of statues of the human form from the type consecrated by priestly authority. The artist, therefore, not being restricted in his study of the animal form, could thus give to its image greater variety of motion, and by imitating animals in nature, indemnify himself for the constraint he experienced when he represented kings and priests. The two colossal lions in red granite, brought to England by the late Duke of Northumberland, may be considered as remarkably good specimens of Egyptian art, as applied to the delineation of animal forms. They evince a considerable knowledge of anatomy in the strongly-marked delineation of the muscular development. The form also is natural and easy, thus admirably expressing the idea of strength in a state of repose. They were sculptured

in the reign of Amunoph III. The representations of the sacred animals, the cynocephalus, the lion, the jackal, the ram, &c., are frequently to be met with in Egyptian sculpture.

Etruscan.—The principal characteristics, as visible in the most ancient monuments of this people are, the lines rectilinear, the attitude rigid, the moulding of the features imperfect, want of proportion in the limbs, which are generally so meagre that they give no idea of flesh or muscles, and thus their outline exhibits no undulation. The form of the head is an oval, narrow towards the chin, which terminates in a point; the eyes are long or slightly raised at the outer extremity. No Egyptian work exhibits such shapelessness. In the small Etruscan figures the arms are pendent, and closely adhering to the body; the feet are parallel; the folds of the drapery are marked by a simple line. This was the first style. Most of these early works were in clay. Of this style were the "*Opera Tuscanica*," a term used by the Romans to imply all productions which exhibited the hard and dry manner of the earlier Etruscan school. These characteristic features, however, which are supposed to be peculiar to early Etruscan art, are not indicative of any particular nation; they exhibit the natural imperfection and want of art peculiar to the first stage of the development of art in all countries.

The second style may be recognised by some essential improvement, by a stronger expression of the features of the face, and by a more energetic action of the limbs, without the rigidity and restraint of the attitude entirely disappearing; the muscles and the bones are indicated in a hard manner, especially in the calves of the legs and in general the whole



ETRUSCAN FIGURES.

expression is exaggerated, the very opposite to all that is graceful, easy, and flowing. These characteristics are peculiar to all statues of the same style, and in order to recognise the mythological personages which they represent, recourse must be had to their attributes; for an Apollo is made like a Hercules. Almost all the male figures wear beards; the hands are constrained, the fingers rigid, the eyes monstrous and protruding, the features of a coarse nature, and the different parts of the body badly put together; the hair falls in tresses, and the drapery is indicated by parallel folds; sometimes, on the statues of women, the sleeves of the tunic are plaited very elaborately.

The third style is indebted to the influence of the Greeks, and forms a near approach to their practices, without, however, equalling their perfection. They are in this epoch amalgamated in one school, and one has frequently need of inscriptions in Etruscan characters engraved on the monuments to attribute them with certainty to their real authors; the air and form of the heads larger, rounder, more marked than those of the Greeks, serve to distinguish them. The Etruscans attained to considerable fame for their sculpture in bronze. Among the statues in bronze we may mention the Mars of Todi in the Vatican, a boy with a goose under his arm in the Museum of Leyden, and the statue of an orator at Florence. In the representation of the animal form we have the Chimæra at Florence, and the she-wolf in the Capitoline Museum. Though not strictly belonging to the art of sculpture, we cannot omit mentioning the other works of bronze of the Etruscans; of these their candelabra and mirrors are the most celebrated. The candelabra were remarkable for their elegance of form, and their beauty of ornamentation. The mirrors were circular disks, one side of which was sufficiently polished to reflect objects; they were encircled by an ornamental border, the handles sometimes bearing sculptured figures; on the back of these are designs incised in outline, chiefly representing Greek myths and heroic legends, with inscriptions in Etruscan characters. Some, however, occur with subjects of a peculiarly Etruscan character. Most of the designs are very loosely and carelessly drawn, with little care for beauty of composition. In some few cases the drawing is of a masterly character. An excellent example of this style is the mirror representing Bacchus, Semele, and Apollo, in the Museum at Berlin. It is given as a frontispiece to Mr. Dennis's work on


Etruria. In the opinion of Gerhard, most of the extant mirrors were executed in the 4th, 5th, and 6th centuries A. U. C. (B. C. 454–154.) At this period, and at an earlier period also, Etruscan art was not only Greek in the choice and disposition of subjects—subjects belonging entirely to either Greek mythology or history—but also Greek in its character and style of art. There was, indeed, frequent intercommunication in the early periods between Greece and Etruria; the people of Agylla sent frequent embassies to Delphi. The Corinthian Demaratus emigrated to Tarquinii, and bringing with him a colony of artists, established schools of Greek art there. Etruscan art was essentially a modified Greek, the civilization, Hellenic; Homer, the Cyclic poets, the language and literature of Greece and Asia Minor, and their authors, were as familiar to the Etruscans as to the inhabitants of modern Europe. The statues, the wall-painting, the Scarabæi, and the bronze mirrors above all, had representations of the gods and heroes of Greece, intermingled with the Etruscan mythology and language. The types are Greek, the names often Etruscan. Mr. Dennis gives the following names to the three Etruscan styles, according to their characteristic features. 1. The Egyptian. 2. The Etruscan, or Tyrrhene, as it is sometimes called, perhaps in compliment to its more than doubtful Greek character. 3. The Hellenic. To these three, he adds, may be appended a fourth, the Decadence. This, indeed, must follow as a necessary sequel in all developments. Whatever has a rise, and reaches maturity, must have a decline.

Greek.—The stages of the cycle of development of the art of sculpture in Greece may be given in five distinct periods or epochs, naming these, for greater convenience, chiefly from the name of the principal artist whose style prevailed at that period:

- I. The Dædalean, or early . . . (—580 B.C.)
- II. The Æginetan, or archaic . . . (580—480 B.C.)
- III. The Phidian, or the grand . . . (480—400 B.C.)
- IV. The Praxitelean, or the beautiful (400—250 B.C.)
- V. The Decline (250—)

Prior to the age of Dædalus, there was an earlier stage in the development of the art, in which the want of art, which is peculiar to that early stage, was exhibited in rude attempts at the representation of the human figure, for similar and almost iden-

tical rude representations are attempted in the early stages of art in all countries; as the early attempts of children are nearly identical in all ages. The presence of a god was indicated in a manner akin to the Fetichism of the African, by the simplest and most shapeless objects, such as unhewn blocks of stone



MINERVA. IN THE EARLIEST AND
PRIMITIVE STYLE.



EARLY STATUE.


(λίθοι ἀπυοὶ) and by simple pillars or pieces of wood. The first attempt at representation consisted in fashioning a block of stone or wood into some semblance of the human form, and this rude attempt constituted a divinity, and was styled ξόανον. Of this primitive form was the Venus of Cyprus, the Cupid of

Thespiæ; the Juno of Argos was fashioned in a similar rude manner from the trunk of a wild pear tree. These attempts were thus nothing more than shapeless blocks, the head, arms, and legs scarcely defined. Some of these wooden blocks are supposed to have been, in a coarse attempt at imitation, furnished with real hair, and to have been clothed with real draperies in order to conceal the imperfection of the form. The next step was to give these shapeless blocks a human form. The upper part assumed the likeness of a head, and by degrees arms and legs were marked out; but in these early imitations of the human figure the arms were, doubtless, represented closely attached to the sides; and the legs, though to a certain extent defined, were still connected and united in a common pillar.

The age of Dædalus marks an improvement in the modelling of the human figure, and in giving it life and action. This improvement in the art consisted in representing the human figure with the arms isolated from the body, the legs detached, and the eyes open; in fine, giving it an appearance of nature as well as of life, and thus introducing a principle of imitation. This important progress in the practice of the art is the characteristic feature of the school of Dædalus, for under the name of Dædalus we must understand the art of sculpture itself in its primitive form, and in its first stage of development. According to Flaxman, the rude efforts of this age were intended to represent divinities and heroes only—Jupiter, Neptune, Hercules, and several heroic characters, had the self-same face, figure, and action; the same narrow eyes, thin lips, with the corners of the mouth turned upwards; the pointed chin, narrow loins, turgid muscles; the same advancing position of the lower limbs; the right hand raised beside the head, and the left extended. Their only distinctions were that Jupiter held the thunder-bolt, Neptune the trident, and Hercules a palm branch or bow. The female divinities were clothed in draperies divided into few and perpendicular folds, their attitudes advancing like those of the male figures. The hair of both male and female statues of this period is arranged with great care, collected in a club behind, sometimes entirely curled.

Between the rudeness of the Dædalean and the hard and severe style of the Æginetan there was a transitional style, to which period the artists Dipcenus and Scyllis are assigned by Pliny. The metopes of the temple of Selinus in Sicily, the

bas-reliefs representing Agamemnon, Epeus, and Talthybius, in the Louvre, the Harpy monument in the British Museum, and the Apollo of Tenes, afford examples of this style.



BRONZES OF THE DÆDALEAN SCHOOL.

One of the metopes of the temple of Selinus presents an example of the characteristic features of this transitional style

It represents Perseus killing the Medusa in the presence of Athene. The style of this representation is extraordinarily severe, almost horrible; the Medusa is thoroughly distorted. The other figures are formless and heavy; the faces are masklike and stiff, with large staring eyes, projecting and compressed lips, broad forehead and prominent nose. To this period also evidently belong the bas-reliefs of the Harpy monument from Xantheus. The elaborate arrangement of the head and drapery, which falls in parallel folds, the stiff smiling of the countenances, as well as the manner of advancing, correspond thoroughly with the primitive character of this epoch. The bas-relief from Samothrace, representing Agamemnon, Epeus, and Talthybius, now in the Louvre, may be assigned to this period. The bas-relief is very low, and exhibits a style of treatment somewhat similar to that of the painted vases of the same age. The statue of Apollo found at Tenea near Corinth, and now in the Glyptothek at Munich, exhibits some advance in the style of this period, presenting in the slender form of the body, a decided contrast to the heavy compactness of the works of Selinus; the limbs although severe and rigid are yet handled with deeper understanding, and greater justness; on the other hand, there is the same mask-like smiling lack of expression in the countenance, and the same awkwardness in allowing the soles of both feet to rest on the ground.

Æginetan.—In the Æginetan period of sculpture there was still retained in the character of the heads, in the details of the costume, and in the manner in which the beard and the hair are treated, something archaic and conventional, undoubtedly derived from the habits and teachings of the primitive school. But there prevails at the same time, in the execution of the human form, and the manner in which the nude is treated, a knowledge of anatomy, and an excellence of imitation carried to so high a degree of truth as to give convincing proofs of an advanced step and a higher stage in the development of the art. The following are the principal characteristics of the Æginetan style, as derived from a careful examination of the statues found in Ægina, which were the undoubted productions of the school of the Æginetan period. The style in which they are executed is called Hieratic or Archaic.

The heads, either totally destitute of expression, or all reduced

to a general and conventional expression, present, in the oblique position of the eyes and mouth, that forced smile which seems to have been the characteristic feature common to all productions of this archaic style; for we find it also on the most ancient medals, and on bas-reliefs of the primitive period.



ADVANCING FIGURE FROM THE EASTERN PEDIMENT OF THE TEMPLE
OF AEGINA.


The hair treated likewise in a systematic manner in small curls or plaits worked with wonderful industry, imitates not real hair, but genuine wigs, a peculiarity which may be remarked on other works in the ancient style, and of Etruscan origin. The beard is indicated on the cheek by a deep mark, and is rarely worked in relief, but, in the latter case, so as to imitate a false beard, and consequently in the same system as the hair. The costume partakes of the same conventional and hieratic taste; it consists of drapery, with straight and regular folds, falling in symmetrical and parallel masses, so as to imitate the real draperies in which the ancient statues in wood were draped.

These conventional forms of the drapery and hair may, therefore, be considered as deriving their origin from an imitation of the early statues in wood, the first objects of worship and of art among the Greeks, which were frequently covered with false hair, and clothed with real draperies. The muscular development observable in these figures is somewhat exaggerated, but, considering the period, is wonderfully accurate and true to nature. The genius for imitation exhibited in this style, carried as far as it is possible in the expression of the forms of the body, although still accompanied by a little meagreness and dryness, the truth of detail, the exquisite care in the execution, evince so profound a knowledge of the structure of the human body, so great a readiness of hand—in a word, an imitation of nature so skilful, and, at the same time, so simple, that one cannot but recognise in them the productions of an art which had arrived at a point which required only a few steps more to reach perfection. To the latter part of this period belong the sculptors Canachus, Calamis, and Pythagoras. Canachus was the sculptor of a famous statue of a nude Apollo in bronze termed Philesius, at Didymi, near Miletus, and was considered as very hard in his style. A copy of this is in the British Museum. Calamis, who dedicated at Tanagra the statue of Hermes carrying a ram, was less stiff in his outlines, and Pythagoras still less so. The last sculptor is especially noticed by Pliny as the first who understood the art of representing the muscles, veins and hair. In all the older styles, one peculiarity was the treatment of the muscles, which were generally, it is true, placed in their right positions, but with strange exaggeration in size and development. After Pythagoras, who may be considered the last of the artists of the genuine archaic school, come two great artists of what may be termed the transition period—Myron and Polycletus—in neither of whom the parallel lines, fixed smile, and heavy proportions of the archaic style are found. Myron, according to Pliny, is said to have introduced a more extended variety of forms, with the greatest truth to nature. He was the first who directed his art to the representation of animals. His cow and other representations of animals were characterized as life-like. His human forms were characterized by exaggeration and over elaboration. There are several marble copies extant of his most celebrated statue, the Discobolus, or quoit-thrower, one of which is in the Townley collection in the British Museum. His works were

chiefly in bronze. The second great sculptor, Polycletus, is admitted to have been superior in the handling of his art to Myron, and to have carried the technical art of sculpture much further. Quintilian and Cicero both speak of him as remarkable for the grace of his figures. And the former remarks that, though perfect in the representation of athletes, he was deficient in power and sublimity. The only work which can give an idea of the excellencies of this artist is the copy of his Diadumenos, representing an athlete binding a fillet round his head, formerly in the Farnese collection, and now in the British Museum. It exhibits less muscular development, less fulness than the forms affected by his successor Phidias, but is hardly less refined. His most celebrated work was his Doryphorus, or spear-bearer, a youthful figure, but with the full proportions of a man. This was the statue which became known by name of the Canon, because in it the artist had embodied a perfect representation of the ideal of the human figure. Polycletus executed also a colossal statue of Hera, in gold and ivory, for the temple of this goddess in Argos. A copy in marble, the colossal head of Juno in the Villa Ludovisi in Rome, affords perhaps a lively idea of the sublimity of this work, in which Polycletus established for all ages the artistic type of the consort of Jupiter. The custom which was established about this age of publicly erecting statues to victorious athletes, called *iconic* statues, strongly favoured the progress of art, and produced its complete emancipation. The gymnastic exercises and contests so prevalent among the Greeks were most favourable to art, from the beautiful models with which they furnished artists, from the facility of studying them at every moment, and in every possible position, and finally, from the numbers of statues of athletes which necessarily resulted from such institutions. The study of the nude became the essential condition of art among the Greeks. On the borders of the Phidian period are the sculptures of the temple of Theseus at Athens. The metopes contain representations of the contests of Hercules and the deeds of Theseus, executed in strong relief, and exhibiting much passionate action, great truthfulness to nature in the figures, and at the same time excellent arrangement in the space allotted. The friezes executed in less strong relief represent the contests between Theseus, with his Athenians, and the Centaurs. Art had reached that stage when there was nothing wanting but a great man to completely emancipate

it from its archaic and hieratic fetters, and lead it, by a further step onwards, to its perfect development. That man was Phidias.

Phidias.—"This period (we here adopt Mr. Vaux's words) is



FRIEZE FROM THE TEMPLE.

the golden age of Greek art. During this period arose a spirit of sculpture which combined grace and majesty in the happiest manner, and by emancipating the plastic art from the fetters of antique stiffness, attained, under the direction of Pericles, and by the hand of Phidias, its culminating point. It is curious to

remark the gradual progress of the arts; for it is clear that it was slowly and not *per saltum* that the gravity of the elder school was changed to the perfect style of the age of Phidias." In this phase of the art, the ideal had reached its zenith, and we behold a beauty and perfection which has never been equalled. In this age alone sculpture, by the grandeur and sublimity it had attained to in its style, was qualified to give a form to the sublime conceptions of the deity evolved by the mind of Phidias. He alone was considered able to embody and to render manifest to the eye the sublime images of Homer. Hence he was called "the sculptor of the gods." It is well known that in the conception of his Jupiter Olympius, Phidias wished to render manifest, and that he succeeded in realizing, the sublime image under which Homer represents the master of the gods. The sculptor embodied that image in the following manner, according to Pausanias: "The god, made of ivory and gold, is seated on a throne, his head crowned with a branch of olive, his right hand presented a Victory of ivory and gold, with a crown and fillet; his left hand held a sceptre, studded with all kinds of metals, on which an eagle sat; the sandals of the god were gold, so was his drapery, on which were various animals, with flowers of all kinds, especially lilies; his throne was richly wrought with gold and precious stones. There were also statues; four Victories, alighting, were at each foot of the throne; those in front rested each on a sphinx that had seized a Theban youth; below the sphinxes the children of Niobe were slain by the arrows of Apollo and Artemis." This statue, Flaxman observes, sixty feet in height, was the most renowned work of ancient sculpture, not for stupendous magnitude alone, but more for careful majesty and sublime beauty. His Minerva in the Parthenon was of gold and ivory. The goddess was represented standing robed in a tunic, and her head covered with the formidable ægis; with her right hand she held a lance; in the left she held a statue of Victory about five feet high; her helmet was surmounted by a sphinx and two griffins, and over the visor eight horses in front in full gallop. The shield erect at the feet of the goddess was adorned on both sides with bas-reliefs. At the base of the statue were a sphinx and a serpent. This colossus was 37 feet high. The gem of Aspasia and the silver tetradrachm of Athens are said to be copies of the head of this Minerva.

Another remarkable statue of Phidias was the *Athene Promachus* in the *Acropolis*. It represented the tutelary goddess of



JUPITER OLYMPIUS. RESTORED FROM PHIDIAS BY QUATREMERRE DE QUINCY.

the Athenians, fully armed, and in the attitude of battle, with one arm raised, and holding a spear in her hand. This work

was of colossal dimensions, and stood in the open air, nearly opposite the Propylæa. It towered above the roof of the Parthenon, and it is said the crest of the helmet, and the point of the spear could be seen far off by ships approaching Athens from Sunium. Its height is supposed to have been, with its pedestal, about seventy feet; the material was bronze. There are two marble statues which have come down to us, and which give some idea of the Minervas of Phidias. One is the Pallas of Velletri, which is supposed to be a copy of the Minerva



METOPE OF PARTHENON.

Promachus. The Farnese Minerva at Naples may afford some idea of the chryselephantine statue of the Parthenon. It does not, however, present the accessories of the Athenian figure. The Sphinx, the serpent and the shield, are not represented. The sculptures of the Parthenon, now in the British Museum, can lead us to appreciate the manner of Phidias, and the character of his school, as observed by Flaxman. The statues of the pediments, the metopes, and bas-reliefs, are remarkable for

that grandeur of style, simplicity, truth, beauty, which are the characteristics of this school. On the eastern pediment was represented the birth of Minerva, and on the western, the contest between Minerva and Neptune for the guardianship of the soil of Attica. Of the figures still preserved to us of the eastern pediment, it has been generally supposed that the reclining figure may be identified as Theseus, that another is Ceres, a third Iris the messenger, about to announce to mortals the great event of the birth of Minerva, which has just taken place, while the group of three female figures are considered to represent the three Fates. Of the western pediment, the remaining figures are Cecrops, the first king and founder of Athens, and Aglaura, his wife, and the river god, Ilissus or Cephissus. The metopes, which generally represent single contests between the Athenians and the Centaurs, are in strong high relief, full of bold action and passionate exertion—though this is for the most part softened by great beauty of form and a masterly style of composition which knows how to adapt itself with the utmost freedom to the strict conditions of the space. These reliefs were placed high, as they were calculated for the full light of the sun, and to throw deeper shadows.

The frieze may be considered as the chief glory of the art of Phidias. The artists here expressed with the utmost beauty the signification of the temple by depicting a festive procession, which was celebrated every fifth year at Athens, in honour of Minerva, conveying in solemn pomp to the temple of the Parthenon the peplos, or sacred veil, which was to be suspended before the statue of the goddess. The end of the procession has just reached the temple, the archons and heralds await, quietly conversing together, the end of the ceremony. They are followed by a train of Athenian maidens, singly or in groups, many of them with cans and other vessels in their hands. Then follow men and women, then bearers of sacrificial gifts, then flute-players and musicians, followed by combatants in chariots, with four splendid horses. The whole is concluded by prancing horsemen, the prime of the manly youth of Athens. This frieze was within the colonnade of the Parthenon, on the upper part of the wall of the cella, and was continued round the building. By its position it only obtained a secondary light. Being placed immediately below the soffit, it received all its light from between the columns, and by reflection from the pavement below. Mr.

Westmacott remarks that these works are unquestionably the finest specimens of the art that exist, and they illustrate fully and admirably the progress and, as it may be said, the consummation of sculpture. They exhibit in a remarkable degree all the qualities that constitute fine art—truth, beauty, and perfect



THESEUS. PARTHENON.

execution. In the forms, the most perfect, the most appropriate, and the most graceful have been selected. All that is coarse or vulgar is omitted, and that only is represented which unites the two essential qualities of truth and beauty. The result of this happy combination is what has been termed ideal beauty. These

sculptures, however, which emanated from the mind of Phidias, and were most certainly executed under his eyes, and in his school, are not the works of his hands. Phidias himself disdained or worked but little in marble. They were, doubtless, the works of his pupils, Alcámenes, Agoracritus, Colotes, Pæonios, and some other artists of his time. For, as Flaxman remarks, the styles of different hands are sufficiently evident in the alto and basso rilievo. To the age of Phidias belong the sculptors Alcámenes, Agoracritus, and Pæonios. The greatest work of Alcámenes was a statue of Aphrodite in the Gardens, *ἐν κήποις*, a work to which it is said Phidias himself put the finishing touch. He also executed a bronze statue of a conqueror in the games, which Pliny says was known as the "Encrinomenos, the highly approved." Agoracritus, who, Pliny says, was such a favourite of Phidias, that he gave his own name to many of that artist's works, entered into a contest with Alcámenes, the subject being a statue of Venus. Alcámenes was successful, Pliny tells us, not that his work was superior, but because his fellow-citizens chose to give their suffrages in his favour, in preference to a stranger. It was for this reason that Agoracritus, indignant at his treatment, sold his statue on the express condition that it should never be taken to Athens, and changed its name to Nemesis. It was accordingly erected at Rhamnus.

A marble statue of Victory, a beautiful Nike in excellent preservation, has been lately discovered at Olympia, bearing the name of Pæonios. This statue is mentioned by Pausanias as a votive offering set up by the Messenians in the Altis, the sacred grove of Zeus at Olympia. The statues in the eastern pediment of the temple of Jupiter at Olympia, were by Pæonios, and those in the western by Alcámenes. The first represented the equestrian contest of Pelops against Oenomaus, and in the second, the Lapithæ were represented fighting with the centaurs at the marriage of Pirithous.

The frieze of the temple of Apollo at Bassæ, near Phigaleia, in Arcadia, belongs to this period. It was the work of Ictinus, the architect of the Parthenon. Contests with the Amazons and battles with the centaurs form the subject of the whole. The most animated and boldest compositions are sculptured in these reliefs. They exhibit, however, exaggeration, and are wanting in that repose and beauty which are the characteristics of the works of Phidias.

In the half draped Venus of Milo now in the Louvre, we have a genuine Greek work, which represents an intermediate style between that of Phidias and Praxiteles. "Grandly serious," Professor Lubke writes, "and almost severe, stands the goddess of Love, not yet conceived as in later representations, as a love requiring woman. The simple drapery, resting on the hips, displays uncovered the grand forms of the upper part of the body, which with all their beauty, have that mysteriously unapproachable feeling which is the genuine expression of the divine."

Praxitilean.—This period is characterized by a more rich and flowing style of execution, as well as by the choice of softer and more delicate subjects than had usually been selected for representation. In this the beautiful was sought after rather than the sublime. Praxiteles may be considered the first sculptor who introduced this more sensual, if it may be so called, style of art; for he was the first who, in the unrobed Aphrodite, combined the utmost luxuriance of personal charms with a spiritual expression in which the queen of love herself appeared as a woman needful of love, and filled with inward longing. He first gave a prominence to corporeal attractions, with which the deity was invested. His favourite subjects were of youthful and feminine beauty. In his Venus of Cnidos he exhibited the goddess in the most exquisite form of woman. His Cupid represented the beauty and grace of that age in boys which seemed to the Greeks the most attractive. His Apollo Sauroctonos presented the form of a youth of exquisite beauty and proportion. The Venus of Cnidos stands foremost as one of the celebrated art creations of antiquity. The artist represented the goddess completely undraped; but this bold innovation was justified by the fact that she was taking up her garment with her left hand, as if she were just coming from her bath, while with her right she modestly covered her figure. Many as are the subsequent copies preserved of this famous statue, we can only conceive the outward idea of the attitude, but none of the pure grandeur of the work of Praxiteles. In the Vatican (Chiaramonte gallery, No. 112) there is one of very inferior execution, but perhaps the only one which gives a correct idea of this Venus, as it corresponds as nearly as possible with the pose of the statue on the coin of Cnidos and with the description of Lucian.

His Cupid is represented as a slender undeveloped boy, full of



CUPID AFTER PRAXITELES.

liveliness and activity, earnestly endeavouring to fasten the strings to his bow. A Roman copy of this statue is in the British Museum.

He also executed in bronze a Faun, which was known as "Periboetos, the much famed;" the finest of the many copies of this celebrated statue that have come down to us, is in the Capitol: and a youthful Apollo, styled Sauroctonos, because he is aiming an arrow at a lizard which is stealing towards him; a copy of this statue in marble is in the Vatican, and one in bronze in the Villa Albani.

Contemporary with Praxiteles was Scopas. His works exhibit powerful expression, grandeur combined with beauty and grace. The group of Niobe and her children at Florence, has been attributed to him. Another very celebrated work of Scopas was the statue of the Pythian Apollo playing on the lyre, which Augustus placed in the temple which he built to Apollo, on the Palatine, in thanksgiving for his victory at Actium. An inferior Roman copy of this statue is in the Vatican. He was also celebrated for his heads of Apollo. Of these many excellent copies are still extant, the finest being that formerly in the Gius-tiniani collection, and now in the British Museum.

The late discoveries at Halicarnassus have yielded genuine works of Scopas in the sculptures of the bas-reliefs of the Mausoleum erected by Artemisia in memory of her husband Mausolus king of Caria, the east side of which is known to have proceeded from his hands; the other sides by his contemporaries Bryaxis, Timotheus, and Leochares. Parts of these are now in the British Museum.

The bas-reliefs of the temple of Nike Apteros have been associated with the peculiarities which characterize the productions of Scopas. A figure of Victory, stooping to loose her sandal, in bas-relief from this temple, is remarkable for its admirably arranged drapery.

The sculptural decorations of the temple of Artemis, at Ephesus, the foundations of which have been lately discovered by Mr. Wood, there is every reason to believe, were contributed by Praxiteles and Scopas. The drum of a column, with figures in bas-relief from this temple, has been lately added to the British Museum.

The beautiful figure of a Bacchante in bas-relief in the British Museum is generally referred to Scopas.

The following are some of the more particular characteristics of the human form, adopted by the Grecian sculptors of this age:—



VENUS OF KNIDOS—PRAXITELES.

In the profile, the forehead and lips touch a perpendicular line drawn between them. In young persons, the brow and nose nearly form a straight line, which gives an expression of grandeur and delicacy to the face. The forehead was low, the eyes large, but not prominent. A depth was given to the eye to give to the eyebrow a finer arch, and, by a deeper shadow, a bolder relief. To the eyes a living play of light was communicated by a sharp projection of the upper eyelid, and a deep depression of the pupil. The eye was so differently shaped in the heads of divinities and ideal heads, that it is itself a characteristic by which they can be distinguished. In Jupiter, Apollo, and Juno the opening of the eye is large, and roundly arched; it has also less length than usual, that the curve which it makes may be more spherical. Pallas likewise has large eyes, but the upper lid falls over them more than in the three divinities just mentioned, for the purpose of giving her a modest maiden look. Small eyes were reserved for Venuses and voluptuous beauties, which gave them the languishing air called *ὕπν*. The upper lip was short, the lower lip fuller than the upper, as this tended to give a roundness to the chin; the short upper lip, and the round and grandly-formed chin, being the most essential signs of genuine Greek formation. The lips were generally closed; they slightly open in the statues of the gods, especially in the case of Venus, but the teeth were never seen. The ear was carefully modelled and finished. The beauty, and especially the execution of them, is, according to Winkelman, the surest sign by which to discriminate the antique from additions and restorations. The hair was curly, abundant, and disposed in floating locks, and executed with the utmost imaginable care; in females it was tied in a knot behind the head. The frontal hair was represented as growing in a curve over the temples, in order to give the face an oval shape. The face was always oval, and a cross drawn in the oval indicated the design of the face. The perpendicular line marked the position of the brow, the nose, the mouth, and the chin; the horizontal line passed through the eyes, and was parallel to the mouth. The hands of youth were beautifully rounded, and the dimples given; the fingers were tapered, but the articulations were not generally indicated. In the male form the chest was high, arched, and prominent. In the female form, especially in that of goddesses and virgins, the form of the breasts is virginal in the extreme, since their

beauty was generally made to consist in the moderateness of their size. They were generally a little higher than in nature. The abdomen was without prominence. The legs and knees of youthful figures are rounded with softness and smoothness, and unmarked by muscular movements. The proportion of the limbs was longer than in the preceding period. In the male and female figure, the foot was rounded in its form; in the female the toes are delicate, and have dimples over their first joints gently marked.

It is evident that this type of beauty of form, adopted by the Grecian sculptors, is in unison with, and exhibits a marked analogy to the type of face and form of the Greeks themselves; for, as Sir Charles Bell observes, the Greek face is a fine oval, the forehead full and carried forward, the eyes large, the nose straight, the lips and chin finely formed; in short, the forms of the head and face have been the type of the antique, and of all which we most admire.*

The sculptors of this age, instead of aiming at an abstract unattainable ideal, studied nature in its choicest forms, and attained the beautiful by selecting and concentrating in one those charms which are found diffused over all. They avoided the representation of all violent motions and perturbations of the passions, which would have completely marred that expression of serene repose which is a prominent characteristic of the beautiful period of Greek sculpture. Indeed, the chief object of the Greek sculptor was the representation of the beautiful alone, and to this principle he made character, expression, costume, and everything else subordinate.

Lysippus, the successor of Praxiteles and Scopas, was a contemporary of Alexander the Great. He contributed to advance their style by the peculiar fulness, roundness, and harmonious general effect by which it appears that his works were characterised. His school exhibited a strong naturalistic tendency, a

* Tinos, Naxos, Samos, and other favoured spots in the Ægean, still furnish types of that glorious race which gave models to Phidias and Praxiteles. In the men there may still be seen beauty of form and the most ample development of the muscles and limbs—perfect symmetry united with manly strength. In the women the straight brow and nose, the delicately formed mouth and chin, the smooth and rounded neck, losing itself in the flowing curve of the shoulders and bearing, like a pedestal of Parian marble, the exquisitely-shaped head, the graceful carriage, and the well-proportioned limbs.—*Quarterly Rev.*, Vol. 94.

closer imitation of nature, leading to many refinements in detail; It was unquestionably greater in portrait than in ideal works. Pliny thus speaks of his style: "He is considered to have contributed very greatly to the art of the statuary by expressing the details of the hair, and by making the head smaller than had been done by the ancients, and the body more graceful and less bulky, a method by which his statues were made to appear taller."

The portrait statues of Alexander the Great by Lysippus were very numerous. The great king would only allow himself to be modelled by Lysippus. The head of Alexander, as the young Ammon on the coins of Lysimachus, is said to have been designed by him. An athlete, scraping his body with a strigil, named the ἀποξύμενος, was the most famous of the bronze statues of Lysippus. The statue of an athlete in the Vatican, in a similar position, is supposed to be a marble copy of the original bronze of Lysippus; though an inferior work, it illustrates the statements of Pliny regarding the proportions adopted by Lysippus—a small head and the body long and slim. The bas-reliefs also on the monument of Lysicrates, representing the story of Dionysus and the Tyrrhenian pirates, present all the characteristic features of the school of Lysippus. It was erected in the archonship of Euænetus, B.C. 335.

The canon of Polycletus began to be generally adopted at this period. It was followed by Lysippus, who called the Doryphoros of that artist his master. In his practice of dealing with the heads and limbs of his figures, Lysippus was followed by Silanion and Euphranor, and his authority may be said to have governed the school of Greece to a late period of the art.

Pliny tells us that Euphranor was the first who represented heroes with becoming dignity, and who paid particular attention to proportion. He made, however, in the generality of instances, the bodies somewhat more slender and the heads larger. His most celebrated statue was a Paris, which expressed alike the judge of the goddesses, the lover of Helen, and the slayer of Achilles. The very beautiful sitting figure of Paris, in marble, in the Vatican, is, no doubt, a copy of this work.

Subsequently to these sculptors we have Chares, the Rhodian, who constructed the famous colossus of Helios at the entrance of the harbour of Rhodes, which was 105 feet high. It appears

there is no authority for the common statement that its legs extended over the mouth of the harbour.

Of the later Asiatic or Rhodian schools we have the famous groups of the Laocoon and of Dircè tied to a Bull, commonly called the Toro Farnese. In both of these the dramatic element is predominant, and the tragic interest is not appreciated. In the Laocoon consummate skill is shown in the mastery of execution; but if the object of the artist was to create pity or awe, he has drawn too much attention to his power of carving marble. The Laocoon was executed, according to Pliny, by Agesander, Polydorus and Athenodorus, natives of Rhodes. This group, now in the Vatican, was found in the baths of Titus. From the evidence of an antique gem, on which is engraved a representation of this group, we find the right arm of the Laocoon has been wrongly restored. In the gem the hand of Laocoon is in contact with his head, and not, as restored by Giovanni da Montorsoli, raised high.

The Farnese Bull, a work in which we possess the most colossal group of antiquity, was executed by Apollonius and Tauriscus of Tralles. To the same school belongs the Dying Gladiator, who unquestionably represents one of the Gauls who were defeated in Asia Minor, and not, as usually supposed, a combatant who died in the amphitheatre. It is remarkable for the entire absence of ideal representation, and for its complete individuality and close imitation of nature. This statue is probably a copy of one which formed part of a group by Pyromachus, who executed several groups, and large compositions of battle scenes for Attalus, king of Pergamus, to celebrate his decisive victory over the Gauls (B.C. 240). The originals were in bronze.

To the later Athenian school belong probably the Belvidere Torso, so much admired by Michael Angelo, the Farnese Hercules, the Venus de Medici, and the Fighting Gladiator. The Belvidere Torso is now considered to be a copy by Apollonius, the son of Nestor, of the Hercules of Lysippus, and probably executed in the Macedonian period. The Farnese Hercules is so exaggerated in its style as to have been deemed a work as late as the Roman empire. According to Flaxman, the Venus de Medici is a deteriorated variety or repetition of a Venus of Praxiteles. It is now generally admitted that it is a work of the latest Macedonian period, probably by Cleomenes, whose

name appears on its base. The Fighting Gladiator bears the name of Agasias of Ephesus. From the attitude of the figure it is clear that the statue represents not a gladiator, but a warrior contending with a mounted combatant, probably an Athenian, warding off a blow from a centaur.

The Macedonian age, to which most of these statues belonged, commenced with Alexander the Great, and terminated with the absorption of Greek art by the Romans.

Decline.—Art having in the two previous periods reached its culminating point of perfection; as is the law of all development, when a culminating point is reached, a downward tendency and a period of decline begins, for the cycle of development must be completed and the stages of rise, progress, maturity, decline and decay run through.

No exact date, however, can be assigned to the beginning of the stage of decline; no sharp line of demarcation can be pointed out dividing one stage from the other. The decline was so gradual that there was an inevitable blending of the two. We perceive evident signs of decline in the fourth stage, while, in the fifth, or stage of decline, we sometimes meet some noble works of art partaking of the perfect style of the earlier periods. A period of decline inevitably and invariably follows an age of maturity and perfection, as Mr. Lecky observes, "The sculptor and the painter of the age of Praxiteles precipitated art into sensuality; both of them destroyed its religious character, both of them raised it to high æsthetic perfection, but in both cases that perfection was followed by a speedy decline." Müller remarks, "The creative activity, the real central point of the entire activity of art, which fashions peculiar forms for peculiar ideas, must have flagged in its exertions when the natural circle of ideas among the Greeks had received complete plastic embodiment, or it must have been morbidly driven to abnormal inventions. We find, therefore, that art, during this period, with greater or less degrees of skill in execution, delighted now in fantastical, now in effeminate productions, calculated merely to charm the senses. And even in the better and nobler works of the time there was still on the whole something—not, indeed, very striking to the eye, but which could be felt by the natural sense, something which distinguished them from the earlier works—the *striving after effect*." The spirit of imita-

tion marked the later portion of this period of decline. The sculptors of this age, despairing of equalling the productions of the former age, gave themselves up completely to servile imitation. The imitation was naturally inferior to the original, and each succeeding attempt at imitation was but a step lower in degradation of the art. When they ceased to study nature they thought to repair the deterioration of the beauty of form by the finish of the parts; and in a still later period they gave, instead of a grandeur of style, an exaggeration of form. Lastly, being utterly unable to cope with their predecessors in the sculpture of statues, they had recourse to the manufacture of busts and portraits, which they executed in countless numbers. The art reached its lowest ebb, and thus the cycle of the development of Greek sculpture terminated in its last stage—utter decay and degradation.

Roman.—In the very early periods the Romans imitated the Etruscans, for, generally speaking, all the works of the first periods of Rome were executed by Etruscan artists. Their earliest statues of gods were in clay. Etruscan art exercised the greatest influence in Rome, for Rome was adorned with monuments of Etruscan art, in its very infancy; it was a Tuscan called Veturius Mamurius who made the shields (ancilia) of the temple of Numa, and who made, in bronze, the statue of Ver-tumna, a Tuscan deity, in the suburb of Rome. The Romans owed all their culture to the Etruscans, from whom they learnt the arts of architecture, terra-cotta work, and painting; calling in artists of that more tasteful race when anything of the sort was required for the decoration of their simple edifices. The most ancient monuments of Rome thus corresponded with the contemporaneous style of Etruscan art; there is thus a similarity in the figures; the attributes alone can lead one to distinguish them, as these attributes tell if the statue was connected with the creed or modes of belief of Etruria or Rome. There was not, therefore, any Roman style, properly so called, the only distinction to be remarked is that the statues of the early periods, executed by the Romans, are characterized, like the Romans themselves of the same period, by a beard and long hair. At a late period all the architecture, all the sculpture of the public edifices at Rome, were in the Tuscan style, according to the testimony of Pliny.

After the second Punic war, Greek artists took the place of Etruscan artists at Rome; the taking of Syracuse gave the Romans a knowledge of the beautiful works of Greece, and the treasures of art brought from Corinth chiefly contributed to awaken a taste among them, and they soon turned into ridicule their ancient statues in clay; Greek art was gradually transferred to Rome; Greek artists began to abound there, and the history of Roman art was thenceforward confounded with that of the vicissitudes of Greek art. The style of the works of sculpture



VENUS OF A ROMAN PERIOD.

under the first emperors may be considered as a continuation and sequel of the development of Greek sculpture. These works, more particularly the portrait statues, which were the prevailing works of this period, exhibit a great deal of force and character, though a want of care is visible in some parts, especially in the hair. The characters of the heads always bear out the descriptions which historians have given of the person they belong to, the Roman head differing essentially from the Greek, in having a more arched forehead, a nose more aquiline, and features altogether of a more decided character. It may be observed, however, as a general remark, that the Roman statues are of a thicker and more robust form, with less ease and grace, more stern, and of a less ideal expression than Greek statues, though

equally made by Greek artists. Under Augustus, and the following Roman emperors, to meet the demand for Greek statues to embellish their houses and villas, several copies and imitations of celebrated Greek works were manufactured by the sculptors of the age. The Apollo Belvidere, the Venus of the Capitol, and several copies of celebrated Greek works, in various Museums, such as the Faun, Cupid, Apollo Sauroctonos, and Venus of Praxiteles, the discobolos of Myron, and several works of Scopas and Lysippus, are supposed to be of this age. Archæologists are now generally agreed in thinking that the Apollo Belvidere

is only a copy of a Roman period of a very fine Greek statue of about the beginning of the third century B.C., and that the original was in bronze. Another copy has been identified in a bronze statuette now in St. Petersburg known as the Stroganoff Apollo. From this statuette it is found that the Apollo Belvidere held forward in his left hand, not a bow as was thought, but the *regis*, in the attitude of spreading consternation among an enemy. The production of this statue is generally assigned to the period after the invasion of the Gauls, whom in 278 B.C. the god drove in alarm from his sanctuary at Delphi.

Of the Faun of Praxiteles there are two copies in the Vatican, but both are inferior to that in the Capitol. A copy of the Cupid of Praxiteles is in the British Museum. Of the Apollo Sauroctonos there are two copies, one in the Vatican, and another in bronze in the Villa Albani. Of the Venus of Cnidos of Praxiteles there are several copies in the Vatican; one in particular, in the Chiaramonte Gallery, No. 112, though very inferior as a work of art, gives the exact pose of the original statue as it appears on the coin of Cnidos. The Venus of the Capitol is a Roman version of the Praxitelean statue; it differs in attitude. Several copies of the Discobolos of Myron are still in existence: one in the British Museum, one in the Vatican, and a third, much finer than either of the others, in the possession of Prince Massimo. A very fine marble copy of the celebrated bronze of Lysippus, the *ἀποφύομενος*, is in the Vatican. A copy of the Pythian Apollo by Scopas is in the same museum.

The noble statue of Augustus, discovered in 1863, and now in the Vatican, is a grand example of the portrait statues of this period. It is full of life and individuality. The pose is simple and majestic, as befitting the portrait of an emperor. The bust of the young Augustus in the Vatican for depth of expression, individuality, truth to nature, and delicacy of finish and treatment, is a marvel in portraiture.

Under Tiberius and Claudius a limit was placed to the right of having statues exposed in public; consequently a lesser number of statues were made, and less attention was paid to the perfection of the portrait. However, some excellent works were produced in this period. The style became purer and more refined under Hadrian, for a partial revival of Greek art is attributed to this emperor. The hair was carefully worked, the eyebrows were raised, the pupils were indicated by a deep cavity

—an essential characteristic of this age, rare before this period, and frequently introduced afterwards; the heads acquired greater strength, without, however, increasing in character. Of the most remarkable productions of the age of Hadrian are the numerous repetitions of the statue of Antinous, an ideal portrait of Hadrian's favourite, exhibiting much artistic perfection. That in the Capitol is remarkable, not only for its exceeding beauty, but also for its correct anatomy. Of the Emperor Hadrian there is a fine portrait statue in the British Museum. Under



BUST OF A ROMAN LADY.

the Antonines, the decay of the art was still more manifest, displaying a want of simplicity, and an attention to trivial and meretricious accessories. Thus, in the busts, the hair and the beard luxuriate in an exaggerated profusion of curls, the careful expression of the features of the countenance being at the same time frequently neglected. This age was remarkable also for its recurrence to the style of a primitive and imperfect art in the reproduction of Egyptian statues. Like the pre-Raphaelites,

of the present day, this imitation of, and recurrence to, the early and imperfect forms of art, like second childhood in man, are evident signs of the downward tendency and total decay of art. The art declined still further under Commodus and Severus. The use of perukes and false hair is exhibited in the busts. The figures were mechanical in style, and totally deficient in life. Under Alexander Severus it was degraded into a coarse and low style. Deep furrows were marked on the forehead, the hair and beard were indicated by long lines, a deeper cavity was given to the pupils of the eyes; the forms became dry and languid, the heads lost all character, and were reduced to such a low grade as to be scarcely distinguishable one from another.

MYTHOLOGY OF SCULPTURE.

When the style and period of an object of sculpture is known, a further knowledge will be required of the god, goddess, king, or hero it represents, which can only be acquired by an intimate acquaintance with their distinctive attributes. In order, therefore, to assist the student of archæology, we shall here give a brief enumeration of the distinctive attributes of the gods, goddesses, kings, and heroes, which are visible in Egyptian, Etruscan, Greek, and Roman sculpture.

Egyptian.—The objects represented in sculpture by the Egyptians were deities, men, and animals.

Egyptian Deities.—The deities of ancient Egypt consist of celestial, terrestrial, and infernal gods, and of many inferior personages, either representatives of the greater gods or else attendants upon them. Most of the gods were connected with the sun, and represented that luminary in its passage through the upper hemisphere or heaven, and the lower hemisphere or Hades. The same deity among the Egyptians was represented under three different forms:—1. Pure human form, with the attributes peculiar to the god. 2. A human body bearing the head of an animal which was especially dedicated to that deity. 3. This same animal with the attributes of the god. These three classes combine the greater portion of figures of all dimensions, which are found in cabinets and museums. It is the head which bears the principal characteristic attribute of

each, whether standing or seated, in a natural form or mummified. Egyptian deities are represented in every kind of material; wax, wood, baked clay and glazed, porcelain, marble, hard and soft stone, precious stones, bronze, silver, gold. Frequently the figures in wood, in stone, or in bronze, are gilt, and more frequently they are painted in various and consecrated colours, especially for the face and for the nude, nothing in this respect being left to the will of the artist. These representations being thus regulated by law or by custom in all these details, their constant uniformity is of great assistance in the study of Egyptian mythology, for it explains at once the scenes in which these gods appear; whether they are represented in the round, in relief, in intaglio, painted on linen, on papyrus, in wood, or in stone, the same attributes always indicate the deity, and the combination of these attributes, that of the divine personages, according to the ideas and creed of the Egyptians. Bunsen remarks that the system of Egyptian mythology, as presented to us in its three orders, as in the following section, would appear to have been complete at the commencement of the historical age, or reign of Menes, the founder of one united Egyptian empire, according to him, 3643 B.C.

The Egyptian system of mythology, as interpreted by Sir Gardner Wilkinson and the Chevalier Bunsen, recognised three orders of deities, of which eight were called the greater gods, twelve were considered as the lesser gods, and seven of the third order.

First Order.

Male.	Female.
AMUN, The concealed god, The god of Thebes.	MAUT, The mother (Buto), The temple consort of Khem and Amun, The goddess of Buto in the Delta.
KHEM, The generative god of nature, The god of Panopolis.	NEITH, (Without descent "I came from myself"), The goddess of Sais in the Delta.

Male.


Female.

KNEPH (CHNUPHIS),
The ram-headed god of
Thebes.

SETI (in Coptic, **SATE**, "ray,
arrow"),
The consort of Kneph.

PHTAH,
The creator of the world.
The god of Memphis.

RA,
Helios, the sun god,
The god of Heliopolis (On)
in the Delta.



Second Order.

A. The child of Amun.

1. Khunsu (Chonso), Hercules.

B. The child of Kneph.

2. Tet (Thoth), Hermes.

C. The children of Phtah.

3. Atumu, Atmu, Atum.

4. Sekhet (Pasht), the lion-headed goddess.

D. The children of Ra, Helios.

5. Hather (Athor).
6. Mau.
7. Ma, Thmei (Truth).
8. Tefnu.
9. Mentu, Munt (Mandulis).
10. Sebak, Sevek, the crocodile-headed god.
11. Seb, the father of the gods. Saturn.
12. Nutpe, Netpe.



NEITH.






PHTAH.

Third Order.

- I. Set, Nubi, Typhon.
- II. Hesiri, Osiris.
- III. Hes, Isis.
- IV. Nebti, Nepthys, the sister of Isis, "the mistress of the house."
- V. Her-her, Aroeris, Hor the elder, the god of Apollinopolis.
- VI. Her, Horus, child of Isis and Osiris, "Her-pa-chrat," Harpocrates, i.e. Horus the child.
- VII. Anupu, Anubis.*

* According to Dr. Birch, "The first or highest order comprised eight deities, who were different in the Memphian and Theban systems. The

Several of these gods were represented as grouped in sets of three, and each city had its own triad. In Thebes it was Amun-



eight gods of the first order at Memphis were, 1. Ptah; 2. Shu; 3. Tefnu; 4. Seb; 5. Nut; 6. Osiris; 7. Isis and Horus; 8. Athor. Those

Ra, Maut, and Chonso; at Philæ the trinity was Osiris, Isis, Horus, a group the most frequently represented in most parts of Egypt. At Memphis, Ptah, his wife Merienptah, and their son Nefer Atum, formed a triad, to which was sometimes added Bast, the cat-headed goddess of Bubastis.

Four Genii of the Dead.


Amset.

Tautmutf.

Hapi.

Kabhsenuf.

All the gods are characterized by the beard hanging down from the chin. In general, they hold a sceptre surmounted by the Ku-kufa head, and the sacred Tau. The sceptre is called "tam," and is considered the emblem of power. The sacred *tau* is the symbol of life, or eternal existence. The goddesses carry a sceptre surmounted by a lotus flower (emblem of sovereignty); in pictures they frequently have wings, and are always clothed.



Their common hieroglyphic sign is an egg or a snake. The gods, as well as goddesses, often carry the whip and crown of the Pharaohs. The latter is called *schen*; with the article prefixed, and the nominal suffix *t*, it was pronounced in later times P-schent, and is so written by the Greeks. It consists of two parts. According to the pictures, the lower one is red, and called, on that account, *Tescher*; the upper one is white (*absch*), with the name of *Het*. The crowns of the Pharaohs were of three kinds, one a tall cap with a ball at the top, the crown of Upper Egypt, another, a flat ring with a tall piece

of Thebes were, 1. Amen-Ra; 2. Mentu; 3. Atum; 4. Shu and Tefnu; 5. Seb; 6. Osiris; 7. Set and Nepthys; 8. Horus and Athor. The gods of the second order were twelve in number, amongst whom were Tahuti or Thoth, the god of wisdom and knowledge, inventor of speech and writing, and Anup or Anubis, son of Osiris, and the director of the funereal rites and embalmers of the dead. There was a third order, but its members are not known, although it comprised some of the numerous deities seen on the monuments, the attendants, ministers, or companions of the principal gods.

behind, the crown of Lower Egypt. These two combined formed the double crown of Upper and Lower Egypt, the *pschent*. The gods and goddesses have, moreover, the royal snake, the type of dominion (the uræus, basilisk), as a frontlet, like the Pharaohs. Another crown is sometimes worn (generally by Osiris), the *atef*. It is composed of a conical cap, flanked by two ostrich plumes, with a disc in front, placed on the horns of a goat, ornamented with the uræus.

The gods and goddesses were principally distinguished according to their head-dresses. The following is an enumeration of the principal Egyptian deities, as represented under three different forms, and as characterized by their head-dresses:—

I.—*Gods and Goddesses of Pure Human Form.*

1. Gods of pure human form bearing on their heads—

Two long plumes, the nude painted
blue AMUN.

Two long plumes, the body ithy-
phallic KHEM.

A cap fitting tightly to the head, the
flesh green, the body mummified,
in his hand the emblem of
stability } PHTAH, of Upper
Egypt.

A scarabæus, the body of a bandy-
legged dwarf } PHTAH SOKARI, of
Memphis.

The sun's disk encircled by an uræus,
the flesh coloured red RA.


A goose SEB.

A lunar disk with a single lock of
hair } CHONSO, and HAR-
PAKHRUT.


The lunar crescent, a disk in the
midst } THOTH, and AAH,
the god of the
moon.

The *pschent* ATMU.

The het with two feathers, bearing
in his hands the tau, with the whip
and crook OSIRIS.




HAPIMOU.



MENTU.



SEBAK.




CHONSO.

The atef, in his hands the whip and
crook OSIRIS.


The nilometer, or emblem of stability, surmounted by the atef, his coun- tenance barbaric	OSIRIS.
An ostrich feather	MAU.
Two tall plumes and horns, the body of a child	HORUS RA or NETS.
The pschent with a single lock of hair, his finger to his mouth ..	HORUS, the child.
A disk with uræus, a body of mon- strous proportions	TYPHON.
Four plumes	ANHUR (MARS.)
The papyrus plant	HAPIMOU, the Nile.
The het, in his hands a battle-axe, shield, and spear	RANPO, the god of war.

2. Goddesses of human form bearing on their head—


The cap representing the royal vul- ture surmounted by the pschent, the flesh yellow	MAUT.
The tescher } A shuttle } A hawk }	NEITH.
The het with a cow's horn on each side	SATI, a form of ISIS.
The sun's disk with cow's horns and uræus	ATHOR.
An ostrich feather	MA, THMEI.
A water-vase	NETPE and NUT.
Cap representing the royal vulture surmounted by the sun's disk with cow's horns	ISIS.
A throne	ISIS.




SERAPIS.




THOTH.



ANUBIS.



HORUS.



THOTH.

A basket on a house, hieroglyphic for "mistress of the house" ..	NEPHTHS.
A number of plumes	ANK, ANOUKE.
A scorpion	SELK.
A cap representing the royal vul- ture surmounted by the het, or het with plumes	EILEITHUIA.
Het with plumes, in her hands battle- axe, shield, and spear	} ANTA, the goddess of war.
The emblem of purity	
	KHEM, Egypt.

II.—*Deities of Human Form with the Head of an Animal.*

1. Gods :—

A ram's head, blue, surmounted by disk and two plumes	AMUN.
A ram's head, green, two long horns and the uræus	KNUM.
A ram's head surmounted by the atef and horns	KNEPH.
The head of a hawk surmounted by the atef and two plumes	} PHTAH--SOKKARI-- OSIRIS.
A hawk's head surmounted by atef	
A hawk's head surmounted by disk and uræus	RA.
A hawk's head surmounted by lunar crescent and disk	RA.
A hawk's head surmounted by lunar crescent and disk	KHONSO.
The head of the ibis surmounted by atef	THOTH.
The head of the ibis surmounted by a lunar crescent, a disk, and feather	THOTH—AAH.
A hawk's head surmounted by disk and two plumes, holding the sword called Khopesh	MENTU.
The head of a crocodile surmounted by atef	SEBAK.

The head of a bull surmounted by horns and uræus	} OSIRIS-APIS, SERA- PIS.
A hawk's head surmounted by pschent	HORUS.
The head of a jackal	ANUBIS.
Same, surmounted by pschent and uræus	ANUBIS.
The head of an ass	SET, SETH, TYPHON.

2. Goddesses :—

A cat's head surmounted by uræus	BAST.
Same, surmounted by disk and uræus	BAST.
A cow's head surmounted by disk and horns	ATHOR.
The head of a lioness surmounted by disk and uræus	} TEFNU. (PASHT) SEKHET.
A cow's head surmounted by disk and uræus	ISIS.
Same, surmounted by disk, horns, and two plumes	ISIS.
Same, nursing Horus	ISIS.
Head of hippopotamus, with pen- dent breasts	TAOUR, THOEBIS.

The Four Genii of Amenti, or of the Lower World.

Human-headed Amset.	Jackal-headed Tautmutf.
Ape-headed Hapi.	Hawk-headed Kabhsenuf.

These genii protected the chief viscera of the body.

III. *Symbolic Animals representing those Gods whose Head-dress they sometimes bear.*

Ram with disk, horns, and two plumes on its head	AMUN.
The male sphinx, bearded, the red disk and uræus on its head	} HAR-MA-KHU, or RA on the horizon.
A hawk, on its head the disk and uræus ..	RA.
Scarabæus with disk in its fore claws .. .	RA.

Hawk with lunar crescent and disk	CHONSO.
Gynocephalus, a tablet in its hand	THOTH.
White ibis	THOTH.
Hawk in a square	ATHOR.
How with a disk on its head	ATHOR.
lioness with a disk on its head	{ TEFNU. (PASHT) SEKHET.
Hawk, on its head a disk and plume	MENTU.
A crocodile	SEBAK.
Hawk, with atef	OSIRIS.
Bennu wearing the atef	SOUL OF OSIRIS.
Hawk with head-dress of Isis	ISIS.
Hawk with pschent	HORUS.
Jackal on an altar, with or without whip ..	ANUBIS.
Bull with a disk on its head	APIS.
Hawk hovering over a monarch	{ HORHUT, Agatho- dæmon.
An asp	{ HORHUT, Agatho- dæmon.
Serpent, bearded, with two human legs ..	NUHAR.
An ass	SETH, TYPHON.
Vulture with outspread wings	EILEITHUIA.
The winged disk with uræi	{ HORHUT, Agatho- dæmon.
The great serpent	APOP (Apophis).
Hippotamus	SET, TYPHON.
Lion with ram's horns, and white crown.	SOUL OF THE SUN.
Human-headed bird, with star in circle ..	{ SOUL OF THE HEAVENS.
Bird with human hands uplifted	SOUL OF THE KING.
A hawk, human-headed	THE HUMAN SOUL.

The sphinx was an emblem of royalty, and the symbol of intellectual and physical power, but chiefly of the sun. The sphinx was of three kinds—the Andro-Sphinx, with the head of

a man and the body of a lion, denoting the union of intellectual and physical power; the Crio-Sphinx, with the head of a ram and the body of a lion, and was dedicated to Amun-Kneph



SPHINX.

and the Hieraco-Sphinx, with the same body and the head of a hawk, and was sacred to Horus Ra. They were all types of representations of the king.

The celebrated sphinx in front of the pyramids, in its original state, presented the appearance of an enormous couchant andro-sphinx, with gigantic paws, between which was a miniature temple with a platform, and flights of steps for approaching it, with others leading down from the plain above; the head was formerly adorned with the pschent. The whole is cut out of



THE GREAT SPHINX.

the solid rock, with the exception of the forelegs, which are of masonry. Between the fore paws of this colossal work, which measures more than 180 feet in length, is a votive tablet of

Thotmes IV., but it appears to have been the work of an earlier period.* The Sphinx represented Har-ma-khu, or the Sun, in his resting place (the western horizon), which was converted by the Greeks into Harmachis. An avenue of sphinxes formed a usual approach to an Egyptian temple. The Egyptian name of the Sphinx was Sesheps.

The Bennu (the lapwing) which symbolized the return of Osiris to light, and was the emblem of resurrection, appears to have been the type of the Græco-Egyptian fable of the Phoenix. It is supposed to be a type of the Sothic period, the great year of the Egyptians, at the end of which all the planets returned to the same place they occupied at its commencement. It was a period of 1461 years, which brought round to the same seasons their months and festivals. The story of its rising from its ashes was a later invention. According to Sir G. Wilkinson the Egyptian name seems to be III-ENEΣ or ΦENEΣ, signifying "sæculum," or a period of years.


The examples we have here given will be sufficient to afford a general idea of the representation of the Egyptian deities under the three forms above indicated; further and more detailed information will be found in the works of Sir Gardner Wilkinson, Dr. Birch, and MM. Pierrot and Chabas.

Kings and Queens.—The figures of kings and queens, which are found in Egyptian monuments of all kinds, are represented in a pure human form, nude, dressed, or mummified. For the kings, as for the gods, an appendage to the chin, or plaited beard, distinguished them from the queens, as from the goddesses. This plaited beard is the general mark of the male form in all figures sculptured or painted by the Egyptians. The king is recognised by two peculiar signs: 1. The serpent (uræus), which raises its head and swollen neck over his brow and in front of his crown. 2. The name engraved on his statue, or written by his side on bas-reliefs and paintings, and this name is a series of hieroglyphics enclosed in an oval or cartouche. The honours of the oval were reserved for the kings and queens alone, and for those gods who were considered as *dynasts*, or who had reigned in Egypt; but in the latter case the gods can be recog-

* According to Brugsch Bey, the sphinx was made at the time of Thafra, or Chefren, and is consequently a mutilated portrait of that monarch.

nised by their attributes, and especially by the head-dress; the kings being distinguished by their purely human forms, and by the richness of their costume, when they are not represented as mummified. The kings of the ancient dynasties were worshipped as gods after death, but the kings of the second empire were


gods by virtue of their rank and birth; they bear, like the gods, the sacred tau in one hand, and some other divine attribute in the other, the uræus on their brow, and the head-dress of the god under whose protection they had placed themselves when alive. The same observation may be applied to the queens.



SETI MENEPHTAH II.,
FIFTH KING OF THE
NINETEENTH DYNASTY.

Private Individuals.—Private individuals bear no distinctive sign; men have their heads shaved, or covered with hair carefully plaited and curled, frequently wigs of a large size, a striped garment (shenti) round the loins, falls as far as the knees, and a semicircular collar (askh) with patterns in rows, is worn round the neck and on the chest; the legs are naked, and the feet

generally bare. Women wear either their own hair or a wig, and their head is covered by a kind of striped cap (cliaft), sloping off to allow the ears to be seen, and descending in two long, broad, and rounded masses on each side of the neck. They wear a collar round their neck, and are clothed in a tight-fitting tunic, which descends to their ankles. The head of a family is known by a long cane, which is nearly as tall as himself. If he is seated with a table before him covered with offerings, and sometimes a flame on his head, this is a representation of him when deceased, and the offerings are made by the personages of his family; and if a woman is seated by his side with the flower of a lotus in her hand, with or without the flame on her head, this is also a representation of her when deceased. In all their funereal representations, as in all those of domestic life, the name of these private individuals is always written by the side of their head, which generally is a short series of hieroglyphics; preceded, in the case of their being deceased, by the charac-




EGYPTIAN FIGURES.

teristic signs of the name of Osiris, all men becoming subjects of this god on their leaving life. The small statuettes (*shabti*) in a mummified form, without any ornament on the head, are offerings made to the deceased by their relations and friends, who had the name of the deceased placed on them. They are supposed to represent the deceased under the form of Osiris.

Animals.—The figures of animals, sculptured by the Egyptians, are remarkable for the perfection of the resemblance, the finish of the details, and minute imitation of the colours. If these animals are symbolical, their head-dress is that of the god of which they are the emblem. If they are represented only in their natural forms without any accessory, they represent the animal itself, the form of which is given—a lion, a rat, a crocodile; but it must be observed that almost all these animals partook of a symbolic character, which is the principal reason of their infinite multiplication. A bird with a human head,

wearing a disk and horns, represented Athor. She was then in a character connected with the virtuous souls who have been admitted to the regions of Amenti. The scarabæus, or beetle,



SCARABÆI.

Kheper, was sacred to Phtah, and was an emblem of his power as creator. Scarabæi, manufactured out of almost every known material, are found in great abundance in Egyptian tombs, of

greenstone, carnelian, hæmatite, granite, serpentine, agate, lapis lazuli, plasma, amethyst, and other materials: a cheaper kind was made of limestone, glazed with blue grit to imitate a harder and dearer quality; and of the ordinary blue pottery. Many bear hieroglyphics, but the greater number are quite plain. Those with hieroglyphics bear on their bases the figures of deities, sacred animals, names of kings, the name of the wearer, and other symbols. A great number are funereal, and are engraved with a prayer, or formula, relative to the heart or soul of the deceased. These are found between the folds of the interior bandages, and on the chest of the mummies. Many, also, were used as amulets, and are found set in chains, collars, rings, &c. They may be classed as ornamental, funereal, or historical; some of these last of great size, bearing the name of Amunoph III., and his queen Taia, relate to his conquests, his lion-hunts, or the public works of his reign. The real sacred scarabæus of the Egyptians has been found living in Ethiopia. The white ibis is frequently represented by ancient artists, though rarely found in Egypt. It has been met with by Bruce in Abyssinia, and has been named by Cuvier, *Ibis religiosa*. Several kinds of serpents are found represented, but especially the uræus, with its swollen neck, now known to be the *Naia haje*, a most venomous snake. An asp represented Hor-hat (the Agatho-dæmon, or protecting genius). The great serpent Apop (Apophis), was the emblem of evil or sin. Another animal often figured in Egyptian sculpture and painting is the cynocephalus, or dog-headed baboon; it was the emblem of the god Thoth. A ram was the emblem of the god Kneph. The bull, Hapi, or Apis, was the emblem and incarnation of the god Phtah; it bears the disk of the sun upon the head. The jackal was an emblem of the god Anubis; the cat of Bast, the hawk of Ra or the Sun; the hippopotamus was sacred to Typhon; the crocodile was an emblem of the god Sevak.

Sepulchral Tablets.—Sepulchral tablets or stelæ are bas-reliefs of a rough stone rounded at the top, and which represent offerings made by one or several persons, either to the gods or to men; the offerings to the gods are made by the deceased persons, who in their turn receive them from their family. They also contain invocations addressed to the deities. These tablets, which are all funereal, have several rows of figures; the hieroglyphic inscriptions which accompany them explain the subject,

and also give the name of the personages, whether dead or living. These sepulchral tablets are almost all of calcareous stone, some of wood. They vary in height from a few inches to three, four, and six feet. They were placed in sepulchral chambers, and in the tombs of families.

Etruscan.—To afford a key to the interpretation of the mythological personages, frequently represented in Etruscan art, we extract the following from Dennis's "Etruria." The mythological system of Etruria is learned partly from ancient writers, partly from national monuments, particularly figured mirrors. It was in some measure allied to that of Greece, though rather to the early Pelasgic system than to that of the Hellenes; but still more nearly to that of Rome, who, in fact, derived certain of her divinities and their names from this source.

The three great deities, who had temples in every Etruscan city, were Tina, or Tinia—Cupra—Menrva, or Menerva.

TINIA was the supreme deity of the Etruscans, analogous to the Zeus of the Greeks, and the Jupiter of the Romans. He is always represented on Etruscan monuments with the thunderbolt in his hand.

CUPRA was the Etruscan Hera or Juno, and her principal shrines seem to have been at Veii, Falerii, and Perusia. Like her counterpart among the Greeks and Romans, she appears to have been worshipped under other forms, according to her various attributes—as Feronia, Thalna or Thana, Ilithyia, Leucothea. On Etruscan monuments the goddess is generally called Thalna.

MENRVA, as she is called on Etruscan monuments, answers to the Pallas Athene of the Greeks. It is probable that the name by which the Romans knew her was of purely Etruscan origin. Like her counterpart in the Greek and Roman mythology, she is represented armed, and with the ægis on her breast, but in addition has sometimes wings.

The other gods represented on Etruscan monuments are:—

SUMMANUS, who hurled his thunderbolts by night, as Jupiter did by day.

VEJOVIS or VEDIVS, whose thunderbolts made those they struck deaf.

EROLE or HERCLE.—Hercules, a favourite god of the Etruscans.

SETHLANS, or Vulcan, represented with a hammer and pincers.

PHUHLUNS, the Etruscan Bacchus, also called **VERTUMNUS**.

APLU, or Apollo, who often appears on Etruscan monuments as God of the Sun, being sometimes called **USIL**.

TURMS, or Mercury.

TURAN, or Venus.

THESAN, the goddess of the dawn—Aurora.

LOSNA, or **LALA**, the Etruscan Luna or Diana.

NETHUNS, or Neptune, is of rare occurrence on Etruscan monuments.

CASTUR and **PULTUKE**.—Castor and Pollux, are frequently represented on mirrors.

NORTIA, the Fortuna of the Etruscans.

VOLTUMNA, the great goddess, at whose shrine the confederate princes of Etruria held their councils.

HORTA, the goddess of gardens.

LASA, or **MEAN**.—The goddess of fate, who is represented with wings, sometimes with a hammer and nail, as if fixing unalterably her decrees, but more frequently with a bottle in one hand and a stylus in the other, with which she inscribes her decisions.

MANTUS and **MANIA**, the Pluto and Proserpine of the Etruscan creed. Mantus is represented as an old man wearing a crown, with wings at his shoulders, and a torch, or it may be large nails in his hands, to show the inevitable character of his decrees. Of Mania we have no decided representation, but she is probably figured in some of the female dæmons who were supposed to be present at scenes of death and slaughter. She was a fearful deity, who was propitiated by human sacrifices.

CHARUN, the great conductor of souls, the infernal Mercury of the Etruscans, the chief minister of Mantus, is often introduced on sepulchral monuments, with his numerous attendant dæmons and Furies.

There are four other beings which belong to Etruscan mythology, and which are found represented on a sarcophagus, a painting, and some mirrors. **Kulmu**, **Vanth**, **Hinthial**, **Nathum**. **Kulmu**, represented on a sarcophagus, with the shears of Atropos in one hand and a flaming torch in the other, Mr. Taylor designates as the Angel of Death; while **Vanth**, who

holds a key, perhaps the key of the opened tomb, is supposed to typify the spirit of the grave. The name Hinthial appears to indicate a ghost or spectre, as it is written over the spectre of Patroclus, in a painting found at Vulci, representing the slaughter of Trojan prisoners by Achilles. The fourth being, Nathum, may be seen in a bronze mirror belonging to the Museum of Berlin standing behind Uruzthe (Orestes), who is in the act of thrusting a sword into the body of Klutumusthra (Clytemnestra), and clearly represents the Hellenic Ate, the avenger, who exacts punishment for the shedding of blood.

The Etruscans also represented mythological animals in clay and bronze. The style of these figures, which has all the defects which primitive art could not avoid, is sufficiently characteristic of them; the most remarkable is the Chimæra, a monster having the body of a lion, a goat's head springing from its back, and a serpent for a tail. A bronze chimæra is in the Florentine Gallery, with an inscription in Etruscan characters on the fore leg. The celebrated wolf of the Capitol is also an Etruscan work. Griffins, sphinxes, hippocamps, or sea monsters, Scylla, with a double fish's tail, and Typhons, with winged human bodies, terminating in serpents instead of legs, are also found on Etruscan monuments in a better style of art, being of a later period.

Greek and Roman.—As it is by the knowledge of mythology and the characteristic attributes of each deity or hero, in connexion with the creed and traditions of the Greeks and Romans, that the various sculptured representations of their deities and heroes can be known, we shall give a concise enumeration of their distinctive characteristics and attributes, from Winkelman and Müller.* A dictionary of mythology will afford every information with regard to the history of these deities, but we shall more particularly devote our attention to the illustration of the attributes and characteristics of the deities as visible in figured representation, and as given to them by Greek and Roman sculptors.

The Greeks were not content with seeking to endow the statues of their divinities with the most perfect bodily grace, and with the highest spiritual beauty, they sought also to give an individual character to each divinity, and to represent, as far as

* We have made large extracts from Müller, but our excuse must be that he is an indispensable authority on this subject.

possible, the spiritual attributes of each. It has been well remarked by Colonel Leake that "the gods were distinguished from one another, among the Athenians, more by countenance, attitude, and form than by symbols:" and this remark will apply to their sculpture in general:

"Sua quemque Deorum
Inscribit facies." *

At a late period the forms and attributes of nearly all the Greek and Roman deities were very uncertain, and their number was considerable and various, for, as Cicero confesses, "*Nos Deos omnes ea facie novimus, quâ pictores, fictoresque voluerunt.*" The mode of representing them depended on the caprice of painters and fabulists. We shall therefore carefully follow the authorities we have taken as our guide. We shall first enumerate the forms adopted by the ancient sculptors in their representation of the human figure. They were the following, and were in close connexion with the spaces which they occupied and were intended to fill:

The **HERMES**, which was a human head on a pillar, having the proportions of the human form. It was an intermediate step between the isolated statue and the pillar from which the isolated statue was historically developed.

The **BUST**, a representation of the head down to the shoulders, sometimes also with the breast and waist, was derived from the **Hermes**. †

The **STATUE** was the complete development of the human form, and was destined to stand alone. The temple images of the gods, which are the more perfect representations of the human form, were generally isolated statues.

The **GROUP** was when two or more statues were combined. It was a form frequently used among the Greeks for pediments of temples. The centre figure was then rendered more prominent by greater dimensions, the other figures being arranged on both sides of it. A pyramidal form was thus given to the group, in order to adapt it to the shape of the pediment.

We shall further enumerate here the different kinds of gar-

* Ovid. Met., vi. Fab. I.

† The Greek sculptor adopted the **Hermes** form, while the Roman preferred the **Bust**.

ments adopted as drapery by the Greek and Roman sculptors, as frequent mention will be made of them in the descriptions of Greek and Roman sculpture.


GRECIAN COSTUME.

Among the Greeks their garments were divided into ἐνδύματα, those that were drawn over, and ἐπιβλήματα, those that were thrown round the body. The male *chiton* was a woollen shirt, originally without sleeves, which was then named the Dorian. The Ionian was a long linen garment in many folds, with sleeves. The himation was a large square garment worn over the chiton, generally drawn round from the left arm, which held it fast, across the back, and then over the right arm, or else through beneath it towards the left arm. Essentially different from these was the Chlamys, which was adopted in Greece, especially by horsemen and ephebi. It was a mantle fastened on the right shoulder with a buckle or clasp (περόνη), and falling down along the thigh in two lengthened skirts. Covering on the head was seldom introduced in sculpture; the only coverings introduced are the petasus, which was worn by horsemen and ephebi, and the Phrygian cap, which is usually given to Paris.

GRECIAN FEMALE COSTUME.

Among the Chitons of the women, the Doric and Ionic are easily distinguished. The former, the old Hellenic, was a garment of woollen cloth, not very large, without sleeves, and fastened on the shoulders by clasps. This, also called the σχιστός χιτών, was frequently so short as not to reach the knees. It was only joined together on one side, and on the other was left partly open or slit up, to allow a free motion of the limbs. Diana and the Amazons are frequently represented in this chiton. The Ionic, which the Athenians borrowed from the Ionians, was of linen, all sewed, provided with sleeves, very long and in many folds. In both, for the ordinary costume, the girdle (ζωνή) is essential; it lies around the loins, and by the gathering up of the garment forms the κόλπος. There was also a peculiar kind of dress, which seems to have been a species of double chiton, called διπλοῖς, διπλοῖδιον, and ἡμιδιπλοῖδιον. It was the upper part of the cloth forming the chiton, which was

larger than was required for the ordinary chiton, and was therefore thrown over the front and back. The himation of women had in general the same form as that worn by men; a common



GRECIAN DRAPEERY.

use, therefore, might have existed. The mode of wearing was nearly the same, only the envelopment was generally more complete and the arrangement of the folds richer.

The peplos was an ample shawl, which was worn round the body. Sometimes it was so arranged as to cover the head, while it enveloped the body. It was so worn by brides.



DOUBLE CHITON.

ROMAN COSTUME.

The Roman tunic, like the Greek chiton, was a woollen under garment. It was sometimes girded with a belt, or girdle, round the waist, but was usually worn loose. The toga was an outer garment, of a semi-circular shape and of great length, and so worn as to let one end fall over the left shoulder down to the ground, while the other end was carried under the right arm and thrown for the second time over the left shoulder. The stola, which was a tunic with a broad border, and the palla, which was a kind of large shawl worn over the stola, were female dresses.

THE TWELVE OLYMPIAN DEITIES.

ZEUS—JUPITER.

He was represented either standing or sitting. The sitting posture, in which the himation, which is sunk down to the loins, forms the usual drapery, is connected with the idea of tranquil power, victorious rest. The standing posture, in which the himation is often entirely discarded, or only the back is covered, carries with it the idea of activity; Zeus is then conceived as protector, patron of political activity, or, as the god who punishes and guards with thunderbolts. The characteristic features of his head (as stamped by Phidias), are remarkable in the arrangement of the hair, which rose up from the centre of the forehead, and then fell down on both sides like a mane; the brow clear and bright above, but greatly arching forward beneath, mild lineaments round the upper lip and cheeks, the full rich beard descending in large wavy tresses. He was also given

a noble, ample, and open chest, as well as a powerful but not an unduly enlarged muscular development of the whole body. His usual attributes are the sceptre, eagle, thunderbolt, and a figure of Victory in his hand, and sometimes a cornucopia. The Olympian Zeus sometimes wears a wreath of olive, and the Dodonian Zeus a wreath of oak leaves. In the character of Zeus Meilichios he assumed a more youthful and softer form, with less beard and masculine vigour in the countenance. In the character of Zeus Orkios, the oath avenger at Olympia, he appeared the most terrible, with a thunderbolt in each hand. Sometimes he appears represented as a child, in accordance with the Cretan myth, with the goat Amalthea, or lying on the ground with the Curetes around him. He frequently also appears especially in the later period of art, under the metamorphosed forms of a bull carrying off Europa, of a swan embracing Leda, of a satyr enclasping Antiope, of an eagle bearing away Ganymede. Under Hadrian he was worshipped as Jupiter Serapis, assuming the attributes of an Egyptian deity, who presided over the dead. He is then usually represented with a modius on his head, and rays.



JUPITER.

BUSTS:—

Colossal bust found at Otricoli, Vatican; another in the Boboli Gardens, Florence; others in the British Museum.

Serapis, Vatican. British Museum.

STATUES:

The Verospi Jupiter.

A seated statue in the Vatican.

Jupiter Stator, from Camse. Museum, Naples.

HERA.—JUNO.

The principal attribute of Hera is the veil which the betrothed virgin draws around her, as the symbol of her separation from the rest of the world. Phidias characterizes her, in the frieze of the Parthenon, by the throwing back of the veil. She generally wears a sort of crown, or diadem, called *stephanos*. The countenance of Hera, as it was established, probably by Poly-



BUST OF JUNO.

clitus, presents forms of unfading bloom and ripened beauty, softly rounded, without too much plumpness; awe inspiring, but free from ruggedness, as exemplified in the noble colossal head of the villa Ludovisi. The forehead, encompassed with hair flowing obliquely down, forms a gently-arched triangle; the rounded and open eyes look straight forward. Winkelmann remarks that she may be known, not only by her lofty diadem, but by her large eyes, and an imperious mouth, the line of which is so characteristic that we can say, simply from seeing such a mouth in profile, that it is a head of Juno. Her figure is blooming, completely developed, that of a matron who always continues to bathe,

as is related of Hera, in the fountain of virginity. Her costume is a chiton, which merely leaves the neck and arms bare, and a himation, which lies around the middle of the figure. In statues of improved art, the veil is for the most part thrown towards the back of the head, or omitted altogether. By the Romans she was frequently represented as the goddess of marriage, Juno Pronuba. The Romans had also a peculiar mode of representing her as Juno Sospita, with a goat's skin round her body, a double tunic, a lance and shield.

The peacock was consecrated to her.

BUSTS:—

The Ludovisi bust. Another in the British Museum from Agrigentum, and another at Naples.

STATUES:—

The Farnese Juno. Naples.

The Barberini Juno. In the Vatican.

POSEIDON.—NEPTUNE.

Poseidon was for the most part, in earlier times especially, represented in lofty repose, and carefully draped; although, however, he was even at that time sculptured entirely naked and in violent action. The flourishing period of Greek art unfolded the idea more characteristically; it gave to Poseidon, with a somewhat more slender structure of body, a stronger muscular development than to Zeus, which is generally rendered very prominent by the posture, and to the countenance more angular forms, and less clearness and repose in the features; his hair also is less flowing, more bristling and disordered, and the pine wreath forms for it a fitting, although not frequently used, ornament. He is frequently represented with his spouse Amphitrite, accompanied by sea gods. His amour with the fountain nymph Amymone also forms a frequent subject in figured representation. His attributes were the trident and the dolphin.



NEPTUNE.

Bust:—

From Ostia. In the Vatican.

STATUES:—

Torso from the western pediment of the Parthenon. In the British Museum.

DEMETER.—CERES.

Demeter appears more matronly and motherlike than Hera, the expression of her countenance, the back part of which is concealed by an upper garment, or a veil, is softer and milder; her form appears, in completely enveloping drapery, broader and fuller, as becomes the mother of all (*παμμήτωρ*). A diadem like that of Juno, together with ears and leaves of wheat and

ears of corn in her hands, the torches, the fruit-basket, also the swine beside her, are the most frequent attributes.

The goddess is not unfrequently seen enthroned alone, or with her daughter, Persephone, Proserpine.

STATUES :

Ceres, in the Villa Borghese. From Cnidus, in the British Museum.

Ceres. Villa Albani.

Ceres. Louvre.

APOLLO.

Apollo was a favourite subject of the great artists who immediately preceded Phidias. At that period Apollo was formed more mature and manly than afterwards, with limbs stronger and broader, countenance rounder and shorter; the expression more serious and stern than amiable and attractive, for the most part undraped, when he was not imagined as the Pythian Citharædus. He is shown thus in numerous statues, on many vase paintings, and also on coins. On these we find the elder form of the head of Apollo often very gracefully developed, but still the same on the whole, until down to the time of Philip. The laurel wreath, and the hair parted at the crown, shaded to the side along the forehead, usually waving down the neck, sometimes, however, also taken up and pinned together, here serve particularly to designate the god.

The more slender shape, the more lengthened oval of the head, and the more animated expression, Apollo doubtless received especially from the younger Attic school, by which he was frequently sculptured. The god was now conceived altogether younger, without any sign of manly ripeness, as a youth not yet developed into manhood, in whose forms, however, the tenderness of youth seemed wonderfully combined with massive strength. The longish oval countenance, which the bow of the hair (crobylus) above the forehead often lengthened still more, and which served as an apex to the entire upstriving form, has at the same time a soft fulness and massive firmness; in every feature is manifested a lofty, proud, and clear intelligence, whatever the modifications may be. The forms of the body are slender and supple; the hips high, the thighs lengthy; the muscles without individual prominence, rather fused into one another, are still so marked as that agility, elasticity of form, and energy of movement, become

evident. However, the configuration here inclines sometimes more to the gymnastic strength of Hermes, sometimes to the effeminate fulness of Dionysus. According to Winkelman, the highest conception of ideal male beauty is especially expressed in the Apollo, in whom strength of adult years is found united with the soft forms of the most beautiful spring-time of youth. The artistic representations of this deity may be given as the following:—

1. Apollo Alexikakos. The averter of evil, as in the statue of Calamis; or terrifying his enemies by displaying the ægis in his hand; as in the Apollo Belvidere.
2. The god reposing from battle, his right arm resting on his head, and the quiver, with closed lid hanging beside him; as in the Apollino, of the Florentine Gallery.
3. The lyre-playing Apollo (Citharædus), who appears variously costumed, although a complete envelopment in the chlamys here prevails, as in the Apollo Citharædus of the Vatican.
4. The Pythian Agonistes. In this the drapery is perfected into the solemn and gorgeous costume of the Pythian Stola, exhibiting at the same time a soft, roundish, almost feminine form.

ATTRIBUTES:

The lyre, a bow and quiver, a griffin.

HEAD:

A copy of one by Scopas. British Museum.

STATUES:

Early.—Bronze copy of the Apollo of Canachus. British Museum.

The Apollo Alexikakos, or Belvidere. Vatican.

Apollo Lycius, or Apollino. Florentine Gallery.

Apollo Citharædus. Vatican.

Apollo Sauroctonos. Vatican. In bronze, in the Villa Albani.

Apollo Citharædus, seated, in porphyry. Naples.

Apollo Musagetes. Naples.

A small statue of Apollo, in bronze. Naples.

ARTEMIS.—DIANA.

In the earlier style the goddess invariably appears in long and elegant drapery (in Stola). In later times, when Scopas, Praxiteles, and others had perfected the ideal, Artemis, like Apollo, was formed slender and light-footed, her hips and breast without the fulness of womanhood; the still undeveloped forms of both sexes before puberty, here seem, as it were, arrested, and only unfolded into greater size. The countenance is that of Apollo, only with less prominent forms, more tender and rounded; the hair is often bound up over the forehead into a knot (crobylus), but still oftener gathered together into a bow at the back, or on the crown of the head. Her dress was a Doric chiton, either girt high, or flowing down to the feet. She is often represented in statues as Artemis the huntress, in very animated movement; sometimes in the act of taking the arrow from the quiver in order to discharge it; sometimes on the point of shooting it. She is generally represented under two phases: as a slaying deity, in connection with the chase, and as a life-giving, light-bringing goddess (Lucifera), when she appears holding a torch. The Greeks have also given her three different characters: as the moon, she was Lucina; as the goddess of the chase, Diana; as a deity of the lower regions, Hecate. When represented under this triple form, with corresponding attributes, she was styled Triformis, or Trivia, as statues of this kind were usually placed in towns and villages where three ways met. As the Artemis of the Ephesians, she was the personification of the fructifying and all-nourishing power of nature. Her image in this character represented her with many breasts (πολυμάστος, multimamma).

Her attributes are the bow, quiver, and arrows; or a spear, stag, and dogs.

STATUES:

Diana à la biche. Louvre.

Diana of Gabii. Louvre.

Diana Venatrix. Vatican.

Diana. Florentine Gallery.

Diana Triformis. British Museum.

Diana, draped. British Museum.

HEPHAËSTUS.—VULCAN.

Hephaëstus was represented as an industrious and vigorous man, bearded, and of a mature age. He is more clearly recognized in the few works which remain of him by his semi-oval cap, and the chiton, which leaves the right shoulder and arm uncovered. He holds a hammer or some other instrument in his hand.

ATHENA.—MINERVA.

In the statues of early Greek art, in its more advanced state, Athena always appears in martial costume, stepping forward more or less; clad over the chiton with a stiffly-folded peplos, and an ægis, which sometimes also lay over the left arm, serving as a large shield, or covered the whole back, besides the breast: in later times, on the contrary, it became more and more contracted. The outlines of the body have less feminine fulness in the hips and breast, at the same time that the forms of the legs, arms, and back are developed in a more masculine manner. The countenance has already the peculiar cast which improved art further unfolded, but at the same time very harsh and ungraceful features. Since Phidias perfected the ideal of Athena, tranquil seriousness, self-conscious power, and clearness of intellect always remained the fundamental character of Pallas. Her Virginity is nothing else than exaltation above all feminine weakness; she is too masculine herself to be capable of surrendering herself to man. The pure forehead, the long and finely shaped nose, the somewhat stern cast of the mouth and cheeks, the large and almost angular chin, the eyes



HEAD OF PALLAS, WITH CORINTHIAN HELMET.

not fully opened and rather downcast, the hair artlessly shaded back along the brow, and flowing down upon the neck: all features in which early harshness appears transformed into grandeur, are



MINERVA.

in complete accordance with this wonderful ideal creation. She is chiefly characterized by her helmet, either the lofty, highly-ornamented Phidian helmet, which is given to her in the gem of *Aspasus*, and on the coins of Athens after the time of *Phidias*, or the close fitting, unadorned Corinthian helmet, as on the coins of Corinth, and in the *Pallas* of *Velletri*. The modifications of this form stand in intimate connection with the drapery. *Athena*, in the first place, has, in many statues of the perfected style, a himation thrown about her, either so as that falling over in front, it lies merely around the lower part of the body, and thus heightens the majestic impression of the form, or so as to conceal the left arm and a portion of the *ægis*, whereby the goddess receives a peculiarly peaceful character. This *Athena* has always the shield resting on the ground, or wants it alto-



COIN OF ATHENS.

gether; she is accordingly conceived as a victorious (hence also the *Nike* in her hand) and peacefully-ruling goddess. Of this kind was the celebrated chryselephantine statue by *Phidias* in the *Parthenon*. In contrast to it stand the statues of *Pallas* in the Doric chiton, with the hemidiploidion, but without the himation; a costume which is immediately adapted for combat. With this drapery agrees very well an uplifted shield, which characterized the *Pallas Promachos* of *Phidias*, and is probably to be restored in many statues of *Pallas* executed after a sublime model, which exhibit a somewhat more combative action than usual in the bold sweep of the *ægis*, and the whole bearing of the body, and are distinguished by the particularly powerful and athletic form of the limbs. Where, therefore, *Athena* appears in smaller works of art, hastening to battle or already

engaged in combat, uplifting the lance, or hurling the thunder-bolt, she has always this drapery. However, she is also to be found in the same garb as a politically active, as an oratorial, and, without helmet or ægis, as a peace-establishing goddess; and this more lightly-clad Athena is also to be found in small bronze statues with shield laid down, and a patera in the hand, especially in reference to conquests just achieved.

ATTRIBUTES:—

An olive branch, a serpent, an owl, a cock, a lance, a shield with the Gorgon Medusa's head on it.

STATUES:—

Early.—Minerva Polias. At Athens.

Pallas Athena, from Ægina. Munich.

Pallas, from Herculaneum. Naples.

Pallas of Velletri. Louvre.

The Farnese Minerva. Naples.

Pallas Athena. Vatican.

Pallas. Dresden.

Minerva Medica. Vatican.

Pallas. In the Villa Albani.

Fragments of Statue, from the western pediment of the Parthenon. In the British Museum.

ARES.—MARS.

A compact and muscular development, a thick, fleshy neck, and short, disordered hair, seem to belong universally to the conception of the god. Ares has smaller eyes, somewhat more widely-distended nostrils, a less serene forehead than other sons of Jupiter. With regard to age, he appears more manly than Apollo, and even than Hermes, the youth (ephebos) among the gods—as a youthful man, whom, like almost all heroes, early art formed with a beard, improved art, on the contrary, without beard; the former representation, however, was also preserved in many districts and for many purposes.

The drapery of Ares, where he does not appear entirely undressed, is a chlamys. On reliefs in the archaic style he is seen in armour; in later times he retained merely the helmet. He usually stands; a vigorous stride marks the Gradivus on Roman coins; the legionary eagle and other signs, the Stator and Ultor

(who recovered them); victories, trophies, and the olive branch, the Victor and Pacifier. Scopas sculptured a sitting Ares: he was doubtless conceived as reposing in a mild mood, which seems also to be the meaning of one of the chief statues extant (the Ludovisi Mars), in which a copy after Scopas is, perhaps, preserved to us. In groups he is frequently represented together with Aphrodite, symbolical of the union of war and love, which, in the posture of the bodies and disposition of the drapery, indicate a famous original. In Roman art he is sometimes represented as descending to Rhea Silvia, a subject pleasing to the Romans, as they considered him their progenitor.

ATTRIBUTES:—

Spear, helmet, and shield. The wolf and woodpecker were sacred to him.

STATUES:—

The Ludovisi Mars. Villa Ludovisi, Rome.

Borghese Mars. Louvre.

Mars and Venus. Florentine Gallery.

APHRODITE.—VENUS.

When art, in the cycle of Aphrodite, soared above rude stones and shapeless idols, it suggested the idea of a goddess powerfully swaying and everywhere prevailing; it was usual to represent her enthroned, with symbols of blooming nature and luxuriant fertility; her drapery was complete, only that, perhaps, the chiton partly disclosed her left breast, and gracefully folded, as an affected grace in drapery and motion belonged, of all others, to the character of Aphrodite. Art in the Phidian period also represented in Aphrodite the sexual relation in its sacredness and dignity. Later Attic art at first treated the idea of Aphrodite with a purely sensual enthusiasm, and deified in her no longer a world-swaying power, but the individual embodiment of the most charming womanhood; nay, it even placed this ideal, released from moral relations, in decided contrast therewith. The forms which improved art gave to Aphrodite are mostly those natural to the sex. She is altogether a woman, in a much fuller sense of the word, than Athena or Artemis. The ripened bloom of the virgin is, in many modifications, the stage of physical advancement which is adhered to in the forms

of the body. The shoulders are narrow, the bosom has a maidenly development, the fulness of the hips tapers away into elegant shaped feet, which, little adapted for standing or treading firmly, seem to betray a hurried and tender gait. The countenance of Junonian fulness, and grand development of features in the elder representation, appears afterwards more delicate and lengthened; the languishing eye (*ὕπρην*) and smiling mouth are combined with the general expression of grace and sweetness. The hair is arranged with elegance, usually encircled by a diadem, and gathered into it in the earlier representations, but knotted together in a bow (*crobylos*) in the undraped statues of Venus, produced by later art. Here, also, the essential modifications of the form are closely connected with the drapery. The entirely draped Aphrodite, who, however, for the most part wears only a thin chiton, which but slightly conceals the body, and with a graceful movement of the left arm merely draws forward a little upper garment, which is falling down behind, is derived from the Urania of the early artists; according to Winkelman this celestial Venus, the daughter of Jupiter and Harmonia, is different from the other Venus, who is the daughter of Dione; she is distinguished, he says, by a high diadem of the kind peculiar to Juno. She was worshipped in Roman times as mother Aphrodite, Venus Genetrix, and honoured by numerous representations, partly as the progenetrix of the Julian family, partly as the goddess of lawful, wedded love. The style of the period in which this manner of representation originated, combined to give to this class of statues of Aphrodite rounder and stronger forms, shorter proportions of figure, and a more matronly character than was otherwise the custom in regard to this goddess. Very clearly distinguished from these is a second class of statues of Venus, which, without the chiton, have only an upper garment thrown round the lower portion of the body, and are characterized at the same time by the placing of one foot on a slight elevation, as in the Venus of Milo. In these the goddess approaches a heroine in aspect; the forms of the body are remarkably firm, and, though slender, powerful, the bosom less rounded than in others, and the countenance furnished with more prominent features, not without the expression of pride and self-consciousness. In this class of statues, therefore, we must recognise a Venus victorious, whether she embraced Ares himself, or held in her hands his

helmet and shield, or a palm, or the apple, as a sign of victory. She is also represented in a less powerful form, but of greater fulness and roundness, as **ANADYOMENE**, which represents her at the bath covering her bosom with a piece of drapery which hangs round behind her. Another form, ever delicate and flowing, is observable in the meretricious statue of Aphrodite Callipygos. On the other hand, ancient art felt itself challenged to the observance of the purest proportions, the most faultless representation of beautiful forms, when the goddess appeared unveiled. Although the bath was originally imagined as the occasion of this unveiling, here all reference to action disappears; the statue is entirely a symbol of female loveliness, heightened by the manifestation of natural shame, and of womanhood in general. Of this kind was the celebrated Venus of Onidos, by Praxiteles, of which the Venus de Medici, the Venus of the Capitol, and other Venuses in a similar position, are supposed to be either imitations or copies.* Other attitudes which indicate more movement and action, notwithstanding the particular charms which they

* In the British Museum is an early small Etruscan bronze statue of Venus in a similar position.



VENUS OF THE CAPITOL.

unfold, have not the same pervading and uniform fulness of beauty as the chief statues before described. To this class belong those crouching in the bath, girding themselves with the cestus, putting on a shoulder-belt or sandals.

In groups Aphrodite frequently appears with her child Eros in fondling representations, and with the Charites (Graces), when she is adorned by them. There are also numerous representations of Aphrodite as a sea deity, in which the loveliest product of the watery deep is usually combined and placed in contrast with the grotesque beings which are destined to express the wild and changeable nature of the ocean. Among the proper love intrigues of Aphrodite, her amour with Ares and the legend of Adonis gave not a little occupation to Greek art in the good times. More works of art relate to the Trojan mythus; the competition for the prize of beauty gave to artists of different kinds occasion for manifold representations. A very excellent work of sculpture—Aphrodite persuading Helen to fulfil her promise to Paris—forms the basis of numerous reliefs still preserved. The goddess is frequently seen aiding lovers—for instance, Peleus in the obtainment of Thetis, especially in vase paintings, either enthroned or standing, but always completely draped, for the naked Aphrodite of later art is foreign to the vase style. Here we only recognise her by her elegant drapery and her manner of holding it, and also by her attributes.

ATTRIBUTES :

The dove, the swan, the swallow, the sparrow, a bird called iynx, the myrtle, the rose, the apple, a mirror.

EARLY REPRESENTATION :—

On the triangular altar, Louvre; on the puteal, in the Capitol. Small Etruscan bronze, nude, British Museum.

STATUES :—

The Venus de Medici. Florentine Gallery.

Venus Victrix, of Melos. Louvre.

Venus of the Capitol.

Repetition in the British Museum.

Venus Genetrix. Louvre. According to Flaxman, a copy of the draped Venus of Cos.


Venus of Arles. Louvre.
 Towneley Venus. British Museum.
 Venus Callipygos. Naples.
 Venus Victrix, with Cupid, of Capua. Naples.
 Venus of Ostia, called also Angerona. British Museum.
 Crouching Venus. Florentine Gallery.
 Venus of Menophantus. Chigi Palace, Rome.
 Venus of Syracuse, a torso.

HERMES—MERCURY.

By the aboriginal inhabitants of Greece, Hermes was represented as the giver of all good, in the form of a stake provided with a bearded head and a phallus. In the further advance of civilization, gain and traffic being the chief object of men's wishes, he was converted into an economical and mercantile deity, and received the form of an active powerful man with thick pointed beard, and long tresses, in a chlamys thrown back—the dress best adapted for rapid movement—with a travelling hat, talaria, and the kerykeion (caduceus) in his hand, which is often like a sceptre. He is thus exhibited universally in works of early art.

The higher development of the form of Hermes originated with the Gymnasia, over which the god had presided from early times, as he from whom flows corporeal vigour. It is probably to be ascribed to the later Attic school, after the Peloponnesian war. He now became the gymnastically perfected ephebos, with large expanded chest, slender but powerful limbs, which had received their development especially through the exercises of the Pentathlon (running, leaping, throwing the spear, wrestling, and the discus); his dress that of the Attic ephebi, a chlamys, which appears for the most part much abridged, and not unfrequently the petasos as a covering for the head, the hair of which, according to the custom of young men at that age, appears cut short away, and not much curled. The features indicated a calm and acute intellect, and a friendly, benevolent disposition, which is also expressed in the gentle inclination of the head; they do not pretend to the noble and proud look of Apollo, but, with broader and flatter forms, have still something uncommonly fine and graceful. Winkelman remarks: "The youth which is so beautiful

in Apollo, advances to mature years in other youthful gods, and becomes manly in Mercury and Mars. Mercury is distinguished by a particular delicacy of countenance, which



MERCURY.

Aristophanes would have called *Ἀττικὸς βλέρος*, an Attic look, and his hair is short and curly." Among the statues we distinguish, first, a class in which the Hermes ideal evidently soared to its highest point: figures of ripened youth, and full of solid strength, the expression of whose countenance melts into a gentle smile, in firm tranquil posture, the *chlamys* thrown back from the beautifully turned limbs, and wrapped round the left arm; in these, Hermes was evidently conceived as patron of gymnastic exercises and bestower of bodily strength, as the palm-tree beside him also indicates, as exemplified in the Belvidere Mercury. This was formerly styled an Antinous and a Meleager, but its resemblance to the Farnese Mercury and to a gem in the Florentine

Gallery have proved it to be a Mercury. Next to these come statues similarly draped, in which, however, the gesture of the uplifted right hand shows that Hermes is to be conceived as the god of eloquence, as *Hermes Logios*: a conception which was very easily and naturally formed out of that of the god of gain and herald of the gods. As executor of the commands of Zeus, we see him half sitting and already half springing up again, in order to hasten away; sometimes in bronzes, winging his flight gaily through the air; also reposing after a long journey, when he leans his arm merely on a pillar, and does not double it over his head—an attitude which would be too effeminate and careless for Hermes. Hermes, the performer of sacrifices; the protector of cattle, and especially of sheep; the inventor of the lyre, to whom therefore the tortoise

is sacred ; lastly, the guide of souls and restorer of the dead to life, is seen chiefly in works of slighter compass. He is also represented as a thievish child, illustrating the Homeric myth. The purse was an attribute of Hermes in later times.

ATTRIBUTES :—

The Petasos, a travelling hat with a broad brim, which in later times was adorned with two small wings. The herald's staff (*ραβδος*). The winged sandals (*πέδιλα*). The caduceus, the palm-tree, the tortoise.

BUST :—

In the possession of the Duke of Buccleuch.

STATUES :—

The Belvidere Mercury. Vatican.
Mercury. In the Villa Albani.
The Farnese Mercury. British Museum.
Mercury. Lansdowne House.
Mercury reposing, in bronze. Naples.

HESTIA—VESTA.

The form of this goddess is that of a woman in matronly costume, yet without the character of motherliness, standing at rest or enthroned, with broad powerful forms, and a serious expression in her clear and simple features.

STATUE :—

Vesta. Formerly in the Giustiniani Palace.

DIONYSUS—BACCHUS.

The elder Dionysus, commonly called the Indian Bacchus, was represented under a stately and majestic form, with a magnificent luxuriance of curling hair restrained by the mitra, gently flowing beard, clear, and blooming features, and the oriental richness of an almost feminine drapery, with usually, at the same time, the drinking cup, or karchesion, and a vine-shoot in his hand. It was not till afterwards,—at the time of Praxiteles,—that the youthful Dionysus, conceived as at the age of the ephebos, was modified therefrom ; in him also the

corporeal forms, which softly flow into one another without any prominent muscular development, bespeak the half-feminine nature of the god, and the features of the countenance present



BUST OF INDIAN BACCHUS.

a peculiar blending of happy intoxication, with a dark and undefined longing, in which the Bacchian frame of feeling appears in its most refined form. Winkelmann thus characterizes him: "In the most beautiful statues, he always appears with delicate, round limbs, and the full expanded hips of the female sex, for, according to the fable, he was brought up as a maiden. The forms of his limbs are soft and flowing, as though inflated by a gentle breath, and with scarcely any indication of the bones and cartilages of the knees, just as these are found in youths of the most beautiful shape. The type of Bacchus is a lovely boy, who

is treading the boundaries of the spring-time of life and adolescence, in whom emotions of voluptuousness, like the tender shoots of a plant, are budding, and who, as if between sleeping and waking, half in a dream of exquisite delight, is beginning to collect and verify the pictures of his fancy; his features are full of sweetness, but the joyousness of his soul is not manifested wholly in his countenance." Yet even these forms and features admit of a grand and powerfully impressive development, in which Dionysus is revealed as son of the lightning, as the god of irresistible power. The mitra around the forehead, and the vine or ivy crown throwing its shade from above, produce a very advantageous effect in the Bacchian expression; the hair flows down softly and in long ringlets on the shoulders; the body, with the exception of a ree-skin, *vestis*, thrown around it, is usually quite naked, only the feet are sometimes covered with high, ornamented boots, the Dionysian cothurni; the light ivy-entwined staff with the pine cone (narthex, thyrsus) serves as a supporting sceptre. However, a himation falling down to the

loins is also suitable to the character of Dionysus; sometimes, too, in later art he is dressed completely in female fashion. The posture of the statues of Dionysus is generally that of reclining comfortably, or lying; he is seldom enthroned; in gems and in pictures we see him walking with tottering steps, and riding on his favourite animals, or drawn by them. A favoured satyr is often given him as a support, Methe is his cupbearer. Many other representations of Dionysus are found in works of art in connection with the various myths related of him. His being carried by Hermes as a child, and consigned to the care of nymphs and satyrs, his finding his bride Ariadne, the Naxian solemnization of his nuptials, are frequent subjects in works of art. He is also represented in the circle of frenzied mænads, subduing and punishing Pentheus and Lycurgus, the insulters and foes of his worship, and also the piratical Tyrrhenians, by means of his bold satyrs, and in rich relievo representations, celebrating the triumphs of the conquest of India.

ATTRIBUTES :—

The thyrsus, the vine, laurel, the dolphin, the tiger, a serpent, lynx, panther, and the ass.

ELDER OR INDIAN.

BUST :—

Indian Bacchus. Louvre. British Museum.

STATUE :—

Indian Bacchus. Vatican. On the border of the mantle is inscribed Sardanapalus.

YOUTHFUL DIONYSUS.

BUST :—

Vatican. British Museum.

STATUES :—

The Ludovisi Bacchus.

Bacchus. Villa Albani.

Bacchus. Louvre.

Bacchus handing bunch of grapes to Panther. Vatican.

Bacchus pouring wine from Karchesion. Florentine Gallery.

Farnese Bacchus. Naples.

Richelieu Bacchus. Louvre.

Bacchus and Ampelus. British Museum.

DEITIES IN CONNECTION WITH DIONYSUS.

SATYRS, FAUNS.

Their characteristics are limbs powerfully built, but not ennobled by gymnastics, sometimes flabby, sometimes firm; snub-nosed, and otherwise unnobly formed countenances, with pointed goat-like ears; sometimes also protuberances on the neck, and in old figures baldness of the forehead; the hair bristly and often erect; moreover, a scanty tail; these are the marks, in very manifold gradations, however, of the figures which were called satyrs in the genuine language of Greek poetry and art, from which the Roman poets first ventured to depart, who identified them with the Roman fauni, who are described as half men, half goats, and with horns. Sometimes, however, the satyrs rise into very noble, slender shapes, which are scarcely betrayed by anything but the pointed ears. Winkelman says, "The most beautiful statues of fauns present to us an image of ripe beautiful youth, in perfect proportion. Several statues of young satyrs and fauns, resembling each other in attitude and feature, have been found in Rome, the original of which, it is possible, was the celebrated satyr of Praxiteles, which was regarded by the artist himself as his best work."

The more decided satyrs' forms may be classified as follows:

1. The gracefully reclining flute-players, with indolence, and a slight dash of petulance, but without rudeness in the expression.
2. The sturdy and joyous figure of the cymbalists.
3. Dancers.
4. The wild enthusiastic, inspired by Bacchus.
5. Slender and powerfully-built hunters.
6. Satyrs lying at ease, often with pretension to the completion of some great work.
7. Sleepers stretched out comfortably, also in a coarse and indecent manner, exhaling the perfumes of wine.
8. Lascivious satyrs, drawing the garments from the persons of Bacchantes and Hermaphrodites, and struggling with them.
9. Satyrs occupied with the processes of preparing wine in the earliest and simplest manner, and exhibiting their rude efforts with a sort of pride.
10. Carousing figures pouring out wine for themselves.
11. The combatants of the Tyrrhenians, amid whose wildness there gleams through, nevertheless, an insolent joviality.

Earlier antiquity formed satyrs more as bugbears and caricatures; the more tender and youthful forms, in which there is combined

with the satyric character an exceedingly graceful figure and an amiable roguishness, first made their appearance in the later



BONDININI FAUN.

Attic school. Flaxman thus characterizes them: "The fauns are youthful, sprightly, and tendinous, their faces round, expressive of merriment, not without an occasional mixture of mischief."

STATUES:—

The satyr or faun. Capitol. Vatican. Ancient copies of the Satyr of Praxiteles.

The Barberini Faun. Munich.

The Faun, *in rosso antico*. Capitol.

Rondinini Faun. British Museum.

Drunken Faun. Vatican.

The Dancing Faun. Florentine Gallery.

Young Faun playing the pipe. Louvre.

SILENI.

The older satyrs were generally named Sileni, but one of these Sileni is commonly known as the Silenus, who always accompanies Dionysus. He is usually represented as a jovial old man, with a bald head, a pug nose, fat and round, and generally intoxicated. He is generally represented riding on an ass, or supported by other satyrs.

STATUES:—

Silenus, with infant Bacchus in his arms. Louvre.

Ditto. Vatican.

PAN.—Before the age of Praxiteles he was usually represented in a human form, and was characterized by the shepherd's pipe, the pastoral crook, the disordered hair, and also sprouting horns. The goat-footed, horned and hook-nose form became afterwards the rule, probably through the Praxitelean art. In it Pan appears as an active leaper and dancer, and the amusing buffoon in the cycle of Dionysus. As a peaceful syrinx-player, he inhabits the grottoes consecrated to him, where his figure is not unfrequently found, amid graceful nymphs, hewn out of the living rock.

STATUE:—

Pan. British Museum.

MENADES (BACCHANTES). BACCHÆ.

The female companions of Dionysus, in his wanderings through the east, are represented as crowned with vine-leaves,



BACCHANTE.

clothed with fawn-skins, carrying in their hands the thyrsus. They are distinguished by their revelling enthusiasm, dishevelled hair, and head thrown back, with thyrsi, swords, serpents, dismembered roe-calves, tympana, and fluttering, loose flying garments.

BAS-RELIEF :—

Bacchante, attributed to Scopas. British Museum.

CENTAURS.

In earlier times they were represented in front entirely as men, with the body of a horse growing on to them behind; but afterwards, perhaps from the time of Phidias, the forms were



CENTAUR.

blended much more happily, by the joining of the belly and breast of a horse to the upper part of a human body, the forms of whose countenance, pointed ears, and bristly hair, betray an affinity to the satyr.

STATUES :—

Borghese Centaur with Eros on its back. Louvre.
Centaur with names of sculptors, Aristeas and Papias.
Capitol.

BAS-RELIEFS :—

Metopes of the Parthenon.
Phigaleian Marbles.

EROS.—CUPID.

At first Eros was represented in temple statues as a boy of developed beauty and tender grace of mien, and this mode of representation prevails throughout in the different statues of the god still extant. A later art, however, after the time of Alexander, which was allied to the toying poetry of the Anacreontica, preferred the childish form for such purposes. In the imitations of the famous statue of Praxiteles, he is represented as a slender, undeveloped boy, full of liveliness and activity, earnestly endeavouring to fasten the strings to his bow. He is also frequently represented with Psyche.

STATUES :—

Copies of the Cupid of Praxiteles. Vatican. Capitol.
British Museum. Louvre. Villa Albani.
Cupid and Psyche. Florentine Gallery.

HERMAPHRODITUS.

Hermaphroditus was a favourite subject of later art, being an artistic creation of fancy. He combines the form of the female sex, with the characteristics of the male. He is usually represented reclining in gentle slumber on a couch, or fanned while asleep by cupids.

STATUES :—

Florentine Gallery.
Villa Borghese.

PSYCHE.

Psyche, as the soul, appears as a virgin with butterfly wings. At a later period, after the myth of Apuleius, there are frequent representations of the loves of Eros and Psyche.

STATUE :—

Psyche. Naples.

CHARITES. (The Graces.)

They were the attendants of Venus. In early times, they were represented draped. In later times, they were figured completely undraped, and are characterized by the joining of hands, or mutual embracing.

EARLY REPRESENTATION :—

On triangular altar, Louvre.

STATUES :—

In the sacristy of the Cathedral of Siena.

THE MUSES.

In the most ancient works of art we find only three Muses, and their attributes are musical instruments, such as the flute, the lyre, or the barbiton; it was not until the more modern ideal of Apollo Musagetes, in the garb of the Pythian musicians, was developed, that the number nine was established by several famous artists in regard to these virgins, who were in like manner clad for the most part in theatrical drapery, with fine intellectual countenances, distinguished from one another by expression, attributes, and sometimes also by attitudes. 1. Calliope, the muse of epic poetry, is characterized by a tablet and stylus, and sometimes by a roll of papers. 2. Clio, the muse of history, is represented either with an open roll of paper, or an open chest of books. 3. Euterpe, the muse of lyric poetry, is given a flute, and sometimes two flutes. 4. Melpomene, the muse of tragedy, is characterized by a tragic mask, the club of Hercules, or a sword, her head is surrounded with vine-leaves, and she wears the cothurnus. 5. Terpsichore, the muse of choral dance and song, appears with the lyre and the plectrum. 6. Erato, the muse of erotic poetry and mimic imitation, is also characterized by a lyre. 7. Polymnia, the muse of the sublime hymn, is usually represented leaning in a pensive or meditating attitude. 8. Urania, the muse of astronomy, bears a globe in her hand. 9. Thalia, the muse of comedy, and idyllic poetry, is characterized by a comic mask, a shepherd's staff, and a wreath of ivy. They are sometimes represented with plumes on their head, supposed to typify their victory over the Sirens.

STATUES:—In the Vatican.

In the Museum, Naples.

In the Villa Borghese.

BAS-RELIEF:—Front of Sarcophagus. British Museum.

ÆSCULAPIUS.

Æsculapius was represented as a man of mature age, of Zeus-like but less sublime countenance, with mild benevolent expression, his copious hair encircled with a fillet, standing in the attitude of one ready to help, the himation taken about the left arm, round under the breast, and drawn tight, and the staff entwined with a serpent in his right hand.

BUST.—Æsculapius. British Museum.

STATUES:—Æsculapius. Vatican.

Æsculapius. Louvre.

HYGIEIA.

The goddess of health was represented as a virgin of remarkably blooming form, who generally gives drink to a serpent from a patera in her left hand.

RHEA.—CYBELE.



HEAD OF CYBELE.

Rhea is recognised by a crown of towers, the tympanum as a symbol of her enthusiastic worship, and her car yoked with lions.

HADES.—PLUTO.

Hades, the ruler of the shadowy realm, is distinguished from his brothers, Zeus and Poseidon, by his heavier drapery, by his hair hanging down upon his forehead, and his sombre aspect.

BUST:—

Vatican.

STATUE:—

Pluto. Vatican.

PERSEPHONE.—PROSERPINE.

She is usually represented enthroned by the side of her husband Hades, and sometimes in the act of being carried off by Pluto.

STATUE:—

Proserpine. Vatican.

MOIRÆ. (The Fates.)

They were three, Clotho, Lachesis, and Atropos. In later times, Clotho was represented as spinning; Lachesis, marking out the destiny on a globe; Atropos, sitting. Lachesis is also to be found writing, or holding a scroll; Atropos showing the hour on a sundial, or holding scales. They are generally found present at the death of Meleager, where they appear as beautiful young virgins, sometimes with, and sometimes without, wings on their heads.

EUMENIDES.—ERINYES.—FURÆ.

The Furies are represented as beautiful young virgins, either with or without snakes about their heads, with bared arms, and carrying blazing torches.

TYCHE.—FORTUNE.

She is usually represented with a rudder, as guiding the affairs of the world, and a cornucopia as a symbol of the plentiful gifts of nature, and also with a ball at her feet, showing the varying unsteadiness of fortune. Sometimes she wears a

diadem, and a veil hanging over the back of her head to indicate her mysterious origin.



FORTUNE.

STATUES :—

Fortune. Vatican.

Fortune. British Museum.

NEMESIS.

In the earlier times, Nemesis was scarcely to be distinguished from the representations of Aphrodite. So slight was the distinction between the representations of Nemesis and Aphrodite, that Agoracritus, the sculptor, in losing the prize in competition with Alcamenes, for making an Aphrodite, by a slight change (supposed to be by the addition of some attribute) transformed his Aphrodite into a Nemesis, afterwards called the Nemesis Rhamnusia. A fragment of this statue is now in the British Museum. In later art she is distinguished by the characteristic posture of the right arm; the arm being half raised, so as to form an angle, and the robe partly withdrawn from the breast. Sometimes a wheel lies at her feet.

IRIS.

Iris, the light-winged messenger of the gods, is sometimes represented in a long and wide tunic, over which hangs a light upper garment; sometimes in a short tunic, with wings to her shoulders and wings to her feet, carrying the herald's staff in her left hand, and in her right hand a vase (*προχόους*).

FLORA.

The goddess of flowers and spring, is usually represented with flowers in her hand. This goddess was unknown to the Greeks.

STATUE :—

Farnese Flora. Naples.

NIKE.—VICTORY.

Victory is represented in a short tunic, with wings, and usually carries a palm. She is also represented writing on a shield, and frequently sacrificing a bull.

STATUES :—

Victory, bronze. Museum, Brescia.

Torso of Victory, from Samothrace. Louvre.

Victory by Pæonios, lately found at Olympia.

BAS-RELIEFS :—Victory sacrificing a bull.

Victory loosing her sandal, from the temple of Nike Apteros. British Museum.

HEBE.

Hebe is generally represented completely draped, and with wings, pouring nectar from a vase.

HERCULES.

The heroic ideal is expressed with the highest force in Hercules, who was pre-eminently an Hellenic national hero. Strength, steeled and proved by exertion, is the main feature, which early Greek art already indicated in its creations, but Myron and Lysippus especially unfolded into a form which could not again be undone. Even in the statues of the youthful Hercules, which are often extremely noble and graceful, this concentrated energy is displayed in the enormous strength of the muscles of his neck, the thickly-set short curls of his small head, the comparatively small eyes, the great size and prominence of the lower portion of the forehead, and the form of the entire limbs. Short hairs with a forward curve, Winkelmann observes, are manifest on all beautiful heads of Hercules, whether in youth or manhood, and are, with the thickness of neck, also a symbol of strength. But the character of the victorious combatant of monsters, of the toil-laden hero, is exhibited more clearly by the matured figure, such as it was perfected by Lysippus, with especial predilection, in the protuberant layers of muscle developed by infinite labour, the huge thighs, shoulders, arms, breast, and back, and also in the earnest features of his compressed countenance, in which the impression produced by exertion and fatigue has not been effaced by transient repose. Both forms can still be pointed out in an almost unlimited cycle of adventures and combats; and the development of the hero can be traced from the serpent-quelling child throughout all the events of his life, his twelve labours forming the most frequent subjects of representation. In earliest art, as well as at a later period, the lion's hide, the club and the bow, were the ordinary accoutrements of the hero. Other phases of his character are disclosed by his relation to Omphale—the hero spinning in transparent female drapery, and the voluptuous woman in heroic nudity, with club and lion's hide. He is supposed to be represented in the famous masterpiece, the Torso Belvidere, whose posture entirely agrees with that of the hero reposing among satyrs. Hercules here rested

on his right arm, in which he probably held a cup (σκύφος), and had the left doubled over his head. The most celebrated statue of Hercules is the so-called Hercules Farnese, which bears the name of the sculptor inscribed on it—Glycon, the Athenian. From its frequent repetition in bronze and marble, on gems and coins, it must have been universally admired in ancient times. It represents Hercules resting on his club. It is chiefly remarkable for the anatomical detail displayed in the body and the limbs. Flaxman remarks that statues of much earlier date have the proportions of common men, and that a series of them may be found in various collections, gradually increasing to the terrific strength of the Glyconic statue.

YOUNG HERCULES.

BUST :—

British Museum.

STATUE :—

Lansdowne Collection.

ELDER HERCULES.

BUST :—

In the British Museum.

STATUES :—

The Farnese Hercules. Naples.

The Torso Belvidere. Vatican.

Hercules and Anteus. Pitti Palace, Florence.

Small statues in the British Museum.

THESEUS.

In sculpture, not less than mythology, the heroic form of Theseus was, as early as the Phidian school, fashioned after that of Hercules; he received, however, a less compact structure of body, one which especially indicated activity in wrestling, a less compressed and more graceful form of countenance, and short but less crisped hair; his costume is usually the lion's hide and club, sometimes also the chlamys and petasus, after the manner of Attic ephebi. His contest with the Amazons, and his vanquishing the Minotaur, form the chief subjects of his myth.

STATUE :—

Theseus, from Pediment of Parthenon. British Museum.

AMAZONS.

In statues and reliefs they were represented in simple light drapery, and with strong rounded forms of the limbs, which were given to them at the Polyclitan period. They are usually represented in the Doric chiton. In mythological accounts, they are said to have had their right breast cut off, but Winkelmann remarks that among the statues of Amazons known to us, there is not a single instance in which the breast is wanting.

STATUES:—

Amazon, with arm uplifted. Vatican.
Capitol.

BAS-RELIEFS:—

Phigaleian Frieze.
Front of Sarcophagus. Capitol.

ACHILLES.

The hair reared up like a mane, the nostrils swollen with courage and pride, a slender pillared neck, and thoroughly noble and powerful forms of body belong to the character of Achilles, according to ancient testimonies, with which such at least of the monuments as are authentic and more carefully handled, are in accordance; a certain heroic attitude, in which the one leg is quickly advanced, and the himation falls negligently over the thigh of that limb, is also at least frequently introduced in Achilles; when he is seated, the himation is



AMAZONS.

drawn, in the same way as in Zeus, around the lower portions of the figure.

BUSTS :—

Munich. Dresden. Louvre.

STATUE :—

Borghese Achilles.

MELEAGER.

Meleager appears in a celebrated statue as a slender but powerful youth, with broad chest, active limbs, curling hair, and a chlamys thrown back and wrapped round the left arm, after the manner of hunters; he is a huntsman among heroes; the boar's head, on which he leans, points him out unmistakably.

STATUE :—

Meleager. Vatican.

ORPHEUS.

The Thracian Orpheus appears as an inspired Citharcedus, with a certain effeminacy of conformation, in tolerably pure Hellenic costume in earlier art; it was at a later period that he received the Phrygian garb.

PERSEUS.

Perseus appears very like Hermes in configuration and costume. He is frequently represented with talaria, and sometimes holds the head of Medusa in his hand.

THE DIOSCURI.—CASTOR.—POLLUX.

To the Dioscuri, who always retained very much of their divine nature, belong a perfectly unblemished youthful beauty, an equally slender and powerful shape, and, as an almost never-failing attribute, the half-oval form of the hat, or at least hair lying close at the back of the head, but projecting in thick curls around the forehead and temples. The distinction between Polydeuces the boxer, and Castor, in his equestrian costume, is only to be found where they are represented in heroic circumstances, not where they are exhibited as objects of worship, as the Athenian Anakes and as genii of light in its

rising and setting. The most celebrated statues of these horse-tamers are the two on the Quirinal Hill at Rome; though styled the works of Phidias and Praxiteles, they are supposed to have been executed at Rome, probably after the time of Augustus, from Greek originals; they are of colossal proportions, being 18 feet high.

STATUES:—

Castor and Pollux. Quirinal Hill, Rome.

BAS-RELIEFS.

BAS-RELIEFS are works of sculpture in which the objects are not isolated, but are attached to a background, or to a plane surface, on which sometimes the sculptured figures were placed, or as is more generally the case, the entire background and figures were formed of the same material. The term *alto-relievo* is used when the figures seem almost entirely detached from the background; *mezzo-relievo* when the figure projects from the background by about a half; *basso-relievo*, or *bas-relief*, when the figures project slightly from the background, and seem, so to say, flattened on the background; but common use has given to all these works of sculpture the general name of *bas-reliefs*, or *basso-relievo*. By the Greeks the term *anaglypta* was used for all works in relief in general. “*Ectypa sculptura*” is applied by Pliny to engravings in relief.

The work of the sculptor in *bas-reliefs* presents greater difficulty in proportion as the projection of the figure is less; for it requires consummate art to give size and natural proportions to a figure slightly relieved.

In *bas-reliefs* the composition, the picturesque arrangement, and the grouping of the figures, are principally studied, and here another difficulty presents itself, as the sculptor has but one background, and not several, each distant from the other, as is the case in painting. In *bas-reliefs* the study of the light it receives is of the greatest importance, for the shadows are real shadows, not artificial or imitated, and consequently the effect ought to be carefully calculated. The *alto-relievo* is calculated for a high light, and the *basso-relievo* for a subdued light. The metopes of the Parthenon received the light from on high, the full light of the sun; the Panathenaic frieze its light from below, the reflected light from the basement.

Egyptian.—Bas-relief was in general use among the Egyptians. It was employed to decorate the front of the propyla of their temples and of their tombs. The style of relief peculiar to the Egyptians was the intaglio *relievato*, or *koilanaglypha*, as termed by the Greeks. The flat surface of the stone was cut *into*, and thus formed the outline of the object to be represented. Within this sunk space the Egyptian artist contrived to raise the figure by cutting it deepest all round the edge, and allowing it to rise in a curved form towards the central parts, so that there was no salient point beyond the original plane. The degree of elevation given to this sunk relief is very different in various specimens; in some it is scarcely perceptible, while in others the central parts of the relief are almost on a level with the tablet. The figures were always sculptured in profile. The sepulchral tablets frequently afford examples of bas-reliefs. An example of alto-relievo will be found in a stele, or sculptured monument, in the British Museum, which represents the monarch Thotmes III., supported by the God Mentu, and the goddess Athor.

Etruscan.—The earliest known examples of Etruscan bas-reliefs are the cippi, or so-called “altars” of fetid limestone, from Chiusi and its neighbourhood. They show an archaic style of art. Bas-reliefs also of an archaic style are found on the façades of the rock-hewn sepulchres, but chiefly on sarcophagi and cinerary urns. The cinerary urns of Volterra and Perugia are of a later period, and have more of a Roman than a Greek character.

Greek and Roman.—Bas-reliefs were executed by the Greeks from the earliest period of art, and by the Romans especially in the early period of the Empire. The same diversity of style will be found in these, according to the period of their execution; the same attributes, the same traditions in connection with gods and men; what has been said with regard to the characteristics and distinctive styles of statues and busts, can be equally applied to bas-reliefs. Bas-reliefs were generally used for the purpose of adorning temples, altars, the bases of statues, and also sarcophagi and tombs. In general, some well-known myth of a god, or hero, was traced on them.

The finest existing examples of alto-relievo are those metopes which adorned the temple of Parthenon.* As they were destined

* See pages 166 and 232.

to receive the open light, they were executed in bolder relief, to insure the masses of shadow which make them conspicuous. They represent the contests between the Centaurs and the Athenians. The sculptured metopes of the temple of Selinus, in Sicily, afford examples of the earliest styles of alto-relievo.



MEZZO-RELIEVO.

Mezzo-relievo was generally used to adorn sculptured vases and urns. These sculptured vases probably ornamented interiors, where any indistinctness in their distant effect, or in unfavourable light, might be obviated by closer inspection. The celebrated Medici and Borghese vases, the finest known examples, are ornamented with mezz-relievi. The frieze encircling the choragic monument of Lysicrates is also in mezzo-relievo. It was also employed (as well as alto-relievo, when in situations not exposed to accidents) to ornament tombs and sarcophagi.



BAS-RELIEF.

Bas-relief, or basso-relievo, may be fully exemplified in the most perfect examples of that art in the celebrated Panathenaic frieze of the Parthenon. It was executed under the direction of Phidias himself; it was one uninterrupted series of bas-reliefs, which occupied the upper part of the Parthenon within the colonnade, and which was continued entirely around the building. By its position it only obtained a secondary light. Being placed immediately below the soffit, it received all its light from between the columns, and by reflection from the pavement below. The flatness of the sculpture is thus suf-

ficiently accounted for; had the relief been prominent, the upper parts could not have been seen; the shade projected by the sculpture would have rendered it dark, and the parts would



SARCOPHAGUS. ACHILLEUS AMONGST THE DAUGHTERS OF LYCOMEDES.

have been reduced by their shadows. The heads of the figures project more than half an inch ($\frac{1}{2}$) beyond the lower parts in order to receive more fully the light reflected from below. From the elevated position of the bas-reliefs, and from their being seen from below, optical laws required that the heads should be increased in a proportion which can be ascertained by geometrical rules. The subject represents the sacred procession, which was celebrated every fifth year at Athens, in honour of Minerva, conveying in solemn pomp to the temple of the Parthenon the *πέπλος*, or sacred veil, which was to be suspended before the statue of the goddess within the temple. Mr. Westmacott remarks that these works are unquestionably the finest specimens of the art that exist, and they illustrate, fully and admirably, the progress, and it may be said, the consummation, of sculpture. They exhibit in a remarkable degree all the qualities that constitute fine art,—truth, beauty, and perfect execution. In the forms, the most perfect, the most appropriate, and the most graceful, have been selected. The earliest known example of bas-relief is that in the Louvre representing Agamemnon and Talthymbius. It is in very low relief. In style it corresponds with that of the early vase painting. In the decline of art in Greece, bas-reliefs were erected in memory of illustrious men instead of statues. In Rome bas-reliefs were more particularly employed in adorning arches of triumph, triumphal columns, and especially sarcophagi. The subjects which decorated the front of these funereal monuments were various, though sometimes repeated when a subject was composed by a celebrated artist. In general the bas-reliefs of sarcophagi are of inferior workmanship; sometimes the last farewell of the deceased is represented; sometimes two figures only, and the one which is the object of the attentions of the other, is the figure of the deceased. Others represent mythological subjects. One in the Capitol presents a bas-relief which Flaxman considered one of the finest specimens of bas-relief. It represents the battle of Theseus and Amazons. An excellent example, in the British Museum, forming the front of a sarcophagus, represents Achilles amongst the daughters of Lycomedes. It has been remarked with regard to some sarcophagi that the head of the principal figure is not finished; it has been inferred from this that the sculptors preparing these monuments as objects for sale, did not terminate the head until

the sarcophagus was sold, endeavouring then to give, as well as he was able, the portrait of the deceased. Funereal urns are also frequently ornamented with bas-reliefs, several examples of which will be found in the British Museum. Sepulchral stelæ are sometimes ornamented with bas-reliefs. They are generally in low relief, and usually represent some scene in connection with the memory of the deceased.

MATERIALS OF SCULPTURE.

WE extract the following excellent summary of the materials of sculpture from Professor Westmacott's 'Handbook of Sculpture : '—“ Every substance that could by possibility be used for carved works has been employed by sculptors. Among the Egyptians especially the hardest were preferred, as basalt, porphyry, and granite, though they also worked extensively in other materials. Marble, various kinds of alabaster, stone, ivory, bone, and wood of all kinds, were used according to circumstances. The variety of marbles both found and recorded is almost infinite. Pliny supplies an interesting catalogue of those most generally employed in ancient times. The chief Greek marbles were the Parian and the Pentelic. The former was found in the island of Paros, whence its general name; but it is also alluded to as the marble of Marpessus, from the particular mountain where it abounded. Its colour is a warm or creamy white, and it is remarkable for a sparkling quality in its crystals, from which it is supposed it received its epithet of “lychneum.” The Pentelic marble came from Mount Pentelicus, in the neighbourhood of Athens. Its colour also is white, but it often has blue or grey, and even light green streaks, running through it, which gave it a cold tone compared with the Parian marble. The ancients also much esteemed a marble procured from Mount Hymettus in Attica. It bore in many respects a close resemblance to the Pentelic. A great quantity of this marble was imported into Italy after the conquest of Greece by the Romans. A marble of Thasos was also much used, but more for architecture than sculpture. It was in this way employed for baths, fishponds, and for encasing buildings. Italy produces marble of a very fine quality. That spoken of as the marble of Luni was produced from the range of mountains

near which are situated the modern towns of Massa and Carrara. It does not appear that it was known, or its quarries worked before the time of Julius Cæsar, in the century before the birth of Christ. Remains of the former working in the quarries of Luni may still be traced; and it is thought the material found here was of a somewhat finer texture than the more modern produce. In many respects the Italian is superior to the Parian and Pentelic marbles. The grain of the Carrara marble is much closer and finer than that of Greece, and its general colour is a rich white. It must, however be admitted that the Carrara marble, now so generally used by sculptors, is not often found quite pure in very large blocks. Veins and spots of grey and blue-black, and red and yellow streaks (the latter probably oxides of iron) occur in it, and the quality or texture of the material varies also in different parts of the quarries. Occasionally large crystals are found which resist the chisel. The Romans formerly procured a white marble from some quarries they worked in Africa. Marble is no longer procured, as a rule, from Greece, though occasionally blocks of it are used. This, however, is exceptional, and the only supply for general purposes of sculpture in modern times is from the above-named source—the mountain quarries in the former duchy of Massa and Carrara, on the west coast of Italy. Different kinds of marble were frequently employed by the later Romans in the same piece of sculpture, which was then termed *poly lithic*.

The composition which was so extensively used by the ancients for statues, called by the Greeks, *chalkos* (χαλκός) the Romans *æs*, and the moderns *bronze*, from the Italian *bronzo*, a name derived from its colour—a rich brown—is a mixture of copper and tin, with sometimes small portions of other metals. The composition of this material, so extensively used by the artists of antiquity, appears to have been a subject of the greatest care. The mere list of titles of the different kinds of bronze known to and used by the ancients is astonishing from its extent, and the refinements it suggests in their practice. A few of the most important only need be mentioned to show the student how profoundly all subjects connected with their art were considered by the great masters of sculpture. There were even rival schools for its preparation. Pliny especially records those of Ægina and Delos; and says the highest honour was given to the Delian and the next to the Æginetan bronze.

It has been supposed from a passage in Plutarch that this famous bronze of Delos was of a pale colour; but it appears that in the time of this writer the secret of its composition was unknown. Pliny says that there was rivalry between two of the greatest sculptors of the best period of the art in the material each employed. Myron used the bronze of Delos, Polyclethus that of Ægina. Besides these more especially celebrated bronzes of Delos and Ægina, there were at least three, if not more, varieties of the Corinthian. That which was called *æs Candidum* is supposed to have had a portion of silver mixed with it, which gave it a white or light tint. There was also the famous *æs Corinthium*, which it was pretended was accidentally produced by the melting and running together of various metals (especially gold and bronze), at the burning of Corinth by L. Mummius, about 146 B.C. A third was a composition of equal portions of different metals. The composition of what is now known as bronze, an alloy of tin with copper, gives, on analysis, very nearly the same results in all the examples which have been subjected to examination. From 10 to 12 parts of tin occur in 100 parts, the remainder being copper.

Among the varieties of wood used by the ancients for sculpture, the oak, cypress, cedar, box, sycamore, pine, fig, the vine, and ebony occur. Pausanias mentions numerous statues made of wood, ξόανα, but all these works have perished.

Figures of wood, usually of small dimensions, have constantly been found in Egypt, preserved in the most ancient tombs; but there are also examples of Egyptian statues on a larger scale, and even of life size, made of wood. The wood of which they are made is usually sycamore.

The ancients also used clay (*terra cotta*) extensively as a material for sculpture, as may be seen from the countless number of figures, reliefs, lamps, architectural ornaments, vases, domestic utensils, and other objects, which are preserved in museums and in similar collections. Usually such works are of small size, but there are statues in the Museum at Naples which prove it was also used for statues of large dimensions.

PAINTING.

THE artistic instinct is one of the earliest developed in man; the love of representation is evolved at the earliest period; we see it in the child, we see it in the savage, we find traces of it among primitive men. The child in his earliest years loves to trace the forms of objects familiar to his eyes. The savage takes a pleasure in depicting and rudely giving shape to objects which surround him, and which constantly meet his view. The artistic instinct is of all ages and of all climes; it springs up naturally in all countries, and takes its origin alike everywhere in the imitative faculty of man. Evidences of this instinct at the earliest period have been discovered among the relics of primitive men: rough sketches on slate and on stone of the mammoth, the deer and of man, have been found in the caves of France; the American savage traces rude hunting scenes, or the forms of animals on the covering of his tents, and on his buffalo robes; the savage Australian covers the side of caverns, and the faces of rocks with coarse drawings of animals. We thus find an independent evolution of the art of design, and distinct and separate cycles of its development through the stages of rise, progress, maturity, decline and decay, in many countries the most remote and unconnected with one another. The earliest mode of representing men, animals, and objects was in outline and in profile. It is evidently the most primitive style, and characteristic of the commencement of the art, as the first attempts made by children and uncivilised people are solely confined to it; the most inexperienced perceive the object intended to be represented, and no effort is required to comprehend it. Outline figures were thus in all countries the earliest style of painting, and we find this mode practised at a remote period in Egypt and in Greece. In Egypt we meet paintings in this earliest stage of the art of design in the tombs of Beni Hassan, dating from over 2000 B.C. They are illustrative of the manners and customs of that age. Tradition tells us that the origin of the art of design in Greece, was in tracing in outline and in profile the shadow of a human head on the wall, and afterwards filling it in so as to present the appearance of a kind of silhouette. The Greek painted vases of the earliest epoch exhibit examples of this style. From this humble beginning

the art of design in Greece rose in gradually successive stages, until it reached its highest degree of perfection under the hands of Zeuxis and Apelles.

Egyptian.—The Egyptians cultivated painting from the highest antiquity; the most ancient monuments of this people afford examples of it, such as the temples, tombs, mummies, and papyri. It seems to have originated among them from their fashion of colouring bas-reliefs and statues. The colours



EGYPTIAN PAINTING IN THE BRITISH MUSEUM.


they usually employed on the painted reliefs and on the stuccoes are black, blue, red, green, and yellow. These are always kept distinct, and never blended. Of blue, they used both a darker and a lighter shade. Red was used to represent the human flesh. Most objects in Egyptian painting had a distinct and conventional colour. The Egyptian colours have been analyzed by Professor John of Berlin. All the blues appear to be oxides of copper, with a small intermixture of iron; the result of the analysis never showed any cobalt in any of the blues. The reds may be divided into brown reds, and brick-coloured reds, and are composed of a brown-red oxide of iron mixed with lime. The greens are a mixture of a yellow vegetable pigment with a

copper-blue. The blueish-green colour sometimes observed on Egyptian antiquities is a faded copper-blue. The yellows appear to be vegetable colours; they are often very pure, and of a bright sulphur colour. The blacks might be from wine lees, burnt pitch, charcoal, or soot. The whites were generally, no doubt, preparations of lime or gypsum. Madder also appears to have been used, at least for the reddish coloured dye of the mummy cloths. These colours were used on the hardest and softest stones, on wood, linen, and papyrus. The sculptures of the most ancient temples were coloured. The tombs of the kings exhibit endless paintings on their walls. Three classes of paintings have been discovered in Egypt; those on the walls, those on the cases and cloths of mummies, and those on papyrus rolls. The coloured bas-reliefs may be classed among the paintings. The Egyptians painted detached statues also, examples of which will be found in the British Museum. No. 31 has received several coats of paint. They painted also architectural decorations and columns. Egyptian painting was imbued with one common character, and the same conventional style always prevailed. It was not an imitation of nature, but merely the harmonious combination of certain hues, which they well understood, as Sir Gardner Wilkinson remarks. The Egyptian artists had no idea of perspective; objects on the same plane, instead of being shown one behind another, were placed in succession one above another on the perpendicular wall.

Their method of drawing the human figure was mathematically by means of squares. The proportions were different in the ancient and later periods. In the 18th and 19th dynasties, the whole height of the figure in bas-reliefs and paintings was divided into nineteen parts; and the wall having been ruled in squares, according to its intended size, all the parts of it were put in according to their established positions, the knee, for instance, falling on the sixth line.

The following description of the mode in which the painted bas-reliefs were executed is from Belzoni's account of the great tomb which he opened in the Biban el Mulouk, or valley of the tombs of the kings, at Thebes. In this instance, the reliefs are cut out of the natural rock in which the excavation was made; but a similar process must have been adopted with bas-reliefs cut on any surface of stone. All the figures and hieroglyphics

in this tomb are in bas-relief, and painted, with the exception of one chamber, which Belzoni called the outline chamber, from its not being finished, but only prepared for the sculptor. The first process was to make the wall quite smooth, filling up the interstices, if any, with plaster. The outline of the figures



PROPORTIONS USED BY THEBAN PAINTERS.

was then drawn by some apprentice or inferior hand, in red lines, and corrected by the principal workman in black. Then the sculptor chiselled out the form, cutting away the stone all round the outline, which would leave the figure standing out above the rest of the stone to the height of half an inch, or less if the figure were a small one. The angles of the bas-relief

were afterwards rounded, so as to diminish the prominence of the object, the dress and the limbs were marked by narrow lines, not more than the thickness of a half-crown in depth, but exact enough to produce the desired effect. The next process was to lay on a coat of lime whitewash, which in these tombs is so beautiful and clear as to surpass the finest paper. The painter then completed his work, using the colours already described. When the figures were finished, a coat of varnish was laid on ; or, perhaps, in some cases it was incorporated.

The process for painting on the walls, both of the natural rock and constructed edifices, where there were no bas-reliefs, was pretty nearly the same. The ground was covered with a thick layer of fine plaster, consisting of lime and gypsum, which was carefully smoothed and polished. Upon this a thin coat of lime whitewash was laid, and on it the colours were painted, which were bound fast either by animal glue, or occasionally with wax.

The Egyptians painted also on wood. The process adopted was the following :—First a thin layer of whitewash or fine lime was laid immediately on the wood, and on this the colours, being first mixed with glue water, were placed by means of a brush. Sometimes a more costly process was adopted in the case of sarcophagi of wood. An almost similar process was adopted in painting on mummy cloths and mummy cases. The paintings on the papyrus are scarcely more than coloured hieroglyphics.

The variety of paintings, or exact representation of natural objects, or of objects used in the arts, is very considerable, and it is in the tombs that this endless variety is found represented. Besides religious or funeral ceremonies, we find represented there a number of scenes derived from civil, military, and domestic life, agricultural works, fishing, the chase, dances, gymnastic games, instruments of music, furniture of the greatest elegance ; lastly, views of extensive gardens, with ponds containing fish and birds, and surrounded by fruit-trees.

The Egyptians painted portraits also. Rosellini gives a series of portraits of Egyptian kings, some from painted bas-reliefs, others from paintings on the walls of tombs. They go as far back as Amunoph I. of the eighteenth dynasty. They are all in profile ; and though drawn with strict regard to certain conventions, still the outline of the face, from the forehead to

the chin, has a marked individual character, and indicates that it is intended to designate a particular individual.

Etruscan.—The Etruscans, it is said, cultivated painting before the Greeks, and Pliny attributes to the former a certain degree of perfection before the Greeks had emerged from the infancy of the art. Ancient paintings at Ardea, in Etruria, and at Lanuvium still retained, in the time of Pliny, all their primitive freshness. According to Pliny, paintings of a still earlier date were to be seen at Cære, another Etruscan city. Those paintings mentioned by Pliny were commonly believed to be earlier than the foundation of Rome. At the present day the tombs of Etruria afford examples of Etruscan painting in every stage of its development, from the rudeness and conventionality of early art in the tomb of Veii to the correctness and ease of design, and the more perfect development of the art exhibited in the painted scenes in the tombs of Tarquinii. In one of these tombs the pilasters are profusely adorned with arabesques, and a frieze which runs round the side of the tomb is composed of painted figures draped, winged, armed, fighting, or borne in chariots. The subjects of these paintings are various; in them we find the ideas of the Etruscans on the state of the soul after death, combats of warriors, banquets, funereal scenes. The Etruscans painted also bas-reliefs and statues.

Greek.—The Greeks carried painting to the highest degree of perfection; their first attempts were long posterior to those of the Egyptians; they do not even date as far back as the epoch of the siege of Troy; and Pliny remarks that Homer does not mention painting. The Greeks always cultivated sculpture in preference. Pausanias enumerates only 88 paintings, and 43 portraits; he describes, on the other hand, 2,827 statues. These were, in fact, more suitable ornaments to public places, and the gods were always represented in the temple by sculpture. In Greece painting followed the invariable law of development. Its cycle was run through. Painting passed through the successive stages of rise, progress, maturity, decline, and decay. The art of design in Greece is said to have had its origin in Corinth. The legend is: the daughter of Dibutades, a potter of Corinth, struck by the shadow of her lover's head cast by the lamp on the wall, drew its outline, filling it in with a dark

shadow. Hence the earliest mode of representing the human figure was a silhouette, *σκιάγραμμα*. The simplest form of design or drawing was *γραφικὴ*, mere outline, or monogrammatic, and was invented by Cleanthes of Corinth. After this the outlines were filled in, and light and shade introduced of one colour, and hence were styled monochromes. Telephanes of Sicyon further improved the art by indicating the principal details of anatomy; Euphantes of Corinth, or Craton of Sicyon, by the introduction of colour. Cimon of Cleonæ is the first who is mentioned as having advanced the art of painting in Greece, and as having emancipated it from its archaic rigidity by exchanging the conventional manner of rendering the human form for an approach to truthfulness to nature. He also first made muscular articulations, indicated the veins, and gave natural folds to draperies. He is also supposed to have been the first who used a variety of colours, and to have introduced foreshortening. The first painter of great renown was Polygnotus. Accurate drawing, and a noble and distinct manner of characterizing the most different mythological forms was his great merit; his female figures also possessed charms and grace. His large tabular pictures were conceived with great knowledge of legends, and in an earnest religious spirit. At Athens he painted, according to Pausanias, a series of paintings of mythological subjects in the Pinakothekē in the Propylæa on the Acropolis, and pictorial decorations for the temple of Theseus, and the Poecile. He executed a series of paintings at Delphi on the long walls of the Lesche. The wall to the right on entering the Lesche bore scenes illustrative of the epic myth of the taking of Troy; the left, the visit of Ulysses to the lower world, as described in the Odyssey. Pliny remarks that in place of the old severity and rigidity of the features he introduced a great variety of expression, and was the first to paint figures with the lips open. Lucian attributes to him great improvements in the rendering of drapery so as to show the forms underneath. Apollodorus of Athens was the first great master of light and shade. According to Pliny he was the first to paint men and things as they really appear. A more advanced stage of improved painting began with Zeuxis, in which art aimed at illusion of the senses and the rendering of external charms. He appears to have been equally distinguished in the representation of female charms, and of the sublime

majesty of Zeus on his throne. His masterpiece was his picture of Helen, in painting which he had as his models the five most beautiful virgins of Croton. His rival Parrhasius excelled in giving a roundness and a beautiful contour to his figures, and was remarkable for the richness and variety of his creations. His numerous pictures of gods and heroes attained the highest consideration in art. He was overcome, however, in a pictorial contest, in which the subject was the contest of Ulysses and Ajax for the arms of Achilles, by the ingenious Timanthes, in whose Sacrifice of Iphigenia the ancients admired the expression of grief carried to that pitch of intensity at which art had only dared to hint. The most striking feature in the picture was the concealment of the face of Agamemnon in his mantle.* Timanthes was distinguished for his invention and expression. Before all, however, ranks the great Apelles, who united the advantages of his native Ionia—grace, sensual charms, and rich colouring—with the scientific accuracy of the Sicyonian school. The most prominent characteristic of his style was grace (*charis*), a quality which he himself avowed as peculiarly his, and which serves to unite all the other gifts and faculties which the painter requires; perhaps in none of his pictures was it exhibited in such perfection as in his famous Anadyomene, in which Aphrodite is represented rising out of the sea, and wringing the wet out of her hair. But heroic subjects were likewise adapted to his genius, especially grandly-conceived portraits, such as the numerous likenesses of Alexander, by whom he was warmly patronized. He not only represented Alexander with the thunderbolt in his hand, but he even attempted, as the master in light and shade, to paint thunderstorms, probably at the same time as natural scenes and mythological personifications. The Anadyomene, originally painted for the temple of Æsculapius at Cos, was transferred by Augustus to the temple of D. Julius at Rome, where, however, it was in a decayed state even at the time of Nero. Contempo-

* The concealment of the face of Agamemnon in this picture has been generally considered as a "trick" or ingenious invention of Timanthes; when it was the result of a fundamental law in Greek art—to represent alone what was beautiful, and never to present to the eye anything repulsive or disagreeable; the features of a father convulsed with grief would not have been a pleasing object to gaze on; hence the painter, fully conscious of the laws of his art, concealed the countenance of Agamemnon.

ranuously with him flourished Protogenes and Nicias. Protogenes was both a painter and a statuary, and was celebrated for the high finish of his works. His masterpiece was the picture of Ialysus, the tutelary hero of Rhodes, where he lived. He is said to have spent seven years on it. Nicias of Athens was celebrated for the delicacy with which he painted females. He was also famous as an encaustic painter, and was employed by Praxiteles to apply his art to his statues. The glorious art of the masters, as far as regards light, tone, and local colours, is lost to us, and we know nothing of it except from obscure notices and later imitations. It is not thus necessary to speak at length of the various schools of painting in Greece, their works being all lost; the knowledge of the characteristics peculiar to each school would be at the present day perfectly useless. Painting had to follow the invariable law of all development; having reached a period of maturity, it followed, as a necessary consequence, that the period of decline should begin. The art of this period of refinement, Mr. Wornum writes, which has been termed the Alexandrian, because the most celebrated artist of this period lived about the time of Alexander the Great, was the last of progression, or acquisition; but it only added variety of effect to the tones it could not improve, and was principally characterized by the diversity of the styles of many contemporary artists. The decadence of the arts immediately succeeded, the necessary consequence, when, instead of excellence, variety and originality became the end of the artist. The tendencies which are peculiar to this period gave birth sometimes to pictures which ministered to a low sensuality, sometimes to works which attracted by their effects of light, and also to caricatures and travesties of mythological subjects. The artists of this period were under the necessity of attracting attention by novelty and variety; thus rhyparography, and the lower classes of art, attained the ascendancy, and became the characteristic styles of the period. In these Pyreicus was pre-eminent; he was termed rhyparographos, on account of the mean quality of his subjects. After the destruction of Corinth by Mummius and the spoliation of Athens by Sylla the art of painting experienced a rapid and total decay.

We shall now make a few extracts from Mr. Wornum's excellent article on the vehicles, materials, colours, and methods of painting used by the Greeks.

The Greeks painted with wax, resins, and in water-colours, to which they gave a proper consistency, according to the material upon which they painted, with gum, glue, and the white of egg; gum and glue were the most common.

They painted upon wood, clay, plaster, stone, parchment, and canvas. They generally painted upon panels or tablets (*πίνακες*, *tabulæ*), and very rarely upon walls; and an easel, similar to what is now used, was common among the ancients. These panels, when finished, were fixed into frames of various descriptions and materials, and encased in walls. The ancients used also a palette very similar to that used by the moderns, as is sufficiently attested by a fresco painting from Pompeii, which represents a female painting a copy of a Hermes, for a votive tablet, with a palette in her left hand.

The earlier Grecian masters used only four colours: the earth of Melos for white; Attic ochre for yellow; Sinopis, an earth from Pontus, for red; and lampblack; and it was with these simple elements that Zeuxis, Polygnotus, and others of that age, executed their celebrated works. By degrees new colouring substances were found, such as were used by Apelles and Protogenes.

So great, indeed, is the number of pigments mentioned by ancient authors, and such the beauty of them, that it is very doubtful whether, with all the help of modern science, modern artists possess any advantage in this respect over their predecessors.

We now give the following list of colours, known to be generally used by ancient painters:—

Red.—The ancient reds were very numerous, *κιννάβαρι*, *μίλτος*, cinnabaris, cinnabar, vermilion, bisulphuret of mercury, called also by Pliny and Vitruvius, minium. The *κιννάβαρι Ἰνδικόν*, cinnabaris Indica, mentioned by Pliny and Dioscorides, was what is vulgarly called dragon's blood, the resin obtained from various species of the calamus palm. *Μίλτος* seems to have had various significations; it was used for cinnabaris, minium, red lead, and rubrica, red ochre. There were various kinds of rubricæ; all were, however, red oxides, of which the best were the Lemnian, from the Isle of Lemnos, and the Cappadocian, called by the Romans rubrica sinopica, by the Greeks, *Σινωπὶς*, from Sinope in Paphlagonia. Minium, red oxide of lead, red lead, was

called by the Romans *cerussa usta*, and, according to Vitruvius *sandaracha*; by the Greeks *μίλτος*, and according to Dioscorides *σανδαράκη*. It was the colour which we now call vermilion.

The Roman *sandaracha* seems to have had various significations. Pliny speaks of different shades of *sandaracha*; there was also a compound colour of equal parts of *sandaracha* and *rubrica calcined*, called *sandyx*, which Sir H. Davy supposed to approach our crimson in tint; in painting it was frequently glazed with purple, to give it additional lustre.

Yellow.—Yellow-ochre, hydrated peroxide of iron, the *sil* of the Romans, the *ᾄχρα* of the Greeks, formed the base of many other yellows, mixed with various colours and carbonate of lime. Ochre was procured from different parts—the Attic was considered the best; sometimes the paler sort of *sandaracha* was used for yellow.

Green.—Chrysocolla, which appears to have been green carbonate of copper, or malachite (green verditer), was the green most approved of by the ancients; there was also an artificial kind which was made from clay impregnated with sulphate of copper (blue vitriol) rendered green by a yellow die. The commonest and cheapest colours were the Appianum, which was a clay, and the *creta viridis*, the common green earth of Verona.

Blue.—The ancient blues were very numerous; the principal of these was *cœruleum*, *κύανος*, azure, a species of verditer, or blue carbonate of copper, of which there were many varieties. The Alexandrian was the most valued, as approaching the nearest to ultramarine. It was also manufactured at Pozzuoli. This imitation was called *cœlon*. *Armenium* was a metallic colour, and was prepared by being ground to an impalpable powder. It was of a light blue colour, and cost 30 sesterces a pound, about 4s. 10d. It has been conjectured that ultramarine (*lapis lazuli*) was known to the ancients under the name of *armenium*, from Armenia, whence it was procured. It is evident, however, from Pliny's description, that the "*sapphirus*" of the ancients was the *lapis lazuli* of the present day. It came from Media.

Indigo, *indicum*, was well known to the ancients.

Purple.—The ancients had several kinds of purple, *purpuris-*

minium, ostrum, hysginum, and various compound colours. **Purpurissimum** was made from *creta argentaria*, a fine chalk or clay, steeped in a purple dye, obtained from the murex (*πορφύρα*). In colour it ranged between minium and blue, and included every degree in the scale of purple shades. The best sort came from Pozzuoli. **Purpurissimum indicum** was brought from India. It was of a deep blue, and probably was the same as indigo. **Ostrum** was a liquid colour, to which the proper consistence was given by adding honey. It was produced from the secretion of a fish called ostrum, *ὄστρεον*, and differed in tint according to the country from whence it came; being deeper and more violet when brought from the northern, redder when from the southern coasts, of the Mediterranean. The Roman **ostrum** was a compound of red ochre and blue oxide of copper. **Hysginum**, according to Vitruvius, is a colour between scarlet and purple. The celebrated Tyrian dye was a dark, rich purple, of the colour of coagulated blood, but, when held against the light, showed a crimson hue. It was produced by a combination of the secretions of the murex and buccinum. In preparing the dye the buccinum was used last, the dye of the murex being necessary to render the colours fast, while the buccinum enlivened by its tint of red the dark hue of the murex. Sir H. Davy, on examining a rose-coloured substance, found in the baths of Titus, which in its interior had a lustre approaching to that of carmine, considered it a specimen of the best Tyrian purple. The *purpura*, as mentioned in Pliny, was an amethyst or violet colour.

Brown.—*Ochra usta*, burnt ochre.—The browns were ochres calcined, oxides of iron and manganese, and compounds of ochres and blacks.

Black.—*Atramentum*, or black, was of two sorts, natural and artificial. The natural was made from a black earth, or from the secretion of the cuttle-fish, *sepia*. The artificial was made of the dregs of wine carbonized, calcined ivory, or lamp-black. The *atramentum indicum*, mentioned by Pliny, was probably the Chinese Indian ink.

White.—The ordinary Greek white was *melinum*, an earth from the Isle of Melos; for fresco-painting the best was the African *parcetonium*. There was also a white earth of Eretria,

and the annularian white. Carbonate of lead, or white-lead, cerussa, was apparently not much used by the ancient painters. It has not been found in any of the remains of painting in Roman ruins.

Methods of Painting.—There were two distinct classes of painting practised by the ancients—in water-colours, and in wax; both of which were practised in various ways. Of the former the principal were fresco, *al fresco*; and the various kinds of distemper (a *tempera*), with glue, with the white of egg, or with gums (a *guazzo*); and with wax or resins when these were rendered by any means vehicles that could be worked with water. Of the latter the principal was through fire (*διὰ πυρὸς*), termed encaustic (*ἐγκαυστική*, *encaustica*).

Fresco was probably little employed by the ancients for works of imitative art, but it appears to have been the ordinary method of simply colouring walls, especially amongst the Romans. Colouring *al fresco*, in which the colours were mixed simply in water, as the term implies, was applied when the composition of the stucco on the walls was still wet (*udo tectorio*), and on that account was limited to certain colours, for no colours except earths can be employed in this way.

The fresco walls, when painted, were covered with an encaustic varnish, both to heighten the colours and to preserve them from the injurious effects of the sun or the weather. Vitruvius describes the process as a Greek practice, which they term *καύσις*. When the wall was coloured and dry, Punic wax, melted and tempered with a little oil, was rubbed over it with a hard brush (*seta*); this was made smooth and even by applying a *cauterium* or an iron pan, filled with live coals, over the surface, as near to it as was just necessary to melt the wax; it was then rubbed with a candle (wax) and a clean cloth. In encaustic painting the wax colours were *burnt into* the ground by means of a hot iron (called *cauterium*) or pan of hot coals being held near the surface of the picture. The mere process of burning in constitutes the whole difference between encaustic and the ordinary method of painting with wax colours.

POLYCHROMY.—We shall now say a few words with regard to the much canvassed question of painting or colouring statues. Its antiquity and universality admit of no doubt. Indeed, the practice of painting statues is a characteristic of a primitive and

barbarous style of art. Though it must be admitted that the early Greek artists painted their wooden, clay, and sometimes their marble, statues, we must positively refuse credence to what some would wish us to believe, that the Greek sculptors of the best period coloured the nude parts of their marble statues.* This mistake has arisen from a misconception of the word *circumlitio*, mentioned by Pliny, which expresses a paint-

* The application of colour to statues and temples I would consider to belong to a late or Roman period of art. As Nero had the statue of Alexander, by Lysippus, gilt, so we may suppose the colour, the traces of which are found on some Greek statues of the fine period of art, was applied at a much later period to please the false taste of that age. Virgil mentions a Cupid with coloured wings; the three Corinthian columns of the temple of Minerva Chalcidica, in the Forum, were painted red, and the Trajan column still retains traces of colour and gilding used at that period; colour has been discovered on the statue of Augustus in the Vatican; a statue of Venus was discovered at Pompeii with the hair painted yellow, while the drapery which covered the lower members, was painted blue; a small statue of Bacchus was also found with traces of colour and gilding on it; a colossal statue of an emperor, in Greek marble, discovered in 1853, had the hair painted red, the mantle purple, and the buskins black; this practice was thus evidently in accordance with the taste then prevailing in Roman art, the extravagance of which has been deplored by Pliny and Vitruvius. The following remarkable passage of Vitruvius is to the purpose:—"The ancients laboured to accomplish and render pleasing by dint of *art*, that which in the present day is obtained by means of strong and gaudy colouring, and for the effect which was formerly obtained only by the skill of the artist, a prodigal expense is now substituted. Who, in former times, used minium otherwise than as a medicine? In the present age, however, walls are everywhere covered with it. To this may be added the use of chrysocola (green), purple, and azure decorations, which, without the aid of real art, produce a splendid effect." In this passage it is quite evident that Vitruvius places art—that is, beauty of form and proportion, and absence of colour, adopted by the sculptors and architects of the best period—in opposition to the gaudy colouring used by the artists of his day. Further, we have here evidence that red (minium) could not have been applied in sculpture or architecture by the artists of the best period, as in those times it was used only as a "medicamentum." If colour had been applied to sculpture and architecture by artists of the age of Phidias, Praxiteles, or Lysippus, Vitruvius would doubtless have referred to that practice in this passage. Throughout the whole of the work of Pausanias, who traversed Greece, no allusion is made to coloured statues, with the exception of some examples of a rude and primitive age. He mentions, however, frequently statues of white marble, λίθου λευκοῦ. The peculiar characteristic of Parian or statuary among ancient writers was its whiteness and purity, Παρίου λίθου λευκοτέραν, Pind. Nem. iv. 131. Pario marmore purius, Hor. Carm. 1, 19, 5. This could not be said of it, if it were covered with paint.

ing round (*περίχρσις*), a framing of the borders of the drapery, the hair; and sometimes border ornaments variously executed (of which the archaic Minerva in the Museum of Naples is a valuable instance); a painting of the ground round the figures, in order to separate and make them stand out, as Quintilian VIII., s. 2, shows: a “*circumductio colorum in extremitatibus figurarum, quâ ipsæ figuræ aptius finiuntur et eminentius extant.*” This practice was confined alone to the metopes, bas-reliefs, and the background of statues in pediments, and all such objects as were placed high up, and were to be seen from a distance. The effect was calculated for height and distance; the most ancient instances of which are the metopes from the temple of Selinus. This mode of colouring was practised only at an archaic period, for Plutarch tells us that the ancient statues (*τὰ παλαιὰ τῶν ἀγαλμάτων*) were daubed with vermilion, and no stronger evidence can be adduced of the imperfection, antiquity, and, we may add, barbarism of the art in any nation, than this custom of painting sculpture, as may be seen in the early sculptures of Assyria, India, and Mexico. The *καῦσις* applied by the so-called painters of statues, *ἀγαλμάτων ἔγκανσται*, to the nude parts, was not paint or colouring, but white wax melted with oil, which was laid on with a thick brush, and rubbed dry: “*ita signa marmorea nuda curantur,*” Vitruvius says — a practice adopted by Canova. On the other hand, we have no proof that the Greeks coloured the nude parts of their statues; on the contrary, we have positive evidence that the masterpiece of antiquity, the Cnidian Venus of Praxiteles, was colourless. That the Venus de’ Medici had her hair gilt, cannot be adduced as any evidence, for in the opinion of Flaxman, to whose correct taste this fashion was totally repugnant, it is a deteriorated variety of the Venus of Praxiteles, and consequently of a later period, when art was in a declining and degraded state. We may, therefore, be led to this conclusion, that the custom of colouring sculpture was only practised at the worst periods of art, at the archaic period, and when it was in its decline.

That Plato mentions that the artists of his age adopted the practice of painting statues, is no proof that the eminent sculptors of his age coloured their marble statues, any more than the modern custom in Italy of painting statues of the Virgin and saints, proves that Michael Angelo or Canova coloured their statues. It was evidently a practice of inferior artists in inferior

workmanship of clay or wood. It was a survival of the old religious practices of daubing the early statues of the gods with vermilion, and was done to meet the superstitious tastes of the uneducated. Statues for religious purposes may have been painted in obedience to a formula prescribed by religion, but statues as objects of art, on which the sculptor exhibited all his genius and taste, were unquestionably executed in the pure and uncoloured marble alone. In the chryselephantine, or ivory statues of Jove and Minerva, by Phidias, art was made a hand-maid to religion. Phidias himself would have preferred to have executed them in marble. We may further remark that form, in its purest ideal, being the chief aim of sculpture, any application of colour, which would detract from the purity and ideality of this purest of the arts, could never be agreeable to refined taste. Colouring sculpture, and giving it a life-like reality is manifestly trenching on the province of painting, and so departing from the true principle of sculpture, which is to give form in its most perfect and idealized development. We must also consider that sculpture in marble, by its whiteness, is calculated for the display of light and shade. For this reason statues and bas-reliefs were placed either in the open light to receive the direct rays of the sun, or in underground places, or thermæ, where they received their light either from an upper window, or, by night, from the strong light of a lamp; the sculptor having for that purpose studied the effects of the shadows. It must also be remembered that the statues in Greek and Roman temples received their light from the upper part of the building, many of the temples being hypæthral, thus having the benefit of a top light, the sculptor's chief aim. Colour in these statues or bas-reliefs would have tended to mar the contrasts of light and shade, and blended them too much; for example, colour a photograph of a statue, which exhibits a marked contrast of light and shade, and it will tend to confuse and blend the two. The taste for polychrome sculpture in the period of the decline of art was obviously but a returning to the primitive imperfection of art, when an attempt was made to produce illusion in order to please the uneducated taste of the vulgar.*

* We may remark here a curious analogy in the development of art to the development of the individual man. As man in his declining years resumes the childishness of his earliest days, so we find in the decline of art, a recurrence to its earliest, simplest, and consequently most imperfect forms, when in its infancy. In the age of the Antonines, when Roman

On the colouring of temples we have already spoken under the head of temples.

Roman.—The Romans derived their knowledge of painting from the Etruscans, their ancestors and neighbours; the first Grecian painters who came to Italy are said to have been brought over by Demaratus, the father of Tarquinius Priscus, king of Rome: at all events Etruria appears to have exercised extensive influence over the arts of Rome during the reign of the Tarquins. Tradition attributes to them the first works which were used to adorn the temples of Rome; and, according to Pliny, not much consideration was bestowed either on the arts or on the artists. Fabius, the first among the Romans, had some paintings executed in the temple of Salus, from which he received the name of Pictor. The works of art brought from Corinth by Mummius, from Athens by Sulla and from Syracuse by Marcellus, introduced a taste for paintings and statues in their public buildings, which eventually became an absorbing passion with many distinguished Romans. Towards the end of the republic Rome was full of painters. Julius Cæsar, Agrippa, Augustus, were among the earliest great patrons of artists. Suetonius informs us that Cæsar expended great sums in the purchase of pictures by the old masters. Under Augustus, Marcus Ludius painted marine subjects, landscape decorations, and historic landscape as ornamentation for the apartments of villas and country houses.* He invented that style of decora-

art was in its decline, this tendency was conspicuously evident in its predilection for the earlier forms of art and in its reproduction of Egyptian statues. In the last stage of the decline of vase-painting a similar tendency is visible. We find the artists recurring to the ancient style, and imitating the subjects of the earlier vases. The whole field of art being thoroughly exhausted, artists were obliged to have recourse, as a novelty, to the reproduction and imitation of the antique and earlier form of art. The Pre-Raphaelite tendency of the present day, which is also a recurrence to the early forms of art, is an evident sign of the decline of painting.

* One of the latest discoveries near Rome is that of the Villa Livia, alluded to by Pliny as Villa Cæsarum. It is about eight miles from the city. In it has been discovered an apartment most exquisitely ornamented. The lower portions of the walls, to the height of about five feet from the floor, represent a trellis-work, from which spring the most exquisitely painted trees, shrubs, plants. These are loaded with fruit and flowers, among which a variety of birds and insects are feeding, fluttering, or reposing. As these paintings are in a villa built for Livia by Augustus, the Roman antiquaries have concluded that they are of that period; and consequently may be with good reason attributed to the hand of Ludius.


tion which we now call arabesque or grotesque. It spread rapidly, insomuch that the baths of Titus and Livia, the



DANCING FIGURE, POMPEII.

remains discovered at Cumæ, Pozzuoli, Herculaneum, Stabiae, Pompeii, in short, whatever buildings about that date have been found in good preservation, afford numerous and beautiful ex-

amples of it. At this time, also, a passion for portrait painting prevailed; an art which flattered their vanity was more suited



PAINTING, POMPEII.

to the tastes of the Romans than the art which could produce beautiful and refined works similar to those of Greece. Portraits

must have been exceedingly numerous; Varro made a collection of the portraits of 700 eminent men. Portraits, decorative and scene painting seem to have engrossed the art. The example, or rather the pretensions, of Nero must also have contributed to encourage painting in Rome; but Roman artists were, however, but few in number; the victories of the consuls, and the rapine of the prætors, were sufficient to adorn Rome with all the masterpieces of Greece and Italy. They introduced the fashion of having a taste for the beautiful works of Greek art. At a later period, such was the corrupt state of taste, that painting was almost left to be practised by slaves, and the painter was estimated by the quantity of work that he could do in a day.

The remains of paintings found at Pompeii, Herculaneum, and in the baths of Titus at Rome, are the only paintings which can give us any idea of the colouring and painting of the ancients, which, though they exhibit many beauties, particularly in composition, are evidently the works of inferior artists in a period of decline. At Pompeii there is scarcely a house the walls of which are not decorated with fresco paintings. The smallest apartments were lined with stucco, painted in the most brilliant and endless variety of colours, in compartments simply tinted with a light ground, surrounded by an ornamental margin, and sometimes embellished with a single figure or subject in the centre, or at equal distances. These paintings are very frequently historical or mythological, but embrace every variety of subject, some of the most exquisite beauty. Landscape painting was never a favourite with the ancients, and if ever introduced in a painting, was subordinate. The end and aim of painting among the ancients was to represent and illustrate the myths of the gods, the deeds of heroes, and important historical events, hence giving all prominence to the delineation of the human form. Landscape, on the other hand, illustrated nothing, represented no important event deserving of record, and was thus totally without significance in a Grecian temple or pinacotheca. In an age of decline, as at Pompeii, it was employed for mere decorative purposes. Many architectural subjects are continually found, in which it is easy to trace the true principles of perspective; but they are rather indicated than minutely expressed or accurately displayed; whereas in most instances a total want of the knowledge of this art is but

too evident. Greek artists seem to have been employed; indeed, native painters were few, while the former everywhere abounded, and their superiority in design must have always ensured them the preference.




PAINTING, POMPEII.

The subjects of Roman mural paintings are usually Greek myths; in the composition and style we see Greek conception, modified by Roman influence. The style of drawing is rather dexterous than masterly; rapidity of execution seems to be more prized than faithful conscientious representation of the truth of nature; the drawing is generally careless, and effects are sometimes produced by tricks and expedients, which belong rather to scene-painting than to the higher branches of art. It must not, however, be forgotten that the majority of these pictures were architectural decorations, not meant to be regarded as independent compositions, but as parts of larger compositions, in which they were inserted as in a frame. As examples of ancient colouring they are of the highest interest, and much may be learnt from them in reference to the technical materials and processes employed by ancient artists.

In order to afford some idea of the pictorial art of the ancients, we shall introduce the most beautiful specimen of ancient painting which has been preserved to us, and will

avail ourselves of Sir W. Gell's description of it. The size of the painting is 4 feet by 4 feet 2 inches. "The scene seems to take place in the tent of Achilles, who sits in the centre. Patroclus, with his back towards the spectator, and a skin of deeper red, leads in from the left the lovely Briseis, arrayed in a long and floating veil of apple-green. Her face is beautiful, and not to dwell upon the archness of her eye, it is evident that the voluptuous pouting of her ruby lip was imagined by the painter



ACHILLES DELIVERING BRISEIS TO THE HERALDS.

as one of her most bewitching attributes. Achilles presents the fair one to the heralds on his right, and his attitude, his manly beauty, and the magnificent expression of his countenance are inimitable. The tent seems to be divided by a drapery about breast high, and of a sort of dark bluish-green, like the tent itself. Behind this stand several warriors, the golden shield of one of whom, whether intentionally or not on the part of the painter, forms a sort of glory round the head of the principal hero. It is probably the copy of one of the most

celebrated pictures of antiquity. When first discovered the colours were fresh, and the flesh particularly had the transparency of Titian. The painter has chosen the moment when the heralds Talthylus and Eurybates are put in possession of Briseis, to escort her to the tent of Agamemnon, as described in the first book of the 'Iliad.' The head of Achilles is so full of fire and animation that an attempt has been made to introduce a fac-simile of it. Though a fac-simile, as far as being traced with transparent paper from the original can make it so, it gives



HEAD OF ACHILLES.

but a very imperfect idea of the divinity which seems to animate the hero of the painting. The extreme vivacity, dignity, and beauty of the head are but faintly expressed, and all these faults seem exaggerated which the skill of the artist and the colouring of the original concealed. One of the eyes in particular is larger than the other, and there may be other defects, which totally disappear when observed with the entire painting, leaving the impression of the finest youthful head in existence."

Many mural paintings of the same character as those at Pompeii have been discovered at Rome, in the Baths of Titus, the Palace of the Cæsars, the tombs and columbaria and other ancient edifices. Most of these have perished, and our only record of them is to be found in the engravings of Bartoli and others. Some interesting specimens, however, still exist in the Museum of the Vatican, of which the finest is the celebrated nuptial scene usually called the Aldobrandini Marriage. As compositions, the mural paintings discovered at Rome are superior to those of Pompeii and Herculaneum, and they are further interesting to us, because it was by the study of these remains that Raffaele and his successors in the Roman school formed that beautiful style of decorative fresco, which we see with perfection in the Loggie of the Vatican. There is an extensive collection of mural paintings from Pompeii in the Museum at Naples, and there are also some good examples in the British Museum.

Sir W. Gell thus remarks on the painting of the ancients:—
 “In grandeur and facility of drawing they warrant all that can be said in their praise; with that feeling for simplicity which distinguishes the ancients from the moderns, many are quite in the taste of the finest bas-reliefs, which, like their tragedies, admitted no under-plot to heighten or embarrass. In colouring they are said to be deficient; want of transparency in the shadows exhibits little knowledge of *chiaro-oscuro*; each figure has its own light and shade, while none is obscured by the interposition of its neighbour. But if we are called upon to make allowance in some of these points for the lapse of centuries, when viewing the works of a later age, how much more indulgence may be claimed when two thousand years might reasonably have been expected to leave no traces at all.”

The walls at Pompeii were carefully prepared for the reception of the fresco painting. They appear to have been prepared in the manner prescribed by Vitruvius, who directs that, after the first rough coat was applied, a second was to be added of *arenatum*, composed principally of sand and lime; this was afterwards to be covered with *marmoratum*, in the composition of which the place of sand of the *arenatum* was supplied by pounded marble. The last coat at Pompeii was put on very thin, and seems to have been well-worked and rubbed upon the rough exterior of the *arenatum*, until a perfectly level, smooth,

and at length polished surface was obtained, nearly as hard as marble. While the last coat was still wet, the colours were laid on, and so done, having, according to Vitruvius, incorporated with the incrustation, were not liable to fade, but retained their full beauty and splendour to a great age. According to Mr. Wornum, the majority of the walls in Pompeii are in common distemper; but those of the better houses, not only in Pompeii, but in Rome and elsewhere, especially those which constitute the grounds of pictures, are in fresco. All the pictures, however, are apparently in distemper of a superior kind, called by the Italians a *guazzo*; it is a species of distemper, but the vehicle or medium, made of egg gum or glue, completely resists water. He further remarks, "It appears that no veritable fresco painting has been yet discovered, though the plain walls in many cases are coloured in fresco. The paintings upon the walls appear sometimes to have been varnished by an encaustic process; many specimens bearing a polish, or gloss, to which water does not readily adhere."

The Romans divided colours into two classes—florid and grave (*floridi, austeri*)—the former, on account of their high price, were usually provided for the artist by his employer. These were again divided into natural and artificial or factitious. The florid colours appear to have been six—minium, red; *chrysocolla*, green; *armenium*; *purpurissimum*; *indicum*; *cinnabaris*; *ostrum*; the rest were the *austeri*.

The natural colours were those obtained immediately from the earth; the others were called artificial, on account of their requiring some particular preparation to render them fit for use.

It is the opinion of Sir Humphry Davy, that the ancient painters, like the best masters of the Roman and Venetian schools, were sparing in the use of the more florid colours, and produced their effects, like them, by contrast and tone.

MOSAIC.—Mosaic, *opus musivum*, is a kind of painting made with minute pieces of coloured substances, generally either marble, or natural stones, or else glass, more or less opaque, and of every variety of hue which the subject may require, set in very fine cement, and which thus forms pictures of different kinds, rivalling in colour and hue those painted by the brush.

Early nations knew the art of mosaic, and it is supposed to derive its origin from Asia, where paintings of this kind were

composed, in imitation of the beautiful carpets manufactured at all periods in those countries. The Egyptians employed it very probably for different purposes; no traces of it have, however, been found in the temples or palaces, the ruins of which remain. There is in the Egyptian collection at Turin a fragment of a



MOSAIC PAVEMENT, POMPEII.

mummy case, the paintings of which are executed in mosaic with wonderful precision and truth. The material is enamel, the colours are of different hues, and their variety renders with perfect truth the plumage of birds. It is believed to be the only example of Egyptian mosaic.

The Greeks carried the art of mosaic to the highest perfection, assuming after the time of Alexander an importance which entitled it to be ranked as an independent art. Skilfully managing the hues, and giving to the figures in their compositions an exquisite harmony, they resembled at a slight distance real paintings. Different names were given to the mosaics, according as they were executed in pieces of marble of a certain size; it was then *lithostroton*, *opus sectile*; or in small cubes, in this case it was called *opus tessellatum*, or *vermiculatum*. The name of *asaroton* was given to a mosaic destined to adorn the pavement of a dining hall. It was supposed to represent an unswept hall, on the pavement of which the crumbs and remains of the repast which fell from the table still remained. It was said to be introduced by Sosus of Pergamus, the first mosaic artist of consequence whom we hear of.

Mosaic was used to adorn the pavements, walls, and ceilings of public and private edifices. The Greeks in general preferred marble to every other material. A bed of mortar was prepared, which served as a base, which was covered with a very fine cement. The artist, having before him the coloured design which he was to execute, fixed the coloured cubes in the cement, and polished the entire surface when it had hardened, taking care, however, that too great a polish, by its reflection, might

not mar the general effect of his work. The great advantage of mosaic is that it resists humidity, and all which could change the colours and the beauty of painting. Painting could not be employed in the pavement of buildings, and mosaics gave them an appearance of great elegance. The mosaic of the Capitol, found in Hadrian's Villa, may give an idea of the perfection which the Greeks attained to in that art. It represents a vase full of water, on the sides of which are four doves, one of which



MOSAIC OF DIOSCORIDES.

is in the act of drinking. It is supposed by some to be the mosaic of Pergamus mentioned by Pliny. It is entirely composed of cubes of marble, without any admixture of coloured glass. Mosaics of this kind may be considered as the most ancient, it was only by degrees that the art of colouring marble, enamel, and glass, multiplied the materials suited for mosaics, and rendered their execution much more easy. It was then carried to a very high degree of perfection. The mosaic found at Pompeii, which represents three masked figures playing on


different instruments, with a child near them, is of the most exquisite workmanship. It is formed of very small pieces of glass, of the most beautiful colours, and of various shades. The hair, the small leaves which ornament the masks, and the



ACRATUS ON A PANTHER.

eyebrows, are most delicately expressed. What enhances the value of this mosaic is the name of the artist worked in it—Dioscorides of Samos. Another mosaic found at Pompeii is the beautiful one of Acratus on a Panther. The subjects represented in mosaics are in endless variety, and generally are

derived from mythology or heroic myths. Landscapes and ornaments in borders, in frets, in compartments, intermingled with tritons, nereids, centaurs, are to be found on them. The



MOSAIC PAVEMENT.

principal subject is in the centre, the rest serves as a bordering or framework. In the Greek tessellated pavement found at Halicarnassus, the mosaic is of very fine workmanship, being composed of small cubes of white, black and red marble.

Occasionally glass was used. The cubes are beautifully cut and set in a fine cement. The patterns are simple volutes, stripes, and borders.

From Pergamus, Ephesus, Alexandria, as the chief towns of the Macedonian period, the art was afterwards transferred to Rome, where the numerous villas and palaces furnished it with abundant occupation.

The Romans brought the art of mosaic to the highest perfection, not with regard to taste and composition, but by adding new materials to those which had been employed by the Greeks. They obtained their knowledge of this art by their conquests; and towards the end of the republic they transported to Rome the most beautiful pavements of this kind found in the Greek cities which they had conquered. The first mosaic of Roman origin was executed in the Temple of Fortune at Palestrina, which was restored by Sulla, where it was discovered in 1640. The subject of it has given rise to much controversy. The subject is now supposed to be Egyptian, and it is generally considered to represent a popular fête at the inundation of the Nile.

Mosaics from this period came into general use, and some were made small enough to be carried about in the tents of generals in their campaigns. Cæsar carried one with him in his military expeditions. In the time of Augustus, coloured glass was generally employed, and under Claudius the artists succeeded in staining marble, and giving it different colours.

The most interesting and valuable of all ancient mosaics is the one found at Pompeii, in the house of Pansa. It is supposed to represent the Battle of Issus.* It is remarkable for the beauty of its design and composition, and is composed entirely of very small cubes of coloured marble; no glass has been used. It has been calculated that the entire composition, when perfect, was composed of 1,384,000 cubes of marble, for 7,000 can be counted in each square palm. An example of the class of subjects introduced by Sosus has been lately discovered in the Aventine, and is now in the Lateran. It represents the remains of a feast, and bears the name of Heraclitus. In order to know the age of a mosaic, particular regard must be paid to the nature of the materials of which it is composed. Its antiquity will depend on whether it exhibits artificial compositions or not.

* See plate.

The stained marbles and artificial compositions will be proof of later date.

The common Roman pavements are made of cubes of common stones, and form borders more or less wide, of different colour and rather coarsely put together, examples of which may be seen in the baths of Caracalla at Rome.

The number of Roman mosaics which have come down to us sometimes in an excellent state of preservation are considerable. Some excellent specimens have been found in the villa of Hadrian near Tivoli. They have also been found in the various Roman colonies. Some very valuable specimens have been lately discovered at Carthage; several have also been found in the Island of Sardinia, now in the Museum of Turin. Others have been discovered in the south of France. That of Vienne represents Achilles recognised by Ulysses among the daughters of Lycomedes. A very fine specimen is in the Museum of Lyons. It represents the Circensian games. The Romans carried their luxurious tastes as far as Britain, for several mosaics have been found in many parts of England.

In the Lower Empire mosaics were made at Constantinople of pearls and precious stones. The richness of the material was substituted for the beauties of an art which had degenerated.

PAINTED VASES.

PAINTED VASES may be considered as the most curious, the most graceful, and the most instructive remains that have come down to us from ancient times. The beauty of the forms, the fineness of the material, the perfection of the varnish, the variety of the subjects, and their interest in an historical point of view, give painted vases a very important place among the productions of the arts of the ancients. Painted vases have been collected with great eagerness ever since they have been known, and the most remarkable have been engraved by celebrated artists, and explained by profound archæologists. Modern art and archæology have obtained from them beautiful models and important information. They were known for the first time in the seventeenth century; Lachausse published some of them in his 'Museum Romanum,' in 1690; Beger and Montfaucon imitated his example; Dempster subsequently wrote on them more fully; Gori, Buonarrotti, and Caylus, added some general observations



THE NEW
PUBLIC

ASTOR,
TILDEN & CO.

THE NEW YORK
PUBLIC LIBRARY

ASTOR, LENOX AND
TILDEN FOUNDATIONS.

to those of Dempster ; Winckelmann could not omit them in his immortal work on the history of Ancient Art, and modified, by the accuracy of his observations, the theories of his predecessors. Lastly, the beautiful collection of Sir William Hamilton, published by Hancarville in 1766, brought them more fully into public notice ; Passeri still supported after him the Italian opinion in regard to the origin of these vases ; Tischbein, Boettiger, and Millin, declared themselves of the same opinion as Winckelmann ; and the study of these beautiful objects confirms it at the present day in every respect.

Painted Vases received at first the denomination of *Etruscan Vases* ; Dempster, a great abettor of what was called *Etrusco-mania*, gave them this denomination, and Tuscan antiquaries have defended it as a title of glory for their country. The impartial comparison of remains of antiquity had not as yet established any fundamental distinction between the Etruscan style, properly so called, and the ancient Greek style. Every composition characterised by the stiffness of the features, the straight folds of the drapery, and long braided hair, was attributed to the Etruscans. Painted vases which presented these characteristics were therefore attributed to them, and in spite of the evidence of the subjects borrowed from the mythic ideas of the Greeks, in spite of the inscriptions, all Greek, which were read on them, general opinion, too readily followed, recognised in them everything that could explain the manners, customs, creed, and even the history of the Etruscans. It was further generally believed that these vases had issued from the manufactories of Arezzo, because Martial praises the potteries of that town ; and that those which were found in Campania, Puglia, and even in Sicily, had been carried there by the Etruscans themselves. This theory could not be maintained, even after a slight examination, especially as painted vases have been found at Athens, Megara, Milo, in Aulis, in Tauris, at Corfu, and in the Isles of Greece. The greater number, indeed, are found even at the present day in Magna Græcia, Nola, Capua, Pæstum, and in Sicily, but they are found in every country where Greek domination prevailed. The extent of the domination of the Tyrrhenians in Italy was not sufficiently extensive to attribute to them all the painted vases. Demaratus of Corinth with Eucheir and Eugrammus came, according to Pliny, into Etruria, and taught there the plastic arts, but this does not prove that

they invented there the art of making painted vases, for these two artists who worked in clay, being from Corinth, might have brought this art from Greece. Everything leads us to conclude that we must attribute their origin to Greece. In their forms they bear a great resemblance to the vases which we see on the medals and some of the sculpture of the Greeks; the style of the figures which ornament them entirely corresponds with that of the figures of the ancient Greek style; lastly, the myths which are represented on them, the inscriptions in Greek characters which frequently accompany the figures, are sufficient to establish this opinion. But we must acknowledge that Greek myths are always expressed with peculiar circumstances, which probably are derived from the alterations which Greek traditions had experienced in ancient Italy.

Painted vases were, to a considerable extent, objects of traffic and of export from one country to another. They may be generally traced to Athens as the original place of exportation. Corinth also exported vases, for the products of Corinthian potters have been found in Sicily and Italy, and there can be no doubt that Corinth had established an active trade in works of art with the Greek colonies all over the Mediterranean. Athenian vases were carried by the Phœnicians, the commercial traders of the ancient world, as objects of traffic to the remotest parts of the then known world. In the *Periplus of Scylax*, the Phœnicians are mentioned as exchanging the pottery of Athens for the ivory of Africa. They were, in fact, the ornamental china of the ancient world.

The variety of opinions with regard to the origin of these vases, has produced a similar diversity with regard to their denomination. To that of Etruscan Vases succeeded that of Greek Vases, still too general; Visconti wished to name them Græco-Italian; Arditì, Italo-Greek; Lanzi, Campanian, Sicilian, Athenian, according as they were found in Campania, Sicily, or at Athens; Quatremère de Quincy, Ceramo-graphic Vases (of painted clay); and Millin, Painted Vases in general, adding the name of the place where they were discovered. According to Dr. Birch, their true designation is *lustrous*, or glazed vases.

The attempts to classify the vases by their place of manufacture have been entirely unsuccessful, as vases of the same style are found in different places. We may, however, be able to class them more systematically, on considering, in the first place, that

painted vases form a class apart among the remains of antiquity; secondly, that it is recognised at the present day, that the Etruscans manufactured them also, as well as the Greeks; thirdly, that the subject itself of the painting is the most certain type of their origin, especially with regard to Etruscan vases, for we cannot suppose that the Greeks, who cultivated the arts after the Etruscans, would have painted on the vases the myths, creed, and the history of Etruria, though the Etruscans might have done so for the Greeks; lastly, that vases which bear subjects purely Greek are found in many countries, and in different places, without, however, their bearing any local characteristic, all belonging alike to Greek art, and without any other distinction than that which results from the style itself, according to the greater or less antiquity of the execution. We may, therefore, adopt the general denomination of Painted Vases, distinguished into *Etruscan*, for those which are the work of that people, and into *Greek* for those, in far greater number, which can have no other origin; while these can be classed according to their relative antiquity, proved by the style of the figures, the characters, the form and the orthography of the inscriptions when they accompany the painting. We adopt this division which appears to us as the most simple and most natural, which can be equally applied to the painted vases of every other country, if any should happen to be discovered.

Etruscan.—The potter's art was introduced into Etruria by Demaratus of Corinth, who, flying from that city, took up his abode at Tarquinii, the modern Corneto, where vases in the most archaic style, resembling those of Corinth, or those called Doric, have been found. Vases, the Etruscan origin of which cannot be disputed, have been found at Volterra, Tarquinii (Corneto), Perugia, Orvieto, Viterbo, Acquapendente, and other towns of ancient Etruria. The clay of which they are made is of a pale or reddish yellow, the varnish is dull, the workmanship rather rude, the ornaments are devoid of taste and elegance, and the style of the figures possesses all those characteristics already assigned to that of the Etruscans. The figures are drawn in black on the natural colour of the clay; sometimes a little red is introduced on the black ground of the drapery. It is by the subject chiefly that the Etruscan vases are distinguished from the Greek vases. On the former, the figures are in the costume peculiar to ancient Italy; the men and the heroes are

represented with their beards and hair very thick ; the gods and genii have large wings ; monstrous combinations not capable of explanation by Hellenic myths ; we may also observe divinities, religious customs, attributes, manners, arms, and symbols, different from those of Greece. Etruscan deities, such as Charun with his mace, denote their Etruscan origin ; the subjects of the vases are, however, generally derived from Greek mythology, treated in a manner consonant to the Etruscan taste, and to the local religion, while their drawing is of the coarsest kind. If an inscription in Etruscan characters, traced invariably from right to left, accompanies the painting, certainty with regard to their origin may be considered as complete. It is true that the greater number of the letters of the ancient Greek alphabet are of the same form as those of the Etruscan alphabet ; but there are in the latter some particular characters which will prevent any confusion. The names of the personages on the vases are spelt differently from those on the Greek, as Ainas for Ajax, Atreste for Adrastus, Akle for Achilles, Alesti for Alcestis, &c. We must also observe, that Etruscan painted vases are very rare, and are but few in number, compared with those for which we are indebted to the arts of Greece. Mr. Dennis, in his work on Etruria, gives a specimen of a vase of undoubted Etruscan manufacture, as it bears an Etruscan subject and an Etruscan inscription. It is an amphora, with a Bacchic dance on one side ; on the other side, the parting of Admetus and Alcestis, whose names are attached, in Etruscan characters, between the figures of Charun and another hideous demon. The age of these is referred to the very latest time of the existence of the potteries. Of late years vases are found in great numbers in Etruria, more particularly at Vulci ; but most of these painted vases are imitations of those of Athens. The myths are generally Attic ; so are the public games, and the scenes taken from ordinary life. Even the inscriptions, with a few exceptions, are in Attic Greek.

Gerhardt would divide Etruscan vases into three classes :—

- I. Those purely Greek in character.
- II. Those also Greek, but modified, as if by Greek residents in Etruria.
- III. Those of Etruscan manufacture, in imitation of Greek.

It is clear that though the art of painted pottery originated in Greece, it was more highly developed in Etruria, and other parts

of Italy. For there is a much greater variety of form and style in the vases of these countries, than in those of Greece, and those descriptions common to both lands, are carried to a much larger size in Italy.

Greek.—The paste of these vases is tender, easily scratched or cut with a knife, remarkably fine and homogeneous, but of loose texture. When broken, it exhibits a dull opaque colour, more or less yellow, red, or grey. It is composed of silica, alumina, carbonate of lime, magnesia, and oxide of iron. The colour depends on the proportions in which these elements are mixed: the paler parts containing more lime, the red more iron. The exterior coating is composed of a particular kind of clay, which seems to be a kind of yellow or red ochre, reduced to a very fine paste, mixed with some glutinous or oily substance, and laid on with a brush; great difference is observable in the pastes of vases coming from widely separated localities, owing either to their composition or baking. The paste of the early vases of Athens and Melos is of a very pale red; that of vases of the Doric or Corinthian style is of a pale lemon colour. At the best period of the art, the paste is of a warm orange red; but Lucanian and Apulian vases are of a paler tone. The Etruscan painted vases of all ages are of a pale red tone, with a much greater proportion of white, which appears to be owing to the greater proportion of chalk used in preparing the paste.

The earliest vases were made with the hand, while those of a later period were made with the wheel; the wheel, however, is a very early invention. Among the Egyptians and Greeks it was a low, circular table, turned with the foot. Representations of a potter turning the wheel with his foot, occur on painted vases of an early date. With this simple wheel the Greeks effected wonders, producing shapes still unrivalled in beauty.

The invention of the potter's wheel has been attributed to various persons, to the Athenian Corcebus, the Corinthian Hyperbius, the celebrated Talos, the nephew and rival of Dædalus, and to Dædalus himself. But the invention must have been earlier, for it is mentioned in Homer, and there are representations of it in the paintings in the tombs of Beni Hassan. After the vases had been made on the wheel, Dr. Birch writes, they were duly dried in the sun, and then painted; for it is evident that they could not have been painted while wet. The simplest

and probably the most common, process was to colour the entire vase black. The under part of the foot was left plain. When a pattern was added, the outline, faintly traced with a round point on the moist clay, was carefully followed by the painter. It was necessary for the artist to finish his sketch with great rapidity, since the clay rapidly absorbed the colouring matter, and the outline was required to be bold and continuous, each time that it was joined detracting from its merit. A finely-ground slip was next laid upon a brush, and the figures and ornaments were painted in. The whole was then covered with a very fine siliceous glaze, probably formed of soda and well-levigated sand. The vase was next sent to the furnace, and carefully baked. It was then returned to the workshop, where a workman or painter scratched in all the details with a pointed tool. The faces of female figures were coloured white, with a thick coat of lime or chalk, and the eyes red. Parts of the drapery, the crests of helmets, and the *antyges*, or borders of shields, were coloured with a crimson coat, consisting of an oxide of iron and lime, like a body colour.

In the second style of vases the figures are painted in a dark brown or black, of an unequal tone, on yellow ground, formed of a siliceous coating over the pale red clay of the vase. An improvement upon this style was the changing of the colour of the figures by painting, or stopping out, all the ground of the vase in black, thus leaving the figures of the natural red of the clay, and the marking of the muscles and finer portions, as an outline, of a bright brown. After the paint had dried, the slip, or the siliceous glaze, was laid over the vase, except the under part of the foot and the inside. The colours used were few and simple, and were evidently ground excessively fine, and made into a kind of slip. Of these colours the black was the most important and the most extensively used. Great difference has always existed as to the nature of this colour. Vauquelin takes it to be a carbonaceous matter, such as plumbagine or black lead. The Duc de Luynes asserts it to be an oxide of iron. Of opaque colours, the most important and extensively used is the white, said by Brongniart to be a carbonate of lime or fine clay. Red and yellow are sparingly used. Blue and green are rarely found, and only on vases of the latest styles. The liquid employed for mixing the colours is supposed to have been water.

The glaze with which these vases were covered is described

by M. Brongniart as lustrous (*lustré*), and only one kind was used, the recipe for making which is now lost. It appears to have been composed of one of the principal alkalies, either potash or soda. The vases of Nola and Vulci are remarkable for the beauty and brilliancy of their glaze.

According to d'Hancarville the vases were baked in a naked furnace (*à cuisson nue*). Representations of ancient furnaces occur on painted vases. The furnaces were of simple construction, in shape like tall ovens, fed by fires from beneath, into which the vases were placed with a long shovel resembling the bakers' peel.

The colours being laid on in a different manner in the earlier and later vases has caused them to be distinguished into two general classes. In the earlier the ground is yellow or red, and the figures are traced on it in black, so as to form kinds of silhouettes. These are called the black or archaic vases; they are generally in an ancient style; their subjects belong to the most ancient mythological traditions, and their inscriptions to the most ancient forms of the Greek alphabet, written from right to left, or in boustrophedon. The drapery, the accessories, the harness of the horses, and the wheels of the chariots, are touched with white. At a later period, the whole vase was painted black, with the exception of the figures, which were then of the colour of the clay of the vase; the contours of the figures, the hair, drapery, &c., being previously traced in black. There are then two general classes of Greek vases, distinguished by the figures, which are black or yellow. They are in general remarkable for the beauty and elegance of their forms. There is a great variety in their sizes; some being several feet high, and broad in proportion; others being not higher than an inch. The subject is on one side of the vase; sometimes it occupies the entire circumference, but more generally it is on one side alone (called in Italy the *parte nobile*), and then there is on the reverse some insignificant subject, generally two or three old men leaning on a stick, instructing a young man, or presenting him with some instrument or utensil; a bacchanalian scene is sometimes represented on the reverse. Some vases have been found with two subjects on the sides of the vase. On some of the finest vases, the subject goes round the entire circumference of the vase. On the foot, neck, and other parts are the usual Greek ornaments, the Vitruvian scroll, the Meander, Palmetto, the honeysuckle. A garland sometimes adorns the neck, or, in its stead, a woman's

head issuing from a flower. These ornaments are in general treated with the greatest taste and elegance. Besides the obvious difference in the style of the vases, there is a remarkable difference in the execution of the paintings. They are not all of the highest merit, but the boldness of the outlines is generally remarkable on them. They could be executed only with the greatest rapidity, the clay absorbing the colours very quickly so that if a line was interrupted, the joining would be perceptible. Some thought that the figures were executed by the means of patterns cut out, which being laid on the vase, preserved on the black ground the principal masses in yellow which were finished afterwards with a brush. But this opinion of Sir William Hamilton has been abandoned by himself, particularly since the traces of a point have been recognised, with which the artist had at first sketched on the soft clay the principal outlines, which he finished afterwards with a brush dipped in the black pigment, without, however, strictly following the lines traced by the point. The traces of the point are rarely observed; all depended on the skill and talent of the artists. They must have been very numerous, as these vases are found in such numbers, and the greater number may be considered as models for the excellence of their design and the taste of their composition. Not unfrequently, the artists by whom the designs have been painted, have placed their names on them; the principal names known are those of Clitias, Doriades, who painted the celebrated François vase, Asteas, and Epictetos. Clitias is the most ancient; his designs evince the infancy of the art, those of the other artists display greater progress in the art; the name can be recognised from the word ΕΓΡΑΨΕΝ *painted*, which follows it immediately. Some vases have the potter's name inscribed on them, accompanied by the expression ΕΠΟΙΗΣΕΝ, ΜΕΠΟΙΗΣΕΝ, as ΜΕΙΔΙΑΣ ΕΠΟΙΗΣΕΝ, ΕΚΣΕΚΙΑΣ ΜΕΠΟΙΗΣΕΝ. One of the earliest makers was Taleides. Nearly fifty names of potters have been found, but they only occur on choice specimens of art. On many vases the name of the artist appears along with that of the potter, which much enhances the value of the vase. On the celebrated François vase appear the name of the artist Clitias, and the name of the potter Ergotimos. Some potters, such as Amasis and Euphronios, painted as well as made vases. Other inscriptions are sometimes found on vases which enhance their value greatly. They are

generally the names of gods, heroes and other mythological personages, which are represented in the paintings. These inscriptions are of great interest for two reasons: in the first place, from the form of the letters and the order according to which they are traced, the greater or lesser antiquity of the vase can be recognised, these inscriptions necessarily following all the changes of the Greek alphabet; care must be taken to examine whether the inscription goes from right to left, whether the long vowels Η Ω, the double letters Ψ Ξ are replaced by the silent vowels, or single letters; these *are* in general signs of relative antiquity which prove that of the vase itself; secondly, because the names invariably explain the subject of the painting, and even indicate by a name hitherto unknown, either some personage who sometimes bore another name, or a person whose real name was unknown, in fine, some mythic beings of whom ancient writers give us no information. The information derived from vases is of great importance for the study of Greek mythology viewed in its different epochs, and for the interpretation and understanding of ancient tragic or lyric poets. Moral or historical inscriptions, in prose and in verse, have also been found on vases. The letters of these inscriptions are capital or cursive; they are very delicately traced, and often require a great deal of attention to perceive. They are traced in black or white with a brush, sometimes they are incised with a very sharp point. The word ΚΑΛΟΣ is very frequently found on vases which bear inscriptions, almost always accompanied by a proper name.* It seems to be nothing more than an epithet intended to express the personal beauty of the individual named on the vase, as on a vase in the Vatican Museum we see a painting representing Priam, Hector, and Andromache, with their names over each; over Hector is the inscription Ἐκτωρ καλός, "The noble Hector." In the form καλοκάγαθος, it signified brave and beautiful, the very acme of praise given to a person. On some which had been gifts to some "beautiful youths," we find the inscription ΗΟΙΑΙΣ ΚΑΛΟΣ, "the handsome boy," and also the form ΟΝΕΤΟΠΙΔΕΣ ΚΑΛΟΣ, "the handsome Onetorides," ΣΤΡΟΙΒΟΣ ΚΑΛΟΣ, "the handsome Stroibos." One youth is called

* Some suppose that the painter wrote it at first on executing the vase, and that afterwards the name of the person who was to possess it was added to it, for many vases are found on which no name follows this Greek word, which means "beautiful."

ΗΙΠΠΟΚΡΙΤΟΣ ΚΑΛΙΣΤΟΣ, "the most handsome Hippocritus." The names of females, whether brides, beauties, or hetairæ, are found accompanied with the expression ΚΑΛΕ, as ΟΙΝΑΝΘΕ ΚΑΛΕ, "the lovely Ceanthe," ΡΟΔΟΝ ΚΑΛΕ, "the fair Rodon."* On other salutatory expressions are sometimes found, such as ΧΑΙΠΕ ΣΥ "Hail to thee;" or, ΗΟΣΟΝ ΔΕΠΟΤΕ ΕΥΦΡΟΝ, "Happy as possible."

The subjects represented on painted vases, although of infinite variety, may be reduced to three classes,† which include them all:—1. Mythological subjects; 2. Heroic subjects; 3. Historical subjects. The *Mythological* subjects relate to the history of all the gods, and their adventures in human form

* There can be no doubt that many of these beautiful works of art were not meant for use, but rather as keepsakes or presents. The same custom of placing legends on these vases has been retained on the Majolica pottery of Italy. Thus, on a dish to be given to a friend is found: VIVA VIVA D ETERNUM, or "Pensa a Dio," or "Ama Dio." The amatorii, or lover presents, given at betrothals or at other times, form a very numerous class in Majolica. On a plate we find inscribed, "Mariana bella sopra l'altra belle"; but the more frequent legends are such as "Elena bella," "Silvia bella," and the like.

† Millingen divides them into the following seven classes, according to their subjects:—

1. Those subjects which refer to the Divinities, their wars with the giants, their amours, the sacrifices which are offered to them.

2. Those relative to the Heroic Times. This class, the most numerous as well as the most interesting, embraces all the mythological period, from the arrival of Cadmus to the return of Ulysses to Ithaca; it includes the Heracleid, the Theseid, the two wars of Thebes, that of the Amazons, the expedition of the Argonauts, and the war of Troy.

3. The Dionysiac subjects: Bacchus—The Satyrs, the Sileni, the Nymphs, and his other attendants. Dionysiac festivals and processions with the dances and amusements which accompany them. As these festivals were the most celebrated and the most popular, the ancients were naturally anxious to multiply representations of them.

4. Subjects of Civil Life, such as marriages, amorous scenes, repasts, sacrifices, hunts, military dances, warriors setting out for the war, or returning victorious to their country. This class is of the greatest use in giving information with regard to the manners, customs, and dresses of the ancients.

5. Those which represent Funeral Ceremonies. On these we see depicted the representations of tombs, around which the relations and friends of the deceased bring offerings and libations; among the offerings we sometimes observe objects symbolical of initiation into the mysteries. This class, a very numerous one, seems to have been particularly destined to be placed in the tombs.

6. Subjects relating to the Gymnasia; ephebi occupied in different

are reproduced on them in a thousand shapes. It requires a deep and intimate knowledge of Greek mythology, in order to explain the different subjects. One of the oldest and most popular subjects in Greece was the Gigantomachia, which is found represented as a whole upon many vases, while others contain individual incidents from it. Among the Olympic deities represented, Zeus takes a prominent part. The father of the gods, the great thunderer, seldom appears alone, but is chiefly seen in scenes from the Heracleid and the Trojan war. On the black vases, and on those of the finest style with red figures, his amorous adventures are also frequently depicted. The goddess Hera rarely appears. Athene, the great female deity of the Ionic race, plays an important part in many scenes. As Pallas Athene she frequently appears; generally on foot, but sometimes in her quadriga. Poseidon, the sea god, appears as a subordinate in many scenes, and as a protagonist in others. Apollo, Artemis, Hephæstos, Ares, Aphrodite, and Hermes, frequently appear in various scenes in the vases. The greater part of the paintings of the vases are relative to Dionysus, his festivals and mysteries. On them we see depicted his birth, childhood, education, all his exploits, his banquets, and his games; his habitual companions, his religious ceremonies, the lampadephoroi brandishing the long torches, the dendrophori raising branches of trees, adorned with garlands and tablets; the initiated preparing for the mysteries; lastly, the ceremonies peculiar to those great institutions, and the circumstances relative to their dogmas and their aim. The inferior deities also appear on the vases: Eros, Hades or Pluto, Helios, the Charites, or Graces, the Muses, Aurora, Selene, Nike. The deities of Hades are occasionally painted, as the Moiræ or Fates, the Erinnyes or Furies, Charon and the Shades, and the Gorgons.

The *Heroical* subjects, which are far more numerous than the

exercises, who are conversing with one another, or with the gymnast. As vases were frequently given as prizes to the conquerors in the games, it has been supposed that those on which similar subjects are represented were destined for that purpose.

7. Subjects which have reference to the Mysteries, and which represent ceremonies preparatory to the initiations. Similar subjects are only to be met with on vases of the period of the decline of art, and which are found in that part of Italy formerly occupied by the Lucani, Bruttii, and the Samnites, where Greek ideas and customs were corrupted by the mixture of those of these barbarous nations.

mythological, represent the deeds of the heroes of ancient Greece: Hercules, Theseus, Cadmus, Perseus and Andromeda, Actæon, Danaus, Medea, the Centaurs, the Amazons, &c. The *Heracleid*, which occurs on vases of all ages, offers an extensive series of the exploits of Heracles, but the adventures of Theseus, especially the death of the Minotaur, are portrayed at all epochs of the art. They seem the constant theme of the artist. The Argonautic expedition forms the subject of scenes on vases of a late age and style. Bellerophon and the Dioscuri are rarely introduced.

The *Historical* subjects begin with the war of Troy. Painters, as well as poets, found in this event a vast field to exercise their talents and their imagination. The principal actors in this memorable drama appear on the vases. The principal scenes of the Trojan war are depicted; but we must remark, that the historical subjects do not extend to a later period than that of the *Heraclidæ*. Among the incidents represented are the opening scenes of the *Iliad*, the quarrel of Agamemnon and Achilles, Briseis led away by the heralds, Paris and Helen, the death of Patroclus, the grief of Achilles, the arming of Achilles, the death of Hector, Priam entreating for the corpse of Hector, the terrible scene of the last night of Troy. Many subjects from the *Odyssey* also occur. Incidents from the Greek drama are of common occurrence, such as the death of Agamemnon, Orestes and Pylades meeting Electra, the death of Clytemnestra, the Furies pursuing Orestes. We may consider, as belonging to the class of historical vases, those with paintings relative to public and private customs; those representing games, repasts, scenic representations of combats of animals, hunting and funereal subjects. Millingen remarks that the subjects of the paintings vary according to the period and the places in which they have been executed; on the most ancient vases Dionysiac scenes are frequently seen. As, originally, the greater number were destined to contain wine, they were adorned with analogous subjects. Those of the beautiful period of the art, especially of the manufacture of Nola, a town in which Greek institutions were observed with extreme care, present the ancient traditions of mythological episodes in all their purity. Those of a later period represent subjects taken from the tragic writers. Lastly, on those of the decline we see depicted the new ceremonies and superstitions which were mingled with the ancient and simple religion of the Greek. Painted

vases are, therefore, of the greatest interest for the study of the manners and customs of ancient Greece, and of those which the Romans adopted from her in imitation. The generally accepted view with regard to the various mythological, heroical and other subjects on these vases is that they were the original productions of the vase painter, but copies may occasionally have been produced. There are instances of many being undoubtedly invented by the vase painter, and these are detected by the corrections of the master's hand, and by the composition with its accompanying ornaments being adjusted to the character of the vase. Such works are supposed to be the production of the vase painters, Doris, Epictetos, and Polygnotos.

We must introduce an important remark here, relative to the variety of mythological, heroical, and even historical subjects. These subjects, especially the first and second, seem to form a mythology and heroic history distinct from those of the Greek poets and prose writers. We find on the vases persons not mentioned in ancient writers; entire scenes, also, which cannot be explained by any written tradition, or which are represented with circumstances which history has not handed down to us. We must further remark, that the mythology of the poets is not always in harmony with that of the prose writers; and among the poets themselves, that of the lyric writers is frequently different from that of the tragic poets. Traditions must have changed; and, perhaps, at the period of the great writers of Greece, there was established, amidst this confusion, a kind of eclecticism, which left the poet, the mythograph, &c., the liberty of choosing among those traditions whatever suited best the aim and nature of the poem, or whatever appeared most likely. Painted vases, especially the most ancient, which are anterior to these writers, give us information which we do not receive from ancient writers; this gives to their study a great degree of importance and interest: further, they represent, in the most authentic manner, the genuine history of art among the Greeks from its origin until it reached perfection.

The ornaments which relieve and embellish, and so to speak, frame the painted scenes on these vases, form a conspicuous class. They are artistically disposed upon them, according to certain rules of great symmetry and taste. These ornaments, indeed, exhibit great monotony and are repetitions of one type; but they are distinguished by an airy lightness and an extreme

simplicity which harmonise exquisitely with the human forms with which they are associated. They are well adapted to the shape and colour of the vases, and afford great relief to the subject depicted. One special character of Greek pottery is, that from its origin it adopted a conventional style of ornament from which it never departed; no natural object, be it plant, bird, or animal, is rendered in its real form, or in its intimate details. It is on the earliest vases that ornament is most employed; as the art develops itself, it is gradually lessened, till at the best period it almost disappears. But on the later efforts of the potters it again rises like a noxious weed, diminishing the interest of, and ultimately superseding the subjects.

We shall now briefly advert to the principal ornaments in detail. Of these, the mæander takes the most prominent position. It differs considerably on the various vases on which it is found. On the early ones it predominates in the simplest form. On others it occurs in a more complex variety, occupying the most prominent places of the vases, as the neck, body, handles. On vases of a more advanced style of art, it appears in a more complete and connected form intermingled with flowers.

The zigzag or herring-bone is of common occurrence on vases of the oldest style, disposed in horizontal or vertical bands. It is perhaps the oldest and the most universal pattern known. Egg-and-tongue ornaments are employed on vases of all periods. The helix is extensively employed as a frieze or scroll on many vases, both of the earlier and later styles. When it appears alone it resembles the leaf of an aquatic plant with seven petals; but, in combination, it follows the scroll like the leaf of a creeping plant, the points of which are either in one direction, or half of them one way and half the other, or alternately upright and pendent. Its development may be traced from a mere bud, until it assumes a more floral and picturesque shape. A very common ornament of the necks of amphoræ and other vases, is a wreath of interlaced flowers and buds. Ivy leaves in bands, or as wreaths frequently occur. Crosses also of various shapes constantly appear as ornamental devices.

As to the uses of these vases, there have been a variety of opinions; but a careful examination of a great number of vases would lead us to suppose that many were, doubtless, articles of household furniture, for use and adornment, such as the larger vases, destined, by their size, weight, and form, to remain in the

same place, while others, of different sizes and shapes, were made to hold wine and other liquids, unguents, and perfumes. It is evident that they were more for ornament than use, and that they were considered as objects of art, for the paintings seem to have been executed by the best artists of the period. They were chiefly employed for entertainments, and the banquets of the wealthy. They are seen in use in scenes painted on the vases themselves. Many, especially those of the later style, were solely used for decorative purposes, as is evident from the fact of one side only being executed with care, whilst the other has been neglected, both in the drawing and in the subject. Those with Panathenaic subjects were probably given full of oil, as prizes at the national games. These were called *Athla*. Certain vases bearing the inscription, "From Athens," or "Prize from Athens," seem to have been given to the victors in the pentathlon, or courses of athletic exercises in the Panathenæa. Others may have been given at the palæstic festivals, or as nuptial presents, or as pledges of love and friendship; and these are marked by some appropriate inscription. We find that they were also used in the ceremonies of the Mysteries, for we see their forms represented on the vases themselves: Bacchus frequently holds a cantharus, Satyrs carry a diota. A few seem to have been expressly for sepulchral purposes. Some have supposed that these vases were intended to hold the ashes of the dead; but this could not have been their use, for they are only found in tombs in which the bodies have been buried without being burnt. The piety of the relations adorned the tomb of the deceased with those vases, together with his armour and jewellery, which they had prized most in life, which were associated with their habits, or recalled circumstances the memory of which they cherished.*

* That it was the custom in ancient times to place in tombs the vases that were dear to the deceased, we find from the following passage of Vitruvius:—"Virgo civis Corinthia jam matura nuptiis, implicita morbo decessit: post sepulturam ejus, *quibus ea viva poculis delectabatur*, nutrix collecta et composita in calatho pertulit ad monumentum et in summo collocavit; et uti ea permanerent diutius sub divo, tegula texit."—VITRUVIUS, lib. iv., cap. 1. The same custom, and the same feelings which lead to that custom, we find in the funeral rites of an Indian tribe, as thus beautifully embodied in a poetic dirge by Schiller:

"Here bring the last gifts! and with these
The last lament be said—
Let all that pleased, and yet may please,
Be buried with the dead."—Sir E. B. LYTTON.

[In

The origin of the custom of placing objects belonging to the deceased with him in his tomb would seem to be the superstitious objection of the relatives of the deceased to use anything belonging to, or connected with the memory of, the dead. These objects were consequently placed with the deceased in his tomb. This superstitious objection, prevalent among all uncivilised nations, has given rise to this custom, which is found to be adopted by all the early and primitive races of the world. This custom has handed them down to our times. It is supposed to have ceased when Roman sovereignty was established throughout Italy and Sicily. The Romans, burning their dead, and never adopting the custom of burying vases in tombs, by their influence must have brought them into disuse, and, consequently, their manufacture ceased.

With regard to the age of these vases, Dr. Birch writes, "It is impossible to determine the age of the oldest glazed vases without inscriptions. Some seem to be coeval with the dawn of Hellenic civilisation, perhaps nine or ten centuries before Christ. Glazed vases of a very fine kind were probably manufactured between Olympiad LXXXIV = B.C. 444, and Olympiad XCIV = B.C. 404. Those made when painting and art had attained their climax fall between Olympiads XCIV-CXX or B.C. 400-300. The decadence of the art seems to have taken place about the CXX Olympiad after the conquest of Asia by Alexander the Great had introduced vases of the precious metals and gems into Greece; and earthenware vases probably fell into disuse about the first century before Christ, having become entirely superseded by works in metal. In the time of Augustus they were rarities." While, however, M. Gerhard assigns the above dates to the art of making vases, Millingen is of opinion that

In a passage of an ancient author, quoted by Athenæus, lib. xi., c. 1, we find a similar custom mentioned:—*Νέκυσ χαμαίστρωτους ἐπὶ τίνος εὐρείης στιβάδος προέθηκεν αὐτοῖς θάλειαν δαῖτα ποτήρια τε στέφανους τ' ἐπὶ κράσιν ἔθηκεν.* "The corpses being stretched on the ground, and placed on a thick bed of leaves, one placed near them a rich feast, drinking cups, and placed a chaplet on their heads." In the early periods of Chinese history a similar custom seems to have prevailed of interring with the dead, vases, which reposed with them for ages. These vases were conferred as marks of honour by the prince, and other illustrious personages, for services rendered to the state.—*Vide Thoms on Ancient Chinese Vases of the Shang Dynasty, from 1743 to 1496, B.C.*

the period during which it principally flourished may be divided into three principal epochs:—

1st. That of the ancient style, B.C. 700–450, in which are comprehended the first efforts of the art.

2nd. That of vases of the fine, B.C. 450–228, or from the time of the Persian to the Second Punic war. The best he supposes were executed during the age of Phidias and Polygnotus, the latter of whom, according to Pliny, drew his female figures with transparent garments and head-dresses of different colours; represented the mouth open and showing the teeth, and did away with the ancient conventional stiffness of the attitudes.

3rd. That of the vases manufactured from the Second Punic to the Social war, in which he includes those of the latest style found in the Basilicata, the Terra di Lavoro, and the ancient Campania and Lucania. Later than this they could not have been made, for in the days of Augustus, all the towns of Magna Græcia, except Rhegium, Naples, and Tarentum, had relapsed into barbarism.

Other writers, as Mr. Kramer, conjecture that the vases of the oldest style were made from Olympiad L = B.C. 577, to Olympiad LXXX = B.C. 457, those of the second, or “hard style” of art, from Olympiad LXXX = B.C. 457 to Olympiad XC = 417; and those of the fine style, from Olympiad XC = B.C. 417 to Olympiad C = B.C. 377. For the last class of vases he names no period. He thinks none of a later date than the Second Punic war.

The oldest express mention of painted vases in Greek authors is made by the poet Pindar, who flourished B.C. 528. He particularly describes the painted Panathenaic amphoræ, which were given as prizes in the contests of the Panathenæan festival. Thus he sings of Thiæus, the son of Ulias, the Argive, who had twice obtained prizes of Panathenaic amphoræ, in the wrestling matches at Athens: “Him twice, at distant intervals, in the festivals of Athens, have sweet voices lauded. He brought the fruits of the olive in earth, burnt by fire, to the manly people of Hera (Argos) in the variegated receptacles of vases.”

Those made use of in the Athenian graves are unequivocally alluded to by Aristophanes. Athenæus, Strabo, and Suetonius mention painted vases. The later scholiast of Theocritus also mentions the fictile vases, painted all over with various colours.

Suetonius tells us that the colonies established at Capua by

Julius Cæsar destroyed, when building country houses, the most ancient tombs, especially as they found in them ancient vases (*aliquantum vasculorum operis antiqui reperiabant*). In the opinion of Böttiger, *vascula* can only be applied to vases of bronze; however, as Suetonius speaks of the tombs of Capua in particular, and as there are still painted vases found there, and that no bronze vases are ever found in the tombs, it is very likely that the phrase of Suetonius can be applied to the painted vases which are still found there in such numbers. So famous were the products of the Corinthian potters in antiquity, that the soldiers sent by Julius Cæsar to the city, then deserted, to form the Colonia Julia of Corinth, instead of cultivating the lands, occupied themselves more profitably in the plunder of the ancient sepulchres. The Necro-Corinthia, or objects extracted thence, Strabo tells us, were the admiration of the rich nobility of Rome, and became the ornaments of their rooms. The Romans must then have known them; and this opinion seems to be justified by the following observation. The Greeks of Italy buried their dead without burning them; for this reason, human ashes have never been found in vases in Greek tombs, the vases were placed by the side of the corpse stretched out on the ground. However, some vases have been discovered full of ashes and half-burnt bones; and, as it was the custom of the Romans to burn the dead, it has been inferred that the vase at first deposited empty in a Greek tomb, had been taken out of it, and that afterwards it was used as a cinerary urn for a Roman. These substitutions were not rare in ancient times; there is, in the museum of the Louvre, a vase in Oriental alabaster, executed in Egypt, which bears the name of Xerxes in hieroglyphic and cuneiform characters, which was at a later period employed as a cinerary urn for a member of the Roman family Claudia, as the Latin inscription shows engraved on the side of the vase, the other side bearing the Egyptian and Persian inscription.

We could not but feel astonished at the perfect preservation of such fragile objects, did we not know that they were found in tombs. Those in which they are found, are placed near the walls, but outside the town, at a slight depth, except those of Nola, where the eruptions of Vesuvius have considerably raised the soil since the period when the tombs were made, so that some of the tombs of Nola are about twenty-one feet under ground. In Greece, the graves are generally small, being de-

signed for single corpses, which accounts for the comparatively small size of the vases discovered in that country. At Athens the earlier graves are sunk deepest in the soil, and those at Corinth, especially such as contain the early Corinthian vases, are found by boring to a depth of several feet beneath the surface. The early tombs of Civita Vecchia, and Cære, or Cervetri, in Italy, are tunnelled in the earth; and those at Vulci, and in the Etruscan territory, from which the finest and largest vases have been extracted, are chambers hewn in the rocks. In southern Italy, especially in Campania, the common tombs are constructed of rude stones or tiles, and are exactly of sufficient size to contain a corpse and five or six vases; a small one is placed near the head, and the others between the legs of the body, or they are ranged on each side, frequently on the left side alone. The number and beauty of the vases vary, probably, according to the rank and fortune of the owner of the tomb. The tombs of the first class are larger, and have been built with large cut stones, and rarely connected with cement; the walls inside are coated with stucco, and adorned with paintings; these tombs resemble a small chamber; the corpse is laid out in the middle, the vases are placed round it, frequently some others are hung up to the walls on nails of bronze.* The number of vases is always greater in these tombs; they are also of a more elegant form. Several other articles are sometimes found in the tombs, such as gold and silver fibulæ, swords, spears, armour, and several ornaments. The objects buried with the corpse generally bespeak the tastes and occupation of the deceased. Warriors are found with their armour, women with ornaments for the toilet, priests with their sacerdotal ornaments, as in the tomb at Cervetri. When the vases are taken out of the excavations, they are covered with a coating of whitish earth, something like tartar, and of a calcareous nature; it disappears on the application of aqua fortis. This operation ought to be done with great caution; for though the aquafortis does not injure the black varnish, it might destroy some of the other colours. Some of these vases are as well preserved as if they had just issued from the hands of the potter; others have been greatly injured by the earthy salts with which they have come in contact; many are found broken,—these have been put together and restored with great skill. But this work of restoration, especially

* See page 139.

if the artist adds any details which are not visible on the original, might alter or metamorphose a subject, and the archæologist ought to set little value on these modern additions, in the study of a painted vase.

The oldest establishments of potteries appear to have been Samos, Corinth, Ægina. From the oldest times, the island of Samos was renowned for its fictile ware. Corinth had established at an early period an active trade in her pottery with the Greek colonies all over the Mediterranean; the products of Corinthian potters have been found in Italy and Sicily. The vases of Corinth are generally in the old style called Doric, with black figures on cream-coloured grounds. Some of these may have proceeded from the hands of the celebrated Eucheir, who left Corinth with Demaratus, and took up his abode at Tarquinii. The most celebrated production of the Corinthian potteries is the Dodwell vase. Ægina produced vases in the early style. Ergotimos, the maker of the François vase, was probably a native of this island. The island of Melos has also yielded vases of different ages and styles. The potteries of Asia Minor were probably also of very ancient date, as fragments of vases of a very early style have been found on Mount Sipylus, at Smyrna, at Halicarnassus, and lately by Dr. Schliemann at Ilium. It was not until a later period that the Athenian pottery attained any great eminence or became universally sought after. The existence of two *Keramikoi*, or pottery districts at Athens, shows the great commercial importance of the manufacture. Athenian vases were carried by the Phœnicians, as objects of traffic, to the remotest parts of the then known world. In the *Periplus* of Scylax the Phœnicians are mentioned as exchanging the pottery of Athens for the ivory of Africa. Panathenaic vases have been found in the Cyrenaica, evidently imported from Athens. By the Athenians potters were called *Prometheans*, from the Titan Prometheus, who made man out of clay, and who was the founder of the fictile art. The pottery of Athens was the most highly renowned of the ancient fabrics, and was exported in very early days. Its cups were celebrated. Many of the Athenian vases are of the later period of the art. Cerameus, the son of Bacchus and Ariadne, was the protector of the potters: hence the district in Athens assigned to the potters was called *Ceramicus*. The invention of the potter's art has been ascribed to the Athenian Corœbus.

The first manufactories of these vases in Italy are supposed to have been established not far from the sea-coast, as at Cære, Tarquinii, Vulci, Locri, Tarentum, Cuma, Pæstum, Surrentum. The vases of more ancient style, with black figures, are more frequently found at these places. At a later period manufactories were established more in the interior of the country, on plains and on hills, as at St. Agata de' Goti, in La Puglia, in Basilicata, and near Naples. Among judges, the vases most to be preferred are those which are of the manufacture of Locri in Calabria, of Agrigentum in Sicily; those of Cuma, of Capua, and of Nola in Campania; and those of Vulci and Canino in the Roman states. The distinguishing characteristics of the manufactures of Nola are the elegance of the shapes, and the extreme beauty of the glaze, which is often of an intense black colour. Those from Vulci are some of the noblest specimens of the art. All styles and epochs have been found there. In those places, where manufactories were established at a later period, many excellent vases with beautiful compositions have been frequently found, but not in that simple and elegant style which was peculiar to the Greeks. St. Agata de' Goti was renowned for its vases, being among some of the first discovered. At one time its name was given to all vases of later style and fabric. The vases of the Basilicata comprise a large portion of those of the later style of art, and exhibit the local peculiarities of a native fabric. Many of the vases of la Puglia are the most beautiful of the later style of art. Those of Canosa and Ruvo rank as some of the very finest of the florid style of the decadence of the art. In Sicily, the cities of the southern coast have produced the greatest number of vases, Agrigentum (Girgenti) abounding in the treasures of Ceramic art.

Several imitations have been made of ancient vases, either through a love of art or for the purpose of deceit. The first may be considered praiseworthy, as it has contributed considerably to bring to perfection modern pottery; the second as highly censurable, for even experienced connoisseurs have been deceived. Pietro Fondi, who had established his manufactories at Venice and at Corfu, was remarkable for his success in this kind of deceit. The family Vasari, at Arezzo, manufactured vases of this kind; there are several of them in the Gallery at Florence. Of this kind of deception there are several kinds. Sometimes the vase is ancient, but the painting is modern; fre-

quently details and inscriptions are added to the ancient painting; but the difference of the style of drawing, the multiplicity of details, the nails indicated on the hands and feet, betray the fraud, as well as the coarseness of the earth (which makes the vases heavier), and the metallic lustre of the varnish. The test which the colours of the false vases are made to undergo is also decisive. If colours mixed with water or alcohol have been employed, it is sufficient to pass a little water or spirits of wine over them to make them disappear; the ancient colours, having been baked with the vases, resist this test. In modern times, imitations have been made by the celebrated Wedgwood, remarkable alike for their elegance and taste.

Several collections have been formed of these vases. The British Museum contains the finest collections, purchased by government from Sir William Hamilton and others. The Museum at Naples, and the Gregorian Museum in the Vatican, also contain many beautiful specimens from Magna Græcia and Etruria. The British Museum has about 2,600 vases of all kinds. The Museum at Naples contains about 2,100, and the Gregorian Museum at Rome about 1,000. Several amateurs have also formed collections in England, France, and Italy. We may mention those of Roger, Hope, Sir Harry Englefield, in England; those of the Duc de Blacas, the Comte Pourtalès, in France; and that of the Marquis Campana, in Rome. The total number of vases in public and private collections probably amounts to 15,000 of all kinds. Some of these collections have been published, such as the first collection of Sir William Hamilton, explained by d'Hancarville; the second by Tischbein. Several works have also been published, giving detailed accounts of painted vases in general. We shall only give the principal:—

Passeri, '*Picturæ Etruscorum in Vasculis*,' Rome, 1767, 3 vols. fol.; '*Collection of Engravings from Ancient Vases, in the possession of Sir William Hamilton*,' by Tischbein, Naples, 1798–1803, 4 vols.; C. Böttiger, '*Griechische Vasengemälde*,' Weimar, 1797, 1800; '*Peintures de Vases Antiques*,' A. Millin, publié par Dubois Maisonneuve, Paris, 1808, 2 vols. fol.; Millingen, '*Peintures de Vases Grecques*,' Rome, 1813, fol.; Panofka, '*Raccolta di Vasi Scelti*,' Rome, 1826; Dubois Maisonneuve, '*Introduction à l'Etude de Vases Antiques*,' Paris, 1817, fol.; Gerhard, '*Berlins Antike Bildwerke*,' Kramer's work, '*Ueber den Styl und die Herkunft der ver-*

mahlten Griech.,' Berlin, 1827, 8vo.; and Mr. Birch's valuable work on 'Ancient Pottery.'


EPOCHS OF PAINTED VASES.

We shall now give descriptions of these painted vases according to their several styles or epochs, as given by Dr. Birch: illustrations of which we give.

As in every development of art there is a cycle of rise, progress, culmination, decline and decay, we must find the same in that of painted vases, and thus we meet the stages of their development in the epochs which have been termed the Early, the Archaic, the Severe, the Beautiful, the Florid, and the final one of Decadence. This development was of a gradual nature, and the transitional stages were almost imperceptible, though easily seen now when the products of centuries of art are before us.

The Earliest or Primitive.—The prevailing features in this period are those which are characteristic of the simplicity of early art. The first attempts at ornamentation were plain bands or zones round the axis of the vase. These bands or friezes were subsequently enriched and diversified by the introduction of the forms of flowers, animals, and insects rudely drawn.* A curious resemblance has been remarked in these early vases to those of Peru, which shows how similar in its infancy all attempts at art are in countries widely apart.

In the old sepulchres, under the Acropolis at Athens, at Delphi, and in the island of Rhodes, Milo (the ancient Melos), and Santorino (the ancient Thera), a kind of pottery has been discovered, which has every appearance of being the earliest painted ware manufactured by the Greeks. It is composed of a fine light red paste, covered with a thin siliceous glaze, and having ornaments painted on it in red, brown, or dark, black lines, which have also been burnt into the body of the vase. Pottery of this style has been found by Dr. Schliemann, in the

* A frequent ornament on the vases of this period, and also on those of the early Archaic, is the Greek Archaic cross . This device is derived from the form the punch-mark assumes on the early coins of Chalcedon, Cyzicus. Dr. Schliemann connects this archaic Greek cross with the Buddhist symbol, the Swastika, and hastily concludes it was a religious Aryan symbol. The Buddhist Swastika cannot date earlier than the fifth century B.C., as Buddhism first appeared in that century, whereas the archaic cross is found on Greek pottery of the seventh century B.C.

lowest strata in his excavations at Ilium. No human figures are depicted on any of these vases, but animal forms are found in the rudest and most primitive style of art, distinguished by the extreme stiffness of their attitude, the length of their proportions, and the absence of all anatomical detail; these animals are the horse, the goat, swine, storks, waterfowl, and dolphins. They are either disposed in compartments, like metopes, but separated by diglyphs, or else in continuous bands or friezes, each being several times repeated. The collection of the British Museum is perhaps the richest in vases of this class. From the absence of all human figures and of all inscriptions, and the stiff style of the figures, it is probable none can be more recent than the seventh century B.C. It has been supposed that they are of Phœnician origin, but none of the emblems found upon them are peculiarly Asiatic. They are Primitive Ionic Greek.

The Early or Egyptian.—This style has been designated by various names, as Egyptian, Phœnician, Corinthian, Doric. A better term would be Early Archaic Greek.

The ground is of a pale yellow, on which the figures are painted in black or brown. These consist chiefly of animals such as lions, rams, stags, swans, cocks, sphinxes and other chimæras, arranged in several bands around the vase, while the field of the scene is literally strewed with flowers with many



THE EARLY OR
EGYPTIAN.

petals, and other objects. Borders of zigzag, vandykes, chequers and ornaments run round them. The muscles and other details are scratched in. Human figures are rarely met with. Certain shapes prevail in this style: one of the most remarkable is the aryballos; we also find the alabastron. Among other forms are the olpe, the pyxis, the amphora, the ascos, and the scyphus. Several vases of this style have been found at Corinth, tombs a considerable depth below the soil; others at Melos, Athens, and Corcyra. The most celebrated of this style vase is that called the Dodwell vase, which was discovered in a sepulchre in the vicinity of Corinth. It is a kind of pyxis or box. Round the body are two friezes of animals with the field scene with flowers. On the cover is a representation of the

painting of a boar. The sepulchres of the older cities of Italy have produced many vases of this style. The Necropolis of Vulci, and that of Cervetri or Cære have produced the largest quantity. In consequence of the vases of this style found in Italy exhibiting animals not natives of that country, and as the clay of which they are made has been in vain sought for in Italy, some have been led to infer that the vases of this style found in Italy have been imported from Greece. The prevailing opinion is that they are the produce of Corinthian and other Doric potteries. The date generally assigned to this class of vases is between 660 and 520 B.C.

Archaic Greek.—In this style the potter has adopted numerous figures for his subjects in place of the animal forms before employed, and rendered the latter subsidiary to the main design. He still continued to arrange the subject in zones or friezes. The figures are drawn in black on ground of a red tint of a pale salmon colour. The design is stiff, hard, and severe. The forms are tall and thin, the muscles angular, the beards and noses long and pointed, the expression of the faces grotesque, the attitudes stiff and conventional. The scenes represented are taken from the older mythology; the class of subjects is, however, numerous, for we find some of the Dionysiac character. The most remarkable known vases of this class are the Panathenaic amphora, discovered by Mr. Burgon at Athens, and the amphora discovered by M. François at Chiusi, now at Florence. The inscriptions of both these vases are Attic, and the letters those which were in use till Olympiad LXXX., or B.C. 460. On the obverse of the Panathenaic amphora Pallas Athene is represented hurling her spear; in front is an inscription written in archaic Greek characters, from right to left, stating that the vase is one of the prizes from Athens. The prevalent shapes are the tall amphoræ with cylindrical handles, two-handled vases, called



ARCHAIC GREEK.

pelike, the jug or *oinochoë*, the *lecythus*, and the *alabastron*. Those vases of the Archaic Greek style are regarded as products of the Ionic states, and to have been chiefly procured from Athens. The LXX. Olympiad, or about B.C. 500, was the age in which they were chiefly manufactured. The class of vases which follows the last style, has been termed by Dr. Birch the old style. It is only a further improvement of the Archaic Greek, and is distinguished by the improved tone of the black colour employed; the ground, figures, and accessories being of a uniform monochrome, varying from a jet black to a blackish green, and rarely of a light brown tint. The forms are rather full and muscular, the noses long, the eyes oblique and in profile, the pupil as if seen in front, the extremities long and not carefully finished, the outlines rigid, the attitudes *aplomb*, the knees and elbows rectangular, the draperies stiff, and describing perpendicular, angular and precise oval lines. The figures are generally in profile, full faces being very rare. The markings of the muscles and inner lines of the figures are incised with great



ARCHAIC GREEK.

care. The eyes of the men are engraved, and of a form inclining to oval, the pupils circular, as if seen from the front, with two dots; those of the women are generally long and oval shaped, with red pupils, also circular. The faces of the females are white, to indicate superior delicacy of complexion. The beard and hair of very old men are also painted white. The figures are depicted upon an orange ground, generally of a very warm tone, being that of the natural colour of the clay heightened by the addition of *rubrica* or ruddle. The vases of this class are chiefly amphoræ of the various kinds: *hydriæ*, *calpides*, *oinochoë*, *olpæ*, *cylices* also occur. The *lecythus* is common in the graves of Greece, and especially at Athens. This class of vases is abundant at Vulci.


* According to the theory advanced by Brunn, almost the whole of the vases of this style were produced at a later period and were contemporary with the vases of the Florid epoch. They are, in his view, but feeble imitations of the early style made to please the Roman taste for archaic work. These Vulci vases belong, according to Dr. Birch, to what he terms the affected old style.

The figures of this Archaic class bear the same relation to the sculptures of Ægina that those of the later class of vases do to the marbles of the Parthenon; indeed, these may be called of the Æginetic school, for they correspond in date as well as in style.

The Transitional or Severe.—The style of this transitional stage of the art, termed by Dr. Birch the strong style, is essentially the same with the Archaic style. The change effected consists in the artist changing the colour of the figures to the red or orange of the ground of the vases, and painting the rest of the vase black. By this change he was enabled to draw lines of a tone or tint scarcely darker than the clay itself, but still sufficient to express all the finer anatomical details, while the more important outlines still continued to be marked with a black line finely drawn. In everything else the style is essentially the same; the forms are precise, the eyes in profile, the attitudes rigid, the draperies rectilinear. Inscriptions rarely occur. The shapes of the vases themselves are nearly identical with those of vases with black figures. There seems to have been an overlapping of the two styles, which is generally the case when one style is going out, and another coming in. The figures in the earliest vases of the severe style, so closely resemble the black figures, that it is evident that the two styles co-existed. Some of the vase painters, indeed, as Pheidippos and Epictetus, painted in both styles. The age of these vases is placed between the L. and LXXX. Olympiads, 580 B.C. and 460 B.C. At a later period of this transitional stage, the harshness and violence of movement so striking in the archaic vases gradually disappear and make way for a calm and severe dignity. The artists, however, did not yet work with perfect freedom, and the designs are rather stiff. The subjects represented are the same as those on the vases of the Archaic style. The forms of the vases have something more elegant than those of the second class, and present greater variations in style and size. They occur most frequently at Vulci in Etruria, and at Nola in Campania; they bear inscriptions in characters of a middle kind between the archaic mode of writing and the later one. The period commonly assigned to works of this class is from B.C. 460 to 420.

The Beautiful or Greek.—This style, termed by Dr. Birch the

fine style, is the more perfect development of the former; the severity and conventionality which distinguishes the earlier style has completely disappeared. In this the figures are still re-




THE BEAUTIFUL, OR GREEK STYLE.

and the black grounds are occasionally very dark and lustrous. The ornaments are in white, and so are the letters. The figures have lost that hardness which at first characterised them; the eyes are no longer represented oblique and in profile; the

extremities are finished with greater care, the chin and nose are more rounded, and have lost the extreme elongation of the earlier school. The limbs are fuller and thicker, the faces noble, the hair of the head and beard with greater breadth and mass.

The distinguishing characteristics of this style of vase are,



THE BEAUTIFUL, OR GREEK STYLE.

elegance of form, fineness of material, brilliancy of varnish, beauty of composition, and the more perfect proportion of the figure. The head is an oval, three-quarters of which are comprised from the chin to the ear. The disproportionate shape of the limbs disappears, and the countenance assumes its natural

form and expression. The folds of the drapery are freer, and the attitudes have lost their ancient rigidity. The figures are generally large, and arranged in groups of two or three on each side, occupying about two-thirds of the height of the vase. One side of the vase, which appears to have been intended to stand against a wall, is not finished with the same care. Figures in full face are less uncommon than on the earlier vases.

From the composition of the designs on this and on the former class of vases being superior to the drawing, it has been conjectured that they are copies from works of the first masters of antiquity.

The predominating subjects are Greek myths, or representations of Greek manners; but scenes connected with the worship of Demeter and Dionysus are of frequent occurrence.

The principal shapes in this style are the slender amphoræ, the round hydria or calpis, the crater, the diota, the scyphus, the olpe, the lecythus, the cylix, the aryballos, rhyta or drinking cups, and others in the shape of heads.

Vases of this style have been found at Vulci and Canino in Etruria, at Nola in Campania, in Sicily, and at Athens.

The age of these vases is fixed by the appearance of the long vowels, the changed form of the aspirate, and the presence of the double or aspirated letters introduced into the public acts after the archonship of Euclides, Olympiad XCIV. = B.C. 403.

Florid.—The art which had reached its culminating point in the perfect or fine style was quickly followed by that decline which invariably treads on the heels of perfection. Like the last class, the Florid has red figures on a black ground, but differs widely in style. The drawing in the earlier specimens of this style is very free and masterly, but with a tendency to mannerism and theatrical exaggeration. In the later specimens the forms are effeminate or clumsy; the drawing coarse and careless. The compositions present a marked contrast to the severe simplicity which characterises the finest period of Greek ceramography; complicated and difficult foreshortenings are sometimes attempted; the face, which in the earlier style is almost always drawn in profile, is frequently given in front view, and an attempt is made to impart more expression to the features. The scene is no longer rigidly confined to one place, as in the earlier period; there is often a rude attempt to indicate a landscape background, but without any application of

erial perspective. The compositions are often crowded, and sprawl over the surface of the vase, instead of being carefully adapted to its shape, as in the earlier style; and in many instances we meet with designs more suitable for mural or easel



THE FLORID STYLE.

pictures, than for decoration of the convex or concave surfaces of the vases.

An interesting example of this period is the vase in the British Museum bearing the signature of the potter Meidias. On it are represented three distinct subjects: 1. The abduction of Eriphyle

and Elera by Castor and Pollux; 2. Hercules in the Garden of the Hesperides; 3. A scene from the Argonautic expedition.

The vases of this period are often of enormous size and exaggerated proportions. They do not seem to have been in-



THE FLORID STYLE.

tended for domestic use, but rather for the decoration of the houses of the rich. In the Museum at Naples are five great vases from Cumæ and Canosa; they all display a black ground; the figures are red, white being sparingly used here and there for details. The drawing is of a high order, and the composition of the groups worthy of the best period. The subject of the

largest vase, which is four feet eight inches in height, is the *Battle of the Amazons*; above the presiding deities are represented in council. The lower and upper portions of this vase are occupied with decorations, simple and elegant. Another vase, four feet two inches in height, is occupied with Homeric subjects, and is remarkable for its fine drawing.

One of the distinguishing marks of this style, which cannot be denied to have great merit, is the use of the arabesque ornaments on the necks of the vases, consisting of heads of females, often with tresses, or youthful heads rising from a flower, and having on each side architecture and arabesque foliage.

The multitude of figures introduced, the complexity of the composition, the inferiority and carelessness of the design, the flourish and lavishment of decoration, in a word, the absence of that chasteness and purity which gave the Perfect Style its chief charm, indicate these vases to belong, if not always, to the period of Decadence, at least to the verge of it.

A large proportion of the designs relates to Dionysiac subjects, to Aphrodite and Eros, or to sepulchral rites. It is probable that some of these subjects relate to the Dionysiac or Eleusinian mysteries.

Polychrome vases are also frequently found, which belong to this epoch. The whole of the body of the vase is coated with a thin layer of lime (*leucoma tectorium*) brought to a remarkably fine surface; over this has been laid a thin siliceous glaze. On



CYLIX OF THE FLORID STYLE.

the earliest of these vases the figures are drawn in outline in a fine glazed black and sienna-brown colour. At a later time the figures are drawn in black or vermillion. The draperies were coloured blue, purple, vermillion or green. The acroteria of tombs were coloured blue and green. In the treatment of the hair, the full faces, the style, the attitude, they are like the other vases of this period.

These vases are principally sepulchral lecythi, which were placed in the tomb or on the breast of the dead. The subject is always funereal, generally that incident in the *Orestiad*,

which unfolds the dramas of *Æschylus*, *Sophocles* and *Euripides*, or *Chrysothemis* at the tomb of *Agamemnon*. Dr. Birch gives a beautiful example of a polychrome vase, an Athenian lecythus, representing *Electra* at the tomb of *Agamemnon*.

Polychrome vases of other shapes also occur. A hydria, from *Gnathia* had for its subject a seated man with red ampechonium and green tunic, bidding farewell to a female with a yellow chiton and rose-coloured shawl. One of the finest specimens of polychrome ceramography with gilding is an amphora in the British Museum, which represents *Thetis* surprised by *Peleus*. The garment of *Thetis* is painted sea-green. The principal shapes which occur in the Florid epoch are large amphoræ, craters, and hydriæ. Vases of this epoch are rarely found in

Etruria, but are abundant in the Greek colonies of Italy. The most striking examples of this style have been found in *Apulia*, at *Ruvo* and at *Athens*. The fourth century B.C. is the date generally assigned to vases of this class.



DECADENCE.

The Decadence.—We now come to the last stage of the cycle of development of the ceramic art in Greece, the epoch of *Decadence*. At this period a still greater deterioration in the arts of design took place, while more capricious forms were invented.

The transition from the Florid style to that of the *Decadence* was rapid. This style is remarkable, not only for its inferiority of design, but also

for the coarseness of the clay. The red colour is paler, the glaze of a dull leaden colour. The drawing is careless in the extreme, and the size of the heads and figures disproportioned to the size of the vases, exhibiting altogether an utter want of taste. The ornaments are multiplied and large in proportion to the subjects. The proportion of the male figures is short.

The costume is most florid, consisting of richly embroidered tunics with borders. The figures are no longer few and detached, but grouped in masses. White opaque colour is freely introduced for the flesh of the females and children, and even males, as well as into the attire, and, as the art decays, almost entirely supersedes the previous red colour. The subjects exhibit a change in taste and feeling: the greater proportion is derived from the *thiasos* of Dionysus, together with subjects from the Tragedies, and from the Middle and Low Comedy; while at a later period of the decadence the choice of subjects became restricted to allegorical representations suggested by the philosophical writers, and by the decay of religious feeling. Some of the latest in style are certain *craters*, found at Orbitello and Volterra, on which the figures are drawn in the coarsest manner, with outlines of the most exaggerated proportions and childish design, everything bespeaking an art at its last stage of development and at its lowest ebb. The vases of this epoch differ in shape from the previous class. The crater is of common occurrence. The Basilicatan amphora is quite a modification of the old form. The *cenochoë* also completely changes its character, the body being either egg-shaped on a foot, or else squab. The *lecythus* has a semi-oval body, and the *cylix* is replaced by the supposed *lepaste* or dish.

These vases are rarely found in Greece and Northern Italy, but abound in the sepulchres of Southern Italy and Sicily. From their common occurrence in the Terra di Lavoro and the Basilicata, and at St. Agata de' Goti, they are commonly known by the designation of vases of the style of the Basilicata.


The vases of this style come down to nearly B.C. 200.


SHAPES OF PAINTED VASES.

We first give Mr. Dennis' arrangement, after the nomenclature of Gerhard, of these vases in classes, according to the purposes they served. We then give a list of their several shapes, with the names by which they are known in England, and also with the names they are given in Italian Museums. Two plates from the atlas to the French edition of Müller's "Ancient Art," pl. 19, are given to illustrate the various shapes.

CLASS I.—Vases for holding wine, oil or water—amphora pelice, stamnos.

Of all shapes of ancient vases, the amphora is the best known.





It consists of an oval body with a cylindrical neck and two handles, from which it derives its name, viz., from ἀμφὶ φέρω "to carry about." There are different kinds of amphoræ, and names have been given to each variety of shape.

I. The Egyptian amphora (1), the body of which is long and rather elegant, the handles small, and the foot tapering.

II. The Panathenaic amphora (3) resembles the former in shape, except that the mouth is smaller and narrower, and the general form thinner. It is so called from its generally bearing the figure of Pallas Athene, and being given as a prize at the Panathenaia.

III. The Tyrrhenian (2) differs only in its general proportions from the two preceding kinds, the body being thicker and the mouth wider.

IV. The Bacchic (4) is the most prevalent type at the best period of the vases with black figures. The neck of these vases is larger and taller in proportion to the body than the preceding, and the handles are not cylindrical but ribbed, having been produced from a mould. They are from five to twenty inches high.

V. The Nolan (5, 6), is remarkable for its slender form and the elegance of its shape, while the exquisite black varnish and high finish render it the admiration of all lovers of ancient art. The whole vase, except the subject painted on it, is black, and has generally but few figures at each side. It is often provided with a convex cover. It is found at Nola.

VI. The Apulian (7), so called from its being found only in Apulia, has a thick and overlapping mouth like an inverted cone. The neck is not cylindrical, but slopes upon the shoulders, and the body is more egg-shaped.

There are also other shapes which belong to a later stage of the ceramic art, which may be seen in the Museum at Naples, such as those with handles with circular ornaments (8), with volutes (9), with faces (10). They reach to a great size, and are decorated with numerous figures. By Dr. Birch they are termed *craters*.

The Pelice (14) was a later kind of amphora, with a swelling vase, two rather large handles and red figures, principally of the later style.

The Stamnos (15) was a vase to hold wine or oil. It is a broad, short-necked jar, with two small ear-shaped handles, and is found chiefly in connection with the fine style.

The name Apulian stamnos (18) has been applied to a vase with double upright handles and a cover. They belong to the later style, with red figures, and are only found in southern Italy.

CLASS II.—Vases for carrying water: *hydria*, *calpis*.

Hydria (12) is a generic term applied to water jars whose characteristic is that they have three handles, two at the shoulders and one at the neck, but when used specifically is given to those vases with a squareness about the shoulders, while the *calpis* (13) is a more elegant variety, with the shoulders rounded off. The *hydria* is generally in connection with the earlier styles, with black figures, the *calpis* with the later, with red figures on a black ground. These water-jars were used by females alone.

CLASS III.—Vases for mixing wine and water—*crater*, *celebe*, *oxybaphon*.

The *crater* (16) was a mixing-jar, and is characterised by its wide mouth, for the convenience of dipping the cups or ladles, and by two small, almost vertical, handles. It is chiefly found in South Italy, and always decorated with red figures. The *oxybaphon* (17) is a bell-shaped vase. It always occurs with red figures, and is common in Magna Græcia. The *celebe* (19) is a term applied to the *crater* with columnar handles. Vases of this sort are found at the earliest period, having the subjects disposed in friezes round the body.

CLASS IV.—Vases for pouring wine—*cenochoë*, *olpe*, *prochous*.

Enochoë, or "wine-pourer" (37), is a name given to jugs of a variety of shapes. The *olpe* (33) was a kind of *cenochoë*, or wine jug, with an even rim or lip. The *prochous* (38, 39, 42) is a smaller variety of the *cenochoë*, with an oval body and tall neck. In some, as in 42, the body is a female head. Another variety of this is the *epichysis* (40), so called from its spout.

CLASS V.—Vases for drinking-cups and goblets—*cantharus*, *cyathus*, *carchesion*, *holcion*, *scyphus*, *cylix*, *lepaste*, *phiale*, *ceras*, *rhyton*.

The *cantharus* (24, 30) is a two-handled cup, and was particularly sacred to Bacchus. The *cyathus* (25, 26) is a cup with a single handle. It was used for taking the unmixed wine out of the *craters*. *Carchesion* (23) was a kind of *Cantharus*, with large ear-shaped handles. The *holcion* (28) was a bowl with a long stem, and without handles. The *scyphus* (29, 32) was a

cup with horizontal handles. The *cylix* (22) was a flat, shallow and extremely wide cup, with two side handles and a tall stem. They are of a variety of shapes. Those of the earliest period are distinguished by their deeper bowl and taller stem, those ornamented with paintings of the strong and fine style have a shallow bowl, recurved handles, and rather low stem. They are painted on the exterior and interior; their shape is one of the most elegant of those handed down from antiquity. The *lepaste* (20) was a *cylix* of a late date. It had a much shorter foot. The term *lekane* (21) was applied to a kind of *cylix*, with a deeper bowl and a cover. The *phiale* was a shallow, circular cup without any stand. It rarely has handles, and was chiefly used for libations. The *ceras* (43) was originally the horn of some animal adapted as a drinking-cup. The peculiarity of the *rhyton* (41) was that it could not be set down without drinking the contents. It generally terminated in the head of an animal. In some examples it was pierced at the extremity so as to let a jet of liquid flow out. On the neck of the cup are sometimes subjects of a satiric or comic nature, in red upon a black ground. They belong to the late style of art.

CLASS VI.—Vases for ointments or perfumes—*lecythus*, *alabastron*, *ascos*, *bombylios*, *aryballos*, *cotyiscos*.

The *lecythus* (35, 36) was of a tall cylindrical shape, with a long, narrow neck. It was chiefly used for holding oil. It commences with the old period of vases with black figures, and terminates with the best red style and those with white grounds. *Lecythi* principally come from Greece, Sicily, and Magna Græcia. They seldom exceed a foot in height. In the tombs at Athens, and in the Greek sepulchres of Sicily and Italy, they are found not only arranged round the body, but also laid on the breast. The *alabastron* (47, 48) was used for holding unguents, oils, and cosmetics. Its name is derived from the material of which Egyptian vases of this style were made, namely, Oriental alabaster. Its body is an elongated cone, its neck short, its mouth small, and lips flat and disc-shaped; sometimes it has a foot, and also two little projections to hold it without slipping, or to hang it up to a wall with a cord. The *ascos* (44, 45) is a small vase made in imitation of a wine-skin. The *bombylios* (46), so called from the buzzing or gurgling sound which the liquid made in flowing from its mouth. It is of an egg-shaped body.

and short neck, with a small handle. The *aryballos* (49, 50) is a vase with a short neck, globular body, and small handle. When No. (50) is without a handle it is termed *cymbe*. The *cotyliscos* or diminutive *cotylos* (34) was a small slender vase with a single handle.

ENGLISH NOMENCLATURE.

ITALIAN NOMENCLATURE.

1 Amphora, Egyptian.	1 Langella.
2 " Tyrrhenian.	2 "
3 " Panathenaic.	3 "
4 " Bacchic.	4 "
5, 6 " of Nola.	5, 6 "
7 " Apulian.	7 "
8 " with handles, with circular ornaments.	8 Olla con manichi a girelle.
9 " with handles as volutes.	9 Olla con manichi a volute.
10 " with handles with faces on them.	10 Olla con manichi a mascheroni.
11 Thymaterion.	11 Ingensiere.
12 Hydria.	12 Olla o vaso vinario.
13 Calpis.	13 Olla o canopo.
14 Pelike.	14 Idria.
15 Stamnos.	15 Olla.
16 Crater.	16 Calice.
17 Oxybaphon.	17 Campana.
18 Stamnos (Apulian).	18
19 Celebe.	19 Olla con manichi annodati.
20 Lepaste.	20 Patera.
21 Lekane.	21 Patera col coverchio.
22 Cylix.	22 Patera.
23 Carchesion.	23 Tazza co manichi inarcati.
24, 30, 31 Cantharus.	24 Tazza co manichi inarcati.
25, 26 Cyathus.	25 Scudella.
27 Holmos.	27
28 Holcion.	28
29, 32 Scyphus.	29, 32 Bicchiere.
33 Olpe.	33 Urceolo.
34 Cotyliscos.	34
35, 36 Lecythus.	35, 36 Lagrimale.
37 Oenochoë.	37 Prefericolo.
38, 39, 42 Prochous.	38
40 Epichysis.	40 Prefericolo a becco.
41 Rhyton.	41 Riton.
43 Ceras.	43
44, 45 Ascus.	44 Unguentario.
46 Bombylios.	46 Balsamario.
47, 48 Alabastron.	47 Unguentario.
49, 50 Aryballos.	49 Balsamario con manico.



AUGUSTUS. Cameo.

Second Division.

GLYPTOGRAPHY, OR ENGRAVED STONES.

INTRODUCTION.

THE art of engraving on precious stones and gems is styled "glyptic," and the description of those engraved stones which have come down to us from ancient times, glyptography, from γλύφειν, to engrave, and γράφειν, to describe.

Among those objects of ancient art which have reached us through the lapse of ages, engraved stones may be considered among the number of the most elegant and refined by their form, their lustre, and their use, the most precious from their material and their workmanship, the most sought for from the facility with which they can be mixed with other ornaments, and set in connection with the most precious jewels.

The most beautiful engraved stones were offered to the gods, and deposited in temples. For princes, they were as an ensign of supreme power and the seal of the state; for private individuals they gave authenticity to their public and private acts. Alexander, after he had conquered Darius, used the signet of that king for his letters and acts relative to Asia. Augustus

adopted at first a stone bearing a sphinx, and substituted for it afterwards a head of Alexander, and then his own head; his successors adopted this latter, but Galba changed it for his family signet, on which was represented a dog on the prow of a vessel. At a later period some of the Roman emperors adopted the head of Alexander.

The use of signets of this kind was very general in Greece; cities, corporations, and families had signets of their own. Rings were in general use in Rome; and it was by that ornament that Cicero assures us that he recognised a statue of Scipio Africanus; doubtless because that ring bore the signet of the family of the Scipios. The engraved stones which have come down to us from ancient times have not changed their destination: the same taste employs them for the same purposes; they are not the less sought after at the present day than they were formerly in all parts of the world by the Greeks and Romans. The abettors of modern luxury have inherited the passion of the Cyrenian for engraved stones, and perhaps we might still find musicians who, following the example of the Ismenias of Pliny, wear a valuable engraved emerald which by its value evinced his high artistic merit, and, like that flute player, are annoyed at not being able to purchase it at the highest price.


If we consider, Mr. King writes, the intrinsic merits of antique gems regarded in the view of art, we have in them the emanations, ever fresh and unfaded, of the feelings and the taste of those ages when the love of the Beautiful was the all-prevailing and almost sole religion, and flourished unfettered by tradition, prejudice, and conventional rules; whilst from the universal demand, during these same ages, for engraved gems, whether for signets or for personal ornaments, artists of the highest ability did not disdain the narrow field of the pretty stone as the arena for the exertion of their powers. The unparalleled vigour and perfection of many of these performances are a sufficient proof that they proceeded directly from the master hand, and were not mere slavish copies by a mechanic, after designs created by the genius of another.

But considering here engraved stones in a more important and useful view, in the interest of the study of the arts and customs of antiquity, we may truly say that their importance in that respect is not surpassed by any other kind of monument.

Besides being witnesses to the progress and development of the arts, we find on these engraved gems, the religion, the history, the opinions, the customs, even the very amusements, of ancient nations ; the portraits of their great men ; the reproductions, in much smaller proportions, of some of the master-pieces of their architecture, their sculpture, or of their painting, which have come down to us ; certain indications, with regard to their progress in the knowledge of nature, and a number of examples of their graceful, singular, or fantastic compositions which the taste or caprice of Greek artists multiplied in infinite numbers. It was by the study of engraved stones that Raphael and Michael Angelo received ideas which purified their taste. Donatello, Vasari tells us, took antique gems for his guide in the designing of the bas-reliefs, which still adorn the cortile of the Palazzo Ricardi, at Florence. Other celebrated painters have found in them compositions which they have not disdained to imitate, and modern glyptics still work after the beautiful models with which antiquity furnishes us, and which they have not equalled. We here adopt the words of Dr. Croly. "The importance of these relics to learned investigation, to the artist and the amateur, to the natural and elevating indulgence felt in looking on the features of the mighty dead, deserves to make them a favourite study with the accomplished mind of England. Gems illustrate the attributes and tales of mythology, the costumes of antiquity, the fine romances of the poets, the characters of the early languages, the great historic events, and the progress of the arts ; the countenances of Virgil and Mæcenæ, of Cicero and Alexander, live only on gems ; the Venus of Praxiteles, the head of the Phidian Minerva, the Apoxyomenos of Polycleitus, that triumph of ancient statuary, are to be found only on gems ; the restorations of the Venus de' Medici and the Laocœon have been made from gems ; they offer an endless treasure of the brilliant thoughts and buried wisdom, the forgotten skill and the vanished beauty, of a time when the mind and form of man reached their perfection."

"Gems," we further quote Mr. King, "are the sole imperishable vehicle of ancient genius ; they alone preserve to us the reflex of the departed glories of much of statuary, and of all of painting in the times from which they have descended to our own. The traditionary fame of Theodorus, Lysippus and Eutychides, of Pamphilus, Parrhasius, and Apelles, is confirmed by no surviving

evidence but what is to be deduced from them. Indeed, as a recent writer has tersely and happily expressed their claims to our attention: 'In the gems that have been worn by any civilised people, we possess an epitome of that people's arts, their religion, and their civilisation, in a form at once the most portable, the most indestructible, and the most genuine.' Their material, completely indestructible, sets at defiance time and the action of the elements, even fire itself can do no more than discolour it. The stone whose beauty and art charmed the eyes of Mithridates, of Cæsar, and of Mæcenas, preserves the same charms unimpaired, unfading, for the delight of the man of taste in our day. The barbarian, or the new convert, who melted down the precious ring, bracelet, or vase, for the sake of the metal, threw away as worthless or as idolatrous the sard or onyx with which it was adorned. The truly priceless work of art was received by Earth, and securely sheltered within her protecting bosom, until reviving civilisation again enabled the world to appreciate its value."



TARAS. *Beryl.*

ORIGIN AND HISTORY.

THE period of the invention of the art of engraving on precious stones is unknown. The art is evidently of the highest antiquity. Some seem to consider that all evidence tends to prove the Oriental origin of this art. Stones have been discovered with inscriptions in Sanskrit, the earliest language of India; some

attribute its invention to Assyria, as many engraved stones have been found there in the form of cylinders; but in the practice of this art, as well as others, Egypt still maintains over all other nations its high antiquity, demonstrated not only by historical data, but also by engraved stones which have come down to the present time. The king of Egypt, who chose Joseph for his minister, gave him his signet ring as a testimony of his delegated authority, and Joseph lived about 1700 B.C. Engraved gems adorned the ephod and pectoral of the high priest of the Hebrews, and were probably the work of Egyptian artists, B.C. 1490. According to Herodotus, the treasure-cell of Rhampsinitus, whom Sir Gardner Wilkinson identifies with Rameses III., B.C. 1219, was secured by his seal. The collections of engraved stones, called scarabæi, exhibit in the inscriptions engraved on them the names of kings of a very early date. Egyptian cylinders have also been found of the earliest periods; one bears the name of Osirtasen I., B.C. 2020. The study of these monuments of the glyptic art prove that the most ancient productions of the art are the works of the Egyptians. Mr. King attributes the invention of the art of engraving on "hard stones," crystal, onyx, agate, to the seal engravers of Nineveh, shortly before the reign of Sargon, B.C. 722, as before that period the material used was comparatively soft; the earliest Assyrian cylinders being of serpentine, and the Egyptian scarabæi being of clay or soft stone (steaschist). But squares, used for the bezels of rings of hard stone engraved by the Egyptians, are to be met with of a much earlier date than that of Sargon. A remarkable one may be cited, bearing the name and title of a king of the 18th dynasty (15th century B.C.) of yellow jasper.* There are also others known of carnelian. The engraving of these is, indeed, generally bad, as if the workman was not master of his craft. From there being scarabæi, engraved with Assyrian emblems and sculptural ornaments of undoubted Egyptian origin, not unfrequently found in Assyrian ruins, it is evident that there must have been a close connection between Assyria and Egypt, as is conjectured about the time of the 18th (15th century B.C.) and the four subsequent dynasties. The mode of engraving may therefore have been introduced from Egypt. The knowledge of the art of engraving on hard stones is supposed

* There is an engraved agate cylinder of the time of Amenemha II. (B.C. 2020) in the British Museum.

to have been diffused by the Phoenicians among the Asiatic and Insular Greeks.

The Etruscans, the Greeks, and the Romans, practised the art also, and it was preserved among them, like all other arts, until the impetuous irruption of barbarism on the degenerate remains of ancient civilisation. It is conjectured that the Etruscans learnt the art from the Egyptians through the Phoenicians, whose merchant ships trafficked in ornaments and jewellery at an early period, for the most ancient Etruscan engraved stones are also in the form of a scarabæus. Sicily and Magna Græcia preceded Greece in the knowledge of the glyptic art, as well as in that of all other arts which depend on design. The Greeks, however, carried that art to the highest degree of excellence, and it is to their genius that we are indebted for the wonderful perfection it attained to. The art reached a culminating point in the age after Alexander the Great, who gave it a fresh impulse by his patronage, for he gave the privilege of engraving his sacred portrait to Pyrgoteles, the first artist of the day. It thence became the fashion for princes to adopt their own engraved portrait as their signet. Portraits in cameo were introduced at this period. The invention of this style of art belongs, as the works themselves testify, to the times of Alexander's immediate successors, the earliest known cameo being that of Ptolemy Philadelphus and his wife Arsinoe.

The Romans imitated the Greeks in employing engraved gems for signets, though at an early period they adopted the scarab signet of the Etruscans. Under Augustus, gem engraving was brought to a high perfection by the Greek artists of his time. At this period flourished the celebrated engravers, Dioscorides, Solon, Aulus, Gnæus, who introduced the practice of engraving their names on their best works. At this period also a taste for camei and works in relief began to prevail, to which the arrival of pieces of sardonyx from Asia, remarkable for their size and beauty, greatly contributed. These were generally worked into camei, vases, or cups, with subjects in relief on them. Portraits in cameo became the prevailing taste of the age. As is usually the case where there is a large demand for any object, and there is not enough of the genuine material to supply the demand, imitations were made to make up the deficiency. To supply the large demand for these objects, and to please the taste of those who could not afford the more expensive kinds, paste

imitations were made to an enormous extent. Numberless examples of these paste intagli have come down to us. Camei were also imitated with wonderful accuracy, the imitation too of the material itself being admirably carried out. Some wonderful examples of camei in sardonyx have been produced in imperial times. The celebrated sardonyx cameo of the apotheosis of Augustus, now in Paris, is considered a masterpiece of the glyptic art. Some very fine camei are attributed to the age of Hadrian, which has been considered the most flourishing period of Roman art. The glyptic art maintained a tolerable degree of excellence till the time of Septimius Severus, when, together with the other arts, it began gradually to decay. Some fine camei portraits of Severus and his family have come down to us. There was a transient revival of the art in the age of Constantine. Many important camei of this emperor and his successors exist, which, however, are more remarkable for the size and the beauty of the sardonyx-stones presenting them, than for the work upon them. From Rome the art spread almost over the whole west of Europe; but at the time of the last emperors nothing remained except the mechanical part; the genius and spirit of the art, the correctness of design and taste, the nobleness of expression, and even many of the practical advantages of which the ancient masters had availed themselves for conveying their grand ideas on stone, had all vanished together. The last expiring attempts at the art were the rude and ill-drawn Gnostic amulets.

MATERIALS OF THE ART.

THE mechanical process of the glyptic art has not been described in any work which has come down to us from ancient times; a few scanty remarks are found in Pliny. It is generally believed that the ancients used nearly the same process as the moderns, in employing the drill, terebra, also called ferrum retusum, emery powder, and the corundum point for cutting into the stone. The artist engraved the stone partly with iron instruments, smeared with naxium, or emery and oil, which were sometimes round, sometimes pointed and drill-formed, but partly also with a splinter of corundum set in iron. The larger and deeper hollows were sunk by means of the round-pointed

drill charged with oil and emery, which was probably worked by the hand by the means of a bow, while the finer portions were cut by the corundum point. Pliny tells us that the rapidly revolving drill (*terebrarum fervor*) was the most efficient agent in the process. At a later period of the art among the Romans, after the time of Pliny, the operation of cutting was performed with diamond powder as at the present day. In Pliny's time the wheel, a minute disk of metal fixed on the end of a spindle, which is set in rapid motion by a kind of lathe, and by which



VENUS MARINA. *Sard.*

the cutting lines and the sinking in the stone are at the present day carried out, does not seem to have been known. It is said to have been first introduced about the time of Domitian. For polishing the stone, *naxium*, or emery powder, which was also called *amyrus*, was used. It seems that the ancient artists performed that operation themselves, for the careful polishing of all parts of the engraved figures was a great aim with the ancient stone engravers, and is therefore a criterion of genuineness. These artists were generally designated under the denomination of "*lithoglyphi*," engravers on stone, a Greek

word to which the Latin, *sculptor* or *cavator*, seems synonymous. The art of setting stones was styled among Greeks, *lithocollesis*, and among the Romans the setters of stones were named "*compositores gemmarum*." The name of "*dactylioglyphi*" was given to the engravers of rings, and from the Greek word for ring, *δακτύλιος*, were derived the terms, "*dactyliologia*," the science of engraved stones in general, but more particularly of finger rings; "*dactyliography*," the science of their description; and the "*dactyliotheca*," a cabinet for collection of this kind of ornament.

The materials employed by the ancients in the glyptic art were various and numerous; they were animal, vegetable, mineral, or artificial. Among the first we may count coral and ivory; among the second, citron wood, box, ebony, sycamore, &c.; the mineral substances were clay, metals, and stones. Mineral substances, from their hardness, and other useful qualities, are more fit for the purposes of the engraver; and none more so than those belonging to the siliceous genus of the earthy class of minerals. That assemblage of stones, however, which is distinguished by the name of precious stones or gems, has scarcely ever been employed by the ancients for the purpose of engraving upon. These scarce and splendid substances were considered sufficiently valuable in themselves, and the art of engraving was more judiciously employed to enhance the value of other less expensive stones, which moreover possessed, in a superior degree, all the properties requisite for the nicest execution. Lessing and the Count de Clarac altogether deny the existence of any really antique intagli in the harder gems; but as Mr. King remarks, the instances that can be adduced of engraved emeralds, sapphires, and rubies, sufficiently prove that this rule, though generally true, yet admits of some, though rare, exceptions. He adds, however, that engravings on any of the precious stones are always to be examined with the greatest suspicion.

Stones may be classed according as they are transparent, semi-transparent, or opaque, and in these three classes may be mentioned: 1st, the diamond, the hyacinth, the sapphire of the present day, the emerald, the ruby, the topaz, the chrysolite, the jacinth, the amethyst, the beryl, the garnet, and rock crystal. 2nd, the opal, plasma, chalcedony, sard, onyx, sardonyx, agate. 3rd, green, yellow, brown, black jasper; lapis-lazuli, the sapphirus of the ancients, hæmatite, obsidian, steatite, basalt, granite, serpentine. Turquoise has also been employed by the Romans.

The artificial substance generally employed by the ancients was a paste composed of coloured glass. The ancients excelled in colouring glass and porcelain. In order to imitate camei, they joined strata of different colours, which were fused together by the action of fire. The Egyptians used coloured glass in the earliest times, and the number of their scarabæi in porcelain, and other baked materials, is very considerable. The ancients manufactured also green, blue, and white pastes imitating precious stones.

The nature of the engraving on stones has led them to be divided into two principal divisions. Intaglio, or engraving in a concave form (Lat. *scalptura*); cameo, or engraving in relief, (Gr. *γλυπτική*, Lat. *sculptura*). The Egyptians, the Greeks, and the Romans practised both methods. The scarabæus, figured in relief and in all its details in Egyptian stones, constitutes a kind of cameo, while the flat part of the stone generally bears a subject or inscription in intaglio; several Egyptian stones are in existence, the flat part of which is engraved in cameo, the relief being, however, within the intaglio, or concave portion, and is termed intaglio rilievo. Similar Etruscan stones have been also found. The word cameo* is solely applied to works in relief, small or large.

The ordinary style of relief used for these works was mezzo-relievo, a style which was usually adopted for all works which required a close inspection. A flat style of relief was sometimes adopted in cameo, only for the sake of displaying a subject on a different coloured ground; the layers of colour in the stone employed, generally the sardonyx, being very thin. The difference of colour in the ground has, however, the effect of giving roundness to the figures relieved on it. Camei are, with but com-

* The word *cameo*, which first appears in the 13th century as *cama kutum*, is usually derived from the Arabic *chemeia*, "a charm," from the light in which such relics were universally considered in those ages by both Orientals and Europeans. According to Von Hammer's conjecture, it is the same with *camaut*, "the camel's hump," applied metaphorically to anything prominent, and therefore to gems in relief. Mahn is of opinion that the Low Latin *camæus* was originally an adjective formed from *cama*, a supposed Low Latin form of *gemma*; and he shews the old French form of *gemme* is *game*, and that in Old High German *gemma* has become *gimma* and *kimmu*. As for the forms *camahotus*, *camahutus*, he thinks they are but corruptions of *camæus altus*, which would mean a high gem, i.e., a gem cut in high relief.

paratively few exceptions, of dimensions exceeding those suitable for a ring stone, and were intended, at the time of making, as decorations for the dress and the armour, or specially, the vessels in gold that ornamented the treasures of the temples, or the sideboards of the great. Small antique camei are of great rarity. This paucity of camei intended for ring stones will appear less extraordinary if we reflect that the ancients wore rings not for mere ornament but for use, as signets. The impressions from intagli are sometimes in the flat style of relief, others, slightly raised, are on the principle of mezzo-relievo (see Bas-reliefs). The gems of Dioscorides, the finest of antiquity, are in mezzo-relievo, and often of the fullest kind; as for instance, the heads of Demosthenes and Io, and the figures of Mercury and Perseus. The same may be observed of other celebrated gems, such as the Medusa of Solon, the Hercules of Cnæus.

Besides the two principal divisions we have just pointed out, engraved stones have received other characteristic denominations, derived from their form, or from the nature of their subjects:—Phaleræ, works "en ronde bosse." These are heads, and even busts in full relief, carved out of amethyst, sard, hyacinthine garnet, and, of much larger dimensions, in chalcedony; they served as amulets, as well as decorations on the armour of Roman officers. One of the most remarkable examples of these ornaments is the Medusa head, in the Marlborough collection; it is of chalcedony. The amethyst Gorgoneion in the

Blacas collection is another example. Scarabæi are oval engraved stones, with the upper surface cut in the shape of a beetle, or scarabæus, the flat lower surface being usually engraved. Cabochons, stones which are curved on one side, called by jewellers "tallow drop." Grylli, grotesque figures, so called from an Athenian of the name of Gryllus, famous for his ugliness. They are formed out of portions of various animals of the most diverse species, combined into the



GRYLLUS.

outline of a single monster that generally takes the form of a bird, a horse, an eagle or a helmet. From the style of work, and the finished execution exhibited in them, they evidently belong to the flourishing period of Roman art. They were designed for

talismans and amulets. Many were, however, mere *capricci*, aiming at the ludicrous alone. Masks, single or combined, form also a numerous class of subjects. *Symplegmata* are combinations of the forequarters of two beasts, as the lion and the bull, the bull and the goat, which are clearly zodiacal in their origin. *Chimærae* are imaginary beings, produced by the monstrous union of the members of several creatures into one. *Astriferi*, those in which astrological subjects and the stars are represented; *joined* (*conjugata*) are heads represented together on the same profile; and *opposite*, heads which face each other.

KNOWLEDGE AND TESTS OF ENGRAVED STONES.

THE art of distinguishing ancient stones from modern imitations, or compositions, is the most difficult part of the study; the most skilful judges are sometimes deceived in them, as Mr. King remarks: "No definite rules can indeed be given, as nothing but long experience, and the careful examination of large numbers of gems belonging to every period, can supply that almost intuitive perception in the art so impossible to be acquired in any other manner. We ought to examine, in the first place, if the material of the stone was known to or worked by the ancients, and if it was employed by the first artists. The harder gems were hardly ever used by ancient artists. There is such scanty evidence of the celebrated ancient artists engraving on precious stones, that precious stones with an engraving on them are to be looked on with suspicion. Lessing and the Count de Clarac, indeed, deny the existence of any really antique intaglio in the harder gems. The ancient artists preferred the sard and such stones as were best suited for the execution of the work, and for giving the most perfect impression of it."

The perfect finish of the work, the ease and freedom of the design, the fidelity of the costume, the interior of the engraving well polished, and very pure, are almost certain indications of antiquity. According to Mr. King, the truest test of antiquity appears to be a slight degree of dulness, like the mist produced by breathing on a polished surface, which the lapse of ages has cast upon the high lustre of the interior of the intaglio.*

* Mr. King adds, "A very satisfactory proof of antiquity is found when

A slight incorrectness, or even a slight fault in the design, need not, however, awaken suspicion; a very slight relief, or even when almost flat, is not a proof of a modern work; the ancient engraving is generally very deep, and the relief very high. The employment of perspective renders a stone very suspicious, as the ancients were unacquainted with the application of that science; their chief aim was to engrave the principal figure as deeply as possible, in order that it might stand out more in relief. A very marked distinction of the archaic-Greek and Græco-Italian work in intaglio, is the introduction of what is termed in French the *méplat*, a flat shallow manner. It may be defined, Mr. King writes, as the sinking the whole design into the stone, with its various portions, in a series of planes, at depths slightly different, upon which the muscles of the body, the folds of the drapery, and the other accessories, were finally traced in by the adamant point. The impression given by such an intaglio has its outline nearly as much raised as its highest projections, yet without sacrificing any of its effectiveness. This flatness of the interior of the intaglio may be pronounced the truest criterion of the antique origin of any gem.

In this early style it will be observed that the design is invariably so distributed as to fill up the entire field, whether of the scarabæus or of the ring stone. It may be laid down, as a rule, according to Mr. King, that in all works of a good period, especially in camei, the subject, be it a head, a single figure, or a group, is always so carried out as to engross as nearly as possible the entire surface of the stone, leaving no more than a narrow margin in the way of background, often little more than sufficing for the hold of the metal setting. An important consideration also in regard to intagli is their size, as it must always be kept in view that engraved stones were used for

the engraving appears to have been executed almost entirely with the diamond point." With all due deference to Mr. King's opinion, the diamond point was evidently not in use in the early periods of gem engraving, and was probably not introduced until after the time of Pliny. All engraving was undoubtedly done with the corundum or white sapphire, as Pliny says, "omnes autem adamante (corundum)." The *punctum lapidis* or hexagonal crystal of corundum, or the *crusta adamantis*, or splinter of corundum, would have been enough for the purpose of engraving the stones then in use, such as onyx, sard and other chalcedonic stones. Precious stones, such as ruby and sapphire, which were engraved with the diamond, all indubitably date after the time of Pliny.

signets and rings, and consequently their size could not be very large.

Mr. King gives the following important rules for distinguishing the antique from modern imitations. Firstly, it is an invariable rule that all antique designs are marked by an extreme simplicity. Rarely does the composition include more than two figures, or if others be introduced, they are treated as mere accessories, and only indicated in outline. To this branch of art Horace's maxim can be applied with but slight variation: "*Nec quarta loqui persona laboret.*" Except in the archaic school, which preferred the representation of forced attitudes and violent muscular exertion, *repose* is the grand characteristic of the matured Greek style, and its successor, the finer Roman. Again, there is a marked soberness in the invention of the subject; or rather there is no *invention* at all in it. It is always a literal transcript of some event in mythology offering a serious or a mystical interpretation; or else some feat of epic legend, that is, of the traditional history of their ancestors; or lastly, some business or diversion of every-day life. All these are rendered upon the gem according to certain unalterable and precise rules, and nothing fanciful is ever allowed to intrude. The whole design is carried out with rigid simplicity. Events of ancient or present history are never represented; an observation which applies invariably to the Greek, and with but rare exceptions to the Roman epoch. Still less do we find Virgilian or Ovidian episodes. All truly antique themes are ideas hallowed by long use and veneration, the "scriptural subjects," so to speak, of the ages that embodied them upon the gem.

Camei, a great number of which have been manufactured in modern times, are in general more to be suspected than intagli. A careful examination of the material of the stones, of their hardness, their weight, their taste, their opaqueness, and their touch, is particularly required. They ought also to be exposed to the sun in order to be certain that their layers are natural, and the inscriptions should be carefully examined to see that they were not added by forgers. The ancients employed the rich-coloured species alone, brought from India or Arabia. The genuine antique cameo, when it has only two layers, presents the upper one, forming the relief, in pearly or porcelain white upon a *black* ground, but which held against the light becomes translucent, and of the richest sard. It must also be remarked

here, that modern work has been frequently executed on ancient stones, which have been found unengraved. The appearance of ancient stones is generally duller and less brilliant than those of modern stones, a really antique sardonyx invariably assuming a dead appearance from the action of time. The subject, the drawing, the style, and the inscriptions, are a great assistance in lending their aid to an accurate knowledge of the art of distinguishing them; while a comparative study of ancient and modern works, and a great practice of the eyes and the judgment will lead to more certain results.

It has been said that wax attaches itself more readily to modern than to ancient stones, but this rule is not to be depended on; wax will attach itself the more readily to a stone, the less perfect is its polish, whether it is ancient or modern. Further, ancient stones are in existence which have been repolished, which sensibly alters the features of the composition, and detracts from their value.

Inscriptions are important tests of the antiquity of engraved stones; they are generally very short. They are either mottoes or proper names. Thus, on a carnelian representing Hercules reposing after his labours, this sentence in Greek is engraved, "Labour is the source of an honourable repose." As to proper names, three rules may be laid down: on Etruscan stones, it is the name of the person represented; on Greek stones it is the name of the artist; on Roman stones it is the name of the proprietor, or of the artist. Inscriptions are of the greatest assistance in the examination of the authenticity of a stone: the highest importance should be attached to the inscription; the shape of the letters should be examined; if it is such as is indicated by the ancient alphabets, their variation and their forms in accordance with the period to which the stone may be assigned; if it is Etruscan, the letters ought to be so also. In the old Greek style the letters should belong to the alphabet of that period, and the same for the later periods. In general, Greek artists wrote their name in the genitive case, when the word *ἔργον* is to be supplied, i.e. the work of —. If the name be in the nominative case, it is the verb which is omitted, thus *Διοσκουρίδης* implies the word *ἐποίησεν*: Dioscorides made it. Much doubt has been of late thrown on these two rules. Most of the names in the nominative or genitive case are supposed to be those of the proprietor. Such persons, Mr. King writes, when

they got their signets engraved by Greek artists, not merely used the Greek character for their own superscription, but carried still further their adoption of Grecian usage, and admitted the *prænomen* only as the proper designation of the individual, dropping the Roman *nomen* of the family as superfluous and barbaric. For the Greek had only one name, followed, if distinction were desired, by that of his father, or native city. This hypothesis alone offers a rational explanation for the appearance upon gems of **ΑΥΛΟC**, **ΓΑΙΟC**, **ΓΝΑΙΟC**, **ΛΕΥΚΙΟC**, and such like Roman *prænomina*, written in Greek letters. It was impossible that these could be borne by the Greek engravers of the gems, who, if free born, were called after the fashion of their country by significant appellations, as Dioscorides, Eutyches, Herophilus, and similar terms of good omen; or, if freedmen of patrician amateurs, had assumed upon manumission the family names of their *patroni* in addition to their own proper names—to take for example the eminent instances of *Antonius* Musa, *Manilius* Antiochus, *Staberius* Eros. No born Greek could have been denominated Aulus, or Gnæus, or Lucius simply, and we may be sure from the analogy of the other arts flourishing under Roman patronage, as well as from the few unquestionable records transmitted to us in this, that all the best gem engravers established at Rome were Greeks.

Almost all engraved gems being used for signets, it is most probable that the name engraved on them would be that of the owner and not of the engraver.

Mr. King lays it down as a rule that the sole genuine test of the name of the artist is that it was invariably followed by **ΕΠΟΙΕΙ**, of which we have important and undoubted instances, in those greatest triumphs of the art: the Stork of Dexamenos, the Julia of Evodus, the Pallas of Eutyches. Such a form of signature was the universal rule in sculpture, painting (as the vases conspicuously attest), and mosaic work. No *genuine* example has yet been adduced of an actual signet gem of the usual size, intended for wearing on the finger, that presents a name accompanied by this distinctive declaration of its engraver.

The gems bearing the artist's name, from their larger size, were evidently designed for ornaments for plate, or for the bracelet, not for signets, and were intended to be employed in capacities that permitted the artist's name to exhibit itself upon

them as unobjectionally as (which was then the rule) upon bas-relief or a picture.

Mr. King thus seems to make the following distinction. The name on the smaller intagli, intended for signets, was the name of the owner, while the name on the larger intagli, intended for ornaments, on plate, or for bracelets, was that of the artist.

As for signatures on *camei*, when *incised*, by far the greater part are palpable modern interpolations. On the other hand signatures in *relief* are, as a necessary consequence, contemporary with the actual making of the cameo, and therefore their genuineness rests upon exactly the same grounds as that of the whole of the work. From the remarkable coincidence of the names on the examples of *camei* known to us, with those borne by eminent painters and sculptors of the earlier school—Athenion, Boethus, Protarchus—Mr. King considers it more than probable that such inscriptions perpetuate—not the fame of the engraver who actually cut them for his Roman employer, but of the celebrated “old master,” whose *chef d’œuvre* he thus reproduces on a more precious and imperishable material.

An inscription adds to the value of a stone, but forgers have particularly applied themselves to this mode of deceit. The stone should be carefully examined: if the beauty of the work answers to the reputation of the ancient artist to whom it has been attributed, and whose style is known by other works; if the material, by its beauty and by its value, is in conformity with the rule adopted by the best engravers, to work only on the most beautiful of stones. The manner in which the letters are engraved is also an excellent test; on the more ancient stones they are not very carefully done, and sometimes are very uncertain. The interior, however, is well finished, and the polish is in harmony with that of the stone itself; here the magnifying glass is indispensable. The inscriptions on stones of the age of Augustus are remarkable for the beauty of the letters and their perfect execution, though very small. The undoubted signatures are written in a straight line, either running vertically down the field of the stone, usually close to and parallel with some vertical portion of the design, such as a cippus; or else carried horizontally across in one of the longest unoccupied spaces; provision for its reception having evidently been made in the first sketch of the composition. The letters are reversed upon the gem (if an intaglio), so as to read the right way in the

impression from it. They are always minute, so as to escape observation at first, and to appear what they really are, subsidiary to the work itself; for the same reason they are executed with a certain freedom, totally different from the laborious minuteness so conspicuous in the modern imitations of them. They rarely exhibit the terminal dots placed in the latter with such mathematical exactitude, and connected by fine hair lines. This style of lettering is pronounced by Stephani the most certain means of detecting the inscriptions due to the clever forgers of the last century. Some genuine inscriptions, however, have the letters terminating in dots, but these dots are absorbed, as it were, into the lines themselves.

The forms of the letters may likewise serve to discover fraud. The mixture of Greek with Latin letters is an evident sign of forgery. The same may be said of a letter expressed in two different ways in the same word; for instance, the *sigma*, written as C, and as Σ in the word **COΣTPATOC**. Such errors are commonly committed by modern artists, who undertake to add names of ancient masters to their works. They are generally indifferent grammarians; and therefore liable to commit errors that no artist of antiquity could have fallen into. Thus, also, deceived by the pronunciation of the name, they have written Διοσκοριδου, instead of Διοσκουριδου. When two names occur in the same case, one is the name and the other the surname; but when the first name is put in the nominative case, and the second in the genitive, this indicates that the artist was the son or pupil of him whose name is put in the genitive case. Thus, EPTYXHΣ ΔΙΟΣΚΟΥΡΙΔΟΥ signifies that Eutyches was the son or pupil of Dioscorides. If we read two proper names united by the conjunctive ΣΥΝ, it implies that these two artists worked on the same stone, as ΑΛΦΗΩΣ ΣΥΝ ΑΡΕΘΩΝΙ, Alpheus with Arethon. We have but one single instance of an engraver who, with his name, has indicated his profession on his gem and this is Apollodotus; by the side of the head of Minerva we read: ΑΠΟΛΛΟΔΟΤ · ΛΙΘΟ. Απολλοδότου λιθογλύπτου: ἔργον—the work of Apollodotus, the engraver. The greater number of the names of engravers are Greek. The names of Roman engravers are, for the most part, written in Greek letters. It is almost useless to add that a stone bearing the name of an artist whose age is known, and a subject derived from a period posterior to that artist, is a palpable forgery.

Andreini, a gem collector of Florence, and the Baron Stosch, first introduced the fashion of forging names of artists and engraving them in Greek characters on gems, Flavio Sirletti being generally employed in this branch of art. The vast success attending the interpolation of signatures made it universal; almost every fine work of antiquity that came into the market during the remainder of the century was enriched (or rather deteriorated) by the foisting in of some supposed artist's name, borrowed from Pliny's catalogue of noted sculptors, or from names on epitaphs. But the most audacious fabrication of the names of ancient artists on engraved gems are the well-known Poniatowsky gems. Every individual gem in this series, numbering about three thousand, presents us with the name of some supposed antique artist; Aulus, Cronius, Dioscorides, Gnæus, Pyrgoteles, Solon, and so on. The stones are generally of large dimensions and of fine quality, oriental sard for the most part, with a few amethysts and yellow crystals, engraved in intaglio, with groups or scenes taken from the Greek and Latin poets and mythologists, often executed with considerable taste and still greater technical skill. The whole were executed for the Prince Poniatowsky, (who died at Florence in 1833) by the best Roman artists flourishing at the beginning of the century—Cades, Ginganelli, Dies, etc. The inscriptions—that very difficult portion of the work—are from the hand of Odelli, who took upon himself that department exclusively.

The most skilful imitators of antique inscriptions among modern artists, were Flavio Sirletti, Natter, and Pichler, engravers of the 18th century. The first signed his own works, to give them an appearance of antiquity, with the initials of his name, in Greek letters ΦΤΣ, Phlabiou tou Sirletou. Pichler engraved his entire name ΠΙΧΛΕΡ. Natter translated his name into the Greek word ΥΔΩΟΣ, which deceived Winkelmann and others.

Some amateurs of the last two centuries, following the example of Lorenzo de' Medici, have had their name engraved on ancient stones as a mark that it is their property. It is said that the celebrated Maffei found some difficulty in interpreting the letters LAUR MED., which he found on some engraved stones which belonged to Lorenzo de' Medici.

We may also in some measure determine the period in which the engraver of a particular gem lived, by finding out the time

when his name was most common: thus, for instance, the name of Zosimus, more common in the Lower Empire than in any other period, will, with some probability, indicate that period to be the date of the work in question.



FAUN. *Sardonyx. Florence.*

SUBJECTS OF ENGRAVED STONES.

THE subjects of engraved stones, excepting portraits and fantastic compositions, are derived from mythology, from the heroic periods, or from historic events. Careful attention should be given in order to see whether the subject is in conformity with the rites, myths, and traditions which have been handed down to us; whether the attributes and the character of the figures are in exact accordance; as well as the accessory symbols. It must be remarked, however, that mythological subjects, unknown or difficult to explain, prove rather in favour of the antiquity of the stone than otherwise. The Egyptians have

strictly adhered in their works to the creed and religious ideas of their nation; and their scarabæi are in such endless numbers as to preclude imitation, except in rare materials; but in this case the incongruity and want of connection in the symbols traced in the inscription will quickly betray the forgery. As to the Etruscans, the style of their works is a type of authenticity which it is not easy to imitate. The Greeks treated only subjects taken from their mythology or their heroic history, and rarely from events contemporaneous with the practice of the art. At Rome, the artists still continued to adopt Greek subjects, and if they represented a subject from Roman history, they always mingled allegory with history; and the absence of allegorical figures in subjects of that kind always makes the stone very suspicious.

The first engravers exercised their skill in representing those animals upon which, in their times of primitive simplicity, man's thoughts were constantly turned, either as objects of utility, of amusement, or of terror; thus the ox, the stag, and the lion, are frequent upon these gems. The next step was the human figure at full length, engaged in the pursuits immediately interesting the owner of the signet—agriculture, war, the chase. In the next stage came the heroes of former times, but all depicted with the literal accuracy of daily life. Lastly, the gods themselves, now represented and worshipped in the human shape. At a later period the gems give us heads of heroes, nymphs and gods, and, the art having now attained to its fullest perfection, regal portraits, the latter certainly not before the age of Alexander. Heads represented in front face commence very late in the Greek period. Under the empire they are frequent, and gradually this became the established style for what were intended as the most elaborate works of the Decline. In their treatment of the imperial portraits, the Roman artists display every variety of style, and have manifestly taxed their invention for novel modes of representing the one subject which their patrons at court called upon them so incessantly to repeat. They frequently appear represented in the character of gods. The gem of Neisos represents Augustus in the character of Jupiter. A popular mode of representing the youthful Cæsar or heir-apparent was in the character of Mercury "the beneficent God" with wings in the hair, or the caduceus on the shoulder. In the same spirit of ingenious adulation, the empress figures as

Dybele, Ceres, or Isis; her daughters in the guise of Diana, but with their hair dressed in the fashion of the day. The emperors often parade in the character of Ammon, or of Serapis.

We here take advantage of the extensive experience and profound critical knowledge of Mr. King, in extracting from his work on 'Antique Gems,' a portion of his summary of the subjects generally found on engraved stones. First, beyond all dispute, are the figures of Victory, executed in every style, from that of the best epoch to the rude scratches of expiring art. Almost as frequent are the figures of Nemesis, only to be distinguished from Victory by her being always helmeted and holding a bridle or a measuring-rod in her hand. Fortune, the same goddess, regarded in another point of view, is to be recognised by her rudder, or her wheel. Venus, partly as the progenitrix of the Cæsars, yet more so as a protectress of the fair sex, comes next in point of frequency, usually in her character of Victrix, bearing a palm and a helmet. Cupids, as a necessary consequence, also abound in gems, and give scope for the most elegant fancy, on the part of the artist, in his representation of their various groups and attitudes, as engaged in various sports and occupations. Minerva takes the next place, and, as may be deduced from the style of the intagli, was the goddess who chiefly occupied the engravers under the Flavian family. Roma, distinguished from the preceding by being seated on a throne and holding an orb, is very frequent, especially in the gems of a later period. Next follows, in frequent representation, Bacchus, old, young, bearded, beardless; the Dionysus, the Indian, the Liber Pater of the Romans, with all his train of Silenus, Fauns, and Bacchantes, who disport themselves as full figures, busts, and heads, on all kinds of gems. In the early gems, surrounded with an Etruscan border, he appears as a bearded god. It was not until the age of Praxiteles that he was converted into the smooth-faced effeminate youth. Mercury has been also frequently figured on gems, the god of gain being probably the favourite deity of all times. Hercules, as the deity whose protection assured good luck, was a special favourite, particularly of the Romans, under the Middle Empire. Heads of the hero, covered with the Nemean lion's hide, and those of Omphale similarly arrayed, have exercised the highest talent of the Roman Imperial period. The bust of Jove, usually given as a front face, also is tolerably frequent, but much less so in the full figure of this deity seated

on a throne. Serapis, however, whose worship was so universal under the later emperors, claims by far the largest share of the intagli representing Jupiter. Apollo is next to Serapis in point of popularity, together with his attributes, especially lyres, represented in a great variety of shapes. On early gems he appears as the Pythian lord of oracles and poetry, but in the later, chiefly as Phœbus, genius of the sun. Mars is by no means uncommon upon Roman gems. On their signets he appears as the bearded, mature captain, arrayed in armour, but in earlier art as the nude and youthful Ares. Diana is more unfrequent. In her earliest figures she is the mere huntress, later, as a lunar deity, she is drawn by a car of stags, and in the Decline she is figured as the triple-bodied Hecate, in gems only intended for amulets. But the Artemis of Ephesus, a Pantheistic emblem of nature, having nothing in common with her Grecian namesake except her stags, has often furnished employment to good hands during the Roman period. Juno makes her appearance but seldom. Ceres is not seen very frequently. Neptune is still more rare, although his Tritons and Nereids frequently occur on gems. Neptune, however, constantly appears with the nymph Amymone, pitcher in hand. Saturn and Vulcan are rarely seen. Pluto has never been represented; the genius of Death, however, frequently occurs. The Muses are frequently represented: Clio with her manuscript roll, Polyhymnia, wrapped in her mantle and in thought, with finger on her lips, seated or bending forward; Melpomene regarding a tragic mask, Clio with the pastoral staff; Terpsichore tuning her lyre; Erato with her cithara. The gorgon's head, the special attribute of the goddess of wisdom, largely abounds, whether as the dying Medusa, in profile usually, or the living, full-faced gorgon, with snaky locks erect, and every feature distorted with terror and rage. This mystic form shows itself on gems as an averter of danger down to the latest period of the art. Millin has remarked a kind of connection between the colour of the stones used and the subjects represented; for instance, for the sea-born Venus the artists adopted the sea-green colour of the plasma; for Bacchus, the amethyst; for Neptune and the Tritons, the beryl, or aquamarine; for Proserpine, a black stone, for Marsyas, flayed, a red jasper. This rule is not, however, strictly carried out in its application.

An infinite variety of masks, chimærae, grylli, and capricci,

are also frequently found represented. They all belong to the second century.

Animals make up the majority of Etruscan intagli, especially in that rude class the origin of which can be distinctly assigned to the engravers of that nation. Of Roman date, the lion and the bull are the most common subjects, then the various kinds of dogs, and the wild boar. Among birds the Roman eagle, with various emblems, and the peacock, Juno's favourite bird, are the most frequently engraved, while among marine animals the hippocampus and the dolphin were in frequent use as seal devices. But of all subjects, portraits seem to have been most in favour. The Greek period gives us some magnificent portraits, but they are rare, and were most probably engraved only for the use of the person himself as his private signet. In the Roman period it seems to have been held a mark of loyalty to wear the portrait of the reigning emperor, which accounts for the vast number of such down to the time of Caracalla, and many of which, even of the early Cæsars, are of the most inferior execution, clearly manufactured at a cheap rate for the wear of the military and the poorer classes.

GLYPTOGRAPHIC COLLECTIONS AMONG THE ANCIENTS.

ENGRAVED STONES, besides being used as signets, were also employed for ornamenting the most precious works of art, and religious utensils. A Greek inscription, published by Chandler, and which was the public inventory of the treasure deposited in the opisthodomos of the Parthenon, distinctly shows that engraved stones formed a portion of it. A horn of abundance, of gold, and adorned with similar stones, was given by Augustus to the Temple of Concord at Rome; and the eloquence of Cicero against Verres has rendered famous a candelabrum, adorned with intagli and camei, destined by king Antiochus for the temple of Jupiter Capitolinus. According to Pliny and Suetonius, Cæsar and Marcellus consecrated collections of engraved stones in the temple of Venus and of the Palatine Apollo at Rome. Another collection, formed by king Mithridates, was

celebrated for its magnificence, even in ancient times. Pompey and Scaurus had also rich collections at Rome. Pliny remarks that Scaurus was the first who possessed a collection of precious stones in Rome. In the Lower Empire, engraved stones and precious stones were profusely used to ornament the dresses of princes, of ladies, and of rich private individuals; in the middle ages, they were still much sought after, when other ancient monuments were despised or unknown. The seal of king Pepin was an ancient stone bearing the figure of a Bacchus, and



APOLLO. *Sard.*

that of Charlemagne, a Serapis. The church jewellery, the reliquaries, the shrines of saints, the covers of the missals, were adorned with them; and these profane monuments, the subjects of which were at times anything but pious, added to the splendour of religious worship. The preservation of a great number of engraved stones, some indeed of the finest, is indebted to that custom. In the 15th century, an attempt was made to restore the glyptic art in the West. This art, which was not completely forgotten at Constantinople, passed on the revival of letters into Italy, where the Medici received it with a munificence

which is one of their fairest titles to the gratitude of mankind. They evinced a particular taste for engraved stones, and their courtiers propagated that taste, while their object was to flatter that of their masters. Giovanni and Domenico excelled in the practice of an art which was then the object of the greatest encouragement. The first engraved in intaglio, the second in relief, both with such success, that they are known in the history of the art under the names Giovanni de' Cornaline, and Domenico de' Camei.



ZEUS OVERCOMING THE GIANTS. Cameo. By Athenion. Naples.

ANCIENT ARTISTS.

THE names of gem engravers, writes Mr. King, recorded by ancient writers are astonishingly few in number, when we reflect upon the high estimation in which their productions were held, and the importance of the objects they subserved. Of these artists the earliest in record is Mnesarchus, only illustrious as being the father of Pythagoras; Nausias of Athens, a contemporary of the orator Lysias; Pyrgoteles, "engraver in ordinary" to Alexander; Apollonides and Cronias, of uncertain date; Satyreus, who flourished under Ptolemy II.; Tryphon,

under king Polemo, the protégé of Mark Antony; and lastly Dioscorides, who worked for Augustus.

This scanty list, however, was swollen to a most goodly roll-call by the fancy of the archæologists of the last century, who detected the engraver's own signature in every name that showed itself upon a fine work, provided only it were in Greek characters and in a somewhat smaller lettering than ordinary. Forgery, taking advantage of this preconception of the collector, speedily augmented the number of these signed masterpieces, by inserting in gems, both antique and new-made, the names of all the engravers, silver chasers, and even painters, mentioned in Pliny's catalogue of artists.

These pretensions at last were carried to such a height as to provoke the indignation of Köhler, an archæologist of great experience, domiciled at St. Petersburg, under the patronage of Catherine II. He therefore in an elaborate essay passes the whole list of engravers and their reputed works in review, and by a somewhat too sweeping judgment reduces their number to the small sum of five: viz., Athenion, Apollonius, Evodus, Protarchus, Epitynchanus; allowing even to these the existence of but one genuine work in each case.

In our day Dr. Brunn has, after a very critical examination of the evidence, added to these approved five a few more masters whose claims to the honour he deems equally unquestionable. These are Agathopus, Aspasius, Boethus, Dioscorides, his son Eutyches, Evodus, Herophilus, Heraclidas, Hyllus, Felix, Koinos, Myron, Nisus, Nicander, Onesas, Solon, Teucer. To whom may be now added the most ancient and most authentic of them all, "Dexamenos the Chian," who signs at full length his national emblem, the flying stork (*πελαργός*), upon the noble scarabæoid discovered at Kertch, and now in the Russian Imperial Cabinet; and his own portrait recently found at Athens.

We now give an extract from the 'Catalogue of Ancient Artists,' as drawn up by Dr. Brunn, and revised by Mr. King. He divides them into three classes.

CLASS I.—Names handed down to us by genuine inscriptions, and which are with confidence to be referred to the artist:—

AGATHOPUS:

Head of Sex. Pompey; behind it **ΑΓΑΘΟΠΟΥΣ
ΕΠΟΙΕΙ**, formerly Andreini's, now Florence. Intaglio;

aquamarine. In the opinion of Mr. King, a portrait of Hadrian.

Head of Laocoon.

Hercules; head in cameo.

APOLLONIUS :

Diana Montana (of the hills); intaglio; amethyst. Naples.

Head of Mæcenæ; hyacinthine garnet. Rhodes collection.

ASPASIUS :

Bust of the Minerva of Phidias; behind the neck

ΑΣΠΑΣΙΟΥ; red jasper. Vienna.

Agrippina as Ceres. Sard. Marlborough.

ATHENION :

Jupiter in his car overthrowing the Titans. The name in relief in the ground at one side; cameo. Naples.

BOETHUS :

Philoctetes reclining on the earth, fanning with a wing his bandaged leg; cameo. Beverley.

DIOSCORIDES :

Mercury standing. Sard. Marlborough collection.

Solon or Mæcenæ; amethyst. Paris.

Augustus; cameo. Ludovisi.

Head of Io. Sard. Florence.

The Diomedæ. Sard. Devonshire collection.

Augustus; amethyst. Blacas.

We now come to a long series of stones bearing the name of Dioscorides, all more or less suspected, many acknowledged to be forgeries. These are:—

Mercury Criophorus. Sard. Carlisle.

Perseus leaning on his shield. Sard. Naples.

Caligula; a cameo.

Part of the head of Iole; amethyst. Beverley.

Fragment of a group; Hercules and Omphale. Cades.

Wild boar attacked by a dog; black agate. British Museum.

Giant; aquamarine.

Medusa's head; cameo; signed **ΔΙΟC**.

Hermaphroditus reposing, attended by three Cupids; amethyst. Worsley.

Augustus. Sard.

Serapis; garnet.

Thalia seated, holding a mask.

Silenus seated under a tree. Sard. Naples. In the exergue.

ΔΙ°CK°P.

Julius Cæsar, front face; laurel crowned; lituus on the right; hyacinthine garnet. Blacas. B.M. Signed **ΔΙΟC-**

ΚΩΡΙΔΟΣ.

EPITYNCHANUS:

Germanicus; cameo; signed **ΕΠΙΤΥΓΧΑ.** Blacas. B.M.

EVODUS:

Julia, daughter of Titus; beryl. Paris. Signed **ΕΥΟΔΟΣ**

ΕΠΟΙΕΙ.

Bacchante or Muse. Sard.

Horse's head. Sard.

EUTYCHES, the son of Dioscorides.

Bust of Pallas. Intaglio. Signed **ΕΥΤΥΧΗΣ ΔΙΟC-**

ΚΟΥΡΙΔΟΥ ΑΙΓΕΑΙΟΣ ΕΠΟΙΕΙ. Amethyst.

Schillerheim collection. The intaglio in the Marlborough collection said to be a copy.

FELIX:

Diomedes and Ulysses carrying off the Palladium. Sard.

Marlborough. The legend is **ΚΑΛΠΟΥΡΝΙΟΥ CΕ-**

ΟΥΗΡΟΥ ΦΗΛΙΞ ΕΠΟΙΕΙ.

Cupid and Psyche. Sard.

HERACLEIDAS:

Head of M. J. Brutus; metal. Naples. Inscribed **ΗΡΑ-**

ΚΛΕΙΔΑΣ ΕΠΟΕΙ.

HEROPHILUS:

Laureated head of Augustus; a large cameo in blue paste.

Vienna. In the field **ΗΡΟΦΙΛΟΣ ΔΙΟΣΚΟΡΙ-**
ΔΟΥ.

HYLLUS:

Female diademed head. Sard. In front **ΥΛΛΟΥ.** St. Petersburg.

Head resembling Sabina.

Hercules; sardonyx. Berlin.

Dionysiac Bull; chalcedony. Paris.

Bust of Jupiter.

Cameo of a Laughing Satyr. Berlin.

KOINOS :

Adonis leaning on a column; sardonyx. Lichtenstein.

Head of Demetrius Poliorcetes; amethyst. Pulsky.

MYKON :

Muse seated. Sard. Rhodes.

NEISOS :

Jupiter Anxur, resting on his shield, the thunderbolt in his right hand. Sard. St. Petersburg.

NICANDROS :

Bust of Julia, the daughter of Titus; hyacinthine sard. Marlborough collection. Inscribed **ΝΙΚΑΝΔΡΟΣ ΕΠ.ΕΙ.**

ONESAS :

Muse leaning against a cippus, tuning her lyre; paste. Florence. Inscribed **ΟΝΗCΑC ΕΠΟΙΕΙ.**

Bust of Hercules. Sard. Florence.

PAMPHILOS :

Achilles seated on a rock playing the lyre; amethyst. Paris.

PROTARCHUS :

Cupid playing the lyre; cameo; sardonyx. In the exergue **ΠΡΩΤΑΡΧΟΣ ΕΠΟΕΙ** in relief. Florence.

SOLON :

Mæcenas. **COΛΩNOC** behind the head.

Rape of the Palladium. **COΛΩN ΕΠΟΙΕΙ.**

The Strozzi Medusa. Now in the Blacas collection in the British Museum.

Cupid standing. Sard. Roger.

Hercules bearded and crowned with laurel; in front face. Sard.

Head of an ivy-crowned laughing faun; oriental agate.

Livia as Ceres. Sard.

Head of Vulcan.

Victory with trophies. Sard. Westropp collection.

Victory sacrificing a bull. Raspe.

Roman emperor leaning on his shield. Raspe.

Satyr, inscribed **COΛΥΝΟC**. Raspe.

Head of Neptune, behind it **ΣΟΛΩ**; emerald. Hertz.

TEUCER :

Hercules seated, and drawing Iole towards him; inscribed

ΤΕΥΚΡΟΥ; amethyst. Florence.

None of the other pretended works of Teucer can pass for antique.

CLASS II.—Names, the genuineness or significance of which are matters of doubt.

ADMON :

The Hercules Bibax. Sard. In the Marlborough collection.

ΑΔΜΩΝ. Another in the Blacas. B.M. Signed **ΑΔ**.

ÆLIUS :

Head of Tiberius. Sard. Corsini collection. Another in the Townley collection. B.M.

ÆTION :

Bearded head, covered with a Phrygian cap; in front

ÆTIONOC. Sard. Devonshire.

AGATHARGELOS :

Sextus Pompey. Sard. Berlin.

AGATHON :

Bacchus with thyrsus and cup. Sard. St. Petersburg.

ALEXAS :

Bull-baiting. Sard. Berlin.

Lion in his cave, **ΑΛΕΞΑΣ ΕΠΟΕΙ**. Burnt sard.

AMMONIUS :

Head of laughing faun, full face. Behind **AMMONIOY**.

Sard. St. Petersburg.

ANTEROS :

Hercules carrying the bull. Aquamarine. Devonshire.

APELLES :

Mask; below it **ΑΠΕΛΛΟΥ**.

AULUS :

Cupid in chains; cameo. In the exergue **ΑΥΛΟΥ**.
Gleichen.

The Strozzi Æsculapius. Sard. Blacas collection. B.M.

Ptolemy Philopator. Sard. Paris.

Venus seated on a rock. Townley collection. B.M.

AXEOCHUS :

Dancing faun; ant. paste. In the exergue, **ΛΞΕΟΧΟΣ**
ΕΠ.

CLASSICUS :

Serapis on a throne.

DEMETRIUS :

Hercules strangling a lion.

DIONYSIUS :

Bacchante's head.

EPTTONOS :

Venus Victrix.

EUMEROS :

Mars in full armour.

GAIOS :

Sirius; garnet. Marlborough collection. With the inscription **ΓΑΙΟΣ ΕΠΟΙΕΙ.**

GNAIOS :

Head of youthful Hercules; beryl. **ΓΝΑΙΟΣ.** Blacas Collection. B.M.

Athlete anointing himself. Sard.

Rape of the Palladium. **ΓΝΑΙΟΥ.** Banded agate. Devonshire collection.

HELLEN :

Bust of Antinous as Harpocrates. Sard. St. Petersburg.
Mask. Blacas.

Head of a young satyr. **ΕΛΛΗΝΟΥ.** Mr. King strongly suspects that **ΕΛΛΗΝ** is, in every case, the signature of *Alessandro Il Greco.*

KRONIUS :

Terpsichore, standing, and resting her lyre on a cippus.

Perseus with Medusa's head. **ΚΡΩΝΙΟΥ.** Sard. Devonshire. Another in the Marlborough.

LUCIUS :

Victory driving a biga. Sard. Wassanaer.

Bearded satyr. **ΛΟΥΚΤΕΙΟΥ.** Marlborough collection.

MIDIAS :

Gryphon trampling on a serpent; cameo. **ΜΙΔΙΟΝ**
Paris.

MYRTON :

Nymph on the back of a swan. **ΜΥΡΤΩΝ.**

ONESIMUS :

Jove standing. Sard. Hoorn.

PERGAMOS :

Satyr dancing; paste. Florence.

PHARNACES :

Hippocampus. **ΦΑΡΝΑΚΗΣ ΕΠ.** Sard. Naples.

Lion passant. Sard. Beverley.

Female panther, passant. **ΦΑΡΝΑΚΟΥ.** Sard. Rhodé collection.

PHILEMON :

Theseus and the slain Minotaur; sardonyx. Vienna.

Bust of ivy-crowned laughing satyr. **ΦΙΛΗΜΩΝ**
Paste. Strozzi. **ΕΠΟΙ**

PHOCAS :

Athlete standing, holding a palm.

PLATO :

Charioteer.

POLYOLEITUS :

Rape of the Palladium.

SATURNIUS :

Antonia, wife of Drusus; cameo.

Dioscuri. Thorwaldsen Museum.

SEVERUS :

Hygeia; plasma. Slade collection.

SKOPAS :

Caligula. Sard. Leipsic Museum.

Œdipus and the sphinx. **ΣΚΟΠΑ ΕΠ.**

SCYLAX :

Mask of Pan; amethyst. Blacas.

Hercules seated; cameo. **ΣΚΥΛΑΚΟΣ.**

SOSOCLES :

Medusa's head. **ΣΩΚΟΛΑ.** Chalcedony. Blacas.

SOSTRATUS :

Victory leading the horses of a biga. **ΩΣΤΡΑΤΟΥ.**

Cameo. Naples.

Meleager standing opposite the seated Atalanta. Cameo.
Devonshire.

THAMYRUS :

Sphinx scratching her ear with her hind paw. **ΘΑΜΥ-**

ΡΟΥ. Sard. Vienna.

Child seated. Harpocrates. Cameo. Beverley.

CLASS III.—Names due to false readings, or which do not refer to a gem engraver.

ÆPOLIAN :

M. Aurelius. **ÆPOLIANI** behind it. Devonshire.

AGATHEMEROS :

Socrates. Sard. Blacas.

AKYLOS :

Venus in the bath. Sard. Raspe.

ALEXANDROS :

Cupid, a lion, and two females. **ΑΛΕΞΑΝΔ.** E. Cameo.
Florence.

Profile of a man, beardless. Florence.

ALLION :

Youthful head; Hercules. Sard. Florence. The legend is read by Köhler, **ΔΑΛΙΟΝ.**

Muse leaning against a cippus, playing the lyre. **ΑΛΛΙΩ-**
ΝΟΣ. Nicolo. Blacas. B.M.

ALPHEUS AND ARETHON :

Male and female heads, facing each other.—

ΑΛΦΗΟΣ

ΚΥΝ

ΑΡΗΘΩΝΙ. Cameo. St. Petersburg.

AMARANTHUS :

Hercules and the Stymphalian birds. Sard. Praun.

AMPHO :

Bearded head, called Rhæmetalces. Florence.

ANTIOCHUS :

Bust of Pallas. Sard.

ANTIPHILUS :

Bow and arrow. Leyden.

APOLLODOTUS :

Head of Pallas. Sard. Barberini. **ΑΠΟΛΛΟΔΟΤΟΥ**
ΛΙΘΟ.

APOLLONIDES :

Cow lying down. **ΑΠΟΛΛΟΝΙΔΟΥ.** Intaglio. Sard.
Devonshire collection.

ARCHION :

Venus riding on a Triton. Sard.

ARISTOTEICHES :

Lioness. Scarabæus. Plasma.

ARISTON :

Ulysses seated ; red jasper. Paris.

ATHA :

Amazon.

AXIOS :

Capricorn. Sard.

BEISITALOS :

Cupid standing ; sardonyx. Florence.

CÆKAS :

Youth standing, holding a sword.

CHÆBEMON :

Runner holding a palm. Sard. B.M.

CHARITON :

Venus in a temple ; cameo. St. Petersburg.

XEΛΥ :

Seated Sphinx. Sard. De Thoms.

XPYCOY.N :

A lunar-shaped E.

ΔAMNAMENEYC :

Mercury girt with a serpent, and surrounded by sacred
animals ; touchstone. De la Turbie, a Gnostic talisman.

DARON :

Janus head. Sard. Mariette.

DEUTON :

A race between four chariots ; paste.

DIPHILI.

Vase embossed with a sphinx ; amethyst. Naples.

DIVILIS :

Bust of youthful satyr ; red jasper.

DOMETIS :

Jupiter enthroned ; chalcedony. Berlin.

DOMITI :

The Ephesian Diana. Sard. Bosanquet.

DORY :

Female bust.

EPITRACHALOS :

False reading for Epitynchanus.

EUELPISTUS :

Chimæra ; red jasper. St. Petersburg.

Nemesis seated. Sard. Grivaud.

EUPLO :

Cupid riding on a dolphin ; sardonyx.

EUTHUS :

Silenus drunk.

GAMUS :

Spes ; emerald. Kestner.

GAURANUS :

Boar attacked by a bloodhound. **ΓΑΥΡΑΝΟC ΑΝΙ-
ΚΗΤΟΥ.** Bloodstone.

GLYCON :

Venus borne upon a sea-bull ; cameo. Paris.

HEDY :

Medusa's head ; onyx. Murray.

HEIUS :

Diana holding a stag by the horns. **ΗΕΙΟΥ.** Agate.

Head of Apollo. Sard. Greville.

Dying Amazon ; sardonyx. Raspe.

Minerva. Nicolo. Raspe.

Dolon attacked by Diomedes and Ulysses. Blacas.

KÆSILAX :

Roma seated ; sardonyx. Raspe.

KAIKICIANOY APIA :

Venus ; agate onyx.

KARPUS :

Fragment of the right leg of Hercules. **ΚΑΡΠΟΣ.**

Faun seated on a panther ; red jasper. Florence.

Muse of Onesas ; cameo.

Hercules and Omphale. Sard.

The satyr of Pergamus. Signed **ΚΑΡΠΟΥ.** De Thoms.

Perseus holding up the Gorgon's head. Sard.

KASTRICIUS :

Corybant with thyrsus and vase ; amethyst.

KISSOS :

Heads of C. and L. Cæsar.

KLEON :

Apollo standing, with his lyre, by a tripod set upon a lofty basis. Sard.

KRATEROS :

Ephesian Diana. Sard.

KPHCKHC :

Terpsichore.

KYINTIA :

Small comic mask. Marlborough.

LYSANDROS :

Scarabæus. Volterra Museum.

MAXALAS :

Bust of Antoninus Pius.

MCIOPCIC :

Hercules carrying the bull. Worsley.

MHNA TOY ΔΙΟΔΩΡΟΥ :

A diademed female head. De Thoms.

MILESIOS :

Apollo seated before a tripod. Paste.

MIRON :

Head of a muse. Sard.

Ajax killing himself.

Daphne pursued by Apollo.

Lion passant. Blacas.

MIΘ :

Horse's head. Sard. Berlin.

Eagle's head. Poniatowsky.

MUSIKOS :

Harpocrates; sardonyx. The Hague.

NEARKOS :

Head of Sulla. Sard. Pulsky.

Head of Demetrius III.; amethyst. Pulsky.

Head of Epicurus. Sard. Cades.

NEILOS :

Head, like Hadrian's.

NEPOS :

Youth playing the lyre. Schellersheim.

NERT :

Bust of Cupid; chrysolite. The Hague.

NICOMACHUS :

Faun seated on a panther's skin; black agate. Molinari.

Hercules' head. Schellersheim.

Socrates' head. Cades.

NIKEPHOBOS :

Mercury; onyx. Cassel Cabinet.

A Victory; raspe.

Vulcan. Sard. Florence.

NYMPHEROS :

Warrior standing.

ORUS :

Silenus, mask; cameo.

P. PALONIANUS :

A name upon a sard.

PANÆUS :

Satyr assailing a nymph. ΠΑΝΑΙΟΥ.

ΠΑΖΑΛΙΑΣ :

The signature of the Roman engraver Passaglia in the last century.

PELAGI :

Diana; lapis lazuli.

PETROS :

Caracalla, christened into an apostle.

PHILIPPUS :

Hercules; sardonyx.
Horse. Marlborough.

PHILOCALOS :

Youthful head. Sard. Florence.

PHRYGILLUS :

Cupid playing with astragali. Sard. Blacas.

PLUTARCHUS :

Bust of Cleopatra. Murr.

POEMUS :

Achilles playing the lyre. Monttezun.

POLYCRATES :

Psyche seated, Cupid flying away. **ΠΟΛΥΚΡΑΤΗΣ**
ΕΠΟΙΕΙ. Garnet.

POLYTIMUS :

Hercules holding the apples of the Hesperides.

POTHUS :

Three masks.

PRISCUS :

Matidia; onyx. Clanbrasil.

PYLADES :

Mount Argæus; red jasper.

PYRGOTELES :

Neptune and Pallas. **ΠΥ.** Cameo. Naples.

Roman head. **ΠΥΡΓΟΤΕΛΗΣ ΕΠΟΙΕΙ** in the
exergue, and **ΦΩΚΙΩΝΟΣ** in the field, mentioned by
Vasari as a work of Al. Cesati.

Alexander's head, covered with lion's skin.

Hercules and Iolaos killing the hydra.

Alexander's head. Blacas.

Medusa's head; broken amethyst. Blacas.

QUINTIL :

Neptune, in a car drawn by hippocampi; beryl. Ludovisi.
Mercury; sardonyx. Spilsbury.

QUINTUS :

Fragment of a cameo; the legs of a Mars Gradivus; sardonyx.
Florence.

Neptune and Amymone. B.M.

RUFUS :

Head of Ptolemy VIII. Sard. Raspe.

Aurora. **ΡΟΥΦΟΣ . ΕΠΟΙΕΙ**. Cameo. Orleans.

SELEUCUS :

Silenus, head. Sard. The Hague.

Priapus-Herme; emerald. De Thoms.

Cupid playing with a boar; paste. Raspe.

Hercules, head. Sard. Blacas.

SEMON :

Water-carrier. Scarabæus. Gerhard.

SEXTIANUS :

Head of Apollo, radiated. Sard.

SILVANUS :

Hercules. Sellari.

SKYMNOS :

Satyr with thyrsus, running. Sard. Cades.

SOCRATES :

Actor, standing; cameo. Roger.

Comedian; sardonyx. Roger.

Fortuna Panthea; red jasper. Borrè.

TAURIS(KOS).

Phcebus seated on a mountain.

TRYPHON :

Marriage of Eros and Psyche. Sardonyx-cameo. Marlborough collection. **ΤΡΥΦΩΝ :**

Cupid on a lion. The Hague.

Hercules and Antæus. The Hague.

Triumph of a victor, in a car drawn by elephants. Marlborough.

YTHILUS :

Mars seated. Cortona.

ZENO :

Serapis, head. Nicolo. Beugnot.



CAMEO OF THE SAINTE-CHAPELLE.

CELEBRATED ENGRAVED STONES.

We now give a short notice of some of the ancient engraved stones which have acquired celebrity from the perfection of the workmanship, or from the beauty or size of the material. The most important are large camei in sardonyx. According to

Mr. King, their square forms suggest the notion that they were intended for panels, and formed the sides of sacred coffers, and were dedicated in temples, by the piety of the emperor, whose deeds these relievi commemorate. Among these the most celebrated are—

I. The cameo called that of the Sainte-Chapelle in the Bibliothèque, at Paris. It is a sardonyx composed of two brown and two white layers, and is an oval of 18 inches by 9. It was brought from the East by King Baldwin and given to the Sainte-Chapelle by King Charles V. It presents three scenes. In the upper portion is the apotheosis of Augustus. In the middle portion, are Tiberius under the figure of Jupiter, and Livia, his mother, under the figure of Ceres. They receive Germanicus on his triumphal return to Rome A.D. 17. Agrippina, his wife, assists him in taking off his helmet, and his son, Caligula, stands behind him. The young man who carries a trophy is Drusus, son of Tiberius. In the lower portion are vanquished nations personified under the figures of warriors dressed in the costume of eastern and western nations.



GEMMA AUGUSTEA, OF VIENNA.

II. The Cameo of Vienna, or the Gemma Augustea, is not so large as that of Paris, and presents but two scenes. It is superior as a work of art, and is in better preservation. It

passed from the Abbey of Poissy to Germany, having been purchased by Rudolph II. for 1,200 ducata. It is considered the finest work in relief extant. It has but two layers. Its shape is elliptical, 9 by 8 inches. The subject is the reception of Drusus (father of Germanicus) after his victory over the Rheti and Vindelici, B.C. 17. Augustus as Jove, and Livia as Rome, seated on thrones, welcome the hero and his brother Tiberius. Behind Augustus are Neptune and Cybele, who seem to be symbols of his powers over land and sea.



PTOLEMY PHILADELPHUS AND ARSINOË.

III. Ptolemy Philadelphus and Arsinoë. His helmet is adorned with the Agathodæmon serpent, in compliment to his auspicious rule. If this ascription is correct it is the earliest known example of a cameo. It is at Vienna.

IV. The Tazza Farnese, at Naples. It is composed of a single piece of sardonyx, and is eight inches in diameter. The subject of the sculpture has given rise to much learned and elaborate disquisition. It is generally supposed to represent the apotheosis of the first Ptolemy. According to Professor Quaranta, it represents Ptolemy Philadelphus consecrating the festival of the harvest instituted by Alexander the Great, at the time of the foundation of Alexandria. The latest opinion with regard

to its subject is that it represents the prosperity of Egypt: Father Nilus, attended by his two daughters of the Delta with the Etesian winds soaring over head in front is the land of



TAZZA FARNESE.

Egypt, reclining on her Sphinx. The outside is ornamented with the head of Medusa. The place of its discovery is uncertain. It is supposed to have been found in the Villa Adriana, near Rome.

V. The portraits of Ptolemy Philadelphus, and his first wife Arsinoe, according to Visconti. In the opinion of Mr. King, it is much more probably a Nero and Agrippina, as is conclusively proved by the nascent beard of the prince (never worn by a Ptolemy), and from the ornamentation of the ægis exactly agreeing with that seen in the portraits of Augustus. This

cameo is of sardonyx, but is composed of several pieces. The collars and ornaments given to each head conceal the joinings. It is in the Imperial collection of Russia.



PTOLEMY AND BERENICE. *Cameo.*

VI. The head of Jupiter Ægiocnus. This cameo was found at Ephesus, and is now in Venice.

VII. The Carpegna cameo in the Vatican. It represents the triumph of Bacchus and Ceres in a car drawn by Centaurs. This cameo is remarkable as being the largest slab of sardonyx known, being 16 inches long by 12 deep. It is composed of five layers.

The Museum of Venice possesses several other magnificent camei, especially those which represent Orestes, the car of Neptune, Rome and Augustus, Claudius and his family. In Paris, in the Bibliothèque, there are many remarkable camei. The apotheosis of Germanicus, Agrippina and Germanicus under the figures of Ceres and Triptolemus, Ulysses, portraits of Tiberius, Claudius, Marcus Aurelius, Faustina, Adrian, Antoninus. In the British Museum and in the Devonshire collection are some smaller, yet beautiful specimens of Greek and Roman work. In the Marlborough collection is the celebrated Cupid

and Psyche of Tryphon. It also contains some fine Imperial portraits. The Imperial cabinet of Vienna possesses besides the finest work of the kind in existence, the *Gemma Augustea*, many other camei. The Russian cabinet contains, among others, the celebrated cameo in agate onyx, of Perseus and Andromeda. The Florentine collection possesses also many camei of rare beauty. This collection was originally founded by Lorenzo de'



JUPITER JEGIOCHUS.

Medici. In the collection at Naples is the cameo by Athenion, representing Jupiter hurling his thunderbolts against the Titans.

Among the most celebrated intagli are

Of *Dioscorides*, the *Io*, considered by *Visconti* as one of the finest engravings in existence. It cannot be reproduced exactly in the plaster cast on account of the under cutting of the nose, the intaglio being a three-quarter face. It is far superior, both in delicacy and correctness, to the *Demosthenes* by the same artist. Sard. Florence.

The *Demosthenes*. This is on a splendid amethyst, but shows

somewhat of stiffness and hardness of manner. Both these intagli are much more deeply cut than is usual with antique gems, and differ in this respect from his Diomede, master of the Palladium, which is in flat relief. It may be set down as one of his earliest productions. (C. W. King.)

Diomede, master of the Palladium. The hero appears seated, with one leg extended, and contemplating the statue placed on a cippus before him. It is on a red sard in very flat relief.

Mercury, a front face figure, clothed in chlamys and petasus, and holding in his hand the caduceus. Sard, in the Marlborough collection.

Mercury Criophorus. A naked and wingless figure holding a ram's head in his left hand, and in his right a caduceus. The head presents a full face. A sard in the Devonshire collection.

Perseus resting his hand on a shield with a Medusa's head, and a sword. A sard in the Museum at Naples.

The head of Julius Cæsar. Laureated head in front face, at the side the lituus, and the star of deification. Hyacinthine garnet. Blacas collection. British Museum.

The Medusa, of Solon. Following the invariable rule of Greek art never to represent anything hideous or repulsive, Medusa is here represented with features of exquisite beauty. Eleven serpents are twined in her hair. It was found in a vineyard on the Monte Celio, near SS. Giovanni e Paolo. It is engraved in chalcedony. It was formerly in the Strozzi collection, and in that of the Duc de Blacas; it is now in the British Museum.

The Pallas, of Aspasia. The richly ornamented helmet is surmounted by a lofty crest, and by a sphinx, the emblem of celestial intelligence; two griffins, placed in the lateral parts, present an analogous emblem; and over the visor, eight horses in front, in full gallop, present a sublime image of the power and the rapidity with which the divine mind acts. It is supposed to represent the head of the Pallas of Phidias. Red jasper. In the Vienna collection.

The Julia, of Evodus. It is the portrait of Julia, the daughter of Titus and Marcia, with diadem, curled hair, necklace, earrings. It is engraved on a beryl or pale sapphire, of extraordinary magnitude. The size and beauty of the stone

and the high finish of the work, render this gem very remarkable. It is in the collection of the Imperial Library at Paris.

The young Hercules, of Gnæus. An exquisite example of the Greek type of head, and a most perfect specimen of Greek work. Beryl. Blacas collection, British Museum.

The Æsculapius, of Aulus. It is a bust of Æsculapius. The name of the artist is engraved on a tablet. This is considered the finest of the works of Aulus. There are several other engraved stones bearing the name of this artist, but from their inferior workmanship, they are evidently not by the same engraver. Sard. Blacas collection.

The Pallas, of Eutyches. It is a bust of Pallas, by Eutyches, the son or pupil of Dioscorides. She wears the Corinthian helmet, such as is worn by the Pallas of Velletri, and as she is represented on the coins of Corinth. She holds her robe on her breast. The stone is a pale amethyst, deeply engraved.

The Dionysiac Bull, of Hyllus, in grey chalcedony. The bull is girt with ivy, and over him a thyrsus. It is almost similar in style to the bull on the coins of Sybaris. There are several antique copies of this intaglio.

The Achilles Citharædus, of Pamphilus. It represents Achilles seated on a rock playing the lyre. It is engraved in amethyst, and is now in the Bibliothèque in Paris.

The flying stork of Dexamenos, upon a scarabæoid, found at Kertch, and now in the Russian Imperial cabinet.

The Terpsichore, of Allion. A standing figure, tuning her lyre; behind her a figure of the infant Bacchus erected on a cippus. Nicolo. Blacas collection, B.M.

The Hercules and Iole of Teucer. Sard. Florence.

The Hercules Bibax, of Admon. A full-length side figure to the left, carrying a vast club. Its massive and clumsy proportions show it to be a work of a late Roman period. Sard, Marlborough.

The signet of Michael Angelo. The subject is a vintage, and Bacchic festival, and in the exergue is a boy fishing. It is a sard, and has given rise to many opposite opinions with regard to the representation of the subject, as also with regard to its antiquity. Those who believe it to be antique, consider the boy fishing as the symbol of the Greek engraver



1



2



3



4



5



6



7



8

1. Pallas, of Eutyches.
2. Esculapius, of Anlus.
3. Medusa.

4. Julia, daughter of Titus, of Evodus.
5. Io, of Moscoridea.

6. Medusa, of Solos.
7. Hercules, of Gama.
8. Pallas, of Aspasia.



1



2



3



4



5



6



7



8



9

- | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1. Mercury, of Dioscorides. | 5. Cupid, of Phrygilus. |
| 2. Mercury Criophorus, of Dioscorides. | 6. Hercules, of Anteros. |
| 3. Hercules Bibax, of Admon. | 7. Hercules and Iole, of Teucer. |
| 4. Diana, of Apollonius | 8. Julius Caesar, of Dioscorides. |
| 9. Diomedes, of Dioscorides. | |

ΑΑΛΙΩΝ; others, on the other hand, deem it a rebus upon the name of the artist Gio Maria de Pescia, the celebrated engraver, and friend of Michael Angelo.



THE FLYING STORK, OF ΔΕΙΑΜΕΝΟΣ.

CUPS.

Though, strictly speaking, not included under the head of engraved gems, we must not omit to notice drinking cups and vases, particularly as they are sometimes found ornamented with mythic subjects in relief, and, as Mr. King remarks, may be considered as huge camei. They are generally of the same stone as is used for camei, sardonyx. The most splendid agate vase of this kind is the two-handled cup or carchesium of St. Denys, usually styled the cup of the Ptolemies. Its sculptures represent masks, vases, and other Bacchic emblems. It is supposed to have been executed for Ptolemy Dionysus. But Mr. King considers it to be, from its style, of the time of Nero. It was presented by Charles the Bald,* in the ninth century, to the Abbey of St. Denys, and was always used to hold the wine at the coronation of the kings of France. It is now in the collection of antiquities at Paris. Another celebrated vase is the Brunswick vase, of sardonyx, which represents the myth of Ceres in search of Proserpine, and that of Triptolemus. It is an *alabastron*, or tall perfume jar, with narrow neck, five inches high by two in the greatest diameter. Its style is supposed to indicate the age of

* M. Labarte says it was given by Charles III. (the Simple).

the Antonines. It originally belonged to the Gonzaga family, but was stolen at the sacking of Mantua, in 1630, by a soldier who sold it for 100 ducats to the Duke of Brunswick.



THE TWO-HANDLED CUP OF ST. DENYS.

MODERN COLLECTIONS.

THE example given in Italy by the Medici, found imitators in other parts of Europe; collections of engraved stones were formed in different places by princes, rich private individuals, learned men, and artists. The Crusaders brought several from the East; Peiresc collected engraved stones at the same time

that he collected inscriptions, manuscripts and medals; he propagated that taste by his example. The kings of France gave some very valuable stones to churches and abbeys; these precious objects became afterwards the property of the crown, and were placed in the royal cabinets, and those of princes; and after the sixteenth century, several collections enjoyed great celebrity. Time has dispersed some and increased others. At the present day the most remarkable among public collections are those of the Florence Gallery, the stones of which are considered to be over four thousand in number; of the Vatican at Rome, of the Museum at Naples, of the King of Prussia, of the Emperor of Austria, of the King of Denmark, at the castle of Rosenburg at Copenhagen, of the Emperor of Russia, which contains the Natter and d'Orléans cabinets: the French Cabinet des Antiques possesses many fine gems, which have been lately added to by the Duc de Luynes' collection. In 1858 Mr. Chabouillet gives the total of the collection as 2,536 of camei and intagli, antique and modern. It is remarkable for the beauty of the camei. The British Museum contains many valuable treasures, bequests of Messrs. Townley, Payne Knight, and Cracherode. The collection has been lately greatly enhanced in value by the acquisition of the priceless gems of the Blacas collection; and among the cabinets which do not belong to sovereigns, the most celebrated were the Strozzi and Ludovisi collections in Rome, the Poniatowsky in Russia, and at the present day, the Devonshire, Marlborough,* Bessborough, Carlisle, and Bedford collections in England; and the collections of the Duc de Blacas, the Count Pourtalès, and the Baron Roger at Paris. Some very beautiful works, both ancient and modern, are to be found in these collections.

Many learned men have devoted themselves to the interpretation of engraved stones. Leonardo Agostini published, in the beginning of the seventeenth century, a collection of them, several editions of which have been published. The collection of La Chausse appeared at Rome in 1700, that of Gori was printed several times in Leyden, and the collection of Ebermayer, at Nuremberg in 1720. Some antiquarians devoted especial attention to a particular class of these stones, as Chifflet to abraxas, Passeri to astrological stones, Ficoroni to


* It has passed (the 28th of June, 1875) into the hands of Mr. Bromilow, of Battlesden Park, Bedfordshire.

those which bore inscriptions. Afterwards there appeared particular descriptions of the most celebrated cabinets; such are the great works known under the title of *Pierres gravées*, by Gori, by Bossi, the *Museum Florentinum* of Gori, the '*Galerie de Florence*,' by Wicar and Mongé, the *Museum Odescalchum*, by Galeotti; the description of intagli of the cabinet of the King of France, by Mariette, that of the engraved stones of the Duke of Orleans, by Leblond and Lachaux, of the cabinet of Vienna by Eckhel, of the cabinets of Gravelles, Crassier, and Stosch, by Winkelmann; the description of the cabinet of the Duke of Marlborough, and that of the Imperial Cabinet of St. Petersburg, by M. Köhler. A valuable work has been published by Millin, entitled, '*Pierres gravées inédites, tirées des plus célèbres cabinets de l'Europe*.' Other archæologists have also devoted their attention to engraved gems, in particular, or in works containing different branches of archæology. Among these are Montfaucon in his '*Antiquité Expliquée*;' the Count de Caylus, in his important '*Recueil*,' and also Amaduzzi, Rasponi, Vivenzio, Lippert, and Raspé. Several other archæologists have published works, laying down rules for the study of engraved stones; works for the purpose have been published by Millin, Marcelli, Murr (Dresden, 1606), and by the senator Vettori (Rome, 1739), Busching (Hamburg, 1781), Aldius (Cesena, 1789), Eschemburg (Berlin, 1787), M. de Köhler (St. Petersburg, 1810). The most important work of the present day is that of Mr. King, on '*Antique Gems*,' which displays an extensive critical knowledge of engraved stones, combined with exquisite taste. Mr. Maskelyne's '*Introduction to the Catalogue of the Marlborough Collection*' and Mr. H. M. Westropp's '*Precious stones and antique gems*' afford much information on the mineralogy of antique gems.

GLYPTOGRAPHY OF ANCIENT NATIONS.

AFTER making those few general remarks on Glyptography, it will be necessary to enter into some particular details on the productions of that art which have come down to us from each of the ancient nations, the antiquities of which we have under-

taken to illustrate. In the paragraphs of this section will be found some special observations on the engraved stones of the Egyptians, the Etruscans, the Greeks, and Romans; some particulars which ought to be especially observed, so as not to be




ULYSSES AND THE PALLADIUM.

misled with regard to their authenticity, the genuine expression of the subject, the characteristics of the workmanship, and their classification.

EGYPTIAN GLYPTIC ART.

The most general form of Egyptian engraved stones is that of the scarabæus or beetle, with an oval flat base; the surface of which received the engraving in flat intaglio. This base is pierced in its length. The insect is more or less in relief over the base, according to the finish of the workmanship. Egyptian scarabæi may be considered at one and the same time as camei and intagli. Sometimes the execution in relief of the figure of the insect is so carefully finished, as to leave no doubt that it is an accurate representation of the scarabæus sacer, at the present

lay found in Barbary and all along the coast of Africa. It was an emblem of the principal male deities of Egypt, as Pthah, Ra, and Kheper, forms of demiourgi or creators. It occurs on the head of the Pigmy Pthah, to indicate creative life. It was an emblem of the creator holding the world in his arms, from its habit of placing its eggs in a ball of clay which it pushed before it to be vivified by the sun. Its Egyptian name was Cheper, Creator.*




EGYPTIAN SCARABÆI.

The elytra or upper wings of the stone scarabæi are generally united, and sometimes marked with furrows. They were generally used for ornamental, funereal, and historical purposes, and were usually worn as seals and amulets. The greater number of scarabæi were mounted in rings, which frequently bore the name of the wearer, the name of the monarch in whose reign he lived, and also the emblems of certain deities; they were so

* Its correct meaning is "to transform."

set in the gold ring as to allow the scarabæus to revolve on its centre, it being pierced for that purpose. They were also strung in necklaces. Scarabæi are of various sizes, and were made of different substances, of greenstone, carnelian, hæmatite, granite, serpentine, agate, lapis lazuli, plasma, amethyst, and other materials. The larger proportion will be found cut out of steatite, or else a calcareous schist of different colours, blue, green, dark and white; sometimes also in a soft limestone resembling chalk, which in many cases has been coated all over with the blue or green enamel to which the Egyptians were so partial. Many again are in blue vitrified clay, and some few



EGYPTIAN SCARABÆI.

in glass, but these last the rarest of all. Scarabæi have been used at all periods of Egyptian history. According to Mr. Birch, they are of all ages, from the fourth dynasty down to the Roman Empire. The principal period of their manufacture was, however, the reign of Thotmes III. of the eighteenth dynasty, one-tenth of these amulets bearing his name. A greater number of others are referable, from their style, to the eighteenth, nineteenth, and twentieth dynasties. The earliest are of glazed steatite. Those in pietra dura are Ptolemaic copies of eighteenth dynasty gems.

The large and small scarabæi form two separate classes, distinguished by the use each class was put to. Those from one

to three inches in length belong to the larger class, and from the study of their inscriptions, it is now proved that the large scarabæi were for the most part funereal. The representation of these large scarabæi may be seen in papyri taken from mummies, among the different objects traced on their mortuary rituals. The inscribed scarabæi are said to have been found over the region of the chest or heart. Scarabæi without inscriptions were placed inside the bodies of the mummies. The inscription on their face is generally a formula or prayer for the deceased, such as is found traced or repeated on mummies, papyri, and other funereal objects, the only difference being that the name of the deceased is changed. It is one of the chapters relating to the heart, found at the end of the 64th chapter of the Ritual. This inscription was considered only fit for the chaste and pure, and the scarabæus on which it was inscribed was placed over the heart. The object of the charm was to preserve the heart, in which the soul was supposed to reside after death, from destruction or decay. Sometimes a royal oval gives the date of the scarabæus, but large scarabæi of this kind are of very rare occurrence. Many scarabæi are found uninscribed. Some have a blank left in the inscriptions, which was for the name of the deceased, which proves the universality of the use of scarabæi for funereal purposes, and further, that they were prepared beforehand with the usual formula from the consecrated type, to which the name of the deceased was afterwards added. Some of these large scarabæi are very carefully finished; on some the elytra and corslet of the insect are ornamented with figures. Some rare examples are found with human heads and arms, chiefly on pectoral plates; others were historical. Some of three inches long, belonging to the reign of Amunoph III. of the eighteenth dynasty, have been found, recording the marriage of the King Amunoph with Taia; the name of the queen's parents, and the limits of the Egyptian Empire; the number of lions killed by the king, and other statements.

The smaller scarabæi are more numerous than the larger kind, and more interesting also for the study of the periods of Egyptian history. They are valuable documents for the annals and chronology of Egypt. On them will be found engraved representations of Egyptian deities, under their three forms, religious symbols, funereal formulæ, sacred and civil emblems, the names of kings, of queens, of private individuals, various ornaments,

animals, plants; dates and numbers expressed in cyphers have also been recognised on the inscriptions. Those containing the names of the different kings of Egypt are accompanied with their titles, "Beloved of Amen Ra," "Beloved of Athor," "Son of the Sun," &c. Others have been inscribed with mottoes, such as, "A happy life," "Sacred to Amen," "Good luck," being probably used as seals in epistolary correspondence. The variety of subjects leads to the following classification of small scarabæi; they may be distinguished as: *talismanical*, or those which were used as amulets for the protection of the living, and the preservation of the mummy of the dead; *historical*, for those which bear ovals or royal names, names of private individuals, or figures relating to civil customs; *physiographical*, those on which have been engraved animals or plants, which are connected with consecrated symbols; *various*, or those which bear alone ornamental designs to which no special meaning can be assigned. Those ought to be particularly observed which bear ovals containing the name of a king or queen. Sometimes the elliptical shape of the stone forms itself the oval which contains the name. These royal names give especial interest to the small scarabæi. Some ascend to the highest period of Egyptian history. These scarabæi are found made of every kind of material. The most ancient are almost all of common materials, and the hieroglyphics exhibit a want of finish. A collection of scarabæi might be formed displaying a chronological series of the names of the kings of Egypt, ranging from the highest antiquity down to the second century of the Christian era.

The primitive Egyptian intagli, whether mere minute hieroglyphics, or attempts at larger figures of men and beasts, are always extremely rude and roughly scratched into the stone, if a hard one, with no vestige of internal polish, that grand feature in the works of classic nations.

One of the finest and most remarkable specimens of Egyptian engraving on hard stone is an intaglio in yellow jasper, in the British Museum. It is the rectangular bezel of a ring, bearing on it a bull, horse, and the name and titles of Amenoph II. of the eighteenth dynasty.

Some beautiful examples of Græco-Egyptian art in intaglio were executed in the age of the Ptolemies, of which we may instance the wonderful portrait of one of the Ptolemies, in dark sard, formerly in the Herz collection. Some good intagli were

also executed in the earlier style, under Hadrian, when the Egyptian religion was again revived. To this period belongs the Isis in the Marlborough collection. Many good intagli owe their origin to this revival. Many heads and figures of Serapis, and portraits of Roman ladies, as Isis, frequently occur.


We must also notice here a class of engraved stones, which bear an analogy to engraved gems, though they differ in their form, yet were probably used for the same purpose, for seals. We would speak of cylinders. They are of a cylindrical form, and are made of hard materials, of basalt, jasper, hæmatite, agate, and also of blue pottery, ranging in their lengths from one to three inches. They are perforated in their entire length, and their surface is covered with figures and inscriptions. They were evidently intended for signets. These cylinders have been generally supposed to be peculiar to the Persians and Assyrians, and cylinders have been found in Egypt bearing Egyptian figures and Persian inscriptions. This did not tend to contradict the general opinion on their origin, these objects having possibly been manufactured in Egypt under the domination of the Persians. But of late cylinders have been found which are undoubtedly of pure Egyptian origin, of materials worked by Egyptians, covered with Egyptian figures and inscriptions, and bearing the names of Egyptian kings anterior by many centuries to the Persian invasion of Egypt. One in the Imperial Library at Paris bears the titles and name of Shafra, a monarch of the fourth dynasty; an agate cylinder in the British Museum is of the time of Amenemha II. of the twelfth dynasty. Sir G. Wilkinson mentions one in the Alnwick Museum bearing the name of Osirtasen I., B.C. 2020, thus proving them to have been of the earliest date in Egypt, and the origin of rather than derived from, the cylinders of Assyria. These monuments appear, therefore, to be of Egyptian origin, and they may have passed to other countries, like the scarabæi, through the Phœnicians, to whom also some cylinders are attributed. The Egyptian cylinders bear the figures of gods, with their names in hieroglyphics, and are also found inscribed with ovals containing royal names. Assyrian and Persian cylinders present subjects derived from the religious myths of the Assyrians and Persians, sometimes accompanied by inscriptions in cuneiform characters.

ETRUSCAN GLYPTIC ART.

Numerous as are Etruscan gems, none of them are camei, or with figures cut in relief; all are intagli, and all are cut into the form of the scarabæus, or beetle. The number of these scarabæi found in Etruria appears to show an early communication between Egypt and Etruria, but evidently through Phœnicia. They appear to have served the same purpose as in Egypt, to have been worn as charms, or amulets, generally in rings.* The Etruscan scarabæi have a marked difference from the Egyptian in material, form, and decoration. The Etruscan are of sard, banded agate, amber, but by far the largest number are of the common red carnelian, so abundantly supplied by the beds of the torrents in Etruria. The Egyptian are truthful representations of the insect; the Etruscan are exaggerated resemblances, especially in the back, which is set up to an extravagant height. The flat, or under part of the stone, which is always the side engraved, in the Egyptian bears hieroglyphics, or representations of deities; in the Etruscan, though sometimes with imitations of Egyptian subjects, it has generally figures or groups taken from the Greek mythology, of which the deeds of Hercules, or of the heroes of the Theban and Trojan wars, were favourite subjects. More rare are figures of the gods, and of the chimæra and other symbols of the Etruscan creed. The frequent representations from the Greek mythology prove them to have no very early date. From the heroic or palæstric subjects on these scarabæi it is thought that they were symbols of valour and manly energy, and were worn only by the male sex (Dennis, vol. i. p. 73). They are all nearly of the same size, seldom exceeding an inch in length. Etruscan intagli may be recognised by the following distinctive marks:—1. The form of the scarabæus, which is the form usually adopted. 2. The milled border, formed of small strokes set close together: the granulated border resembling a string of beads; and the guilloche, resembling a loosely-twisted cable. Etruscan scarabæi are all perforated in their length, and were usually worn set in rings, or introduced as ornaments or amulets, entwined with beads in necklaces. A peculiarity must be remarked in

* The greater number of these scarabæi have been found on a slope called Campo degli Orefici, at Chiusi. They are found in greater abundance there than in any other Etruscan site.

the development of the glyptic art among the Etruscans, the absence of a transitional style between the extremely rude designs of the earlier style, almost entirely executed by the drill, and the engravings of the utmost finish in low relief. As Mr. King remarks: "While the first class offers caricatures of men and animals, the favourite subjects being figures throwing the discus, fauns with amphoræ, cows with sucking calves, or the latter alone, all rude designs in drill work, the second gives us subjects from the Greek mythology, especially scenes from Homer and the tragedians, among which the stories of Philoctetes and Belerophon occur with remarkable frequency," thus leading to the natural inference that the rude are of Etrus-



CHARIOTEER.*

can manufacture, and the fine of Greek. The different styles may probably be due to the separate colonies which inhabited Etruria: the early class to the Tyrrhenians, who came from Lydia, and settled in Umbria, then extending across the peninsula to the country afterwards called Etruria, and who brought with them a style evidently of Oriental origin; the second to Greek influence, and introduced by Demaratus of Corinth, who with Euc heir and Eugrammus, took up their abode at Tarquinii.

We now give Kohler's division of scarabæi. Mr. King is, however, of opinion that it rests on no sure grounds; but as it is generally adopted for their guide by all the antiquaries of the continent, we give it here in a summary.

He divides them into three periods. The first period embraces such works as are both good in the drawing and executed with the greatest nicety. Inscriptions giving the names of the personages represented distinguish most of this class. They are invariably made out of the finest and choicest oriental stones, carls, and sardonyx. Upon the execution of the beetle itself, which is in almost full relief, the greatest diligence has been expended. The figures of the heroes, with accompanying inscriptions, are executed upon the flat base of the beetle, with great perfection and industry, and exhibit correct drawing of

* "An instructive illustration of the primitive Etruscan technique, the entire intaglio being sunk in the sard by the drill alone."—King.

the nude. The field is enclosed within a border which, in the best examples, is wrought with extraordinary elegance and precision. The scarabæi of the first period are infinitely rarer and more valuable than those of the other two. Their epoch commences some considerable time after the arrival of the Corinthian colony at Tarquinii, B.C. 640, and continues down to the time of Phidias, B.C. 460.

Of the Second Period the scarabæi at the very first sight betray their more recent origin. Whilst in the works of the first the bones and muscles are too carefully made out, the bodies also slender and without fulness, and consequently too often harsh and constrained, the intagli of the second period are distinguished by a display of plumpness and of strength, by a greater corpulence, by a multiplicity of details, by attitudes rarely violent, but effective through their manifestation of energy and force. Very few of this class offer inscriptions. The border assumes its most simple form, one that is found on but very few of the preceding series. The scarabæi are cut out of the common stones, whereas those of the first school were in the finest oriental species. The beetle itself is finished with much less care and neatness. The works of this period belong to the times when the ruined commerce of the Etruscans could supply no better material than the indigenous stones, and when the arts were just sinking into neglect. This period extends from the age of Phidias down to B.C. 280.

To the third period belong those scarabæi which partly are executed in the most careless manner, partly appear as if merely sketched out. The more insignificant the engraving, the more inferior is the quality of the carnelian or sard that bears it. Winged deities and long-tailed fauns frequently occur in this class of scarabæi. Gems of this kind are exhumed in abundance around Tarentum, and in many parts of Calabria. They are to be considered the productions of a period of decay, when all the arts had fallen into utter decrepitude. They were cut out by wholesale to supply the immense number of customers, and constitute the very latest of the works executed in the shape of the scarabæus. In this class also the designs are inclosed within a border, which, in the better specimens, is finished with some degree of care; but in the commoner, in the clumsiest manner imaginable. This period extends from B.C. 280 down to the times of Julius Cæsar.

Mr. King has, however, adduced some insuperable objections to this ingenious classification, for which we must refer the reader to page 141 of his 'Antique Gems and Rings.'

The inscriptions on Etruscan stones are always the names of the persons represented on the stones, and there are few exceptions to this general rule. It is certainly deserving of remark that the works of Etruscan glyptic art for the most part represent Greek subjects, derived from the religious system, the heroic history of the Greeks, and from events which preceded or followed the war of Troy. We may, therefore, make the following classification of stones of Etruscan workmanship by distinguishing them as, Etruscan stones: Etruscan subjects. Etruscan stones: Greek subjects. Those of the first class are less numerous than the others. Among the most remarkable we may mention: 1. An agate of the Florentine Gallery, on which are represented two men standing, bearded, a veil covers their heads and descends over their shoulders. On the robe of one is a hippocampus, on that of the other a triton; they bear on their right shoulders a rod, to which are suspended six



shields. They have been recognised as two Salii, or priests of Mars, or probably their servants. On the upper part is an inscription in Etruscan letters, which reads from right to left, ALLIUS, in the lower part ALCE.* 2. A scarabæus of carnelian in the King of Prussia's collection, a man standing, his head covered with a cap, having a rod by his side, holds in his

* According to Mr. Isaac Taylor it reads APPIUS ALKE, which he translates "Appius walks."

left hand a sack or kind of vase, from which he seems to draw lots; behind him is inscribed NATIS. Winkelmann would consider this to represent Nautes, the companion of Æneas. 3. A warrior, half man, half dolphin; a helmet on his head, a shield in one hand, a spear in the other, with the inscription MILALAS...A. By some it is supposed to represent one of the Tyrrhenians, who were changed into dolphins by Bacchus at Naxos. In the opinion of Lanzi it represents Glaucus. 4. The beautiful stone in the Bibliothèque at Paris, representing a man seated on a stool before a three-legged table, on which are three small round objects, which he seems to move with his right hand, while he holds in his left a tablet covered with two columns of signs, which are letters of the Etruscan alphabet. Signor Orioli, of Bologna, recognises in the inscription, which he reads ABCAR, the word "abacus" with an Etruscan termination. He would consider it as representing a man making calculations by means of an abacus.

Subjects from the mythical and heroical periods of Greece are more frequently met with. The Greek subjects most known



among Etruscan engraved stones relate to Hercules, his name in Etruscan characters from right to left being HRKLE; to Perseus, PERSE; to Tydeus, TVTE; to Theseus, THESE; to Pelus, PELE; to Ulysses, VLVSE; to Achilles, AXELE, AXILE; to Ajax, AIVAS. Other stones bear unknown names. The most beautiful among Etruscan works, which Winkelmann considers one of the most ancient specimens of the glyptic art,

the celebrated carnelian formerly in the Stosch collection, now at Berlin, which represents a council held by five of the Greek heroes who besieged Thebes; three without arms and seated; two, armed at all points, are standing; the names of the heroes, written by their side, leaves no doubt on the subject of this magnificent intaglio. There are Amphiaraus, AMPHTIARE, Polynices, PHVLNICES; Tydeus, TVTE; Adrastus, ATRESTHE; and Parthenopæus, PARTHANAPAE.

The Tydeus plucking a dart out of his right leg, with his name in Etruscan characters, displays the highest perfection of



the art among the Etruscans. This gem has received the highest praise from Winkelmann. "It is executed," he writes, "with a precision and delicacy which yield in no point to the finest Greek engravers. The profound knowledge of anatomy displayed by the engraver is everywhere conspicuous." Hercules figures frequently on works of this style; sometimes in a posture of defence, resting on one knee, with bow and arrow, sometimes rushing forward to the attack, or engaged in the chase, or capturing the Erymanthian boar, or, lastly, floating upon a raft

borne up by amphoræ. These works generally exhibit a wonderful delicacy of execution, with a love for violent exertion, and an exaggerated drawing of the muscular parts.

GREEK GLYPTIC ART.

The glyptic art appears to have originally prevailed among the Asiatic Greeks. From Asia Minor it passed into Greece proper. It attained, however, first, its highest perfection in Sicily and Magna Græcia, the colonies sent out by Greece having reached, through their commerce, a higher degree of opulence and luxury. Gem engraving for signets, from the silence of Homer, was evidently unknown in his time. At first the engraved signet was worn, as usual among the Asiatic Greeks, tied by a string round the wrist, or as an ornament of a bracelet or necklace. Its shape was that termed the *scarabæoid*, an elliptical disc, convex at the back and perforated through the axis. Mounted as a finger ring it came afterwards (according to Lessing, about the time of the Peloponnesian war, B.C. 431–404) into universal favour amongst all the Hellenic population. The art advanced with rapid strides towards its culminating-point, its practitioners ranking high amongst the artists of their times, and their performances deemed not unworthy of being sung by the court poets, nay, by kings themselves. The celebrated Theodorus engraved the signet ring of Polycrates. Tryphon's *Galene* is immortalised by Addæus, Satyreius's *Arsinoë* by Diodorus, whilst King Polemo bestows an ingenious conceit upon a group of *seven cows* which seem alive and browsing, on a green jasper. They enjoyed the patronage of the most powerful monarchs. Antiochus Epiphanes delighted to spend his leisure hours in the *ateliers* of his artist-goldsmiths and jewellers. Mithridates is recorded as the founder of the first royal cabinet of gems, and a treatise upon stones was dedicated to him by Zachalias of Babylon. The very nature of the destination of their works, to serve the important office of public signets, has precluded the engravers from marking them with their *own* names, the rule then prevailing in all the other departments of creative art. Hence it is that, before the age of Augustus, the sole masters belonging to this era of perfection,

of whom any historical notice is preserved, are, in addition to Pyrgoteles, Cronius, and Apollonides, the two already mentioned is enshrined in the 'Anthology,' and the most ancient in the list (after Theodorus) Nausias the Athenian.

Alexander the Great was a great patron of the glyptic art. He would allow no artist but Pyrgoteles to engrave his royal countenance, and that only on an emerald.

Glyptics followed among the Greeks the progress and decline of art. The Greek school has been divided into three periods:—From the time of Theodorus of Samos (B.C. 560) to that of Alexander the Great; from Alexander to Augustus; and from Augustus to the fall of the Empire. To the first period belong those whose style of art is the most strongly marked, and which Mr. King terms Archaic Greek. Most of these are Italo-Greek, their style being identical with that of the peculiar coinage of that region issued antecedent to 500. The engravings on them are in shallow intaglio, of the most minute elaborateness, principally executed by means of the adamant or corundum point, and enclosed within the so-called Etruscan border. Their usual subjects are simple figures, animals of chase, frequently heroes, especially those connected with the tale of Thebes (of Troy more rarely) and the legend of the Argonauts. Of Homeric subjects the most frequent is the death of Achilles. As a general rule these early intagli will be found on bright pale yellow sards. The word sard was originally applied to this class of stone, and is derived from the Persian *zard*, yellow. At a later, or Roman period, the name *sard* was given to the red species.

The productions of the most flourishing period of glyptic art under Alexander and his immediate successors, exhibit the most refined natural taste, now fully developed by education, and display the most perfect technique. Many heads of deities and of princes, full of life and individuality, as well as whole-length figures, take a prominent place. The latter are universally nude—the received mode for expressing the divine nature of the personage represented in the perfect stage of Hellenic art. The intaglio is sunk somewhat deeper into the gem than in the works of the archaic period. There is a vigour and elegance in the drawing upon these gems that bespeak their origin at the first view, as well as a delicacy and a softness in the treatment of the flesh, never to be discovered in the productions of the imperial ages. The delicate and careful manner in which the

hair is treated is noticeable in these performances. In the generation following Alexander, the advance of luxury displaying itself, amongst the rest, in the decoration of the fingers with rings, brought the glyptic art everywhere to the highest perfection attainable by it in its relation to the other branches of creative art.

Under Augustus the art reached a very high degree of excellence, more particularly in the department of portraits, in which, indeed, lies the great strength of the Imperial school. The great artists Dioscorides and Solon produced their masterpieces in this age. Now for the first time (according to the received opinion of archæologists) was the gem artist permitted to place his name upon his best works.

But of all the works of the glyptic art in the age of Augustus and his successors, the most remarkable are the camei. We have a series of them representing the Julian and Claudian families at different epochs, deserving of the highest admiration, not only for the beauty of the material, but also for the marvellous art displayed in them, all evidently the productions of the best hands of Greece, now drawn together in the metropolis of the world. Some notion of the magnificence of this gem-work in relief, and the immense amount of talent and labour expended on them may be derived from a careful examination of the agate of the Sainte-Chapelle, at Paris, or the Gemma Augustea in the Imperial cabinet at Vienna.

For the third epoch we must refer to the chapter on Roman glyptic art.

The number of Greek engraved stones is very numerous, and some are justly celebrated for their excellence in style and finish. Their period may be deduced from their style and execution. The characteristics of Greek gems are grace and vigour; the figure is drawn with remarkable precision, the attitude is elegant, and the auxiliaries are finely composed; the emblems and attributes exhibit an accuracy which implies an extraordinary degree of historical and mythological information in the artists who engraved them. Greek engraved stones are in general of an oval form, and the stone itself is of little thickness. The work is in the height or breadth, according to the space the subject requires. Sometimes the surface of the intaglio is slightly convex. In the early periods of gem engraving, the design is invariably so arranged as to fill up the entire field of the sur-

face. Extreme simplicity of design, and that repose which is the essential feature in all Greek art, are the distinguishing characteristics of gem engraving of the finest period. "Of Greek work itself, we may affirm," Mr. Maskelyne writes, "that it was characterised by an artistic supremacy by which, in its higher examples, it may be everywhere recognised. A fine ease and dignity in the drawing, delicate and modulated treatment of surface, a crispness of touch in the lines of the diamond point, that lightness and elasticity to the hair, and a distribution of the sentiment over the whole subject, are among these characteristics." The dull polish in the interior of the intaglio, which does not reflect like the brilliant polish of the moderns, is also an essential characteristic of Greek workmanship.

All the productions of Greek engraving were not perfect works of art; indeed we possess several of very inferior workmanship. An artist could excel only in one especial style; one was most successful in the drapery; another in the representation of the nude; one excelled in the art of giving expression and strength, another in giving gracefulness to his figures. The great artists alone reached that perfection which combined them all. Sometimes they engraved their figures very deeply, and sometimes in very low relief. Dioscorides excelled in giving a very slight relief to his figures; this difficulty overcome is one of the greatest merits of this engraver. In general the Greeks applied themselves more to intaglio than to cameo engraving. They were unacquainted with perspective, the place of which, however, they supplied, in some measure, by the greater or less depth they gave to the different parts. The engravers avoided multiplying their figures, or crowding them in a small space. The Greek artists were remarkable for their skill in representing animals; they preferred also representing their figures nude, and, indeed, most of the masterpieces of art produced in Greece are figures without drapery; while those executed at Rome are generally draped—with the exception, however, of those of Dioscorides, who followed the taste of his own nation in this respect, for nearly all his figures are nude. The works of the great engravers of Greece are all stamped with a peculiar national character, which is better felt than described. Mythological and heroical subjects were adopted by Greek artists in preference to those of contemporaneous history.

ROMAN GLYPTIC ART.

The knowledge of the glyptic art must have been derived by the Romans, in the first place, from the Etruscans, and afterwards from the Greeks. There was no Roman school properly so called, and it seems that, at all periods of that ruling people, its martial propensities made it consider the culture of the arts as a profession worthy only of slaves, freedmen, or of strangers whom it had subdued. But when it became acquainted with the beautiful works of Greece and Asia, a taste for them was developed, and they were eagerly sought for.

Greek engravers were attracted to Rome, where they usually represented subjects of Greek history, in which the Romans began to take an interest; and when they treated in their works any scene of Roman history they usually added to the purely historical composition some allegorical figures, which evinced the genius of the artist in that kind of invention, and which raised his work above a simple imitation of nature. But, though produced in Rome, these works of Greek engravers do not the less belong to the Greek school, which continued to the fall of the Western Empire, keeping pace with the vicissitudes and the decline of art.

Some Roman artists devoted themselves also to the glyptic art, and we have already mentioned the most celebrated names; the taste which was very generally evinced for engraved stones among the highest persons of the empire, the collections formed by some rich citizens, the general use of signets in rings, excited the emulation of the Roman artists, who succeeded in producing some very beautiful works. It may be supposed, however, that the works of Greek artists met more favour in the opinion of amateurs, as Roman artists affected to give a Greek character to their productions by engraving their names in Greek letters. Some authors think that, from the time of Marcus Aurelius, the best works are due to Roman artists.

As the Greeks evinced a predilection for the nude, the Romans exhibited a decided taste for draped figures. The stones engraved in Rome exhibit in general proofs of this preference; and Dioscorides, otherwise so devoted to the taste of his own nation, engraved a draped Mercury.

This requirement of Roman taste was very unfavourable to the development of the beauty of the art, and engraved stones

executed at Rome evince this influence. The figures seldom trespass against the rules of design, but they are deficient in elegance; they seldom bespeak either genius or elevation of mind in the artist. The ideal, which is the soul of Greek composition, is never perceived in that of the Romans; and the art sensibly declined into a servile imitation.

Roman art reached its culminating point in cameo engraving under the zealous and judicious patronage of Hadrian. Down to Severus inclusive, the most meritorious productions of the Roman school consist in cameo portraits of the emperor and their immediate relations. Even after the glyptic art, as far as regards the production of fine or even of mediocre *intaglio* work, was utterly extinct, the branch of cameo-engraving still lingered, and actually revived, together with the reviving prosperity of the empire, under Constantine's encouragement, so as to give birth to certain very important monuments.

Mr. King gives the following as general characteristics of the later or Roman style of *intaglio* engraving. There is a great aiming at effect, with negligence in details; the *intaglio* is sunk as deep as possible into the stone, and heads in full face now first come into fashion. The hair is rendered more in masses, the drapery is merely indicated by a few touches—in short, everything is kept subordinate to the face. In the female portraits more care is bestowed upon the hair, with its arrangement after the complicated and tasteless fashions of the age. Portraits appear now figured as *busts*, with some drapery on the shoulder; whereas the Greek show nothing beyond the head and neck. With the empire opens the grand era of *portraits* upon gems, the countless offspring of adulation, love, affection, and friendship. The purely Greek period had produced nothing but *ideal heads*, with the exception of those rare cases where his own image was required for the personal seal of the sovereign or his representative. But with the Romans the love of perpetuating the memory of their ancestors, by means of family portraits, had from the earliest times shown itself a ruling passion. The full lengths are more or less draped, the emperor stands forth in complete armour, or, if a bust, usually with Jove's ægis thrown across the breast. In groups we often see more than two figures introduced; but except to image, in the capacity of a talisman, the patron god of the wearer, little is now drawn from Greek mythology; nothing from poetry. The general

subjects are the occupations of daily life, religious ceremonies, the workman at his trade, hunting, fishing, portraits of the individual or of some special friend. In the Roman period, even at its two most flourishing epochs, the ages of Augustus, and, a century later, of Hadrian, we no longer meet with scenes drawn from the Epic cycle, so popular with the independent Greeks; and so unalterable is this law, that the very appearance of a design, either poetical or historical in its nature, upon a supposed Roman gem, affords sufficient grounds for attributing the work to some artist of the revival. With regard to mechanical execution, it is fully apparent that the stone has been hollowed out to a great depth by the end of the drill, and the necessary finish of details, the features, the hair, and the drapery, put afterwards with the adamant point. Much of the rude work of the later times has, beyond all mistaking, been done with the wheel—an oriental invention, probably introduced by the makers of talismans from the native region of their trade. The taste for engraved stones maintained itself till the time of Septimius Severus; after his time gem engraving, already on the decline, as far the *intaglio* branch thereof was concerned, degenerated, and became, so to speak, extinct. We may trace the gradual decline of the art in the various engraved portraits of Antoninus Pius, Marcus Aurelius, Lucius Verus, Septimius Severus, Caracalla, Gordian, Maximian, Philip, Probus and Constantine. At this later period of the emperors, gems were profusely used as ornaments on the dresses, the swords, the brooches and shoes. Aurelian dedicated in the temple of the Sun garments joined together with gems.

Astrological gems, or rather talismans, were in great demand in the later Imperial times, from Domitian's age downwards. Of the whole class the most frequent are the signs of the Zodiac, whether singly, combined, or as adjuncts to deities the representatives of the several planets. It is supposed that in many cases these represent the owner's horoscope. In the fifth century, the glyptic art amongst the Romans entirely disappeared, its last traces fading away in the ill-cut, rudely drawn Abraxas and Manichæan talismans, on coarse jasper and loadstones, notices of which we give in the next chapter.

Engraved stones bearing inscriptions are more common among Roman works than among those of the Greeks. Roman inscriptions are of five kinds:—1. The name of artist. 2. The

name of the person represented. 3. The name of the owner of the stone. This is more usually the case. 4. The name of the person who made a present of it. 5. Good wishes, affectionate expressions which accompany the gift, as "multis annis" (vivas understood), "ave," "amor meus," and acclamations relative to the Circensian games. The Etruscan milled border is sometimes found on Roman intagli of very late times, but may be readily distinguished by its carelessness and irregularity.

MITHRAIC AND GNOSTIC ENGRAVED STONES.

BEFORE noticing the Gnostic gems which were so extensively used in the latest stage of the decline of the art, we must mention an earlier class of intagli, which are connected with the worship of Mithras. According to Mr. King, from their good execution many of these intagli date from the early empire. They are evidences of the prevalence of those Oriental doctrines which were widely diffused through the Roman world during the Middle Empire. Mithras was the Persian type of the sun. He is usually represented as a young man plunging his sword into the throat of a bull, while a dog licks up the blood which falls. The bull is the earth, which Mithras, or the sun, is fertilising with heat, and penetrating with his influence in the sign of Taurus. The dog denotes that all things are nourished by the sun's influence upon the earth. The bull's tail terminates in ears of corn, to denote fecundity. On the engraved gems this central figure is frequently surrounded with a number of allegorical figures. Numerous intagli of the time of Hadrian representing the head of Serapis, with the legend, ΕΙΣ ΘΕΟΣ ΣΕΡΑΠΙΣ (There is but one god Serapis), are also frequently to be met with, as the worship of the god Serapis was greatly in vogue in that age.

We come now to the period when the glyptic art, following the necessary stages of the development of art, reached its latest stage of decline, and was at the lowest ebb. We would speak here of a particular class of engraved stones, employed as talismans by the Gnostic, Basilidan, and other sects, which arose in the second century in Egypt, the East, and the Roman Empire. At that time the virtues of stones and of the symbols

engraved upon them, were supposed to exert great influence on the mind and body, either for inspiring the sentiment of love, avoiding the evil eye, or curing diseases. Although a great variety of stones were employed, the principal ones were carnelian, jaspers, heliotrope and hematite, and particular representations were by preference engraved on these materials. The name Abraxas has been given to those on which are represented, in a very rude and inferior style of workmanship, Egyptian deities and others, combined with symbols derived from the religious ideas of the Indians and Persians, and accompanied by inscriptions in Greek, Coptic, Hebrew, or Latin, and by cabalistic signs mixed together. These stones were usually worn as amulets or talismans. Some were mounted as rings, but others are evidently too large, and were carried about the person, or in the pocket or purse. The inscriptions being in their correct order on these stones, it is evident they were not used as seals. The engraving of these gems is generally of very rude and careless workmanship, and the stones used are of a very inferior kind. They are frequently engraved on both sides. Sometimes also a more ancient stone, and of superior work, has received an inscription which has made of it a sacred amulet. These two periods must be, therefore, carefully distinguished



KNEPH OR CHNUBIS.



ABRAXAS.



SETH.

on the same stone. According to Mr. King the earliest are doubtless those which offer purely Egyptian types; a very frequent one being a serpent, erect, and with a lion's head surrounded by seven rays, and usually accompanied by the in-

scription, XNOYΦΙΣ or XNOYΜΙΣ. This is Kneph, the good genius, or Agatho dæmon, the creative spirit and the type of the sun, of the Egyptians, one of the characteristics of whom was the serpent, probably the uræus or basilisk, the emblem of divinity. According to Plutarch and Diodorus the name of the Egyptian Zeus signified spirit (πνεῦμα), which of course can only apply to Kneph. Champollion derives it from the Egyptian root *nf* (Coptic *nef*), to breathe. The word Chnubis differs from Kneph only in the accidental admission of the inherent vowel *ν* instead of *e*, and of *b* instead of *p*; as spelled in the Gnostic monuments of the Basilidans, it would sound like Chnumis (Bunsen). Another frequent type is Seth, the evil deity, the ass-headed god of the Semitic tribes,* which gave rise to the calumny against the Christians that they worshipped the head of an ass.† As Mr. Sharpe remarks, Basilides, the founder of the Egyptian sect of Christian Gnostics, being puzzled, as so many inquirers have been, with the origin of evil, and with the difficulty of believing that the Giver of all Good was himself the author of sin, he made a second god of the Devil, or the personification of evil, consequently we find the same Typhon, or god of evil, also figured as Nubi, the lord of the world, who is represented under the form of a griffin. On some of the coins of Hadrian we see also exhibited the Gnostic spirit of that age, in the representation of the antagonism of good and evil, as figured in the opposition of the serpent of good (Horhat, the Agatho dæmon), and the serpent of evil (Apophis). The figure which is most frequently

* Mr. King considers this to represent Anubis, the jackal-headed god. A single glance at the gem will be enough to convince any one that it is an ass-headed god.

† The grafito found in a room of the Palatine Hill, evidently a *προσκύνημα*, or act of worship, by some Gnostic Christian, represents the crucified Seth, the father of Judæus and Palestinus, the ass god of the Semitic tribes, for, as Mr. Sharpe observes, the creator of the world, the author of evil, in the Gnostic creed, was looked upon by the Gnostics as the god of the Jews, and the author of the Mosaic law. According to Mr. Sharpe, the Gnostics confounded the Coptic name for ass, EEO, with the name of IAO, or Jehovah. Valentinus, a native of Pharbæthum, who had studied in Alexandria, carried his Gnostic opinions to Italy, in the reign of Antoninus Pius, where the mystic superstitions of this sect were eagerly embraced. This grafito may, therefore, be of that period. These proskunemata are frequently found in Egypt. They usually were votive sentences, and were inscribed on walls by the worshipper to indicate his respect for the deity and to solicit his protection.

found on these stones is that which has given its name to this entire class. The god Abraxas, or, as it reads on the gems, **ABPAΣAΞ**, the letters of which, taken numerically, according to the Greek alphabet, give, when summed up, the number 365 (A 1, B 2, P 100, A 1, Σ 200, A 1, Ξ 60), being the number of days in the sun's annual course. He is supposed to be the sun god, or the supreme deity, whose physical representative the sun is. He is figured with the head of a cock, sacred to the sun, with a human body, clad in a cuirass, terminating in serpents instead of legs. By the side of the god, besides the word Abraxas, is also engraved the name Iao, which would seem, as well as the names Adonai, Sabaoth, frequently engraved on these gems, to be other titles of the sun god. A common inscription around this figure, or on the back of the stone, is the Hebrew-Greek **CEMEC EIAAM**, the Eternal sun, and also another legend **ANAΘANABPA** "Thou art our Father."* Abraxas, the supreme deity or good spirit, and Seth, or the god of evil, are the representatives of the two antagonistic principles in nature, according to the Gnostic doctrines. In the Gnostic creed, the author of evil was regarded as the creator of the world, and was considered as the being with whom men have chiefly to do, either in this world or in the next. According to the Gnostic view, matter was essentially evil, consequently the supreme deity, or author of good, could not be its author.


RINGS.

RINGS may be considered as the earliest kind of useful ornament known to the ancients. Their use dates from the earliest periods. Originally rings bore the signet or seal of the owner, but in later times they were worn more as ornaments than articles of use; and to such a pitch was passion for ornament carried by the ancients, that it is recorded of some that they loaded their hands with rings.

The earliest mention of signet-rings is in the Bible, when Tamar receives a signet-ring from Judah as a token of recognition; and when Pharaoh "took off the ring from his hand and put it upon Joseph's hand," thereby investing him with delegated

* Whence the famous talisman or charm "Abracadabra" has been derived.

authority. Among other early notices of signets are: the treasure-chamber of Rhampsinitus secured by the impression of his seal (Herod. ii. 121); the temple of Belus sealed up with the signet of Darius; the stone closing in the den of lions and their fellow-prisoner Daniel, sealed "with the signet of the same king, and with the signet of his nobles." All these circumstances show that this contrivance for securing property, by stamping the signet on plastic clay spread on the object, had been known in the East from time immemorial. The most ancient known ring is supposed to be that in the possession of Dr. Abbot, of Cairo. It is thus described by him: "This remarkable piece of antiquity is in the highest state of preservation, and was found at Ghizeh, in a tomb near the excavation of Colonel Vase's, called Campbell's tomb. It is of fine gold, and weighs nearly three sovereigns. The style of the hieroglyphics is in perfect accordance with those in the tombs about the Great Pyramid, and the hieroglyphics within the oval make the name of that Pharaoh (Cheops, Shofu) of whom the pyramid was the tomb."* Another ring of great historical importance is the



bronze one which bears the name of Amunoph III. engraved on the oval face of the ring. It was probably worn by some official in the king's household. It is now in the collection of Lord Londesborough. Sir G. Wilkinson mentions an Egyptian ring, remarkable for its size—in solid gold weighing five ounces. It consisted of a massive ring, half an inch in its largest diameter, bearing an oblong plinth, on which devices were engraved, an inch long. On one face was the name of King Horus, of the eighteenth dynasty, B.C. 1337; on the other, a lion, with the legend, "Lord of strength," referring to the monarch; on one side, a scorpion, and, on the other, a crocodile. The favourite

* According to Dr. Birch it bears the name of Ra-nefer-hat, priest of Khufu. Its date is Saite, twenty-sixth dynasty, if not still later, fifth century, B.C.

form for signets set in the ring among the Egyptians was the scarabeus. It was perforated in its length, and was so set as to revolve in the ring. Engraved on the under surface of the scarabeus was the name of the owner, the name of the monarch in whose reign he lived, and sometimes the emblems of certain deities. The oldest signet rings were made with solid or revolving bezels, often of a rectangular shape, and with the name of the monarch inscribed upon them; some of solid gold, others with glass or cylindrical bezels of hard stone. Besides rings with swivel setting, the Egyptians had others of gold, silver, bronze, carnelian or jasper, made of a solid piece of metal, with




RING OF AMUNOPH III.

SIGNET OF HORUS.

SCARAB RING.

an oval engraved in intaglio with the name of a deity, king, or person. There were also finger rings of coloured porcelain with bezel, and inscriptions, some of which bear the names of kings of the eighteenth and nineteenth dynasties; but they are too fragile for ordinary use, and were probably only employed for funeral purposes. Some Egyptian rings were occasionally in the form of a shell, a knot, a snake, or some fancy device. They were mostly of gold. Silver rings, however, are occasionally met with; two in the possession of Sir G. Wilkinson, found in a temple at Thebes, are engraved with hieroglyphics containing the name of the royal city. Sir G. Wilkinson states that bronze was seldom used for rings, though frequently for signets. Some have been discovered of brass and iron, the latter of a Roman period; but ivory and blue porcelain were the materials of which those worn by the lower class were usually made. From the example of the crossed hands of the figure of a woman on a mummy-case in the British Museum, Egyptian ladies seem to have indulged extensively in their passion for

loading their fingers with rings. According to Sir G. Wilkinson, they wore many rings; sometimes two or three on the same finger. The left was considered the hand peculiarly privileged to bear those ornaments, and it is remarkable that its third finger was decorated with a greater number than any other, and was considered by them, as by us, *par excellence*, the ring finger. They even wore a ring on the thumb, which from the drawing was evidently a signet ring.



Among the Greeks, judging from the silence of Homer, signets were not in use in his time in the ninth century B.C. Pliny observes that no mention whatever of signet-rings is to be discovered amongst that poet's minute descriptions of ornamental jewels. It is supposed the fashion of wearing them was introduced from Asia. Lessing asserts that the fashion did not exist in Greece before the times of the Peloponnesian war (B.C. 431-404). It is probable that up to that time the signet was merely the engraved stone itself suspended by a string, or worn on a thread round the wrist. The earliest Greek rings were of base metal, not gold, and had the signet-device cut in their faces. In the age of Alexander, the perfection of workmanship attained to by the gem-engravers of that age contributed greatly to the taste for wearing signet-rings. Alexander permitted none but the celebrated artist Pyrgoteles to engrave his head on a signet-ring. After conquering Darius, he is reported to have sealed his first acts with that monarch's ring. It is said he used it solely for sealing his edicts addressed to the Persians;

but his paternal signet he still retained for those issued to the Greeks. The device upon the latter was a lion passant with club in the field in allusion to Hercules, the founder of the Macedonian line. On his death-bed, Alexander drew off his signet-ring, and delivered it in silence to Perdicas, thus declaring him his successor. The most celebrated ring of antiquity was that of Polycrates, the tyrant of Samos. According to Pliny the stone in this ring was a sardonyx, and was said to be still shown in Rome in his day. According to Herodotus, the stone was an emerald, the engraving on it (which represented a lyre) was by Theodorus, of Samos. It was the characteristic of the profession of a musician to wear a number of rings, Iamblichus the musician being the first to introduce the fashion. The Laedæmonians in Pliny's age adhered to the law of Lycurgus and wore nothing but iron signets. Like the Egyptian ladies the Grecian ladies displayed upon their fingers a profusion of rings, of which some were set with signets, others with jewels remarkable for their colour and brilliancy. Seal rings were styled by the Greeks *σφραγίδες*, rings without precious stones were termed *ἀσηφοί*; and a cluster ring, *πολυψήφισ*.



ETRUSCAN SWIVEL RING.

The general form of the stone used by the Etruscans in their rings was the scarabæus, supposed to have been imitated from the Egyptian signets of that form. The Etruscan scarabæus was usually so set that it revolved round its centre, and thus exposed alternately either surface to view. From the number

heroic subjects found on them, it is supposed that they were symbols of valour and manly energy, and were worn only by the male sex. Some very rare Etruscan rings are found made of very thin pure gold, filled up in the centre with some composition. These were mortuary, and were not made for actual use, but merely to decorate the rich man's corpse in his tomb. The most magnificent Etruscan ring known is that in the British Museum. It is formed by two lions, whose bodies make up the band, their heads and foreheads supporting an elegant bezel of filigree which holds the signet stone, a small scarabæus charged with a lion *regardant*.

The fashion of wearing rings among the Romans dates from an early period, as the gemmed fingers of the statues of the two immediate successors of Romulus, Numa and Servius Tullius, cited by Pliny, sufficiently attest. Pliny tells us, however, that the first date in Roman history at which he could trace any general use of them was in A.U.C. 449, in the time of Cneius Flavius, the son of Annius: yet, he adds, after this date they must have come into use very rapidly, for in the second Punic war they were so abundant that Hannibal was able to send from Italy to Carthage three modii of them. The use of signet-rings was evidently derived from their neighbours, the Etruscans, who were famous for the beauty of their signet-rings and their jewellery. The Sabines, too, as we learn from Livy, were distinguished, even from the infancy of Rome, for the size and beauty of their rings. In the period of republican simplicity in Rome, an iron signet-ring (the device being cut in the solid metal) was usually worn, Pliny tells us, as a badge of martial courage, and was considered to be the right of freemen. Under the early Republic the senators alone had the privilege of wearing gold rings; a privilege not conceded to the knights before the time of Tiberius, the majority of them keeping to their ancient rings of iron so late as under Augustus. According to the new regulations of the law passed under Tiberius, no one was allowed to wear a gold ring unless he himself, father, and grandfather were free born, his property assessed at 400 sestertia (£4000), and himself possessing the right of sitting in the fourteen rows in the theatre allotted to the equestrian order by the Julian law. Freed men could only obtain the right to wear a ring of solid gold by an express decree of the senate. As luxury increased, and a more general taste for these ornaments pre-

vailed, each person adopted a separate subject to be engraved on his signet-ring. On that of Pompey were engraved the trophies. Julius Cæsar took Venus Victrix as his tutelær deity. Augustus at first sealed with a sphinx, afterwards with a head of Alexander the Great, and at last with his own portrait, which he was imitated by some of his successors. Mæcenas adopted a frog.* Nero wore a ring given him by his infamous favourite, Sporus, with the rape of Proserpine for a subject. Galba adopted a dog for the family seal. Under Claudius it became the fashion to engrave the device upon the gold of the ring itself, now made solid; at first the portrait of the emperor was engraved on it, and was only worn by such persons as had the *entrée* at court. It appears that the official seal of every person of importance was, as a rule, the likeness of himself: the Emperor Hadrian's ring bore a likeness of himself. Commodus, however, to compliment his famous mistress, Marcia, took for his seal the figure of an Amazon. The only imperial signet which has come down to us, is the celebrated sapphire of Constantius, in the Rinuccini cabinet, Florence. The emperor is represented as spearing a monstrous wild boar. It bears the



legend, CONSTANTIUS AUG. These signet-rings were usually employed for sealing the legal acts of public, and much of the business of private life. They were also used to seal up such parts of the house as contained stores or valuable things, in order to secure them from thieves. Wine jars were usually sealed with them. Such a ring was styled *annulus signatorius*. Sometimes, but very rarely, the ring was adorned with two gems. The Emperor Valerian mentions one of these under the name of "*annulus bigemmus*." The woodcut presents a specimen of this kind of ring, the larger gem representing a

* Evidently symbolic of his own name, as Mr. I. Taylor informs me that Mæcenas in Etruscan means frog-man.

figure of Mars; the smaller, a dove on a myrtle branch. Beside these are placed two examples of the emblematic devices and inscriptions adopted for rings when used as memorial gifts. The first is inscribed, "you have a love pledge;" the second, "Proteus [sic] Ugia," between conjoined hands—the latter were called *electrae*, and were symbols of marriage. To some rings a key has been attached, and is supposed to have been worn by housekeepers. It is now generally considered they were rings which



were presented to brides, as an investiture of supremacy in domestic matters. The *annulus pronubus* which was sent as a present to a betrothed woman, as a sign of her engagement, was only of iron, a custom which continued till Pliny's time. The passion for rings and other ornaments reached a high pitch among the Romans. In Horace's days, to sport three rings at once on the left hand, "*cum tribus annellis*" was the mark of the finished exquisite, but the next fifty years of peace and luxury had largely multiplied the number demanded for the complete outfit of the man of fashion. Martial mentions a certain fop constantly appearing with half-a-dozen rings on each finger. Such heaps of rings worn at once were, it would seem, merely ornamental, and not signets. We here quote Pliny's words on rings, and on the extravagance the passion for them led to in his day:—It was the custom at first to wear rings on a single finger only—the one, namely, that is next to the little finger; and thus we see the case in the statues of Numa and Servius Tullius. In later times, it became the practice to put rings on the finger next the thumb, even in the case of the statues of the gods; and more recently, again, it has been the fashion to wear them upon the little finger as well. Among the peoples of Gallia and Britannia, the middle finger, it is said, is used for this purpose. At the present day, however, among us, this is the only finger that is excepted, all others being loaded with rings; smaller rings even being separately adapted for the

smaller joints of the fingers. Some there are who heap several rings on the little finger alone; while others, again, wear but one ring on this finger, the ring that sets a seal on the signet-ring itself; this last being carefully shut up as an object of rarity, too precious to be worn in common use, and only to be taken from the cabinet (*dactyliotheca*) as from a sanctuary. And thus is the wearing of a single ring upon the little finger no more than an ostentatious advertisement that the owner has



property of a more precious nature under seal at home! Some, too, make a parade of the weight of their rings, while to others it is quite a labour to wear more than one at a time; some, in their solicitude for the safety of their gems, make the hoop of gold tinsel, and fill it with a lighter material than gold, thinking thereby to diminish the risk of a fall. Others, again, are in the habit of enclosing poisons beneath the stones of their rings, and so wear them as instruments of death. And then, besides, how many of the crimes that are stimulated by cupidity are com-

mitted through the instrumentality of rings! How happy the times—how truly innocent—in which no seal was put to anything! At the present day, on the contrary, our very food even and our drink have to be preserved from theft through the agency of the ring; and so far is it from being sufficient to have the very keys sealed, that the signet-ring is often taken from off the owner's fingers while he is overpowered with sleep, or lying on his death-bed." As an instance of one of those rings worn by some who "made a parade of the weight of their rings," we may mention the ring figured in Montfaucon. It is a thumb ring of unusual magnitude, and of costly material. It bears the bust in high relief of the Empress Plotina, the consort of Trajan: she is represented with the Imperial diadem. It is supposed to have decorated the hand of some member of the Imperial family. There is one of rock crystal about two inches broad in the Louvre. That these monstrous rings were actually worn appears from Martial (xi. 7) where he ridicules the upstart who gloried in one a full pound in weight. Mr. King mentions one now in the Fould collection, the weight of which, though intended for the little finger, was three ounces. It was set with a large Oriental onyx, not engraved. At a later period the extravagance in wearing rings became even greater. Lucian tells us that in his time a cobbler Micyllus, who came suddenly into a vast fortune, went about with "full sixteen weighty rings hanging from his fingers."

The subjects engraved on rings were in endless variety; among those which are more frequently found are the Olympic divinities. Jupiter, Mercury, Bacchus, Apollo, Mars, are the more frequently chosen for subjects. The Cupids and Neptunes, Plutos and Vulcans are less frequent. Harpocrates, with his finger on his lip, was fashionable at Rome in Pliny's day. Of the goddesses, in bust or in whole length, there are more Minervas than Dianas; more Dianas than Junos; of Venus the effigies are numerous. Heroes were also frequently chosen. Achilles dragging Hector round the walls of Troy, the return of Ulysses, the parting of Hector and Andromache, Æneas escaping from Troy, Tydeus, are favourite subjects. The sages, poets, orators, statesmen, of Greece and Italy, furnished a large supply of heads as subjects for signets. Of ancient sages the most popular was Epicurus. According to Cicero, the image of Epicurus was not only represented at Rome in paintings, but

also engraved on drinking cups and rings. Animals of all kinds also occur on rings—lions, horses, dogs, sphinxes. Among birds the eagle was a favourite seal at Rome. After the time of Severus, when the art of gem engraving had completely decayed, pieces of current coin were set in rings. Silver rings are by no means rare. They are either solid with devices cut on them, or set with intagli. From the rudeness of the workmanship, and their small size, they are supposed to belong to the Lower Empire. Bronze rings are numerous, as they were frequently worn at Rome, but the engraving on the stones set in the rings is generally rude. They belong to the later times of the empire. Paste intagli are also found in bronze setting. These rings were sometimes gilt. Small rings of bronze were worn by the Roman soldiers. Several of these rings are frequently found at Rome, and in the Roman colonies, with the number of the legion to which the soldiers belonged engraved on it. Lead rings, set with intagli, of early date and good work are sometimes to be met with, but they are exceedingly rare. It is evident that these leaden rings, Mr. King writes, in their time passed for massy gold, a deception favoured by their weight and ductility, and not to be easily detected when encased in the thick envelope of gold leaf, of which they often retain the trace. Though iron rings were in frequent use, few have come down to us, iron being so extremely liable to corrode. Rings carved entirely in the solid stone, such as crystal, agate, chalcedony, or green jasper, with subjects engraved on them, occur only in the period of the Lower Empire. The other materials used for this purpose were ivory, bone, amber, jet, glass, and porcelain.

STONES USED FOR ENGRAVING KNOWN TO THE ANCIENTS.

ADAMAS—CORUNDUM.

THE adamas of Pliny was, beyond all doubt, the corundum or white sapphire. From its extreme hardness it was known to the ancients by the word "adamas," indomitable. The Indian adamas, according to Pliny, appeared to have a certain affinity to crystal, being colourless and transparent, having six angles, polished faces, and terminating like a pyramid in a sharp point,

(*laterum sexangulo lævove turbinatus in mucronem*), or also pointed at the opposite extremities, as though two whipping-tops (turbines) were joined together by the broadest ends. This description correctly describes the form of a crystal of corundum which is hexagonal, commonly occurring crystallised in six-sided prisms. It is also found in obtuse and acute double hexahedral pyramids (Pliny's turbines). It is generally found nearly colourless and transparent, but frequently with a slight bluish tint. The crystallisation of the diamond, on the other hand, is octahedral, and hence it is evident it is not the stone described here.

Pliny mentions other varieties of *adamas*, the Arabian, the Ethiopian, the Cyprian, all undoubtedly white sapphires.

Fragments of corundum, *crusta adamantis*, and the crystal *punctum lapidis*, were employed by ancient engravers for engraving the stones then in use, such as onyx, sard, and other chalcedonic stones.

The diamond, with which the *adamas* is generally identified, was evidently unknown to the Romans either for the purpose of ornament or for engraving precious stones before the time of Pliny.

At a later period, when greater commercial intercourse with the East introduced the knowledge of the diamond, probably the term *adamas* came to be applied to the diamond, from its superior hardness, and its being more suited for gem engraving than the corundum or white sapphire.

In the time of the Lower Empire, the diamond was worn as an ornament set in a ring in its rough state, in its natural octahedral form. In the Herz collection was a diamond of this form of about one carat, set open in a massy gold ring of indubitable antiquity. The Waterton collection furnishes a yet finer example of the diamond in its original setting, dating from the Lower Empire.

The art of cutting and polishing this precious stone was only discovered in 1496, by Louis de Berquem. Giacomo da Trezzo appears to have been the first who engraved on diamond. One bearing the head of Numa is in the possession of Count Silva of Milan. Ambrose Cardossa is also mentioned as having, in 1500, engraved the portrait of a father of the church on a diamond, and sold it for 22,800 crowns to Pöpe Julius II. Natter and Costanzi have likewise engraved on diamonds.

SMARAGDUS—EMERALD.

It is evident that the true emerald was known to the ancients, both from the description of Pliny, and as several engraved emeralds have been found. It was long supposed that the true emerald only came from Peru. According to Herodotus the signet of Polycrates was an emerald. Pliny also mentions an engraved emerald, with an Amydone, which the musician Ismenias was anxious to purchase at the highest price. It is thus described by Pliny: "There is no stone, the colour of which is more delightful to the eye; for whereas the sight fixes itself with avidity upon green grass, and the foliage of trees, we have all the more pleasure in looking upon the smaragdus (emerald), there being no green in existence of a more intense colour than this. It has always a softened and graduated brilliancy; and transmitting the light with facility, it allows the vision to penetrate its interior." Pliny adds, further, that it was universally agreed upon among mankind to respect these stones, and to forbid their surface to be engraved. Hence engraved emeralds are found to be the rarest of the rare. Of the varieties known to the ancients the Scythian smaragdus was considered the finest (by some supposed to be the Oriental emerald or green sapphire). It was more free from flaws, which almost invariably are found in the other varieties. Next in esteem to this were the Bactrian and Egyptian. The inferior varieties of emeralds mentioned by Pliny are regarded as prases or jaspers. The Romans derived their principal supply of emeralds from the mines in the vicinity of Coptos, in Egypt. In the opinion of some this was probably the only locality of the *genuine* stone that was known to the ancients. Extensive traces of the working of these mines have been found by Sir Gardner Wilkinson, at Mount Zebara, near the Red Sea. In the possession of the author is a small emerald, with a lotus flower engraved on it. It is considered to be a specimen of a genuine emerald from the mines near Coptos. Pliny remarks when the surface of the smaragdus is flat, it reflects the image of objects in the same manner as a mirror. It is told of the emperor Nero that he viewed the combats of the gladiators upon a smaragdus. By holding the flat surface of the emerald, in possession of the author, close to the eye, distant objects can be distinctly seen reflected on it. It thus confirms Pliny's state-

ment, as the distinct reflection of distant objects on the flat surface of the emerald must have been of great importance to a near-sighted person, as Nero was.*

Mr. King enumerates the following antique intagli in the possession of L. Fould, of Paris, as true emeralds, some of considerable size and beauty of colour, and the work of which, as far as his judgment goes, bears every mark of authenticity. A bull butting with his head, of the Roman period; the busts of Hadrian and Sabina, facing each other; a lion's head, full face, crowned with the persea. This last gem, in his opinion, is a miracle of the glyptic art, while the stone is of the finest colour, purity and lustre, and in itself of considerable value as a first-rate emerald.

HYACINTHUS—SAPPHIRE.

The hyacinthus of the ancients is now generally considered to be the sapphire of the present day. It is pure crystallised alumina. The most valuable sapphire is a deep indigo blue (the male sapphire of the lapidaries). The pale blue sapphires are sometimes called female or water sapphires. It is inferior in hardness only to the diamond, and consequently has been seldom engraved on. Mr. King mentions a magnificent head of Jupiter, inscribed ΠΥ, supposed to be the signature of Pyrgoteles himself, but more probably the owner's name, engraved on a pale sapphire. But the most celebrated engraved sapphire is the signet of Constantius II., in the Rinuccini collection in Florence. It represents the emperor spearing a wild boar near Cæsarea, in Cappadocia.

CARBUNOULUS INDICUS—RUBY.

The ruby is identically the same stone as the sapphire, differing only in colour. Its colour varies from the richest red (known as the pigeon's blood tint) to the lightest rose tint. The stones called spinel and balas rubies belong to another class of stones. They consist principally of alumina combined with magnesia, and are rather less hard than the true ruby. Jewellers give the name spinel to those stones which have a

* The highly-polished flat surface of any stone will reflect in a similar way, but the power of reflection on a polished flat surface of a gem was known to the ancients only through the emerald, as it is the only stone cut in that form, all other stones being usually of a convex shape.

colour approaching to scarlet; they call those of a delicate rose colour, the balas ruby.

In the first rank among flame-coloured stones Pliny places the carbunculus, so called from its resemblance to a red-hot coal. There are, he says, various kinds of carbunculi; of these the most remarkable are the Indian and the Garamantic, each kind being subdivided into male and female, the former of which is of a more striking brilliancy, the brightness of the latter being not so strong.

Pliny's description of the male variety of the carbunculus Indicus, leads to its identification, in every probability, with the Oriental ruby, while the female variety may be connected with the spinel. The Garamantic evidently comprised the several varieties of the garnet. Engravings on the Oriental ruby are exceedingly rare. Mr. King mentions an intaglio on a true ruby, in the Devonshire collection, representing a Venus Victrix. In spinel, a most spirited Gorgon's Head is in the Rhodes Gems.

LYCHNIS—BALAS RUBY.

The flame-coloured stone, called lychnis, is, according to Pliny, so called from its lustre being heightened by the light of the lamp. It is found in the vicinity of Orthosia. This stone has been identified with the balas, or rose-red variety of spinel.

Mr. King mentions in balas the head of a Bacchante, crowned with ivy.

TOPAZIUS—CHRYSLITE.

Under the name of topazius, Pliny evidently speaks of the stone known to us as chrysolite, while, on the other hand, the chrysolithos of the ancients is the Oriental topaz, or yellow sapphire. The modern topaz was unknown to the ancients.

The topazius (chrysolite) came from the Red Sea, and was a bright greenish yellow; according to Pliny, it was the largest of all the precious stones, and is the only one among those of high value that yields to the action of the file, the rest being polished by the aid of stone of Naxos. It admits, too, of being worn by use. The chrysopteron of Pliny is supposed to be the Oriental chrysolite, or Chrysoberyl.

CHRYSLITHOS—ORIENTAL TOPAZ.

The chrysolithos was, according to Pliny, a transparent stone, with a refulgence like that of gold. It is supposed to be the

Oriental topaz or yellow sapphire. The most highly esteemed came from India. No genuine ancient intagli on this stone have been met with.

TOPAZIUS PRASOIDES—PERIDOT.

The topazius prasoides of Pliny is supposed to be our peridot, which is of an olive-green colour; it is so soft that it will scarcely scratch glass. It comes from India. Some Greek intagli occur in this stone, but are exceedingly rare. It seems not to have been used for engraving by the Romans in consequence of its softness. Modern works in it are abundant.

LYNCURIUM.

Theophrastus, in his "Treatise on Stones," notices a substance which he terms a stone, and calls lyncurium. It is asserted, he says, to be the product of the urine of the lynx. Pliny, however, looks on this statement to be untrue, and does not believe there has ever been a precious stone with such a name as this. The lyncurium of Theophrastus was evidently amber, a name, Pliny tells us, Demostratus also gave to amber.

Some modern writers endeavour to connect the lyncurium of Theophrastus with the hyacinth, or jacinth, a stone which was undoubtedly unknown to the ancients, as no antique gems of that stone have hitherto been discovered; besides the jacinths which came from the East, are of too small a size for the purpose of engraving.

The so-called hyacinths, or jacinths, in collections of gems, or in descriptive catalogues of antique intagli, are in reality hyacinthine garnets.

AMETHYSTOS—AMETHYST.

Of all transparent stones, the amethyst was the most frequently used for engraving; numberless examples of Roman intagli in this stone, of all dates and in every style, have been found. Egyptian and Etruscan scarabæi of this stone are not uncommon. Pliny thus describes its several varieties: "In the first rank belongs the amethystos of India, having in perfection the very richest shades of purple, and it is to attain this colour that the dyers in purple direct all their endeavours; this stone is also found in the part of Arabia that adjoins Syria, and is known as Petræa; as also in Lesser Armenia, Egypt, and Galatia;

the very worst of all and the least valued, being those of Thases and Cyprus. Another variety approaches more nearly the hyacinthus (sapphire) in colour; the people of India call this tint 'sacón,' and the stone itself 'sacondian.' Another was in colour like that of wine, and a last variety, but little valued, bordering very closely upon that of crystal, the purple gradually passing off into white. A fine amethyst should always have, when viewed sideways (in suspectu) and held up to the light, a certain purple effulgence, like that of the carbunculus, slightly inclining to a tint of rose. To these stones the names of 'pæderos' and 'Venus' eyelid' (Veneris gena, Ἀφροδίτης βλέφαρον) was given, being considered as particularly appropriate to the colour and general appearance of the gem.

"The name which these stones bear originates, it is said, in the peculiar tint of their brilliancy, which, after closely approaching the colour of wine, passes off into a violet without being fully pronounced." He adds, "all these stones are transparent, and of an agreeable violet colour, and are easy to engrave."

According to some authorities, the word amethystos is derived from *ἀ*, not, *μεθύω*, to be drunk, on account of its being a supposed preservative against inebriety.

The common amethyst is but a variety of rock crystal, coloured violet. The paler variety was generally adopted by ancient engravers.

The Oriental amethyst is a ruby or sapphire of a dark rich violet colour; it may be distinguished from the ordinary amethyst by its superior brilliancy, as well as by its hardness. It is a gem of rare occurrence. Some intagli of this stone are said to be in the Vatican.

BERYLLUS—BERYL, OR AQUAMARINE.

Pliny thus mentions beryls: "Beryls, it is thought, are of the same nature as the emerald, or at least closely analogous. India produces them, and they are rarely to be found elsewhere. The most esteemed beryls are those which in colour resemble the pure green of the sea."

The sea-green beryl, or modern aquamarine, is essentially the same substance (silicate of alumina, with glucina) as the emerald (as Pliny correctly surmised), differing only in the colouring matter, which in the emerald is oxide of chrome, and in the beryl oxide of iron.

The beryl was seldom engraved on, and consequently genuine antique intagli on beryl are rarely to be met with. The most remarkable example of an intaglio in this stone is the bust of Julia, the daughter of Titus, by Evodus. It is of extraordinary size, being $2\frac{1}{2}$ by $2\frac{1}{8}$ inches. Another example of intaglio on beryl, representing Taras on the dolphin, is in Mr. Maskelyne's collection.

CHRYSOBERYLLUS—YELLOW BERYL.

Pliny describes as next in value to the beryl, the Chrysoberyllus, a stone of a somewhat paler colour, but approaching a golden tint. From his associating this stone with the beryl, it is evidently only a yellow beryl. It is supposed by some to be the modern chrysoberyl (called by jewellers the Oriental chrysolite), a much harder and more brilliant gem of a greenish yellow.

CARBUNCULUS GARAMANTICUS—GARNET.

The term Carbunculus being indiscriminately applied by the ancients to all red and fiery-coloured stones, comprises the several varieties of the garnet as well as of the ruby. The Greek synonymous word is *anthrax*. In Pliny's list the carbunculus garamanticus evidently comprises the several varieties of the garnet. There are several kinds of garnet, differing from each other in their transparency, and even in their constituents, yet having the same crystalline forms and nearly the same hardness. The precious garnet is a silicate of alumina, magnesium, and iron. This gem varies greatly in colour. It is sometimes of a deep blood red, and frequently "of the colour of Burgundy wine, more or less diluted according to its goodness." The name garnet is supposed to be derived from *granatum*, a pomegranate (from the red colour of the seeds and juice).

The Pyrope, or Bohemian, is of a deep blood red. The Almandine is of a crimson red inclining to violet; it is found in India, Ceylon, Brazil. The Siriam is of a carmine tint with an admixture of blue. It is so called because it comes from Siriam, the old capital of Pegu. The garnet in which yellow predominates, or as Mr. King distinguishes it "of a vinous yellow," combining the orange of the jacinth and the wine colour of the garnet, is styled by the Italians *guarnaccino*. The hyacinthine garnet and essonite (cinnamon stone) are characterised by

different tones of orange and yellow, mingled with the reds of the other varieties. The finest of these is that with a hyacinthine hue, often called by the jewellers "hyacinthe la belle." The carbuncle is a name given to the garnet in jewellery, when cut "en cabochon," or into a very convex form on the upper surface.

Pliny thus describes the several varieties of the carbunculus or garnet known in his day: "The Carchedonian, the Ethiopian, and the Alabandic stones, the latter of which are found at Orthosia in Caria, but are cut and polished at Alabanda. The most highly esteemed, however, is the amethyst-coloured stone, the fire at the extremity of which closely approaches the violet tint of amethystos." This is undoubtedly the Almandine garnet.

The Morio mentioned by Pliny, as of the colour of the carbunculus, and as being a stone adapted for engraving in relief (*ad ectypas sculpturas aptantur*) is doubtless the hyacinthine garnet. The Julius Cæsar of Dioscorides, in the British Museum, is engraved on this stone.

Garnets seem to have been little employed by the Greeks for engraving upon, but were largely in favour with the Romans of the Empire. Some excellent intagli occur in the Almandine garnet, but no certain Greek or early Græco-Roman work is recorded on the blood-red garnet. Fine Roman intagli frequently, and sometimes imperial portraits, are to be met with on the guarnaccino.

CRYSTALLUM—ROCK CRYSTAL.

Rock Crystal (pure silica) was never used for intagli by the Greeks, or in the Roman period. It was exclusively employed for vases and cups. Intagli on finger rings of a solid piece of crystal, are of the time of the Lower Empire. In Italy during the Renaissance period, some important intagli on crystal have been executed. Valerio Vicentino was famous for this style of work. In the cinque-cento collection in the museum at Naples is a magnificent casket of silver gilt, with engraved plaques of crystal, representing mythological subjects, and various events in the history of Alexander the Great, in complimentary allusion to the achievements of Alessandro Farnese, to whom it belonged. It bears the name of Joannes de' Bernardi. A casket of rock crystal, on which are engraved the events of the Passion, by Valerio Vicentino, is in the cabinet of gems in the Florentine

Gallery. It was a present from Pope Clement VII. to Francis I., on the marriage of his niece Catherine de' Medici.

Crystal has been often used both in ancient and modern times for the purposes of fraud. In Pliny's time the art was well known how to stain crystal so as to pass for emerald or any other transparent precious stone. At the present day by placing a piece of coloured glass under crystal cut to the proper form, it is made to pass for the real gem, so as to deceive the best judges. This kind of stone has been termed "doublet" by jewellers.

Semi-Transparent.

OPALUS—OPAL.

Pliny thus describes the opal: "Of all precious stones it is the opal that presents the greatest difficulties of description, it displaying at once the piercing fire of carbunculus, the purple brilliancy of amethyst, and the sea-green of smaragdus, the whole blended together, and refulgent with a brightness that is quite incredible. This stone, in consequence of its extraordinary beauty, has been called 'pæderos' (youthful love)." India, Pliny says, is the sole parent of this precious stone, but he adds afterwards, that some inferior stones are found in Egypt, Arabia, and, of a very inferior quality, in Pontus. At the present day the finest opals come from Hungary. Few antique intagli are found on opals, and those of a rude description, the opal used being of an inferior kind. The noble opal was too highly esteemed by the ancients as a precious stone to find its way into the hands of the engraver.

ASTRION—STAR SAPPHIRE.

The astrion, in the centre of which, according to Pliny, there shines a brilliant star, with a refulgence like that of the moon, is unmistakably identified with the star sapphire, which exhibits a brilliant six-sided star in its centre.

ASTERIA—CYMOPHANE.

Pliny describes another stone of the name of Asteria, which has a light enclosed within, in the pupil of an eye as it were. This is undoubtedly the cymophane or chrysoberyl cat's-eye, which exhibits as it were the pupil of an eye moving about within the stone.

PRASIUS—PLASMA.

Plasma, or as called by the Italians, plasma di smeraldo, and prasma, are corruptions of prase, or prasius. It is a chalcedony of a leek-green colour, with a waxy lustre. By Pliny it is considered the commonest among the numerous kinds of green stones. It was extensively used for intagli among the Romans at a later period, the subjects engraved being mythological figures of a late epoch of Rome.

The stone now known as "prase" is a dull, but hard, green impure translucent quartz.

PRASIUS SANGUINEIS PUNCTIS—HELIOTROPE.

This variety of prasius, mentioned by Pliny as disfigured with spots like blood, is evidently the heliotrope of mineralogists, a green chalcedony interspersed with small patches of opaque bright red jasper.

CHRYSTOPRASIUS—AMAZON STONE.

Chrysoprasius is described by Pliny "as similar in tint to the colouring matter of the leek, but varying in colour between topazios and gold. It is found of so large a size as to admit of cups even being made of it." We would venture to identify this stone with amazon stone, a pale green variety of felspar, which is brought from Lake Baikal in Siberia, and is sometimes found in pieces sufficiently large to be made into small vases and other ornaments. A beautiful antique vase of this stone is mentioned by Caire as being in Florence, and lately fragments of a pedestal of a statue of this stone has been discovered in the ruins of the villa of M. Vopiscus at Tivoli. The cylinder or signet of Sennacherib, in the British Museum, is of amazon stone.

The modern chrysoprase is a chalcedony of a light apple-green colour. The green colour is given by a trace of oxide of nickel. It has been frequently confounded with plasma, but is distinguished from it by its brightness of tint, and its hardness.

It is doubtful if any intagli are to be met with on the true chrysoprase, as it has been hitherto found only in Silesia. According to Mr. King, a stone much resembling it is found sometimes set in old Egyptian jewellery.

JASPIE—CHALCEDONY.

In the opinion of Mr. King the jaspis of Pliny answers to our chalcedony. It is a species of quartz of a bluish milky colour. When tinged with yellow it is named the opaline. The kind with a pale bluish tint is termed sapphirine. It was extensively used by the ancients in all ages for intagli. There are many masterpieces of ancient glyptic art in chalcedony extant, for instance, the celebrated Dionysiac bull by Hyllus, and the Medusa of Solon.

The chalcedonius of Pliny was an inferior kind of emerald, so called from being found in the copper mines near Chalcedon, which, however, were exhausted in Pliny's time.

SARDA—SARD, OR ORIENTAL CARNELIAN.

The sard is a red chalcedony. It varies in colour from deep cherry, and even blood red, to reddish white, and passes on one side into dark brown, and on the other into yellow of several degrees of intensity. It has obtained various names, according to the tints it exhibits. A general term for the superior variety of this stone with the ancients appears to have been sarda. It is said to be derived from the Persian word *zerd*, yellow, as the yellow sard was the stone chiefly adopted by the Greeks in the early period. According to Pliny, "it is a common stone, and was first found at Sardis; but the most esteemed kind is that of the vicinity of Babylon. In India there are three varieties of this stone: the red sarda; the one known as 'pionia,' from its thickness; and a third one, beneath which they place a ground of silver tinsel. The Indian stones are transparent, those of Arabia being more opaque. Among the ancients there was no precious stone in more common use than this. Other stones, which are like honey in colour, are generally disapproved of, and still more so when they have the complexion of earthenware." The sard is the stone which was commonly employed by the greatest artists of antiquity, and even by inferior artists, to a late period of the Roman Empire, and, indeed, its moderate hardness, combined with the exquisite delicacy of its texture, which makes it susceptible of the finest polish, which it retains longer than any other gem, will ever secure it a distinguished rank among the stones most desirable to the engraver of gems.

SARDACHATES—CARNELIAN.

The common carnelian is a dull red variety of the sard. Egyptian and Etruscan scarabæi of an early period are to be met with in this stone. It is the sardachates of Pliny. The white carnelian of lapidaries is the leucachates of Pliny.

ONYX—NICOLO—SARDONYX.

When chalcedony occurs with opaque stripes or layers of black and white, in strong contrast to each other, it is termed onyx. It was so called from *ὄνυξ*, a finger-nail. Pliny mentions several kinds of onyx, which seem to include the several varieties of striped chalcedony. The name onyx, or onychites, has been also applied by the ancients to Oriental alabaster.

When an onyx occurs with two layers, the upper of a bluish colour and the lower black, an intaglio is frequently made by cutting through the upper layer until the lower black zone appears. This style of intaglio is termed nicolo, a corruption of the word oniculus, which is derived from onyx. It was peculiar to Roman art after the time of Nero.

According to Mr. King, "the sardonyx is defined by Pliny as 'candor in Sarda,' that is to say, a white opaque layer superimposed upon a red transparent stratum of the true red sard," for as Pliny says, as the name itself indicates *σάρδιον*, sard, *ὄνυξ*, finger-nail, it was like the flesh beneath the human finger-nail. Such, he adds, is the sardonyx of India.

Three strata or coloured zones are generally considered essential to the idea of a sardonyx.

Pliny relates that "in his time these stones were not held by the people of India in any high esteem, although they were found there of so large a size as to admit of the hilts of swords being made of them. It is well known, too, that in that country they are exposed to view by the mountain streams, and that in our part of the world they were formerly valued from the fact that they are nearly the only ones among engraved precious stones that do not bring away the wax when an impression is made. The consequence is, that our example has at last taught the public of India to set a value upon them, and the lower classes there now pierce them even, to wear as ornaments for the neck; the great proof, in fact, at the present day, of a sardonyx being of Indian origin." Pliny also mentions that the

first Roman who wore a sardonyx, according to Demostratus, was the elder Africanus, since whose time this stone has been held in very high esteem in Rome.

Both onyx and sardonyx, and other striped chalcedonic substances, have been employed by ancient and modern artists for executing those gems in relief, called camei; and it is wonderful to see with what dexterity they have frequently availed themselves of the different colours of the alternating zones to express the different parts of a figure, such as the hair, the garments. Some of the most celebrated productions of the glyptic art among the ancients have been executed in these stones, among which we may mention the apotheosis of Augustus, of two brown and two white layers; the apotheosis of Germanicus, of four zones; the head of Augustus, of three layers; the Jupiter Ægiochus, of white and black stones.

The most valuable stones are from India. Some of the pieces of sardonyx used by the ancient engravers for their most important works were of enormous dimensions.

At the present day onyxes and sardonyxes are imported from Germany, but their colours are produced artificially by boiling the stone, a kind of flint, for several days in honey and water, and then soaking it in sulphuric acid to bring out the black and white, and in nitric to give the red and white layers. They are, however, considered of little value.

ACHATES—AGATE.

Agate is a variegated siliceous stone; the colours in clouds, spots, or bands; the banded consisting of parallel or concentric layers, and either in straight, circular, or zigzag forms. The name is applied to many combinations of chalcedony, quartz, carnelian, amethyst, and even flint and jasper. Mr. King remarks that the agate and onyx are the same substance, only differing in the arrangement of the layers, which in agate are wavy and often concentric, whilst in onyx they are placed parallel to each other. Pliny thus mentions it: "Achates was a stone formerly in high esteem, but now held in none. It was first found in Sicily, near a river of that name (now the Drillo, in the Val di Noto) but has since been discovered in numerous other localities. In size it exceeds any other stones of this class, and the varieties of it are numerous, the name varying accordingly, thus, for example, we have iaspachates (jasper

agate), *cerachates* (the modern orange agate probably), *smaragd-achates* (emerald-coloured agate), *hæmachates* (agate sprinkled with spots of red jasper), *leucachates* (white carnelian), *dendrachates* (marked with shrubs as it were, moss agate). The stones, too, that are found in India present the appearance in them of rivers (the river agate), woods (the moss agate), beasts of burden, and forms even, like ivy, and the trappings of horses." Agate was generally used by the ancients for cups and dishes. The term "*Achates*" among the ancients was of wide application, as it included not only several varieties of chalcedony, but also those now called jaspers. Several Etruscan scarabei, and some Greek intagli of an early period, are of agate, cut across the layers or bands. This has been termed tricoloured, or banded agate. In modern times agates are coloured by artificial processes. This artificial treatment in an agate may be considered as a proof of its modern origin.

MURRHA—FLUOR SPAR.

Pliny describes "*murrha*" as coming from the East. It is found, he says, in the Parthian dominions, but principally in Carmania. It is supposed to be a substance solidified by subterraneous heat. Its beauty lies in the variety of its colours winding in rows, and presenting hues of purple and white, with a reddish fiery tint. It exhibits iridescence in some parts. The polish it takes is rather a gloss than a brilliant polish. The cups and vessels made from it were of small size.

The only stone which answers with any probability to Pliny's description of the "*murrha*," used for cups and vessels, which were so highly prized by the ancient Romans, is the fluor spar (*murra*) found at the Marmorata in Rome, and evidently imported from the East, part of which was employed by the Jesuits for the front of the altar of the Chiesa del Gesù.

It fully answers the description of Pliny. It exhibits zones of purple with veins of opaque white (hornstone) running through it. In some parts it assumes a reddish, fiery hue. A slight iridescence frequently occurs in different parts of it; further, fluor spar never takes a high polish. Some would connect the "*murrha*" with onyx (agate), without, however, the slightest ground for such a view, everything pointing out a distinct difference between onyx and *murrha*. They were not only different in their nature,—for the onyx is a hard, siliceous stone,

and the *murrha* soft and easily scratched, as we must infer from Pliny's account of the Roman consul gnawing the edges of a cup of this material, and leaving the marks of his teeth on it, —but also in the localities from whence they came. The onyx came from India and Arabia, the *murrhina* (the pieces in the rough) from Parthia and Carmania.

SANDASTROS (male)—SUNSTONE.

According to Pliny, there were two stones of the name of sandastros, the one male, and the other female, the first of which he describes as "having all the appearance of fire, placed behind a transparent substance, it burning with star-like scintillations within, that resemble drops of gold, and are always to be seen in the body of the stone, and never upon the surface. It is found in India." We can have no hesitation in connecting this stone with sunstone, a variety of adularia (orthoclase felspar), of a pale yellow colour, and which appears full of minute golden spangles, owing to the presence of scales of oxide of iron, disseminated through it. It comes from Ceylon.

SANDASTROS (female)—AVANTURINE.

The female sandastros, which Pliny describes as possessing a flame of a more softened nature, and which may be pronounced to be lustrous rather than brilliant, is doubtless the stone termed avanturine, a translucent variety of vitreous quartz of reddish colour, and containing minute spangles of mica. The common avanturine is a Venetian glass imitation.

SANDARESOS—GREEN AVANTURINE.

The stone sandaresos, which Pliny describes of an apple-green colour, and as a native of India, is in all probability green avanturine.

NILION—JADE.

India, according to Pliny, produces nilion, a stone of a dull green, and diminished lustre. It is also, he says, found in Egypt. This stone may be jade or nephrite, which is of a dull green. The localities which Pliny mentions, where nilion is found, correspond with those where jade occurs. It is largely employed in India for ornamental purposes. It is also found in Egypt.

Opaque.

JASPER.

Jasper is a siliceous stone, of a variety of colours—red, yellow, brown, green, sometimes blue or black. It is nearly or quite opaque, and presents little beauty until polished. The dark green jasper is often seen in the form of Egyptian scarabæi. Yellow jasper has been sometimes found with Egyptian engravings. Red jasper takes a very fine polish, and has been most generally used by the ancients. Of this there are two kinds, one of a vermilion colour, the other of a very rich crimson; the latter is by far the rarest. Marsyas flayed by Apollo, symbolical combinations, chimærae, and other subjects, have been frequently represented on it. One of the finest examples of ancient intagli, the head of Minerva, after Phidias, by Aspasia, has been engraved on this stone. Red jasper came into use long after Pliny's time, consequently he has left no particular description, though it seems to be intended by his vermilion-coloured achates. Some intagli have been also found in black jasper. Besides these, we now and then find striped, and even party-coloured jaspers with intagli, which sometimes appear so confused that the subject of the engraving can scarcely be distinguished on the stone. The "jaspis" of the ancients was properly a green transparent chalcedony, evidently a kind of plasma. Pliny distinguishes several varieties of jasper, from his description, being doubtless various coloured semi-transparent chalcedonies. The modern jasper is an opaque stone, answering more to the "achates" of the ancients. Pliny thus enumerates the several varieties of jasper: "Many countries produce this stone; that of India is like smaragdus (emerald) in colour, that of Cyprus is hard, and of a full sea-green; and that of Persia is sky-blue, whence its name 'aërizusa.' Similar to this last is the Caspian iaspis. On the banks of the river Thermodon, the iaspis is of an azure colour; in Phrygia, it is purple, and in Cappadocia of an azure purple, sombre and not refulgent. Amisos sends us an iaspis like that of India in colour, and Chalcedon, a stone of turbid hue. The best kind is that which has a shade of purple, the next best being the rose-coloured, and the next with the green colour of the smaragdus, to each of which the Greeks have given names, according to their respective tints. A fourth kind, which is called by them

'borea,' resembles in colour the sky of a morning in autumn. There is an iaspis also which resembles sarda in appearance, and another with a violet hue. To this class also belongs the stone called 'sphragis,' from the circumstance that it is best of all for making signets." According to Mr. King, the iaspis "stained with red spots," mentioned by Pliny, is not the heliotrope, but a white chalcedony full of red spots.

HELIOTROPIMUM—BLOODSTONE.

"Heliotropium," Pliny writes, "is found in Ethiopia, Africa, and Cyprus. It is of a green colour, streaked with blood-red veins." This stone is undoubtedly the modern bloodstone, an opaque green jasper, with red streaks. Antique intagli in this stone are rarely to be met with. It occurs, however, not unfrequently amongst the talismans of the later Egyptian and Gnostic times. At the present day it is much used for seals.

MOLOCHITES—GREEN JASPER.

Molochites, Pliny tells us, is a green opaque stone, highly esteemed for seals. It has been also termed *sphragis*, from its being used for making signets. This stone is doubtless green jasper, which was much used in antiquity for the earliest Assyrian cylinders. A dark green jasper was in great request with Egyptian engravers from the epoch of the Pharaohs. Some Roman intagli are met with in this stone.

SAPPHIRUS—LAPIS LAZULI.

Lapis lazuli is the sapphirus of the ancients. It is thus described by Pliny: "Sapphirus is refulgent with spots like gold (particles of iron pyrites). It is of an azure colour, though sometimes, but rarely, it is purple. The best kind comes from Media. In no case is the stone diaphanous; in addition to which it is not suited for engraving when intersected with hard particles of crystalline nature (probably quartz)." Inferior intagli of a Roman period are frequently to be met with in lapis lazuli. Babylonian cylinders also occur in this stone.

SMARAGDUS MEDICUS—MALACHITE.

Malachite (green carbonate of copper) was sometimes, but very rarely, used by the ancients for camei. The Pulsky collection,

affords an example of a cameo in malachite, representing the bust of a Bacchante. It is generally understood to answer to the *Smaragdus Medicus* of Pliny.

CALLAIS—TURQUOISE.

The callais of Pliny is supposed to answer to the turquoise of the present day. He thus describes it: "Callais is like sapphires (*lapis lazuli*) in colour, only that it is paler and more closely resembles the tint of the water near the sea shore in appearance." The Oriental or mineral turquoise comes from Persia and Arabia, and is composed of phosphate of alumina, coloured by a phosphate of copper. It is met with in Persia in narrow cracks in aluminous ironstone, and in veins in siliceous rocks. Stones of great size and beauty, some being not less than four and five inches in circumference, have been lately brought from Arabia Petrea. They were found in lofty precipitous mountains of iron sandstone. The occidental, or bone turquoise, is said to be composed of fossil bones or teeth coloured with oxide of copper. Intagli and camei in turquoise are of very doubtful antiquity.

The green variety of turquoise, on which the Romans set the highest value, was the callaina of Pliny.

HÆMATITES—HÆMATITE.

Hæmatite is a red ironstone. According to Pliny it is found in Ethiopia. It has been also called bloodstone, and has often been used for scarabæi and intagli by the Egyptians, and for cylinders by the Babylonians.

MAGNES—MAGNETITE.

Magnetite is a magnetic iron ore, commonly termed "load-stone." It has a dark iron-grey colour and metallic lustre. "It varies in colour," according to Pliny; "that of Magnesia, bordering on Macedonia, being of reddish black; that of Boeotia being more red than black. The kind found in Troas is black. The most inferior, however, of all," he says, "is that of Magnesia, in Asia. It has been frequently made use of by ancient engravers, especially by those of Egypt and Persia." Babylonian cylinders are frequently found of this material. Rude intagli, with Gnostic subjects, used as amulets, have been largely manufactured in this stone.

OBSIDIANUM—OBSIDIAN.

Obsidian is a volcanic glass of a blackish greenish colour, consisting of lava suddenly cooled. It is opaque, or slightly translucent on the edges of fragments. It is thus noticed by Pliny: "This stone is of a very dark colour, and sometimes transparent; but it is of a somewhat dense appearance, and reflects, when attached as a mirror to a wall, the shadow of the object, rather than the image. Many persons use it for jewellery, and I myself have seen solid statues in this material of the late Emperor Augustus." Intagli of this material are very rare.

BASALTES—BASALT.

Basalt is an igneous rock, usually of a dark green or brownish black colour, and of a very fine grain. Intagli and scarabæi of a very late period among the Egyptians are only to be met with of this material. There are also some Gnostic amulets of this stone. It was frequently employed for statues by the Egyptians, and by the Romans of the age of Hadrian. It is the "basanites" of Pliny.

PORPHYRITES LEPTOPSEPHOS—PORPHYRY.

Porphyry is a stone of a beautiful red colour, thickly disseminated with white crystals of felspar. It receives a fine polish, and has been chiefly used for columns, vases, and bas-reliefs. Small intagli on this stone were used by the later Romans as talismans. It was also employed by Italian artists at the Revival. The porphyrites of Pliny is the red marble termed at Rome *rosso antico*.

OPHITES—SERPENTINE.

The serpentine met with in Italy, which is called *serpentino antico*, is of a dark dull green colour, with long whitish spots. It was called by the ancients *marmor*, "ophites," or *memphites*, and was obtained, as its name imports, from the neighbourhood of Memphis.

GRANITE.

Granite is a primitive rock, whose constituent parts are felspar, quartz, and mica. The red or Egyptian variety (the red felspar predominating) was principally used by the ancients.

The variety of granite called syenite is composed of felspar, quartz, and hornblende. Though deriving its name from Syene, in Egypt, but little of it is met with in that place, the rock there being chiefly granite. The syenite of antiquity, used for statues, was really granite.

The Egyptians were the only people who engraved small objects on serpentine and granite. Scarabæi, bearing hieroglyphics, of these materials frequently occur.

IMITATIONS.

The art of imitating gems or precious stones was well known to the ancients. The Egyptians were undoubtedly in possession of this art, as several valuable examples sufficiently prove. Pliny tells us that the Greeks and Romans were equally skilful in imitating emeralds and other transparent stones, by colouring crystals; they also manufactured onyx and sardonyx by cementing red and dark-coloured chalcedony to a white layer. Camei have been also imitated by fusing together coloured layers of glass, which when cooling was made to receive the impression of the relieved figure it was intended to imitate. In the possession of the author is a paste head of Omphale, imitating a cameo of sardonyx, so exquisitely done as almost to deceive an experienced judge. Pastes, or imitations of engraved gems in intaglio, are to be seen in many collections. Ancient objects of this kind are much prized, their value being independent of the material, for we have become acquainted by the means of these imitations with several admirable works, the originals of which have not come down to us. Countless modern imitations of these are also frequently to be met with.

IMPRESSIONS.

The finest order of gems being seldom within the means of private purchasers, the prevailing taste for engraved gems, and the impossibility for amateurs and artists to visit every cabinet and collection, has led to the necessity of making collections of impressions of engraved gems, in plaster, sulphur, and other materials. Excepting the nature of the stone itself, these impressions are a complete image of the gem, and serve, as well as the original, for the researches of the historian, the artist, and the archæologist. The art of making these impressions and

imitations, places all that constitutes the true value of the original within the most moderate expenditure. These impressions have been multiplied, and systematic collections have been formed most useful for the study of engraved gems. Pichler made a large collection of impressions of the most beautiful stones, but did not publish the catalogue, which he intended to compile. Lippert made a very extensive collection of impressions, and the learned catalogue which he drew up is most useful for their study; but the best imitations of the antique are the pastes executed by Tassie. The engraving and tint of the gem are copied with extraordinary fidelity. Tassie's collection, perhaps the most complete in Europe, amounts to about 15,000, and comprises fac-similes of all the most celebrated gems. Raspé published a catalogue of them. Collections of these impressions would be of the greatest advantage and utility, if their selection was made with some care, if particular attention was paid not to mix modern works with ancient works, and also if the nature of the material, the form and dimensions of the stone, and the cabinet in which it was to be found, were carefully indicated. Collections of impressions in sulphur and in scagliola are frequent in Italy. Those of Paoletti, and particularly those of Cades at Rome, are remarkable for their careful finish.

Ancient impressions of intagli in fine clay (*γῆ σφραγιδῆς* the sealing earth of the Greeks) are frequently found, sometimes with the impress of a monogram on the reverse. They are supposed to be tesserae, or tokens given by the owner of the impressed seal.

PALÆOGRAPHY, OR INSCRIPTIONS.

I.

AIM AND UTILITY OF ITS STUDY.

The study of ancient inscriptions is termed palæography. These inscriptions are isolated, or traced on some monument of architecture, sculpture, &c., or on vases or paintings. We shall here treat alone of inscriptions, properly so called, giving the text of laws, decrees, public accounts, dedications, votive

and laudatory inscriptions, historical narratives and documents, epitaphs, &c. The Greeks generally gave to inscriptions the name of epigraph, or epigram, ἐπιγραφή, ἐπίγραμμα. The Romans termed them inscriptio, titulus, marmor, lapis, monumentum, memoria, tabula, mensa, epitaphium, &c., according to their distinction, and the nature of the text they present. The importance of the study of ancient inscriptions need not be dwelt on here. Inscriptions are the real archives of the annals of ancient nations. They are the contemporaneous witnesses of the event and of the men whose memory they hand down. They bear unquestionable evidences of authenticity, and are consequently deserving of every confidence. Their public exhibition during centuries to the eyes of numerous people who might find an interest in contradicting them, gives them a character of truth and a general sanction which the narratives of historians do not always inspire, who may have had opposing interests in the same historical fact.

The study of palæography, or at least the knowledge of its results, is therefore the first duty of the historian of ancient nations. He will find in them important data regarding the chronology, the geography, the religious systems, the civil government, the laws and administration of affairs, the state of individuals, the affiliations of illustrious families, the customs, manners, even the very prejudices of ancient societies; and in regard to everything which is connected with the organisation of the societies, the magistrates, the public revenue and its employment, with military organisation, wars and alliances, lastly with their mode of intellectual life, their progress in knowledge, their languages, their dialects, and their system of writing. It is to inscriptions that history is mainly indebted for the greater number of the corrections, which have cast their light on obscure passages of the great writers of antiquity, or have rectified their erroneous assertions. "A great number of inscriptions, especially those recording great events, laws, or decrees of the government, which it was important for every citizen to know, supplied to some extent the want of the art of printing. When, for example, the laws of the twelve tables at Rome were set up in public, their public exhibition was equivalent to their publication by means of the art of printing, for every Roman might go and read them, and if he liked, take a copy of them for his private use. Previous to the invention of the art of printing, inscrip-

tions set up in a public place were the most convenient means of giving publicity to that which it was necessary or useful for every citizen of the State to know. Inscriptions therefore are, next to the literature of the ancients, the most important sources from which we derive our knowledge of their public, religious, social, and private life, and their study is indispensable for every one who desires to become intimately acquainted with the history of antiquity. For the history of the languages they are of paramount importance, since in most cases they show us the different modes of writing in the different periods, and exhibit to us the languages in their grand progress and development; though it is manifest that the ancients did not bestow that care upon the accuracy of the language and orthography which we might expect, and in many cases they seem to have left those things to the artisan who executed the inscription. After the overthrow of the Roman Empire in the west, inscriptions continued to be made very frequently; but as the ignorance of the middle classes increased, and as all knowledge became more and more confined to the priesthood, the custom of making certain things known by means of inscriptions gradually fell into disuse, until the art of printing did away with it almost entirely.”*

II.

MATERIALS WHICH BEAR INSCRIPTIONS, AND VARIETIES OF INSCRIPTIONS.

All solid materials known to the ancients were employed by them for the purpose of inscribing or engraving inscriptions, wood, clay, stones, rocks, marble, metals, ivory, and artificial materials, but especially bronze in Greece and in the Roman Empire for inscriptions of general interest. Inscriptions are usually—1. *Inscribed*, that is to say, simply traced with a brush on hard materials. The greater number of this description has been found in Egypt on parts of the temples, on rough stones, and on fragments of pottery. 2. *Engraved*, the letters of which are traced in a concave form or in intaglio, on stone, marble, or metal; all Greek, Etruscan, and Roman inscriptions are done in this manner; the Egyptians alone engraved their inscriptions in relief. 3. *Laid on*, being composed of bronze letters, wrought separately, and afterwards attached by cramps to the monument

* ‘Penny Cyclopædia.’

which they ornamented. These have almost all disappeared either from the effects of time or cupidity, but the holes for the cramps partly, however, take their place. It was thus that learned Segulier, by means of an exact cast of these holes, which are seen on the façade of the Maison Carrée at Nîmes, succeeded in establishing the form of each letter, and in restoring the inscription in bronze of that temple. By these ingenious means applied to other buildings, the same success has been obtained.

III.—RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF INSCRIPTIONS.

Travellers in ancient countries have furnished us with a number of inscriptions, and many are now known belonging to the great nations of antiquity: India, Phœnicia, Persia, Babylonia, Palmyra, Carthage, Spain. We shall, however, treat only of the inscriptions belonging to the four nations which we have chosen as the chief objects of our inquiry. First in relative importance may be placed the more extended inscriptions, as from the number of words a number of facts may be elicited, and because it is rare that a text of several lines should not be something more than a matter of private interest or the narrative of an unimportant action. Almost equally important are *bilingual* or *trilingual* inscriptions, the texts of which are expressed in two or several languages at once, one being a translation of the other. Such is the celebrated inscription of Rosetta, in Egyptian hieroglyphics and in Greek. The great importance of this kind is sufficiently evident; the text of which, in a known language, is the translation of the neighbouring text, written in a language and in an alphabet which is not known. To such inscriptions we are indebted for the discovery of several ancient alphabets.

A great number of ancient inscriptions have been brought to Europe, travellers have seen a still greater number in the countries they have passed through, and not being able to remove them, they have taken copies of them; but very few of these are strictly faithful, as copies of the same inscription made by different travellers, have frequently proved their incorrectness. When these copies are carefully compared they are sometimes sufficient for a skilful critical scholar to re-establish the text in its purity; but it were to be wished that the exercise of this critical ingenuity was not necessary. A facsimile of the

inscription, taken with a sheet of damp paper pressed against it, and then allowed to dry, would obviate all these inconveniences. A facsimile of that kind cannot contain any error, or the substitution of one letter for another. It has this merit also, that it retains the style of the letters in all its purity and exactness, an undeniable advantage, as the characters of that style afford a certain indication to determine the epoch and age of a monument. This mode of taking an impression is strongly recommended to all travellers.

IV.—CRITICAL KNOWLEDGE OF INSCRIPTIONS.

The text of inscriptions is generally remarkable for its conciseness, energy, and precision; these with the ancients were the three essential characteristics, which constitute what has been termed "the lapidary style." Abbreviations abound in them. These consequently require a particular study, and the best Latin scholar might fail in reading even a short inscription, if he had not devoted himself to their study. Besides the abbreviations, Greek and Latin inscriptions present a number of peculiarities opposed to the usual syntax of their language, peculiarities which critics have characterised by terms, which they name—1. *Anacoluthon*, a want of connection between the verb and the nominative case, as CIVITAS . . . CO-OPTA-VERUNT. 2. *Antiptosis*, when one case is put for another, as PATRONO FRATRI for PATRONI FRATRIS. 3. *Protousteron*, when a word or phrase is not in its place. 4. *Ellipsis*, or suppression of words essential to the clearness of the sentence, such as conjunctions; words relative to relationship, to the nation, to ceremonies, &c. 5. *Tautology*, or useless repetition of the same idea. In regard to Greek inscriptions, we must also add to their difficulties the use of different dialects and local modes of expression, the variations of inflections through the effects of time, the habits which vitiate the regular termination of words, the use of certain words, verbs, modes of speech, &c. The ignorance of the engraver adds sometimes to these difficulties. Careful discrimination and great practice will, however, be sufficient to guard against being led into error in the interpretation of inscriptions by mistakes of that kind.

In general Greek and Latin inscriptions are in prose; a great number, however, are in verse, and are styled "metrical inscrip-

tions." Some are found in which verse is conjoined with prose especially in sepulchral inscriptions. There are also some which are composed at the same time of a few lines of Latin and of a few lines of Greek. A Roman funereal cippus in this style was lately discovered at Lyons. The Latin portion informs us that this cippus had been placed on the tomb of LUCRETIUS VALERIA, by SEXTUS AVIUS HERMERUS, her husband. Few lines in Greek are placed beneath. They are two verses which contain a moral reflection against envious persons, and are a happy copy of an epigram on that subject in the Greek Anthology.

V.—CLASSIFICATION OF INSCRIPTIONS.

It is the subject which ought to regulate the classification of inscriptions. There is a variety of opinions with regard to the most convenient and proper plan of classifying inscriptions, but in a large collection of inscriptions, divisions and subdivisions might be carried out to a large extent. Large classes and divisions will be found more useful, as they will be enough to make out with sufficient completeness the nature of an inscription lately discovered; and to connect it, by its interpretation with monuments of the same kind. We may therefore adopt the following classification of ancient inscriptions:—

I. RELIGIOUS.—Honours paid to the gods, demigods, and to heroes: vows, dedications, religious ceremonies, foundations of altars, sacrifices, taurobolia, suovetaurilia, libations, invocations, imprecations, moral precepts.

II. HISTORICAL.—Laws, decrees, treaties of peace, of alliance, of hospitality; public acts of all kinds, accounts and public inventories; lists of priests, magistrates, warriors who died in the service of the State; services rendered to the State by citizens; honours decreed to a private individual in his lifetime; marbles bearing the indication of an epoch; chronological facts, calendars; inscriptions not belonging to any other class but bearing a date, acts of cities and of corporations; texts containing the names of places and other geographical information such as milliary columns; the dedications of public monuments not religious edifices; the allocutions of kings, magistrates, and all inscriptions indicating a public observance, a fact relative to the manners and customs, to the state of individuals, to social organisation, &c.

III. SCIENTIFIC.—Expressing some principles of the sciences, some processes of the arts, bearing the names of artists or writers ; the causes and period of disease and death ; the names of trades.

IV. FUNERAL.—Traced on cippi, stelæ, sarcophagi, cenotaphs, &c., and relative to whatever concerns the tombs and funerals of the ancients, if the quality of the deceased does not make him an historic personage, or the text of the inscription, a geographical or a chronological monument.

V. CHRISTIAN.—The four divisions we have given may be followed by this important class of inscriptions, as they for the most part belong to the Roman period, and are written in the same language with those of Rome.

In general, it is the principal subject which marks out the inscription as belonging to one class or the other ; the cippus of an obscure private individual, without titles and without office, shall be considered as belonging to the funeral class, if it does not present any indication relative to subjects which belong to one of the former classes. The invocation to the *Gods Manes* will not change its attribution, for these Gods preside alone over funeral ceremonies.

VI.—HISTORY OF PALÆOGRAPHY.

The importance of inscriptions has been recognised by learned men of all ages. Even in ancient times great importance was attached to these monuments as the most authentic archives of nations, to which were entrusted their public and private rights ; treaties of all kinds, laws, and the memories of great deeds, as well as of great citizens, were consigned to them by the order or by the approbation of the grateful city. There were collectors of inscriptions even in ancient times. The historian Euhemerus was the first, according to Eusebius and Lactantius. Athenæus relates that Philochorus collected also, in a special work, the inscriptions which he saw in the different states of Greece. The historians Herodotus, Pausanias, and others, mention several of them, not indeed for the same purpose as Philochorus, who set an example in that respect to the palæographer of modern times. Cosmas Indicopleustes, who wrote in Greek a Christian Topography in A.D. 545, introduced several inscriptions in it. It is through his work that we have

become acquainted with the celebrated Greek inscription of Adulis (the monumentum Adulitanum) relative to the conquest of Ptolemy Euergetes, King of Egypt, in Asia. The original marble has perished, with many others, the text of which the manuscript of Cosmas has preserved. At the revival of letters Petrarch sought inscriptions, as well as manuscripts and medals, but his chief interest was in studying them, without uniting them in a systematic collection. In the fifteenth century, the study assumed great importance, and among the travellers of that age, Cyriacus of Ancona was the first who transcribed in his itinerary the inscriptions which he met with in Europe and the Levant. At the same period Felix Feliciano, Joannes Marcanova, and Fra Giocondo, were remarkable for their zeal in their researches for ancient inscriptions, the last, especially, two volumes in manuscript of whom still exist in the library of the Chapter of Verona, his native city. In the sixteenth century collections of inscriptions were published. Peutinger brought out the first at Augsburg, 1505; then followed those of John Huttich, Mayence, 1520 to 1525, of Fulvio Orsini, or Colocci, which has been wrongly attributed to Mazzochi, who was only the printer of it. Works of this kind were soon multiplied; inscribed monuments, collected in all parts of the Roman dominions, were engraved or transcribed, and the collection of Smetius, increased by Justus Lipsius (Leyden, 1588), is considered the first which has been arranged in methodic order, and is remarkable also for its fidelity and the excellent criticisms on the texts. It served as a model for the numerous works of that kind which appeared in Europe in the following centuries. Besides general collections, particular collections of a province or of a single city engaged the attention of the learned. Inscriptions of a particular kind were also collected. Some particular collections contained metric inscriptions, in Greek and Latin verses; others, those which were connected with a special subject. The Doctor Annibal Mariotti, of Perugia, has left an unedited collection of epigraphs relating to physicians and medicine. Public and private collections of original marbles were formed in many places, and interpreters were also found to describe and publish them. Gruter undertook a universal collection of all known inscriptions; Grævius and Gronovius published an edition of it revised and augmented in 1707. Muratori published a similar collection in 1739. These two

works form, with the supplement of Donati, a complete body of inscriptions, which exhibit all the riches and all the interest attached to the authentic documents which constitute the science of palæography. In 1628 the learned Selden published his '*Marmora Arundelliana*,' in which the Greek inscriptions brought from Smyrna, and purchased by the Earl of Arundel, are deciphered and illustrated. These inscriptions, with several others collected by Sir George Wheler, Dawkins, Bouverie, and Wood, were again published in a new and splendid form in 1763 by Dr. Richard Chandler, under the title of '*Marmora Oxoniensia*.'

The study of inscriptions became more extended every day. Maffei published his '*Arte Critica Lapidaria*,' an unfinished work, exhibiting great learning, but too extensive to be of general use. Padre Zaccheria published a work with that aim, but in his '*Instituzioni Lapidarie*' he deviates too frequently from his subject, and devotes more attention to teaching the art of composing inscriptions than that of deciphering ancient inscriptions. Morcelli attempted both in his treatise '*De Stilo Inscriptionum*.' It is the best elementary work on that subject. A more convenient and less extensive work has been compiled by M. Spotorno, in his '*Trattato dell'Arte Epigrafica*,' published at Savona, 1813. An extremely useful, though not very accurate, collection of inscriptions is that published by J. C. Orelli, Zurich, 1828. The most complete collection of Greek inscriptions is the great work in two large folios, of which A. Böckh undertook the editorship. It bears the title of '*Corpus Inscriptionum Græcarum*;' the first vol. was published in 1828, the second in 1843. The inscriptions in this work have been arranged according to the countries and localities in which they were found, and have been most judiciously classified. This work has exercised an important influence on the scholars of our time, and has been the cause of a prodigious number of inscriptions having been brought to light by travellers, which were before unknown. Fresh fields of discovery were opened up, and the publication of the new texts was carried on with ceaseless energy, by Böckh, Ross, and Kirchhoff in Germany; by Pittakys, Rangabè, Kumanudes, and other Greek archæologists at Athens, and by Lebus, Waddington, Foucart, and Wescher in France. Col. Leake, Sir Charles Fellows, and Mr. Hamilton, have copied and reproduced, in their travels, a large number of inscriptions from

Greece and Asia Minor. In France a most important work has been published by M. Letronne, 1842, entitled '*Recueil des Inscriptions Grecques et Latines de l'Égypte*.' Mr. Rangabè of Athens has published, in his '*Antiquités Helléniques*,' a number of inscriptions discovered in Greece since its freedom. Dr. Henzen, of Rome, is at present devoting much time to collecting and editing Greek and Roman inscriptions. All the Etruscan inscriptions known have been collected and published by Fabretti in his '*Corpus Inscriptionum Italicarum Antiquioris ævi*,' Turin, 1867-1874. The collection of ancient Greek inscriptions in the British Museum has been recently published and edited by Mr. C. Newton.

Up to the close of the eighteenth century, the Egyptian hieroglyphs remained to learned Europe an insoluble problem. There were no trustworthy materials for the task of interpretation; incorrect copies, short fragmentary inscriptions, or insignificant texts, were only at the disposal of the decipherer. Hazy ideas that the hieroglyphics were either pictures, each representing one idea, or mere decorations with an esoteric sense, but not a language, or possibly a language used for ordinary purposes, divided public opinion. The French expedition to Egypt revealed the hidden secret. In 1799, Boussard, a French engineer, discovered in the temple of the god Tum, or the setting sun, at Rosetta, a trilingual inscription in hieroglyphic, demotic, and Greek characters and language. It was imperfect to some extent, especially the first or hieroglyphic portion, but there was enough still left to commence the decipherment. The Greek text was of course easily read and translated, and the defective portions conjecturally restored by the Hellenists of Europe. It was a decree of the priests assembled in synod at Memphis to pass a vote of thanks and a testimonial to Ptolemy V., B.C. 195, and it was ordered to be inscribed in Greek, demotic, and hieroglyphic characters. Here then was the required key to the lock of the Nile. It is to this country that the discovery is due; and to Thomas Young, in 1821, must be assigned the honour of the first demonstration of the principle of interpretation. By a process of his own, partly mechanical, he arrived at the result that the hieroglyphs in the name of Ptolemy were fuller forms of the demotic signs used in the same name, and, as the demotic was rightly supposed to be a kind of alphabetic system, that the hieroglyphs must also be of the same nature.

He made out five letters, but never advanced further. A year later Champollion le Jeune, to whom the honour of discovering the language is due, resolved the problem, proved the mixed nature of the language, partly written by signs representing sounds, partly ideas. The demonstration, based on the number of signs, used to express Ptolemy, Berenice, and Cleopatra, being nearly the same as the number of Greek letters, and the recurrence of the same phonetic hieroglyph in its proper place in all these names, was conclusive. By comparison and critical inductions, and the knowledge that the language of the hieroglyphs is nearest to the Coptic or the vernacular language of Egypt, the interpretation of Egyptian inscriptions steadily advanced. Important services have been lately rendered to the further elucidation of these inscriptions, by Professors Lepsius, Brugsch, Lauth, Ebers, and Eisenlohr, in Germany, M. Chabas, the Vicomte de Rouge and M. Maspero in France, and Sir Gardner Wilkinson, Dr. Birch, Mr. C. W. Goodwin, and Mr. Le Page Renouf in our country.

The discovery of an ancient Christian cemetery or catacomb in 1578, extending like a vast subterranean city, far and wide, beneath and along the Via Salaria, near Rome, forms an epoch in the science of Christian archæology. The inscriptions found in it excited the enthusiasm and piety of the most celebrated antiquarians of the day. Bosio devoted his time to collecting and deciphering the inscriptions with an earnestness and enthusiasm unparalleled. He however did not live to enjoy the reward of his labours. They were published in Italian in 1632, under the title of '*Roma Sotterranea*,' and the work was afterwards reproduced in Latin, with considerable additions, by Aringhi. Boldetti and Marangoni, spent more than thirty years in the exploration of the catacombs and other sacred antiquities of Rome. A portion of the results was published by Boldetti in 1720, but by far the greater part still remained in manuscript, which was unfortunately destroyed by fire in 1737. A collection of Christian inscriptions is included in Muratori's '*Novus Thesaurus Veterum Inscriptionum*,' though the great body of them is of course profane. The most critical and scholarly work on these inscriptions is the publication of the Cavalier de Rossi, undertaken at the express solicitation of Cardinal Mai. Signor de Rossi's first volume, as the title implies, '*Inscriptiones Christianæ Urbis Romæ, Septimo Sæculo Antiquiores*,' 1857 to 1863, contains

only the Christian inscriptions of the city of Rome, and of these only the inscriptions which are anterior to the seventh century, and of whose genuineness, as well as age, no reasonable doubt can be entertained.

Collections of ancient inscriptions have been formed in the principal museums of Europe. In the British Museum are several important inscriptions from the Elgin and Townley collections, among which are the well-known Potidæan inscription, the Sigeian inscriptions, and several other valuable engraved marbles. At Oxford are the Arundel marbles, or inscriptions, the most important of which is the celebrated Parian chronicle, so called from the supposition of its having been made in the island of Paros, B.C. 263. At the Vatican, the long gallery, 'Galleria Lapidaria,' leading to the Museum, presents on its walls the finest known collection of ancient sepulchral inscriptions in Latin and in Greek, amounting to upwards of 3,000 examples. In the Florentine Gallery is a hall of inscriptions arranged in classes by Lanzi. The Museum at Naples contains a most interesting collection of inscribed monuments from Herculaneum, Pompeii, Stabiae, Pozzuoli, Baia, Cuma. Within the last few years all the inscriptions found in Greece are placed in the Theseum, within the walls of the Propylæa, or in the Acropolis of Athens.

It remains for us now to speak of what is most essential in the separate study of those inscriptions which have come down to us, belonging to those nations whose monuments we have undertaken to illustrate in this work. We shall endeavour to give some general hints with regard to the principal characteristics of each kind of inscription, the variations in the form of the letters, and in the orthography of words, the sigla, or numerous abbreviations, and to the means of discovering the period of an inscription which bears no precise date. Our chief aim shall be to give in the following chapters the most important hints on these various subjects, which must, however, necessarily be very brief and elementary.

THE PALÆOGRAPHY OF DIFFERENT NATIONS.


EGYPTIAN.*

No nation has left so many inscriptions as the Egyptian. All its monuments are covered with them. Its temples, palaces, tombs, isolated monuments, present an infinite number of inscriptions in hieroglyphic, hieratic, and demotic characters. The Egyptians rarely executed a statue, or figured representation, without inscribing by its side its name or subject. This name is invariably found by the side of each divinity, personage, or individual. In each painted scene, on each sculptured figure, an inscription, more or less extensive, explains its subject.

The characters used by the Egyptians were of three kinds—hieroglyphic, hieratic, and demotic. The latter has been also termed *enchorial*, or popular. The first was doubtless a system of representational signs, or picture writing—the earliest form of writing, in the first stage of its development; the hieratic is an abbreviated form of the hieroglyphic; the demotic, a simplified form of the hieratic, and a near approach towards the alphabetic system.

Hieroglyphics (styled by the Egyptians *skhai en neter tur*—writing of sacred words) are composed of signs representing objects of the physical world, as animals, plants, stars, man and his different members, and various objects. They are pure or linear, the latter being a reduction of the former. The pure were always sculptured or painted. The linear were generally used in the earlier papyri, containing funereal rituals.

* In this chapter we are much indebted to Sir G. Wilkinson's treatise on 'Hieratic and Demotic Writing,' in Rawlinson's 'Herodotus.'



They have been divided into four classes :—1, representational or ikonographic; 2, symbolic or tropical; 3, enigmatic; 4, phonetic. From the examination of hieroglyphic inscriptions of different ages, it is evident that these four classes of symbols were used promiscuously, according to the pleasure and convenience of the artist.

1. Ikonographic, representational, or imitative hieroglyphics, are those that present the images of the things expressed, as the sun's disk to signify the sun, the crescent to signify the moon. These may be styled pure hieroglyphics. This class is the *κρυπτολογική κατὰ μίμησιν* of Clemens Alexandrinus.

2. The symbolical, or tropical (by Bunsen termed ideographic), substituted one object for another, to which it bore an analogy, as heaven and a star expressed night; a leg in a trap, deceit; two arms stretched towards heaven expresses the word offering; a censer with some grains of incense, adoration; a bee was made to signify Lower Egypt; the fore-quarters of a lion, strength; a crocodile, darkness. This kind of character appears to have been particularly invented for the expression of abstract ideas, especially belonging to religion or the royal power. These are the characters generally alluded to by the ancients when they speak of hieroglyphics, and are the most difficult of interpretation.

3. Enigmatic are those in which an emblematic figure is put in lieu of the one intended to be represented, as a hawk for the sun; a seated figure, with a curved beard, for a god. These three kinds were either used *alone*, or *in company* with the phonetically written word they represented. Thus: 1. The word Ra, sun, might be written in letters only, or be also followed by the ikonograph, the *solar disk* (which if alone would still have the same meaning—Ra, the sun). So too the word "moon," Aah, was followed by the crescent. In these cases the sign so following the phonetic word has been called a *determinative*, from its serving to determine the meaning of what preceded it. 2. In the same manner, the *tropical* hieroglyphics might be alone or in company with the word written phonetically; and the expression "to write," *skhai*, might be followed or not by its tropical hieroglyphic, the "pen and inkstand," as its determinative sign. 3. The emblematic figure, a *hawk-headed* god, bearing the disk, signifying the "sun," might also be alone, or after the name "Ra" written phonetically, as a determinative sign; and

as a general rule the determinative followed, instead of preceding the names. Determinatives are of two kinds—ideograms, and generic determinatives: the first were the pictures of the object spoken of; the second, conventional, symbols of the class of notions expressed by the word.*

4. Phonetic. Phonetic characters or signs were those expressive of sounds. They are either purely *alphabetic* or *syllabic*. The purely alphabetic signs are given in the plate. All the other Egyptian phonetic signs have *syllabic* values, which are resolvable into combinations of the letters of the alphabet. This phonetic principle being admitted, the numbers of figures used to represent a sound might have been increased almost without limit, and any hieroglyphic might stand for the first letter of its name. So copious an alphabet would have been a continual source of error. The characters, therefore, thus applied, were soon fixed, and the Egyptians practically confined themselves to particular hieroglyphics in writing certain words.

Hieroglyphic writing was employed on monuments of all kinds, on temples as well as on the smallest figures, and on bricks used for building purposes. On the most ancient monuments this writing is absolutely the same as on the most recent Egyptian work. Out of Egypt there is scarcely a single example of a graphic system identically the same during a period of over two thousand years. The hieroglyphic characters were either engraved in relief, or sunk below the surface on the public monuments, and objects of hard materials suited for the glyptic art. The hieroglyphs on the monuments are either sculptured and plain, or decorated with colours. The coloured are divided into two distinct classes, the monochromatic of one simple tone, and the polychromatic, or those which rendered with more or less fidelity the colour of the object they were intended to depict.

* Champollion ('Paléographie Universelle') ascribes the necessity of the determinative sign to the custom, as among Oriental nations, of omitting the middle vowels of words in Egyptian writing; this would produce confusion in respect to words unlike each other in meaning, but written with the same consonants. Thus the words Nib, an *ibis*, and Nebi, a plough, were traced in the same manner by two hieroglyphical characters, expressing only N and B. All confusion of ideas and words, however, was avoided, by placing at the end of each phonetic word an additional determinative character, which determined the meaning of the word, and its real pronunciation.

The hieroglyphic figures were arranged in vertical columns or horizontal lines, and grouped together as circumstances required, so as to leave no spaces unnecessarily vacant. They were written from right to left, or from left to right. The order in which the characters were to be read, was shown by the direction in which the figures are placed, as their heads are invariably turned towards the reader. A single line of hieroglyphics—the dedication of a temple or of any other monument, for example—proceeds sometimes one half from left to right, and the other half from right to left; but in this case a sign, such as the sacred tau, or an obelisk, which has no particular direction, is placed in the middle of the inscription, and it is from that sign that the two halves of the inscription take each an opposite direction.

The period when hieroglyphics—the oldest Egyptian characters—were first used, is uncertain. They are found in the Great Pyramid of the time of the fourth dynasty, and had evidently been invented long before, having already assumed a cursive style.* This shows them to be far older than any other known writing; and the written documents of the ancient languages of Asia, the Sanskrit and the Zend, are of a recent time compared with those of Egypt, even if the date of the Rig-Veda in the fifteenth century B.C. be proved. Manetho shows that the invention of writing was known in the reign of Athothis (the son and successor of Menes), the second king of Egypt, when he ascribes to him the writing of the anatomical books; and tradition assigned to it a still earlier origin. At all events, hieroglyphics, and the use of the papyrus, with the usual reed pen, are shown to have been common when the pyramids were built; and their style in the sculptures proves that they were then a very old invention. In hieroglyphics of the earliest periods there were fewer phonetic characters than in after ages, these periods being nearer to the original picture-writing. The number of signs also varied at different times; but they may be reckoned at from 900 to 1000. Various new characters were

* The most ancient hieroglyphs, according to M. Pierret, which can be seen in an European museum, are those on the statues of Sefa and Nesa in the Louvre; they date from a period anterior to the fourth dynasty. The lintel of the door of the tomb of one of the priests of Senat, fifth king of the second dynasty in the Ashmolean Library, Oxford, exhibits, however, hieroglyphs of an earlier date.

added at subsequent periods, and a still greater number were introduced under the Ptolemies and Cæsars, which are not found in the early monuments; some, again, of the older times, fell into disuse.

Hieratic is an abbreviated form of the hieroglyphic; thus each hieroglyphic sign—ikonographic, symbolic, or phonetic—has its abridged hieratic form, and this abridged form has the same import as the sign itself of which it is a reduced copy. It was written from right to left, and was the character used by the priests and sacred scribes, whence its name. It was invented at least as early as the ninth dynasty (B.C. 2240), and fell into disuse when the demotic had been introduced. The hieratic writing was generally used for manuscripts, and is also found on the cases of mummies, and on isolated stones and tablets. Long inscriptions have been written on them with a brush. Inscriptions of this kind are also found on buildings, written or engraved by ancient travellers. But its most important use was in the historical papyri, and the registers of the temples. Most valuable information respecting the chronology and numeric systems of the Egyptians has been derived from them.

Demotic, or enchorial, is composed of signs derived from the hieratic, and is a simplified form of it, but from which figurative or ikonographic signs are generally excluded, and but few symbolical signs, relative to religion alone, are retained; signs nearly approaching the alphabetic are chiefly met with in this third kind of writing. It was invariably written, like the hieratic, from right to left. It is thus evident that the Egyptians, strictly speaking, had but one system of writing, composed of three kinds of signs, the second and third being regularly deduced from the first, and all three governed by the same fundamental principles. The demotic was reserved for general use among the Egyptians: decrees and other public acts, contracts, some funereal stelæ, and private transactions, were written in demotic. The intermediate text of the Rosetta inscription is of this kind. It is not quite certain when the demotic first came into use, but it was at least as early as the reign of Psammetichus II., of the twenty-sixth dynasty (B.C. 604); and it had therefore long been employed when Herodotus visited Egypt. Soon after its invention it was adopted for all ordinary purposes.

The chief objects of interest in the study of an Egyptian inscription are its historical indications. These are found in the names of kings or of chief officers, and in the dates they contain. The names of kings are always enclosed in an oval called *cartouches*. An oval contains either the royal title or prænomen, or the proper name or nomen of the king. The royal title is more frequently found, and though there are a great many of them which bear a great resemblance to one another, yet none are exactly similar. Each of these ovals containing a title, belongs to a separate king, whom it designates particularly. An accurate study of these ovals having led to the knowledge of connecting the ovals containing titles with the kings who bore them, and thereby forming a list of these, founded on and confirmed by monuments, this oval containing the title or prænomen, though alone, has thus become a most important historical indication, and we are thus able to attribute, with every certainty, the monuments bearing this oval to the reign of the king designated by the oval, or to the reign of the king who was latest in date of the two or more which are sometimes found on the same monument. The greatest attention ought to be paid to these ovals; their presence adds to the value of any inscription, which contains one or more in its text. The oval containing the proper name, or nomen, frequently follows the oval con-



RAMESSES II.

taining the title; a group of four signs, two semicircles, a bee and a reed, meaning "Lord of the Upper and Lower Countries," is placed over the prænomen; and another group of two signs, a goose and a solar disk, is placed over the nomen, and in this case the royal legend is complete. This latter group, which reads *Phra* or *Ra, Se* ("Son of the Sun") is a title common to all the kings of Egypt, and we have thus the complete designation of each king. For example, "Lord of the Upper and Lower Countries (first group of four signs). Sun, strong in truth, approved by Ra (oval containing title or prænomen). Son of the Sun (second group of two signs). Beloved of Amun. Rameses (oval proper name)." Such is the royal legend of Rameses II. The first sign of the oval, containing the title, is always the disk of the sun, and this sign, as well as all the others of ovals of this kind, is ikonographic or

symbolic. In the ovals containing proper names, on the contrary, the signs are either entirely phonetic, or ikonographic and phonetic mixed together. The names of Egyptian gods sometimes forming a portion of the proper names of kings and individuals, frequently the figure itself of the god, or his animal representative, was placed instead of the phonetic signs which would have represented that part of his name in the oval: thus the name of the king Thotmes is spelt by an ibis (Thoth), and the usual signs of M and S. The semicircle at the end of an oval denotes the name to be that of a female.

The dates which are found with these royal legends are also of great importance in an historical point of view, and monuments which bear any numerical indications are exceedingly rare. These numerical indications are either the age of the deceased on a funereal tablet, or the number of different consecrated objects which he has offered to the gods, or the date of an event mentioned in the inscription. Dates, properly so called, are the most interesting to collect; they are expressed in hieroglyphic cyphers, single lines expressing the number of units up to nine, when an arbitrary sign represents 10, another 100, and another 10,000.

The most celebrated Egyptian inscriptions are those of the Rosetta stone. This stone, a tablet of black basalt, contains three inscriptions, one in hieroglyphics, another in demotic or enchorial, and a third in the Greek language. The inscriptions are to the same purport in each, and are a decree of the priesthood of Memphis, in honour of Ptolemy Epiphanes, about the year B.C. 196. "Ptolemy is there styled King of Upper and Lower Egypt, Son of the gods Philopatores, approved by Pthah, to whom Ra has given victory, a living image of Amun, son of Ra, Ptolemy Immortal, beloved by Pthah, God Epiphanes, most gracious. In the date of the decree we are told the names of the priests of Alexander, of the gods Soteres, of the gods Adelphi, of the gods Euergetæ, of the gods Philopatores, of the god Epiphanes himself, of Berenice Euergetis, of Arsinoë Philadelphus, and of Arsinoë Philopator. The preamble mentions with gratitude the services of the king, or rather of his wise minister Aristomenes; and the enactment orders that the statue of the king shall be worshipped in every temple of Egypt, and be carried out in the processions with those of the gods of the country; and lastly that the decree is to be carved at the

foot of every statue of the king in sacred, in common, and in Greek writing"* (Sharpe). It is now in the British Museum. This stone is remarkable for having led to the discovery of the system pursued by the Egyptians in their monumental writing, and for having furnished a key to its interpretation, Dr. Young giving the first hints by establishing the phonetic value of the hieroglyphic signs, which were followed up and carried out by Champollion.

Another important and much more ancient inscription is the tablet of Abydos in the British Museum. It was discovered by Mr. Banks in a chamber of the temple of Abydos, in 1818. It is now greatly disfigured, but when perfect it represented an offering made by Rameses II., of the nineteenth dynasty, to his predecessors on the throne of Egypt. The tablet is of fine limestone, and originally contained the names of fifty-two kings disposed in the two upper lines, twenty-six in each line, and a third or lower line with the name and prænomen of Rameses II. or III. repeated twenty-six times. On the upper line, beginning from the right hand, are the names of monarchs anterior to the twelfth dynasty. The names in the second line are those of monarchs of the twelfth, and the eighteenth or nineteenth dynasties. The King Rameses II. probably stood on the right hand of the tablet, and on the other is the lower part of a figure of Osiris. The lateral inscription is the speech of the deceased king to "their son" Rameses II.

The tablet of Karnak, now in one of the halls of the Bibliothèque at Paris, was discovered by Burton in a chamber situated in the south-east angle of the temple-palace of Thebes, and was published by its discoverer in his '*Excerpta Hieroglyphica*.' The chamber itself was fully described by Rosellini in his '*Monumenti Storici*.' The kings are in two rows, overlooked each of them by a large figure of Thotmes III., the fifth king of the eighteenth dynasty. In the row to the left of the entrance are thirty one names, and in that to the right are thirty, all of them predecessors of Thotmes. The Theban kings who ruled in Upper Egypt during the usurpation of the Hyksos invaders are also exhibited among the lists. Over the head of each king is his oval, containing his royal titles.

* A second copy of this inscription, in hieroglyphic and demotic characters, has been found by Professor Lepsius in the court of the great temple of Isis, at Philæ.

A most valuable tablet of kings has been lately discovered by M. Mariette in a tomb near Memphis, that of a priest who lived under Rameses II., and was called Tunar-i. It contains two rows of kings' names, each twenty-nine in number. Six have been wholly obliterated out of the upper row, and five out of the lower row. The upper row contains the names of Rameses II. and his predecessors, who seem all meant for kings of Upper Egypt, or kings of Memphis who ruled over Upper Egypt, while the names in the lower row seem meant for contemporaneous High Priests of Memphis, some or all of whom may have called themselves kings of Lower Egypt. The result of the comparison of this tablet with other authorities, namely Manetho, Eratosthenes, and the tablet of Abydos, is supposed by some to contradict the longer views of chronology held by Bunsen, Lepsius and others. Thus, reading the list of names backwards from Rameses II. to Amosis, the first of the eighteenth dynasty, this tablet, like the tablet of Abydos, immediately jumps to the kings of Manetho's twelfth dynasty; thus arguing that the intermediate five dynasties mentioned by Manetho must have been reigning contemporaneously with the others, and add no length of time to a table of chronology. There is also a further omission in this tablet of four more dynasties. This tablet would thus seem to confirm the views of the opponents of the longer chronology of Bunsen and others, by striking out from the long chronology two periods amounting together to 1536 years. But a complete counterpart of the tablet of Memphis has been recently found at Abydos by M. Mariette, fully confirming the chronology of Manetho, and bearing out the views of Bunsen and Lepsius. The *Moniteur* publishes a letter from M. Mariette, containing the following statement:—"At Abydos I have discovered a magnificent counterpart of the tablet of Sakharah, Seti I., accompanied by his son, subsequently Rameses II. (Sesostris), presents an offering to seventy-six kings drawn up in line before him. Menes (the first king of the first dynasty on Manetho's list) is at their head. From Menes to Seti I., this formidable list passes through nearly all the dynasties. The first six are represented therein. We are next introduced to sovereigns still unknown to us, belonging to the obscure period which extends from the end of the sixth to the beginning of the eleventh. From the eleventh to the eighteenth the new

table follows the beaten track, which it does not quit again during the reign of Thotmes, Amenophis, and the first Rameses. If in this new list everything is not absolutely new, we at least find in it a valuable confirmation of Manetho's list, and in the present state of science we can hardly expect more. Whatever confirms Manetho gives us confidence in our own efforts, even as whatever contradicts it weakens the results we obtain. The new tablet of Abydos is, moreover, the completest and best preserved monument we possess in this respect. Its style is splendid, and there is not a single cartouche or oval wanting. It has been found engraved on one of the walls of a small chamber in the large temple of Abydos."

An important stone bearing a Greek inscription with equivalent Egyptian hieroglyphics has been discovered by Professor Lepsius, at San, the former Tanis, the chief scene of the grand architectural undertakings of Rameses II. The Greek inscription consists of seventy-six lines, in the most perfect preservation, dating from the time of Ptolemy Euergetes I. (B.C. 238). The hieroglyphical inscription has thirty-seven lines. It was also found that a demotic inscription was ordered to be added by the priests, on a stone or brass stele, in the sacred writing of the Egyptians and in Greek characters; this is unfortunately wanting. The contents of the inscription are of great interest. It is dated the 9th year the 7th Apellæus—17 Tybi, of the reign of Euergetes I. The priests of Egypt came together in Canopus to celebrate the birthday of Euergetes, on the 5th Dios, and his assumption of the royal honour on the 28th of the same month, when they passed the decree here published. They enumerate all the good deeds of the king, amongst them the merit of having recovered in a military expedition the sacred images carried off in former times by the Persians, and order great honours to be paid in reward for his services. This tablet of calcareous stone with a rounded top, is about seven feet high, and is completely covered by the inscription. The discovery of this stone is of the greatest importance for hieroglyphical studies.

We may mention here another inscribed tablet, the celebrated Isiac table in the Museum at Turin. It is a tablet in bronze, covered with Egyptian figures or hieroglyphics engraved or sunk, the outlines being filled with silvering, forming a kind of

niello. It was one of the first objects that excited an interest in the interpretation of hieroglyphics, and elicited learned solutions from Kircher and others. It is now considered to be one of those pseudo-Egyptian productions so extensively fabricated during the reign of Hadrian, and it has been ascertained that its hieroglyphics have no meaning at all.

The Egyptian obelisks also present important inscriptions. Of these the most ancient is that of Heliopolis: it reads thus, "The Horus; the living from his birth; the king of Upper and Lower Egypt; Ra Kheper Ka; Lord of the two diadems; Son of the sun; Osirtasen; the loved of the God of Heliopolis from his birth; Ever-living; The golden Horus; the Good God; Ra Kheper Ka to the first celebration of the panegyry. He (has) made (this obelisk) the eternal generator."

We have selected these few examples of Egyptian inscriptions for their celebrity. Almost every Egyptian monument, of whatever period, temples, statues, tablets, small statues, were inscribed with hieroglyphic inscriptions, all generally executed with great care and finish. The Egyptian edifices were also covered with religious or historical tableaux, sculptured and painted on all the walls; it has been estimated that in one single temple there existed no less than 30,000 square feet of sculpture, and at the sides of these tableaux were innumerable inscriptions, equally composed of ingeniously grouped figurative signs, in explanation of the subjects, and combining with them far more happily than if they had been the finest alphabetical characters in the world.

Their study would require more than a lifetime, and we have only space to give a few general hints.

GREEK.

We have a much more accurate knowledge of Greek inscriptions than we have of Egyptian palæography. The Greek alphabet, and all its variations, as well as the language, customs, and history of that illustrious people, are better known to us. Greek inscriptions lead us back to those glorious periods of the Greek people when their heroes and writers made themselves immortal by their illustrious deeds and writings. What emotions must arise in the breast of the archæologist who finds in a marble worn by time the funereal monument placed by Athens,

twenty-three centuries ago, over the grave of its warriors who died before Potidæa.

“ Their souls high heaven received ; their bodies gained,
 In Potidæa’s plains, this hallowed tomb.
 Their foes unnumbered fell : a few remained
 Saved by their ramparts from the general doom.
 The victor city mourns her heroes slain,
 Foremost in fight, they for her glory died.
 ’Tis yours, ye sons of Athens, to sustain,
 By martial deeds like theirs, your country’s pride.”

Our chief and principal aim in the examination of a Greek inscription ought to be the discovery of its period. The subject, if it belongs to history, indicates in the first place that period, within certain limits ; but it is more accurately recognised—1, in the chronological signs, if it has any ; 2, in their absence, in the forms of the letters belonging to a certain period, in the arrangement of the lines of the inscription ; lastly, in certain grammatical forms peculiar to the more ancient Greek inscriptions. The dialect which is employed is also an indication, at least topographical, with regard to the country in which the inscription was engraved.

The usual chronological signs are—1. The names of the magistrates by whose authority the monument was executed, or who were in office at the time it was erected. 2. Dates derived from some era adopted in each state of Greece, and expressed according to the calendar peculiar to each of these states. Dates of this kind are only found in Greek inscriptions of a later period ; on the more ancient—on those of Greece anterior to the invasion of the Romans—the names of kings or magistrates generally mark the period. The length of the time of office of the latter, prescribed by law, and the order of their succession inscribed in the public archives, left, in those times, no uncertainty with regard to the expression of these dates. Modern critical scholars, combining the authority of inscriptions with the statements of historians, have succeeded in establishing lists of the succession of Greek magistrates in chronological order, and in connecting them with the years before the Christian era, and in thus forming useful tables for the establishing of epochs of ancient history, and the determination of the precise date of a monument. A Greek inscription bearing the name of an archon (Eponymus) is undoubtedly of the self-same year in which that archon was in

office, and the same may be said with regard to the inscriptions of other towns or countries of which lists of kings or magistrates have been established. With regard to dates, properly so called, in years, months, or days, we must remark that the ancients never employed a general era. When a period was established by a city or state, its origin was derived from some important event peculiar to it, such as the Olympiads, hence arise a diversity of modes in the notation of epochs, whence spring a great number of difficulties. Chronologists have endeavoured to explain the nature of these numerous and variable eras, and to discover a means of making them harmonise, and of connecting them with the years before the Christian era. Chronological tables will therefore supply the interpretation of these dates. The principal towns of Greece adopted their own dates, but in every state where royal authority was established, the dates were taken from the year of the reign of the king who then occupied the throne, and the succession of their kings is sufficiently well known, as well as the period of their reigns, for one to arrive at every certainty on that subject. Chronological tables will give the necessary information with regard to the date of their reigns.

The forms of the letters of a Greek inscription are also an approximate indication of its date. It is evident that it is impossible to find in an inscription of a certain date the use of a letter which was not as yet in the Greek alphabet at that same period. The Greek alphabet, like that of all the ancient nations of Europe, was at first composed only of sixteen letters, Α Β Γ Δ Ε Ι Κ Λ Μ Ν Ο Π Ρ Σ Τ Υ, which were said to have been introduced by Cadmus from Phœnicia. At a later period Palamedes is supposed to have added the four double letters, Θ Ξ Φ Χ, representing TH, KΣ, ΠH, KI; to these twenty Simonides is stated to have made the further addition of Ζ Η Ψ Ω;* before the

* This is the usually accepted tradition with regard to the origin of Greek letters. M. Champollion ('Paléographie Universelle') is of opinion that the Greeks already possessed an alphabet before the arrival of Cadmus; that Cadmus taught them certain letters or signs of sounds, which their alphabet did not previously contain, and that these new letters, adopted by the Greeks, were introduced in time into general use. But the distinction between the two alphabets was not lost by this adoption; the learned Greeks still distinguished between the ancient national alphabet, the *Pelasgic*, and the new alphabet, augmented by the Phœnician letters, which assumed the name of the Phœnician or Cadmian alphabet. The *Pelasgic* or

adoption of which two omicrons (O O) were used instead of Ω, and two epsilons (EE) for H, and as this alphabet came generally into use at Athens after the archonship of Euclides, B.C. 403, it follows as a necessary result that an inscription in which one or several of these letters are found, must be, with every certainty, considered as posterior to Euclides, and to the year B.C. 403. The first twenty letters of the Greek alphabet are to be met with in earlier inscriptions. The digamma, or double gamma, corresponding to the vau of the Hebrew, and the F of the Latin alphabet, is found in some early inscriptions—it is seen on the

primitive alphabet was composed of sixteen letters, representing only the simple and primitive sounds. To Cadmus, the Greek alphabet was indebted for four new signs, nearly all aspirated, Ζ, Θ, Φ, Χ; the sounds of which exist in the Phœnician alphabet, these signs becoming necessary for the few Phœnician words which the Greeks adopted.

All tradition, as M. Peile observes, on this point is worthless, unless it is borne out by inscriptions. It is at least probable that the whole Phœnician alphabet was borrowed at one time, for all, or nearly all, the characters occur on the oldest inscriptions we possess. The variations from the Phœnician alphabet to the alphabets of Greece and Italy are carefully traced in the article on the alphabet in the 'Encyclopædia Britannica.' It is now generally accepted by Le Normant, and others, that the Phœnician alphabet was the parent of the Greek, and of almost every alphabet, properly so called, existing in the earth; and that the Phœnician alphabet itself was derived from the Egyptian hieratic, the correspondence between the two being sufficiently striking to warrant such a conclusion. The Egyptian hieratic was an abbreviated form of the hieroglyphic. The Phœnician is thus traced up to its original pictorial stage, and hieroglyphic source.

The Moabite stone affords the oldest example of an inscription in Phœnician characters. These, according to Dr. Ginsburg, were common before B.C. 700 to all the races of Western Asia, and were used in Nineveh, Phœnicia, Jerusalem, Samaria, Moab, Cilicia, Cyprus: so that we have here the alphabet "from which the Greek, the Roman, and all other European alphabets have been derived, the veritable prototype of modern writing."

"As we find among them," writes Bishop Colenso, "representatives of all the *twenty-two* letters of the ancient Semitic alphabet (on the Moabite stone only twenty occur), the story that only *sixteen* were brought into Greece from Phœnicia falls at once to the ground, and, doubtless, the whole Phœnician alphabet was taken over by the Greeks from Kadmus, that is, 'the man of the east,' for *kedem* in Hebrew means the East."

The Moabite stone records three series of events in the reign of Mesha, king of Moab, who is mentioned in the Bible as having rebelled against Israel after the death of Ahab, B.C. 898, and who lived therefore about B.C. 900, only seventy-five years after Solomon's time, and may have erected this stone about B.C. 890.

Elean tablet. It prevailed more particularly in the Æolic dialect of the Greek tongue. The koppa ϙ, derived from the Phœnician koph, is found in many of the older Greek inscriptions, and on the coins of Croton and Corinth. It was used only when the following vowel was O. The Ω appears rarely before B.C. 408. The long O, on the early inscriptions, was represented by an O with a dot in the centre, as in a Greek inscription found at Aboosimbel, dating from the reign of Psammitichus, B.C. 600. The size and form of these letters thus furnish important data for determining the approximate period of an inscription. The direction of the lines of an inscription is also an indication of the period. The Greeks, following the mode used by Eastern nations of Semitic origin (the languages of the Aryan race are read from left to right), at first wrote from right to left; no monument, however, has come down to us that can with certainty be attributed to the period in which this method was exclusively in use. Inscriptions of a single line are, it is true, written in this manner, as, for instance, the inscription found by Colonel Leake on a small votive helmet at Olympia, and the inscription on an early vase of Athens, IMΞNOΛ⊙ANE⊙ΞNE⊙ANOT, but the first line of an inscription which belongs to the second mode of writing adopted at a later period by the Greeks, is always inscribed from left to right. A remarkable feature of this very early period is the great irregularity of size in the letters, the O being generally very small.. The second mode is termed Boustrophedon, βου-στροφῆ-δον, or ox-turning-wise, in which the direction of the lines alternated, as in the course of a plough, so that the first line began on the left, the second on the right, immediately beneath the end of the first. The most ancient inscriptions (of which the Sigeian is an example) are written in this manner, which is thus a certain indication of antiquity—when, however, the primitive form of the letters is in harmony with this peculiar arrangement of the lines; for the Boustrophedon has been imitated at a period when it was no longer in use, so as to give the inscription the appearance of an antiquity which it did not in reality possess. An inscription, therefore, written in Boustrophedon, should be carefully examined to see if the form of the letters and the spelling of the words concur in proving its authenticity, as belonging to the ancient Greek style. In the course of time, and about the eighth century B.C., the Boustrophedon was abandoned, and the

uniform direction of the lines from left to right generally adopted. An inscription will be thus: 1. In the first style, and in the most ancient, if it is traced from right to left, and if the letters have the forms of the early alphabet: no inscription is known of this first period. 2. In the second style, and anterior to the seventh century B.C., if it presents the forms of the alphabet of that period, and if its lines are traced in the manner termed *Boustrophedon*. 3. In the third style, and anterior to the end of the fifth century B.C., if not being traced in the *Boustrophedon*, it does not present any of the four double letters, Z, Ψ, H, Ω, and if the forms of the letters still preserve the traces of the old style. (It must be stated here that the presence of the H in inscriptions of this period will not invalidate their antiquity, as it is introduced as an aspirate, as HEKATON, ἑκατόν, and not as a long E, which was expressed in inscriptions of that period by two E's, as MATEEP for MATHP). 4. In the fourth style, and posterior to the end of the fifth century B.C., after the archonship of Euclides, B.C. 403, if the twenty-four letters of the Greek alphabet are found in an inscription. In the year B.C. 403, the laws of Draco and Solon, as revised, and as afterwards adopted by the whole body of five hundred nomothetæ, and by the senate, were ordered to be inscribed on the walls of the *Pœcilé Stoa*, on which occasion the full Ionic alphabet of twenty-four letters was for the first time adopted in public acts. Inscriptions of this kind are the most usual. These may be also divided into a number of different epochs, comprising a period of nine centuries, almost to the time of the Lower Empire. A vertical mode of engraving inscriptions was sometimes used by the Greeks, termed *kionedon*, or *columnar*. In this mode of engraving monumental inscriptions, the letters were ranged perpendicularly, and the greatest care was taken to preserve an equal number of letters in each line. A Greek inscription in this style, containing an inventory of valuable articles kept in the *opisthodomos*, or treasury of the Parthenon, is in the British Museum. From its orthography, however, Visconti affirms that it is posterior to the archonship of Euclides, that is, after the year B.C. 403.

Kirchhoff distinguishes two main divisions of Greek alphabets—the east and the west; not that this geographical distribution is exact, but it is the most convenient. The eastern includes: first, the alphabets of the towns of Asia Minor—Halicarnassus,

Ephesus, Teos, Miletus, Colophon, and Rhodes, which, agreeing essentially, became that Ionic alphabet that was adopted at Athens, B.C. 403, and is the Greek alphabet with which we are familiar; secondly, those of the Ægean Islands—Thera, Melos, Crete, Paros, Siphnos, Thasos, Naxos—in which Ω does not stand for Omega, but occasionally appears as O for Omicron, and there are other minute differences in the shape of the letters. Thirdly, some of the alphabets of the mainland of Greece, which have a closer affinity to the Ionic than to their neighbours, viz. the old one of Attica, down to Ol. 94—Argos, Corinth and its colonies, Corcyra, and even Syracuse. The western division includes the remainder of the towns of Greece Proper and their Sicilian and Italian colonies; these are marked by peculiar variations of certain characters, especially *g*, *e*, *h*, *th*, *l*, *r*, and *s*; by the use of *h* as the aspirate only; by the absence of *omega*, and by the universal application of the symbol Ψ to denote, not *ps*, but *ch*, whilst X or +, the symbol of *ch* in the eastern alphabets, here denotes *x*. The most important alphabet of this group is that of the Chalcidian colonies of Sicily and the west coast of Italy—Cumæ, Neapolis, &c.—because from this was derived the Latin alphabet, the direct progenitor of our own.

In the plate will be found the Greek alphabet of the most ancient inscriptions, taken from the monuments themselves. By these the forms of the letters can be distinguished from those which are observed in Greek inscriptions of the Roman period, which bear a great resemblance to the forms of the capital letters of the Greek alphabet as used at the present day. We must, however, remark that the forms *c* *ε* *ω* of the letters Σ Ε Ω, do not prove the late period of an inscription; these forms are common to the period of the Lower Empire, but they are also found on several monuments of an early date. The study of original monuments will furnish a number of data for distinguishing the relative antiquity of inscriptions, which it would be impossible to give in this short treatise.

After these few general observations on Greek inscriptions, on the forms of the letters, on the direction of the lines, it remains for us to make a few remarks on their subjects, on the signs peculiar to each of them, on the numerous abbreviations observable on them, and on the numerous signs employed at different periods. The accurate interpretation of the text will alone lead one to fully recognise the object and usefulness of a

Greek inscription in an historical point of view. This interpretation will require not only a profound knowledge of the Greek language of its period, but also an accurate acquaintance with the style called *lapidary*, which is found in the Greek texts traced on monuments, and if we consider in how many different countries the Greek language has been that of public monuments, how variable has been the introduction of certain modes of expression, according to the different places, and sometimes also according to different periods in the same place, we may form an idea of what the study of inscriptions requires to make it productive of important results. But this profound critical knowledge will not be required by the general scholar or archæologist. Thus there will not be expected from us here more than some few general hints, with regard to the prominent signs which are characteristic of their different epochs, which will lead to a partial knowledge of a monument, and such as will be sufficient to class it conveniently in a collection.

The decrees and public acts of cities and of corporations, treaties and conventions of general interest, are generally preceded by an invocation to good fortune; ΑΓΑΘΗ ΤΥΧΗ. Sometimes ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙ ΣΩΤΗΡΙΗ, "and for safety" was added, then came the designation of the city or corporation, the names of the magistrates or priests in office, and the subject of the monument; frequently a date is at the end of the text, as well as the name, either of the person who drew up the inscription, or who presided at its execution, or of the artist who engraved it; the name of the magistrates or of the priests are sometimes placed only after the subject of the monument. In the short honorary inscriptions to kings or citizens, the verb of the sentence is generally understood; the name of the person honoured, either by a statue, or by any other public testimony, is written in the first line in the accusative; it is followed by the name of the town or of the corporation who voted the monument, and the names of the magistrate, or of the priest, and of the artist, are at the end; a decree frequently bears the word ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ, and when it is in favour of a citizen who has rendered some important service, the usual reward being a crown decreed by the city, the crown is represented over the decree, and the name of the citizen is inscribed within it.

When any treaty, law, or other public document had to be promulgated, this was done by exhibiting in certain places of

public resort authenticated copies inscribed, first on perishable and ultimately on durable, materials; and with a view to the perpetual preservation of these inscriptions, they were very generally among the Greeks set up in temples or in public buildings, which afforded every possible guarantee for their safe custody.

The most important monumental inscription which presents Greek records, illustrating and establishing the chronology of Greek history, is the Parian chronicle, now preserved among the Arundelian marbles at Oxford. It was so called from the supposition of its having been made in the island of Paros, B.C. 263. In its perfect state it was a square tablet, of coarse marble, five inches thick; and when Selden first inspected it it measured three feet seven inches, by two feet seven inches. On this stone were engraved some of the principal events in the history of ancient Greece, forming a compendium of chronology during a series of 1318 years, which commenced with the reign of Cecrops, the first king of Athens, B.C. 1582, and ended with the archonship of Diognetus. It was deciphered and published by the learned Selden in 1628. It makes no mention of Olympiads, and reckons backwards from the time then present by years.*

The date on an inscription when derived from a local era, is sometimes found at the beginning. Of these dates there are a great many varieties. The date most easily to be distinguished is that taken from the years of the reign of a king. It is expressed in Greek letters or in ciphers; in the first case they present no difficulty, but in the latter, the variations which

* The first era, or computation of time, from an epoch made use of among the Greeks, was that of the Olympiads. The reckoning was made to commence from the games at which Corœbus was the victor, being the first at which the name of the victor was recorded. The Olympiad of Corœbus, accordingly, is considered in chronology as the first Olympiad. Its date is placed 108 years after the restoration of the games by Iphitus, and is calculated to correspond with the year B.C. 776. Timæus, of Sicily, who flourished in the reign of Ptolemy Philadelphus (B.C. 283-247) was the first who attempted to establish an era, by comparing and correcting the dates of the Olympiads, the Spartan kings, the archons of Athens, and the priestesses of Juno. This Olympiad era was chiefly used by historians, and is scarcely ever found on inscriptions. The Olympiad era met with on inscriptions is another, or a new Olympiad, which came into use under the Roman emperors. It began in Ol. 227.3 (A.D. 131), in which year Hadrian dedicated the Olympieion at Athens; and accordingly we find Ol. 227.3 spoken of as the first Olympiad, Ol. 228.3 (A.D. 135) as the second Olympiad (Böckh, Corp. Inscr.).

existed among the Greeks in the mode of noting numbers, may prove embarrassing. It was only at a late period that the twenty-four letters of the alphabet were adopted as signs for numbers, according to their order in the alphabet. This numerical alphabet being the most usual, we must here state that the signs which were in use before this application of letters to the expression of numbers, were signs taken in general from the initial letters of the words expressive of these numbers. In the following list the usual number precedes its equivalent in Greek. 1—I; 2—II and Δ; 3—III; 4—IIII; 5—V; 6—Σ and Ϟ; 7—ΕΒΔΜ; 8—IIII; 9—IIII; 10—Δ or ∇; 11—ΔΙ, Α; 12—ΔΙΙ, Β; 13—ΔΙΙΙ or ΤΡΙΣΑ; 14—ΔΙΙΙΙ or Ε; 15—ΔΙΙ or ΕΚ—|; 20—ΔΔ or Δ∇; 25—ΖC or ΔVΠ; 30—Δ∇Δ or ∇∇∇; 40—ΔΔΔΔ or ΤΕΣΣΑΡΑ; 50—ΔΔΔΔΔ or |Δ|; 100—Η.Ρ.; 200—CΚΝ; 500—|Η|; 1000—Χ; 5000—|Χ|; 10,000—Μ. When the numbers are expressed by letters of the alphabet, the letter Λ, which precedes them, indicates that they are used for this purpose, when the word ΕΤΟΥΣ or ΕΤΩΝ is not found on the inscription; this Λ, of a Roman form derived from the ancient Greek alphabet, is the initial letter of the word *Λυκάβαρος*, genitive of *λυκάβας*, which means year. These words and these numbers of dates are in the genitive in Greek, as they are in the ablative in Latin.

Particular attention should be paid, in the interpretation of Greek inscriptions, to distinguish the numerous titles of magistrates of every order, of public officers of different ranks, the names of gods and of nations, those of towns, and the tribes of a city; the prescribed formulas for different kinds of monuments; the text of decrees, letters, &c., which are given or cited in analogous texts; the names of monuments, such as stelæ, tablets, cippi, &c., the indication of places, or parts belonging to those places, where they ought to be set up or deposited, such as a temple or vestibule, a court or peristyle, public square, &c.; those at whose cost it was set up, the entire city or a curia, the public treasure, or a private fund, the names and surnames of public or private individuals; prerogatives or favours granted, such as the right of asylum, of hospitality, of citizenship; the punishments pronounced against those who should destroy or mutilate the monument; the conditions of treaties and alliances; the indications of weights, moneys, and measures.

Votive, dedicatory, or commemorative inscriptions always contain the names of the gods or kings to whom a monument

is dedicated, and the names of the town, corporation, of the tribes, functionaries, or private individuals who erected the monument; public works executed at the expense of the tribes or of private individuals, bear also inscriptions commemorative of their munificence, and the very portion of the building built or repaired through their generosity is expressly designated in the text of the inscription, the ancients allowing this competition of individual zeal for public utility.

The earliest Greek commemorative inscription to which a positive date can be assigned, is that engraved in the rock at Aboosimbel in Nubia, written by the Greek troops who accompanied Psammetichus, when in pursuit of deserters. The inscription records that when Psammetichus came to Elephantine, those who sailed with Psammetichus, son of Theocles, going beyond Kerkis, wrote it. The reign of Psammetichus dates in the middle of the seventh century.

Another early example of a commemorative inscription of which the date can also be positively fixed is that lately discovered by Dr. Frick on the bronze serpent with three heads, now at Constantinople, which supported the golden tripod which was dedicated, as Herodotus states, to Apollo by the allied Greeks as a tenth of the Persian spoils at Platea, and which was placed near the altar at Delphi. On this monument, as we learn from Thucydides, Pausanias, regent of Sparta, inscribed an arrogant distich, in which he commemorates the victory in his own name as general in chief, hardly mentioning the allied forces who gained it. This epigram was subsequently erased by the Lacedæmonians, who substituted for it an inscription enumerating the various Hellenic states who had taken a part in repulsing the Persian invaders. The inscription contains exactly what the statements of Thucydides and Herodotus would lead us to expect; the names of those Greek states which took an active part in the defeat of the Persians. Thirty-one names have been deciphered, and there seem to be traces of three more. The first three names in the list are the Lacedæmonians, Athenians, Corinthians. The remainder are nearly identical with those inscribed on the statue of Zeus at Olympia, as they are given by Pausanias. The names of the several states seem to be arranged on the serpent generally according to their relative importance, and also with some regard to their geographical distribution. The states of continental Greece are

enumerated first; then the islanders and outlying colonies in the north and west. It is supposed the present inscription was placed on the serpent B.C. 476.

The dedicatory inscriptions on the statues at Branchidæ probably range from B.C. 580–520. The famous Sigeian inscription, brought from the Troad to England in the last century, is now admitted to be not a pseudo-archaic imitation, as Böckh maintained, but a genuine specimen of Greek writing in Asia Minor, contemporary, or nearly so, with the Branchidæ inscriptions. Kirchhoff considers it not later than Olympiad 69 (B.C. 504–500).

A most interesting inscription of the archaic period is the celebrated bronze tablet, which Sir William Gell obtained from Olympia, and on which is engraved a treaty between the Eleans and Heræans. The terms of this specimen of ancient diplomacy are singularly concise. Kirchhoff places this inscription before Olympiad 75 (B.C. 480); Böckh assigns it to a much earlier date. In any case, we may regard this as the oldest extant treaty in the Greek language. It must have been originally fixed on the wall of some temple at Olympia.

A series of Athenian records on marble has been found inscribed on the wall of the Parthenon, while others have been put together out of many fragments extracted from the ruins on the Acropolis, and from excavations at Athens. Of the public records preserved in these inscriptions, the following are the most important classes: the tribute lists, the treasure lists, and the public accounts.

An interesting inscription has been lately brought to light in the diggings on the Athenian Acropolis. It is the treaty-stone between Athens and Chalcis. The inscription is of the days of Pericles, and records the terms on which Chalcis in Eubœa was again received as an Athenian dependency or subject ally after its revolt and recovery in B.C. 445. The event is recorded in Thucydides. The inscription is in Attic Greek, but the spelling is archaic.

An act of piety or of adoration to a divinity, and in a particular temple devoted to that purpose, either by a legal privilege, or through the effect of the general opinion of devotees, is termed a ΠΡΟΣΚΥΝΗΜΑ. Private individuals performed this act of devotion either for themselves or in the name of their parents, and of their friends at the same time, and they included their

own names in the commemorative inscription which they had engraved or written on some part of the temple; kings appointed for these religious duties certain functionaries, who received this especial mission, and who never neglected to introduce in the inscription that they had fulfilled this mission in the name of the king mentioned in the first lines. It appears also that the same king gave the same mission several times during his reign, and that the general use of this religious homage was peculiar to Egypt during the Greek and Roman period. In the temple of Isis at Philæ many of these προσκυνήματα are to be seen. A great number occur also in the temples of Nubia, in honour of Isis and Serapis, and of the other gods worshipped in the same building. Sir Gardner Wilkinson gives the following as a complete formula of one of these proskunémata: "The adoration of Caius Capitolinus, son of Flavius Julius, of the fifth troop of Theban horse, to the goddess Isis, with ten thousand names. And I have been mindful of (or have made an adoration for) all those who love me, and my consort, and children, and all my household, and for him who reads this. In the year 12 of the Emperor Tiberius Cæsar, the 15 of Paüni."

Funereal monuments usually bear an inscription which gives the names and titles of the deceased, his country, his age, the names of his father and of his mother, his titles and his services, his distinguished qualities, and his virtues. Frequently a funereal inscription contains only the names of the deceased, that of his country, and acclamations and votive formulæ generally terminate it. A few examples will better explain these rules:—ΧΡΗΣΤΟΣ ΠΡΩΤΟΥ ΘΕΣΣΑΛΟΣ ΛΑΠΕΙΣΑΙΟΣ ΠΕΛΑΣΓΙΩΤΗΣ ΕΤΩΝ · ΙΗ. ΗΡΩΣ ΧΡΗΣΤΕ ΧΑΙΠΕ. The first word is the name of the deceased Chrestus; the second word is the name of his father Protos, the word *υῖός* being understood, as is generally the case in Greek inscriptions. The three words which follow are the designation of the country of Chrestus, a Thessalian, and born in the town of Larissa, which was styled Pelasgian to distinguish it from other towns of the same name. The words ΕΤΩΝ ΙΗ, mean *of eighteen years*; the age of the deceased. The rest is an acclamation: "*Hero Chrestus! farewell!*" These words, ΧΑΙΠΕ, ΕΥΨΥΧΕΙ, ΘΑΡΣΕΙ, which express similar good wishes, frequently terminate, alone, funereal inscriptions. Other inscriptions read: ΦΙΛΩΝ ΚΑΛΛΙΠΠΟΥ ΑΙΩΩΝΕΥΣ;—ΑΛΚΙΜΑΚΗ ΚΑΛΛΙΜΑΧΟΥ ΑΝΑΓΥ-

ΠΑΣΙΟΥ. The first two words of each of these inscriptions are proper names: 1. Philo, the son of Callippus. 2. Alcimache, daughter of Callimachus, and the words, ΑΙΞΩΝΕΥΣ and ΑΝΑΓΥΡΑΣΙΟΥ, denote members of two of the 174 demi or townships of Attica. The towns, boroughs, and villages of Attica, and the divisions of Athens, which formed each a community inscribed in one of the thirteen tribes (φυλαί) of Athens, were so called. The community or town of the Æxoneis was part of the Cecropian tribe, and Anagyrus of the Erechtheid tribe. These names of places should be carefully noted in an inscription, in order to prevent any mistake, and to give an accurate and complete interpretation of the words. The following should be also carefully noted. 1. The honorary titles of kings; they serve sometimes to distinguish those who have borne the same name. 2. The names of places and titles; they are frequently written in an abbreviated form, and with the first letters alone. Punctuation is never observable in Greek inscriptions on marble, the words themselves are seldom or never separated, and it is the sense and grammatical construction alone which determine the arrangement of the words which form the sentence. On some inscriptions there have been observed, principally upon funereal monuments of a late date, separate signs, mingled with the words, such as a leaf, a triangle, a straight or bent line, but these signs have rarely any meaning; sometimes they are symbols connected with the subject of the inscription. Sepulchral inscriptions came into general use shortly before the Persian war.

The abbreviations or sigla, which abound in all Greek inscriptions, are the source of many difficulties: celebrated scholars have occupied themselves in collecting and interpreting them, and the learned Corsini has written on this subject a folio volume ('Notæ Græcorum'), published in Florence in 1708. The study of Greek palæography has, however, furnished several additions to that work; the following list contains the most usual abbreviations which are found in Greek inscriptions, and is necessarily very short in this compendious treatise:

SIGLA ; OR, ABBREVIATIONS IN GREEK INSCRIPTIONS.

A. *πρῶτος*, first; *ἀπό* (preposition); *Αὐτοκράτωρ*, emperor.
ΑΓΑ. *Τ. ἀγαθῇ τύχῃ*, to good fortune.

ΑΔΕΛΦ. *ἀδελφός*, a brother.
ΑΝΕΘ. *ἀνέθηκε*, placed, dedicated.

ΑΠΕΛ., **ΑΠΕΛΕΥΘΕΡ.** *ἀπελεύθερος*, freedman.

ΑΡΙΣ. *ἄριστος*, the best.

ΑΡΧ. *ἄρχων*, archon.

ΑΥΤ. *αὐτοκράτωρ*, emperor.

B. *δεύτερος*, the second; *βουλή*, council.

ΒΑΣΙΑ. *βασιλεύς*, king.

B. Δ. *βουλῆς δόγματι*, by a decree of the council.

ΒΙΣ. *βίσωμον*, sepulchre, tomb.

ΒΩ. *βωμός*, base, altar.

ΓΟΝΕ. *γονεύς*, father, ancestor.

ΓΡΑ. *γραφεύς*, scribe, writer.

ΓΥΜ. *γυμνικός*, gymnastic, public games.

Δ. Ε. *δημαρχικῆς ἐξουσίας*, of the tribuneship of the people (title of the Roman emperors).

ΔΕΣΠ. *δεσπότης*, master, lord.

ΔΗΜΟΣ. *δημοσίῃ*, publicly.

Δ. Μ. Diis Manibus; **Δ. Μ. Σ. Diis Manibus Sacrum** (Latin funereal formulæ).

Δ. Τ. *δι᾽ τῷ*, to Jupiter.

ΕΒΔ. *ἑβδομος*, seventh.

ΕΔ. ΕΙ. *εἰδῶν*, of the Ides.

EZH. *ἔζησεν*, he lived.

EZHΣ. *ἔζησεν*, he lived.

E. Θ. *εὖνοια θεῶν*, the protection of the gods.

ΕΛΕΥ. *ἐλεύθερος*, free.

ΕΝ., **ΕΝΘ.** *ἐνθάδε*, here; or *ἐν θεῷ*, in God.

ΕΤ. *ἐτῶν*, years, age.

ΕΤΕ., **ΕΤΕΛ.** *ἐτελεύτησεν*, he died.

ΕΧΤΟ. *ἐχωρήσατο*, was received.

ZH., **ZHΣΑΝ.** *ζήσας, ζήσαντι*, having lived (age).

ΗΜ. *ἡμέρα*, day; **ΗΜΕΡ Η.** *ἡμέρας ὀκτὼ*, eight days.

ΘΕ. *θεοῖς*, to the gods.

Θ. Ε. *θεοῖς ἐπιχωρίοις*, to the gods of the country.

Θ. Η. *θεοῖς ἥρωσιν*, to the gods heroes.

Θ. Κ., **Θ. ΚΑ.**, **Θ. ΚΑΤ.**, **ΘΣ.**, **ΚΑ.** *θεοῖς καταχθονίοις*, to the infernal gods.

ΘΥ., **ΘΣ.**, **ΘΩ.** *θεοῦ, θεός, θεῷ*, of God, God, to God.

ΘΥ., **ΘΥΤΡΙ.** *θυγάτηρ, θυγατρί*, daughter, to the daughter.

ΙΜΡ. *ἱμπεράτωρ*, emperor.

ΙΡ. *ιερεύς*, priest.

ΙΣΙ. *ἰσιδι*, to Isis.

Κ. *καὶ*, and.

ΚΑ. *καλενδῶν*, of the calends.

ΚΑΙ. *Καῖσαρ*, Cæsar.

Κ. Β. *κελεύσματος βουλῆς*, by the order of the council.

Κ. Θ. καταχθονίοις θεοῖς, to the infernal gods.

ΚΙ. κείται, he lies.

ΚΟΣ., ΚΩΣ. κονσούλ, consul.

Κ. Π. κελεύσματος πόλεως, by the order of the city.

ΚΡΑΤ. κράτιστος, excellent.

ΚΣ. κύριος, lord, master.

Κ. Φ. κελεύσματος φρατρίας, by the permission of the tribe.

Κ. Χ. κοινοῖς χρήμασιν, at the public expense.

ΛΑΜ. λαμπροτάτος, most splendid.

ΛΕΓ. λεγιῶνος, of the legion.

ΛΙΘ. λίθος, stone, inscription, stele.

Μ., ΜΗ. μῆνας, month.

Μ. μνημεῖον, monument, tomb.

ΜΑ. μάτηρ, mother.

ΜΑΙ. μαιῶν, of the calends of May.

ΜΑΡ. μαρτίων, of the calends of March.

ΜΕ. μηνῶν, of the months.

ΜΗ., ΜΡ. μήτηρ, mother.

Μ. Χ. μνήμης χάριν, in memory.

Ν., ΝΩ. νώνων, of the nones.

ΝΕΡΤΕ. ἐνέρτερος, dead.

ΞΥΣΤΑΡΧ. ξυσταρχός, superintendent of the gymnasia.

ΟΙΚΑΤ. οἱ κάτοικοι, the inhabitants.

ΟΚΤΒ. Ὀκτωβρίων, of the calends of October.

ΠΑΡΑΚΑΤΙ. παρακατατεθείται, has been deposited, entrusted.

ΠΑΡΘ. Παρθικός, Parthian.

ΠΛΑ. πλάτυς, breadth.

ΠΟΣ. Ποσειδῶν, Athenian month.

Π. Π. πατήρ πατρίδος, father of his country.

ΠΡ. πρεσβύτερος, priest.

ΠΡΕΣΒ. πρεσβεύτης, ambassador, delegate.

ΡΩ. Ῥωμαῖος, Roman.

Σ., ΣΕΒ., ΣΕΒΒ., ΣΕΒΒΒ., σεβαστός, Augustus, and Augusti, when two or three. Sometimes ΟΥ is written instead of Β.

ΣΠΕΙΡ. σπείρα, cohort, legion.

ΣΡΙ. σωτήρι, to the Saviour.

ΣΣ. συγκλήτου συγχωρήσει, by the consent of the assembly.

ΣΩ. σῶμα, the body.

Τ. τάλαντον, a talent (money).

Τ. Δ. Β. Κ. Δ. Ε. τῷ δόγματι βουλῆς, καὶ δόγματι ἐκκλησίας, by a decree of the Senate, and by a decree of the Assembly.

ΤΕΙΜ. τειμάς, for τιμάς, honours.

ΤΚ. ἐκ τῶν, part of.

Υ. ὑπέρ, υἱός, ὑπατεία, consulship, ὑπάτος, consul.

Υ. Β. ὑπόμνημα βουλῆς, monument by order of the Senate.

ΥΠΠ. ὑπάτων, of the consuls, being consuls.

ΦΗΛΙ. Φηλιξ, Felix, name.

ΦΛΑΜ. φλάμην, flamen.

Χ., ΧΑΡ. χάριν, favour, gift, or for ἔνεκα.

XEIP. χειρουργός, workman, surgeon.

Ψ. Β., ψηφίσματι βουλῆς, by a decree of the Senate.


Ω. ὥραι, hours (in the indication of the age of deceased).

Ω. Ὀκτοβρίας, calends of October.

In this short list we have not included proper names, the titles of magistrates of different kinds, and the names of places. For these we must refer the reader to the more complete lists published by critical scholars.

EXAMPLES OF GREEK INSCRIPTIONS.

The Sigean Inscription.



The Sigean marble is one of the most celebrated palæographical monuments in existence. It is written in the most ancient Greek characters, and in the Boustrophedon manner. The purport of the inscription, which in sense is twice repeated, on the upper and lower part of the stone, is to record the presentation of three vessels for the use of the Prytaneum, or Town Hall of the Sigeans. The upper and lower inscriptions, in common letters, read thus :

φανοδικο
 εμι τορμοκ
 ρατεος το
 προκοννη
 σιο· κρητηρ
 αδε και υποκ
 ρητηριον κ
 αι ηθμον ες Π
 ρυτανηιον
 εδωκεν Συκε
 ευσιν.

φανοδικο ειμι το Η
 ερμοκρατος το προκο
 νεσιω καγο κρατερα
 καπιστατον και Ηεθμ
 ον ες πρυτανειον κ
 δοκα μνεμα Σιγευ
 ευσι· εαν δε τι πασχ
 ομελεδαινευμεο
 Σιγειες · και μ' επο
 εισεν Ηαισοπος και
 Ηαδελφοι.

The first inscription is thus translated: "I am the gift of Phanodicus, the son of Hermocrates, of Proconnesus; he gave a vase (a crater), a stand or support for it, and a strainer, to the Sigeans for the Prytaneum." The second, which says, "I also am the gift of Phanodicus," repeating the substance of the former inscription, adds, "if any mischance happens to me, the Sigeans are to mend me. Æsop and his brethren made me." The lower inscription is the more ancient. It is now nearly obliterated. Kirchhoff considers it to be not later than Olympiad 69 B.C. (504–500).

Inscription at Aboosimbel.

ΒΑΣΙΛΕΟΣ ΕΛΘΟΝΤΟΣ ΕΣ ΕΛΕΦΑΝΤΙΝΑΝ ΨΑΜΑΤΙΧΟ(υ)
 ΤΑΥΤΑ ΕΓΡΑΨΑΝ ΤΟΙ ΣΥΝ ΨΑΜΑΤΙΧΟΙ(φ) ΤΟΙ (τφ) ΘΕΟ-
 ΚΛ(ΟΥΣ)
 ΕΠΛΕΟΝ ΗΛΘΟΝ ΔΕ ΚΕΡΚΙΟΣ ΚΑΤΥΠΕΡΘΕΝ ΙΣΟ (εις δ)
 ΠΟΤΑΜΟ(ς)
 ΑΝΗ ΑΛΟΓΛΟΣΟΣ Ο ΗΧΕΠΟΤΑΣΙΜΤΟ ΑΙΓΥΠΤΙΟΣ ΔΕ ΑΜΑΣΙΣ
 ΕΓΡΑΦΕ ΔΑΜΕΑΡΧΟΝ ΑΜΟΙΒΙΧΟ(Υ) ΚΑΙ ΠΕΛΕΦΟΣ ΟΥΔΑΜΟ
 (Υ).*

This inscription is thus translated by Colonel Leake: "King Psammetichus having come to Elephantine, those who were with Psammetichus, the son of Theocles, wrote this. They sailed and came to above Kirkis to where the river rises (?) . . . The Egyptian Amasis. The writer is Damearchon, the son of Amœbichus, and Pelephus, the son of Udamus." The inscription is interesting and curious from its style and from the

* Rawlinson's 'Herodotus,' vol. ii. p. 37.

early indication of the long vowels, H and Ω (the latter apparently an O with a dot in the centre), which, as well as other arguments, proves that they came gradually into use, and long before the time of Simonides, who was not born till B.C. 556. The *ph* in Pelephus looks rather like the old κ or koppa.

Inscription upon the stand of the tripod dedicated by the Greeks at Delphi out of the Persian spoils.

According to the most recent and the most trustworthy account, the following is the inscription actually existing upon the bronze serpent, which formed the stand or support of the famous tripod (see page 463).

1st line (13th wind):—

ΑΠΟΛΟΝΙ Θ(Ε)Ο ΑΝΑΘΕΜΑ(Τ)ΟΝ . . . ΑΘΑΝ(ΑΙ)Ο(Ι)

2nd line (12th wind):—

ΚΟΡ(Ι)ΝΘΙΟ(Ι) (Τ)ΕΓΕΑΤ(ΑΙ)

3rd line (11th wind):—

ΣΕΚΥΟΝ(ΙΟΙ) ΑΙΓΙΝΑΤΑΙ

4th line (10th wind):—

ΜΕΓΑΡΕΣ ΕΠΙΔΑΥΡΙΟΙ ΕΡΧΟΜΕΝΙΟΙ

5th line (9th wind):—

ΦΑΕΙΑΣΙ(Ο)Ι ΤΡΟΖΑΝΙ(ΟΙ) ΕΡΜΙΟΝΕΣ Σ

6th line (8th wind):—

ΤΙΡΥΝΘΙΟΙ ΠΛΑΤΑΙΕΣ ΘΕΣΠΙΕΣ

7th line (7th wind):—

ΜΥΚΑΝΕΣ ΚΕΙΟΙ ΜΑΛΙΟΙ ΤΕΝΙΟΙ

8th line (6th wind):—

ΝΑΞΙΟΙ ΕΡΕΤΡΙΕΣ ΧΑΛΧΙΔΕΣ

9th line (5th wind):—

ΣΤΥΡΕΣ ΓΑΛΕΙΟΙ ΠΟΤΕΙΔΑΙΑΤΑΙ

10th line (4th wind):—

ΛΕΥΚΑΔΙΟΙ ΓΑΝΑΚΤΟΡΙΕΣ ΚΥΘΝΙΟΙ ΣΙΦΝΙΟΙ

11th line (3rd wind):—

ΑΜΠΡΑΚΙΟΤΑΙ ΛΕΠΡΕΑΤΑΙ

2nd wind:—

* * * * *

1st wind:—

* * * * *

The forms of the letters are not preserved in this transcript. They are irregular and in some cases remarkable, especially the following: γ is expressed by C or \angle ; δ by the Roman D, ζ by I, as in Lycian, θ by \odot or \oplus ; ξ by the Roman X; π by Γ ; ρ by R or \mathbf{R} ; υ by V; ϕ by \oplus ; and χ by $\mathbf{\Psi}$ as in Etruscan. Neither η nor ω occur, the former, except in terminations, is commonly replaced by A, while the latter is expressed by O. The digamma is used in two places, under its ordinary form f.

The Potidæan Inscription.

This ancient inscription served as an epitaph on the tomb of the Athenian warriors, who lost their lives under the walls of Potidæa in the year B.C. 432. It originally consisted of twelve elegiac verses, but has suffered considerable injury. Thiersch's restoration of this inscription is here presented for the use of such readers as may desire to compare it with the original. The brackets show the words which Thiersch has supplied.

Ἀθανατ[ον κλεος οἶδε φίλῃ περι πατριδι θεῖναι
σημαινειν [τ' ἀρετὴν ἱεμενοι σφετερην,
και προγόνω[ν τον θυμον ενι στηθεσσι φεροντες
νικην ευπολεμομ [μαρνάμενοι καθελον.
αἰθηρ μέμ ψυχας ὑπεδεξατο, σω[ματα δὲ χθών
τῶν δε. Ποτειδαιας δ' αμφι πυλας ἔ[πεσον
ἐχθρῶν δ' οἱ μεν ἔχουσι ταφου μερος, οἱ [δε φυγοντες
τειχος πιστοτάτην ελπιδ' ἔθεντο [βιου
ανδρας μεμ πολισ ἦδε ποθει και δημός Ἐρεχθείως,
προσθε Ποτειδαιας οἱ θανον ἐμ πρ[ομαχοις
παιδες Ἀθηναιων ψυχας δ' αντισσο[πα θεντες
ἡ[λλ]αξαντ' ἀρετὴν και πατ[ριδ'] ευκλ[εῖσαν.] *

This most interesting inscription not only commemorates an historical event which is minutely described by Thucydides, but is also curious in a palæographical point of view. It only contains one form of the letter *e*, viz., ϵ , which serves both for the short and long *e*. The letter H is used as a mark of aspiration, and no double letters are employed; Ξ , for instance, is represented by $\chi\varsigma$, and ψ in $\psi\upsilon\chi\alpha\varsigma$ by $\phi\varsigma$. The \omicron is used both for the ω and the $\omicron\upsilon$ of a later day.

* A metrical translation of this is given at page 454.

Inscription on the base of an Honorary Statue on the Acropolis.

Ο ΔΗΜΟΣ
ΣΩΚΡΑΤΗ ΣΩΚΡΑΤΟΥΣ ΘΟΡΙΚΙΟΝ
ΟΥΝΕΚΑ ΣΑΣ ΕΔΑΗΣΑΝ ΑΠΟ ΦΡΕΝΟΣ ΑΞΙΑ ΜΟΙΣΑΝ
ΣΩΚΡΑΤΕΣ ΩΦΥΓΙΩΝ ΥΙΕΣ ΕΡΙΧΘΟΝΙΔΑΝ
ΤΟΥΝΕΚΑ ΣΟΙ ΣΟΦΙΑΣ ΕΔΟΣΑΝ ΓΕΡΑΣ ΑΙ ΓΑΡ ΑΘΑΝΑΙ
ΟΙΑΙ ΙΣΑΝ ΤΟΙΩΙΑ ΑΝΔΡΙ ΤΕΚΕΙΝ ΧΑΡΙΤΑ

*The Athenian People erects this Statue of Socrates, the Son of
Socrates of Thoricus.*


“The Sons of Athens, Socrates, from thee
Imbibed the lessons of the Muse divine;
Hence this thy meed of wisdom: prompt are we
To render grace for grace, our love for thine.”

Wordsworth's Athens.

ΨΗΦΙΣΜΑ ΤΗΣ
ΒΟΥΛΗΣ
ΚΑΙ ΤΟΥ ΔΗΜΟΥ ΤΩΝ
ΠΑΜΝΟΥΣΙΩΝ ΗΡΩΔΗΣ ΒΙΒΟΥΛ
ΛΙΟΝ ΠΟΛΥΔΕΥΚΙΩΝΑ ΙΠΠΕΑ ΑΝΕΘΗΚΕΝ
ΕΚ ΤΩΝ ΙΔΙΩΝ Ο ΘΡΕΨΑΙ ΚΑΙ ΦΙΛ
ΗΛΑΙ ΩΣ ΥΙΟΝ ΤΗ ΝΕΜΕ
ΙΕΙ Η ΜΕΤ ΑΥΤΟΥ ΕΘΥΕΝ ΕΥΜΕ
ΝΗ ΚΑΙ ΑΙΜΝΗΕΤΟΝ ΤΟΝ
ΕΑΥΤΟΥ ΤΡΟΦΙΜΟΝ

This inscription, found by Dr. Wordsworth at Rhamnus, records the dedication by Herodes Atticus, who had a villa in the neighbourhood, of a statue of one of his adopted children, Polydeucion, to the goddess Nemesis.

A Greek inscription found in front of the great Sphinx. It records the merits of Balbillus, who, as we learn from Tacitus and Seneca, was appointed Governor of Egypt by Nero about A.D. 56.



Αγαθή τυχη.

1. ἐπει Νερῶν Κλαυδῖος Καῖσαρ Σεβαστος
2. Γερμανικὸς αυτοκράτωρ ὁ ἀγαθὸς δαίμων τῆς
3. οἰκουμένης συν ἅπασιν οἷς εὐεργετήσεν ἀγα
4. θοῖς τὴν Αἰγύπτου τὴν ἐναργεστάτην προνοί
5. ἂν ποιησάμενος ἐπεμψεν ἡμῖν Τιβερῖον Κλαυδί-
6. ον βαλβίλλον ἡγεμόνα διὰ δὲ τὰς τοῦτου χα-
7. ρίτας καὶ εὐεργεσίας πλημυροῦς ἅπασιν ἀγαθοῖς ἡ
8. Αἰγύπτου τὰς τοῦ Νείλου δωρεὰς ἀπαυξομέ-
9. νας κατ' ἐτος θεωρούσα νυν μᾶλλον ἀπελαυ-
10. σε τῆς δικαίας ἀναβάσεως τοῦ θεοῦ ἐδοξε
11. τοῖς ἀπὸ κωμῆς Βουσειρέως Λητο πόλει-
12. τοῦ παροικοῦσι ταῖς πυράμισι καὶ τοῖς ἐν αὐτῇ
13. καταγεινομένοισι τοπογραμματεῦσι καὶ κω
14. μογραμματεῦσι ψηφισασθαι καὶ ἀναθεῖναι
15. στήλην λιθινὴν παρὰ ἀρ
16. ωὶ Ἀρμαχεί ἐκ τῶν ἐνκεχαρισμένων ἀγαθ-
17. ῶν τὴν πρὸς αὐτὸν εὐεργεσίαν
18. ἐξ ὧν ἐπισ
19. Αἰγύπτου καλοκαὶ
20. ζεῖ γὰρ τὰς ἰσοθέου ἑαυτοῦ χάριτας ἐνὲ στήλει
21. ζῶμενας τοῖς ἱεροῖς γραμμασιν αἰωνὶ μνημο-
22. νεύσθαι παντὶ παραγενομένος γὰρ ἡμῶν.
23. εἰς τὸν νόμον καὶ προσκυνήσας τὸν ἥλιον
24. Ἀρμαχίμ ἐποπτὴν καὶ σωτῆρα τῇ τε τῶν πυρά-
25. μιδων μεγαθειότητι καὶ ὑπερουσία τερφθεῖς
26. θεήσάμενος τε πλειστής ψαμμου διὰ τὸ μῆκος
27. τοῦ γραμματα πρώτος.

Translation of the Inscription to Ti. Claudius Balbillus.

To Good Fortune.

Since Nero Claudius Cæsar Augustus Germanicus, Autocrat, the good deity of the world, in addition to all the favours he has shown to Egypt, has demonstrated his care for the country most manifestly, by sending to us Tiberius Claudius Balbillus as governor; and through his favours and acts of kindness abounding in all good things, Egypt seeing the gifts of the Nile yearly increasing, now more (than ever) enjoys the proper rising of the

deity (*i.e.*, the river). It has been determined by the inhabitants of the village of Busiris, in the nome of Letopolis, who live near the Pyramids, and the local clerks or collectors, and the village collectors in it, to vote and dedicate a stele of stone (15) (20) Preserves? his godlike favours on a stele living in sacred characters to be remembered for ever, for having come to our nome, and having adored the Sun Armachis inspector and saviour, and with the magnitude of the Pyramids and their surpassingness delighted, &c.

PERPENNAE ROMANO
CON S P Q SYRAC

ΑΝΕΠΙ ΟΥ ΠΡΑΠΙΔΕCCI CΥΡΑΚΟCΙΩΝ ΤΟ ΔΕ ΑCΤΥ
ΕΚΚΑΜΑΤΩΝ ΑΝΕΠΝΕΥCΕ ΚΑΙ ΕΙΔΕΝ ΟΝΙΑΤΟC ΩΡΗΝ
ΤΟΥΝΕΚΑ ΛΑΙΝΕΗΝ ΜΕΝ ΑΝΕCΤΗCΑΝΘ ΟΙ ΑΡΙCΤΟΙ
ΕΙΚΟΝΑ ΤΗC CΟΦΗC ΔΕ ΚΑΙ ΕΝ CΤΗΘΕCCΙΝ ΕΧΟΥCΙΝ

To Perpenna the Roman,
of Consular dignity, the Senate and People of Syracuse.
A man by whose wise counsels this city of Syracuse hath
breathed from its labours, and seen the hour of repose. For
these services the best of its citizens have erected to him an
image of marble, but they preserve that of his wisdom in their
breasts.

Museum of Syracuse.

On a Gateway at Nicæa.

ΑΥΤΟΚΡΑΤΟΡΙΚΑΙ CΑΡΙΜΑΥΡΚΛΑΥΔΙΟΕΥCΕΒΕΙ
ΕΥΤΥΧΕΙCΕΒΔΗΜΑΡΧΙΚΗC ΞΟΥCΙΑCΤΟΔΕΥΤΕΡΟΝ
ΑΝΘΥΠΑΤΩΠΑΤΡΙΠΑΤΡΙΔΟCΚΑΙΤΗΕΡΑCΥΝΚΛΗΤΩ
ΚΑΙΤΩΔΗΜΩΤΩΝ ΡΩΜΑΙΩΝ ΗΛΑΜΠΡΟΤΑΤΗ ΚΑΙ ΜΕΓΙCΤΗ
ΚΑΤΑΡΙCΤΗΝ ΕΙΚΑΙ ΕΩΝ ΠΟΛΙCΤΟΤΕΙΧΟCΕΠΙΤΟΥΛΑΜΠΡ
ΥΠΑΤΙΚΟΥ ΟΥ ΕΛΛΕΙΟΥ ΜΑΚΡΕΙΝΟΥ ΠΡΕCΒΕΥΤΟΥ ΚΑΙ
ΑΝΤΙCΡΑΤΗΓΟΥ ΤΟΥ CΕΒΚΑΙ CΑΡΙΟΥ ΑΝΤΩΝΙΝΟΥ ΤΟΥ
ΛΑΜΠΡΟΛΟΓΙCΤΟΥ

Translation.

"The very splendid, and large, and good city of the Nicæans
[erects] this wall for the autocrat Cæsar Marcus Aurelius

Claudius, the pious, the fortunate, august, of Tribunitial authority, second time Proconsul, father of his country, and for the Sacred Senate, and the people of the Romans, in the time of the illustrious Consular Velleius Macrinus, Legate and Lieutenant of the august Cæsar Antoninus, the splendid orator."—A.D. 269.

ΕΠΙΤΑΦΗΣ.

Θ Χ
ΝΕΘΑΡΙΟΝ . ΓΛΥΚΥ ΧΑΙΡΕ
ΘΑΝΕΙΝ ΠΕΠΡΩΤΑΙ

ΧΡΥΧΗ ΕΝΘΑΔΕ ΚΕΙΤΑΙ
ΟΛΙΓΗ ΚΟΝΙΣ
ΟΝΗΣΙΜΟΣ Ο ΠΑΤΗΡ
ΚΑΙ ΧΡΥΣΑΙΕΙΣ Η ΜΗΤΗΡ
ΠΟΛΥΧΡΟΝΙΩ ΤΩ ΓΛΥΚΥ
ΤΑΤΩ ΤΕΚΝΩ ΜΝΕΙΑΣ ΧΑ
ΡΙΝ ΕΠΟΙΗΣΑΝ ΚΑΙ Ε
ΑΥΤΟΙΣ

Onesimus, the father, and Chryseis, the mother, made (this tomb) for their sweetest child, Polychronius, for the sake of remembrance, and for themselves.

ΔΕΞΙΛΕΩΣ ΛΥΣΑΝΙΟ ΘΟΡΙΚΙΟΣ
ΕΓΕΝΕΤΟ ΕΠΙ ΤΕΙΣΑΝΔΡΟ ΑΡΧΟΝΤΟΣ
ΑΓΕΘΑΝΕ ΕΦ' ΕΥΒΟΛΙΔΟ
ΕΠ' ΚΟΡΙΝΘΩΙ ΤΩΝ ΓΕΝΤΕ ΙΓΓΕΩΝ

Inscription on the tomb of Dexileos, who was born in the archonship of Teisandros (B.C. 414), died in that of Eubulides one of five killed knights at Corinth.*

ETRUSCAN.

In early times the dominion of Etruria embraced the greater part of Italy, extending over the plains of Lombardy to the

* Dyer's 'Athens,' p. 498.

Alps on the one hand, and to Vesuvius and the Gulf of Salerno on the other, stretching also across the peninsula from the Tyrrhene to the Adriatic Sea. This wide territory was divided into three grand districts: that in the centre, which may be termed Etruria proper; that to the north or Etruria Circumpadana; and that to the south, or Etruria Campaniana. Such seems to have been the extent of Etruria in the time of Tarquinius Priscus.

Etruscan palæography includes the inscriptions of the Etruscans properly so called, inhabiting the territory termed Etruria proper, which was bounded by the Magra and the Tiber. The Etruscan inscriptions which have come down to us are very numerous. They are over 3000.

The Etruscan people, or Rasena as they called themselves, present a striking contrast to the other peoples of Italy. Their manners and customs also point to the conclusion that this nation was originally quite distinct from the Græco-Italian stock. The Etruscan nation was the most powerful of all the Italian peoples; its written monuments are most known, and are those on which learned scholars have most occupied themselves. From their researches a great variety of opinion has arisen, not only with regard to the origin of the Etruscan alphabet, to the period of its invention, or its introduction into Italy, but also with regard to the date which may be assigned to the most ancient inscribed monuments of that nation. The remains of the Etruscan tongue which have reached us, numerous as they are, occupy a position of isolation so complete, that not only has very small progress been made in its interpretation, but even its proper place in the classification of languages is still a matter of dispute.

There is a tradition that Demaratus of Corinth introduced the Greek alphabet into Etruria. Dr. Mommsen, however, remarks on the origin of the Etruscan alphabet, that it cannot have been brought to Etruria from Corcyra or Corinth, or even from the Sicilian Dorians; he thinks that it was derived from the old Attic alphabet, which appears to have dropped the koppa earlier than others in Greece; and further, that there is a probability it was spread over Etruria from Cære, the most ancient centre of civilization in that country. In the opinion of Dr. Mommsen, the Greek alphabet which reached Etruria is essentially different from that communicated to the Latins.

While the former is so primitive, that for that very reason its special origin can no longer be ascertained, the latter exhibits exactly the signs and forms which were used by the Chalcidic and Doric colonies of Italy and Sicily. Hence he infers that two different Greek alphabets reached Italy, one with a double sign for *s* (sigma *s*, and san *sh*), and a single sign for *k*, and with the earlier form of the *r* (P) coming to Etruria; the second with a single sign for *s*, and a double for *k* (kappa *k*, and koppa *q*), and the more recent form of the *r* (R) coming to Latium. Others suppose that the Etruscan characters came directly from Carthage or perhaps from Phœnicia into Etruria. Mr. Daniel Sharpe, who had many opportunities of deriving important information in the recent discoveries in Lycia, declares that "it may be proved, from a comparison of alphabets, that the Etruscans derived their characters from Asia Minor, and not from Greece." Mr. Dennis also remarks the striking resemblance of the Etruscan alphabet to the Lycian, and still more so that which it bears to the Phrygian.

Our object is not here to engage in these important questions; we intend only giving a few observations on that portion of Etruscan palæography on which critical scholars have arrived at some certainty.

The subject of the greater number of these inscriptions presenting many uncertainties, the order in which we treat of them shall be made dependent on their greater or less extent: funereal inscriptions are the inscriptions the nature of which can be recognised with the greatest certainty.

We shall first give a few remarks on the reading of the Etruscan inscriptions. 1. The inscriptions are always read from right to left. 2. The vowels are frequently suppressed, and the consonants are the only letters invariably expressed. This mode of suppressing the vowels presents a close Oriental analogy, and their absence is generally considered a proof of the high antiquity of an Etruscan inscription. They must therefore be supplied, and this is no easy matter in the words of a language which is lost: it is therefore only by analogy, and by finding in another inscription the same word with the vowels which are wanting, that we can hope to supply these vowels with any certainty. 3. The words of an inscription are sometimes separated by points or spaces, but frequently by no sign at all. 4. An Etruscan inscription is almost always funereal, and

sometimes bilingual, that is to say, in Etruscan above, and in Latin below, or sometimes the reverse; as these contain chiefly names written according to the two alphabets, they have been of great assistance in restoring the Etruscan alphabet. 5. The relative antiquity of an inscription may be recognised by the form of the letters, the more ancient are angular in their shape, while late inscriptions have rounded letters. Some inscriptions, though in the Etruscan character, are, however, Latin, Oscan, or Umbrian.

The large Etruscan inscriptions are few, and the most celebrated is the large quadrangular cippus, three feet and a half high, presenting forty-five lines, discovered in 1822, near Perugia.

The inscription of Perugia occupies two sides of the cippus, and the letters are coloured red. M. Vermiglioli conjectures that it relates to agrarian matters, to rural laws, and to the limitation of lands. The more probable conjecture by modern archæologists is that the inscription is a contract between the families of Velthina and Afura for the joint occupation or partial sale of a large tomb with places for twelve corpses. The general meaning of the inscription is fairly certain. The words Velthina and Afura frequently occur throughout the inscription—Velthina ten times, Afura six times. Velthina was a name of a noble family of Perugia, and Afura was a name of a noble family, one branch of which lived at Chiusi, and another at Montepulciano. The word *naper*, a plural form meaning “sepulchral niches,” or “burying places,” occurs four times in conjunction with numerals.

Next to the Perugian cippus the most important Etruscan inscriptions are the San Marino inscription, near Perugia, the Alethrias inscriptions from Viterbo, those in the tomb of the Pompeys at Tarquinii, and the inscription of the Marmini at Volterra.

Opposite opinions on the interpretation of the Etruscan language and inscriptions are held by some of the most celebrated German writers. “Disgusted (we here quote Bunsen’s words) with the unscrupulous and rambling method of Lanzi and his followers, who had ransacked the Greek dictionary and drawn largely upon their own imaginations and the credulity of their readers, in order to make the Etruscan language, what its alphabet evidently is, an archaic form of the Hellenic, Niebuhr

maintained that the Etruscan was a purely barbarous language; that it was wholly distinct from the other, more or less Latinizing tongues of Italy proper, of the Apennines, and even of the Alps; that the ruling nations of Etruria came from the north; and that the roots of the language must be looked for in Rætia." This verdict of Niebuhr is however shaken by the researches of Dr. Freund, who, after travelling through the country (Tyrol, or the Grisons) supposed to be the original home of the Rasena or Etruscans, and after having studied the language of the district, lays down as the result of his researches that the statement of Pliny is more probable, that the Ræti are the descendants of the Etruscans, who were expelled by the Gauls, and migrated thither under the command of their chief Rætius, the open Alpine side valleys on the north of the wide plains of Upper Italy offering themselves as places of refuge to the conquered and dispossessed Etruscans. There is also a remarkable tradition in the Grisons of the immigration of the Etruscans into the country.

Bunsen adopts Niebuhr's view of the Rætian origin of the Etruscans, and advances the theory that the Etruscan bears strong marks of a mixed language, from the circumstance of such grammatical forms as have been ascertained being evidently analogous to what we know of Indo-Germanic flexions, whereas the greater part of the words which occur in the inscriptions prove most heterogeneous. On the other hand, the Tyrrhenic glosses in Hesychius, and the inscription found about 1836 at Agylla, contain words much more akin to the Greco-Latin stock. A mixed language of this kind would be the natural consequence of a non-Italic tribe having taken possession of Tyrrhenia or the Mediterranean part of Central Italy, subdued the Italic indigenous population, and finally adopted their language, as the Norman conquerors did that of the Saxon, or the Arabs that of Persia. The intrinsic nature of the language, as we find it on the monuments, leads also to the conclusion that the Greek words were a foreign element, received but not understood. Making every allowance for a different system of vocalisation, such changes as Pultuke for Polynikes,* Akhmiem for Agamemnon, are unmistakably barbarous, and betray an

* Here Bunsen is incorrect. Pultuke is the Etruscan form of "Pollux," not of Polynikes.

absolute ignorance of the elements of which the Greek name is composed.

In the opinion of Müller, the Etruscans were a race which, judging from the evidence of the language, was originally very foreign to the Grecian, but nevertheless had adopted more of the Hellenic civilisation and art than any other race not of the Greek family, in these early times. The principal reason, according to him, is probably furnished by the colony of the Pelasgo-Tyrrhenians, which was driven from Southern Lydia, and established itself chiefly around Cære (Agylla) and Tarquinii. The latter city maintained for a while the dignity of a leading member among the confederate cities of Etruria, and always remained the chief point from which Greek civilisation radiated over the rest of the country.

In Dr. Corssen's late work on 'The Language of the Etruscans,' he considers it as an Aryan language, and as an old Italian dialect, which during centuries has suffered much from phonetic decay; but it is evident the Etruscan is strictly an agglutinative dialect of the Turanian type.

In the hands of Lord Crawford the speech of the Etruscans turns out to be very fair High Dutch, or Gothic; while the Rev. Isaac Taylor in his 'Etruscan Researches,' finds a key to the solution of Etruscan in the Ugric, or Finnic-Turkic, a kindred Turanian language.

The Etruscan problem must, however, still be considered unsolved, though much has been done to help it forward, and we must await the discovery of a long bilingual inscription before the question can be finally settled.*

* To the many theories which have been advanced in regard to the Etruscans, may we be allowed to put forward one more? In our view the Etruscans appear to be an original Turanian race which formed the underlying stratum of population over the whole world, and which cropped up, like the Basques in Spain, in that part of Italy called Etruria. "The great feature in the history of the Turanian races," as Mr. Fergusson writes, "is that they were the first to people the whole world beyond the limits of the original cradle of mankind. Like the primitive unstratified rocks of geologists, they form the sub-structure of the whole world, frequently rising into the highest and most prominent peaks, sometimes overflowing whole districts, and occupying a vast portion of the world's surface, everywhere underlying all the others, and affording their disintegrated materials to form the more recent strata that now overlie and frequently obliterate them. In appearance at least, whether nearly obliterated, as they are in most parts of Europe, or whether they still retain their nationality, as in

It is not compatible with the object of this short treatise to notice more fully the different views of these authors. We now return to our subject.

the eastern parts of Asia, they always appear as the earliest of races, and everywhere present peculiarities of feeling and civilisation easily recognised, and which distinguish them from all the other races of mankind."—Fergusson, 'History of Architecture,' vol. i. p. 46.

The language of the Etruscans also seems to be Turanian, an early stage of language which forms the substructure of a higher stage in many countries, a stage which language must necessarily pass through before reaching the higher inflectional or Aryan stage, for, as Professor Müller observes, we cannot resist the conclusion that what is now inflectional was formerly agglutinative. "Further," as Sir Gardner Wilkinson writes, "it is the earliest mould into which human discourse naturally, and as it were, spontaneously throws itself."

Hence the apparent connection of the Etruscan with other Turanian languages, such as the Scythic, the Finnic, etc. Like all Turanians, the Etruscans were a tomb-building race. At a later period by colonisation, first, the Tyrrhenian from Lydia, and, secondly, the Corinthian, when Demaratus settled at Tarquinii, they received a Greek element of civilisation, but the people still remained essentially Turanian in their feelings and habits.

Mr. Taylor has pointed out some coincidences and similarities in their language and religious beliefs to those of the Ugric and Tartaric races. These similarities are not, however, to be attributed to any affinity of race or direct connection, but to these peoples being in the same stage of development with regard to civilisation, and especially in regard to language. The phase of civilisation being the same, similar beliefs, customs, &c., will necessarily be evolved. The phase of language being agglutinative, many similarities will appear among Turanian or agglutinative languages, as the necessary result of their being in the same phase, and not from any connection of race. The characteristics of Etruscan beliefs and creed, of Etruscan language, will bear a great resemblance to those of other races in a similar low stage of development. This may be proved by extending the analogies. Thus we find in the imperfect civilisation of some of the American races, which may be considered as analogous to the Turanians of the eastern world, many analogies in beliefs, customs and language to the Turanian stage. Hence, we meet in America temple-tombs (the pyramids of Mexico were undoubted temple-tombs) an animistic belief, tent life, language in agglutinative phase, &c. Many other features, counterparts of which will be found occurring in the Turanian stage of the eastern world, will be met with in Mexico and Peru, all the necessary growth of that phase of civilisation.

This view appears to us based on firmer ground than that of comparative philology or comparative mythology (theories now in vogue), for it is based on the identity of the human mind, and the similarity of its stages of development among all races. The characteristics of each stage in the scale of development must consequently bear a great re-

Votive inscriptions and others, which are found on vases, seals, pedestals, small statues, utensils, are in general very short. Small statues often bear inscriptions. Sometimes figures of animals, pigs, wolves and even chimæra, bear a short inscription, and this inscription is almost always written on a part of the body of the figure. The most usual are the following: MI CANA (I am the statue of): TECE, *has placed, has dedicated*; TURUCE, TURCE, *has given, has dedicated*, the most common word; PHLERES, *gift or offering*; ALPAN appears to mean *gift or present*. On statues we find engraved the nomen and prænomen of the person with the formula MI CANA.* The word "Tinscuil" on the chimæra at Florence, and on the griffin at Leyden, is supposed to mark it as a dedicatory gift to Tina, the Etruscan Jupiter.† The names of magistrates, families, places, religious colleges, have been recognised in the votive inscriptions. The inscription on the statue of bronze of the orator in the Florentine Gallery, informs us that it was erected in honour of Aulus Metellus, son of Velius, by a lady of the family of Vesius.

Etruscan funereal inscriptions are the most numerous. They are found, inscribed or engraved, on isolated stones, on cinerary urns, on bas-reliefs painted or sculptured, on small columns, on bricks or plaques of metal, on tombs, sepulchral chambers, or buried in the ground. Sometimes the letters engraved on stone have been afterwards coloured red. The inscriptions on urns bearing bas-reliefs have rarely any connection with the subject of the sculpture; for the same sculptured figures are repeated on several urns, each of which bears a different inscription. It

semblance to each other among all races. Thus the lower or Turanian stage will be similar all over the world, while the higher or Aryan stage will also present similar features wherever a higher phase of civilisation occurs, and thus we are led to the natural inference that the Tartars, Mongols, Etruscans, Basques, Mexicans, being in a similar lower stage of development (Turanian as it is generally called) will present similar characteristic features, in beliefs, customs, and language.

* According to Mr. Newman, MI is either nomin. or accus. like the French *moi*, being simply emphatic, as many inscriptions on small articles prove. On a gold buckle, "Mi Mamerse Lartesi," Me Mamercus Larti (dedit). On two silver caps, "Mi Larθia," me Lartia (fecit). MI CANA, he translates, me "posuit," or "sculpsit."

† Mr. Newman suspects that "Tinscuil" means, *cast in bronze*.

is simply relative to the deceased, of whom it contains the nomen and prænomen; a cognomen is sometimes, but very rarely, found. The name of the father is given, and that of the mother after that of the father, following a custom evidently derived from the East, as it was not practised by the Greeks and Romans. The singular custom of tracing descent by the maternal line was peculiar to the Lycians. This custom was retained even under Roman domination, for some sarcophagi bear similar epitaphs in Latin, with *natus* affixed to the mother's name in the genitive or ablative. To the woman's name was added the name of her husband or of the family to which she was allied. A funereal inscription was sometimes terminated by the indication of the age of the deceased, but of this there are less than 100 examples. Most of the Etruscan funereal inscriptions are remarkable for their extreme simplicity as well as for their brevity. Proper names in the inscriptions are usually in the nominative case, sometimes in the genitive. If the inscription presents only the name of the deceased without his prænomen, this is an indication that the monument is of great antiquity, if the form of the letters confirm it, or that it is of a person of very little importance.

Proper names and family names are numerous, and the greater number passed to the Romans. They are sometimes abridged, but have the usual terminations, *th* and *e* for the name of men, *a* and *i* for those of women. The termination *al* was employed as a designation of descent, frequently of descent from the mother: as CAINAL, which on a bilingual inscription of Chiusi is translated by CAINNIA NATUS.* The termination *sa*, in the name of women, was used to indicate the clan into which they have married—LECNESA denotes the spouse of a Lecne (Mr. Newman with much probability infers that *isa* is merely a feminine termination), CLAN, with the inflection *clansi*, means son, and SEC or SECH daughter. The frequent gentile termination is NA. In the opinion of Newman, Mr. O. Müller, and others, the *s* suggests an Etruscan genitive, as in Aules, Tarχnas, Veitimnas, etc. Si Mr. Newman believes to be a dative termination, as in "Aulesi, Clensi." On the Etruscan monuments we

* It has been suggested by Mr. F. W. Newman, that *al* is connected with the mother's name, and he renders CAFATIAL, "e Cafatiâ." According to him, AVLE TARX(I)NAS LARTIAL CLAN must mean, "Aulus Tarquinii e Lartiâ filius."

have five mortuary formulæ, preceded by the person's name. (1) RIL LX; (2) AVILS LX or AVIL LX; (3) AVIL RIL LX; (4) RIL LX LEINE; (5) LUPV AVILS LX.; and the conclusions drawn from all the examples known, show that, combined with a numeral,* *avil* and *rîl* may stand either alone or in conjunction; that the words *lupu* and *leine* are never found together, and that *lupu* is never used with *rîl*, or *leine* with *avils*. It follows that *leine* and *lupu* must be verbs, and *rîl* and *avil* nouns, and thus the supposition of Niebuhr, that *avil rîl* corresponded to the Latin *vixit annos* falls to the ground, *avil* meaning "age," while the *s* of *avils* is probably an abraded form of the pronominal suffix denoting the third person. *Rîl*, Mr. Taylor explains as "years." *Leine* he affirms is a verb, answering to the Latin *vixit*. *Lupu*, in like manner, he asserts meant originally "he lived," was in life, and is one of the many instances in which the fact of death is conveyed by means of an euphemism. SUTHI means a tomb; SUTHINA, a sepulchral offering; MI SUTHI, I am the tomb of; ECA SUTHI, here is the tomb; ECA SUTHI NESL, here is the family tomb.†

We now give a few of the most celebrated Etruscan funereal

* Mr. Taylor has identified, apparently with every probability, six Etruscan numerals on a pair of dice found at Toscanelli.

Mach.	1	Sa	4
Ci	2	Thu	5
Zal	3	Huth	6

These numerals had been, however, already explained by Signor Campanari.

† Mr. Newman is inclined to render ECA, "sacra," and SUΘI, "fabrica," and translates the following sepulchral inscription, ECA SUΘI ΘANXUILUS MASNIAL, "Sacra ædicula Tanaquilii e Masniâ (nata);" ECA SVΘI NESL TETNIE, he renders, "Sacram ædiculam ex marmore Tetinius (erexit)." In another inscription he regards *suθi* as a verb; and translates the following inscription, MI SUΘI LARΘIAL MVΘICVS, "Me struxit e Larthia (natus) Muthicus;" "mi," he says, like the French *moi*, seems to be emphatic for "I" or "Me," and "Muthicus" is a nominative. In the following inscription he translates *suθi*, "fabricavit," "finxit." "Mi suθi L. Velθuri Θura, turce Au. Velθuri, Fniscial," "Me finxit Lars Volturcus Thura, dedit Aulus Volturcus e Phœnissâ natus."

Miss Rogers has been examining all the Etruscan bronze mirrors in the British Museum, and has observed that the word *suθina*, when it appears on them, is engraved (or sometimes scratched) on the polished surface. She infers that it was not upon them originally, since it damages them as mirrors, but must have been added when the mirror was consigned to the tomb. If so, the meaning of the word would seem to be "confecta," done with. (F. W. Newman.)

inscriptions, as examples. The reader must remember that the original Etruscan inscriptions read from right to left.

In the tomb of Tarquinii, Cervetri.

AVLE : TARCHNAS : LARTHAL : CLAN
AULUS TARQUINII LARTHIA NATUS FILIUS

In the tomb of the Volumnii, Perugia.

PVP : VELIMNA : AV : CAPHATIAL
PUBLIUS VOLUMNIVS AULI (filius) CAFATIA NATUS

With the corresponding Latin inscription.

P. VOLVMNIVS. A. F. VIOLENS.
CAFATIA. NATVS.

THEPHRI : VELIMNAS : TARCHIS : CLAN
TIBERIUS VOLUMNII TARQUINII FILIUS
AVLE : VELIMNAS : THEPHRISA : NVPHRVNAL : CLAN
AULUS VOLUMNII TIBERII NUFRUNA NATUS FILIUS

LARTH : VELIMNAS : AVLES
LARS VOLUMNII AVLI (filius)

ARNTH : VELIMNAS : AVLES
ABUNS VOLUMNII AULI (filius)

*Bilingual sepulchral inscription on a slab in the Museo Paolozzi,
Chiusi.*

Etruscan.
VL. ALPHNI. NVVI
CAINAL

Latin.
C. ALFIVS. A. F.
CAINNIA NATVS.

In the Deposito delle Monache, Chiusi.

ARNTH : CAVLE : VIPINA
 ARUNS CÆLIUS VIBENNA

In the tomb of the Pompeys, Tarquinii.

LARIS : PUMPUS : ARNTHAL : CLAN : CECHASE
 LARS POMPEIUS ARUNTIA NATUS FILIUS DILECTUS ?

In the Deposito del Granduca, Chiusi.

AV : PVRNSA : PERIS : PVMPVAL
 AULUS . PORSENNA PERI FILIUS POMPEIA NATUS

PEPNA : RVIPHE : ARTHAL : AVILS : XVIII
 PERPENNA : RUFIUS ARUNTIA NATUS ÆTATIS XVIII

On the statue of an orator at Florence.

AVLESI : METELIS : VE : VESIAL : CLENSI
 CEN : PHLERES : TECE : SANSL : TENINE
 TUTHINES : CHISVLICS.

Mr. Newman's Version.

AVLO METELLI VELI E VESIA FILIO HOC DONVM
 FECIT EX ÆERE : TENINNA SINCERÆ AMICITLÆ
 (ERGO).

ROMAN.

THE most ancient Roman inscriptions date from about the fifth century of Rome, but they are very rare. The following conclusions may be deduced from their examination: 1. That the first Latin alphabet was composed of sixteen letters alone, like that of the Greeks, and that of the Etruscans; 2. That the

forms of the letters of these three alphabets were, it may be said; almost identical.

Demaratus of Corinth is said to have brought the Greek letters to Tarquinii, and to have taught the Etruscans alphabetical writing; and his son Tarquinius Priscus is supposed to have introduced these letters into Rome, about B.C. 600. Pliny and Tacitus confirm this tradition that the Latin letters were derived from the Greek. Dr. Mommsen is of opinion that the derivation of the Latin alphabet from that of the Cumæan and Sicilian Greeks is quite evident, as it exhibits exactly the signs and forms which were used by the Chalcidic and Doric colonies of Italy and Sicily; and, he adds, it is even very probable that the Latins did not receive the alphabet once for all, as was the case in Etruria, but in consequence of their lively intercourse with Sicily kept pace for a considerable period with the alphabet in use there, and followed its variations. From this Chalcidian alphabet, writes Mr. Peile, it seems clear that the Latin and other Italian alphabets were derived. They fall into two families, which differ from each other considerably, but principally in the loss of old letters and the insertion of new—differences which do not militate against common origin, but show the cause of their separate development. The first family contains the Etruscan, Umbrian, and Oscan alphabets, the second the Latin and Faliscan. The most striking improvement upon the Greek system effected in the Roman alphabet was the complete elimination of all composite characters, thereby forming a most strictly literal alphabet. Thus the sound of PH, represented by the Greeks Φ, was exhibited by two distinct letters, which were available in their separate form for many other combinations. The same may be said of the Ψ, PS, the X, CH, and other characters of the Greeks. In the early Roman inscriptions, the characters used being few, the same letter represented different sounds. C was employed at the same time for *g*, *q* and for *x*—as *acua* for *aqua*, *cotidie* for *quotidie*, *facit* for *faxit*, *vocs* for *vox*. After G was added, C was used for K. The short vowels were frequently omitted, as *lebro* for *lebero* (*libero*), *bne* for *bene*, *krus* for *carus*, *cante* for *canite*, *poclum* for *poculum*; *i* was also frequently suppressed, and *are* was written for *aries*, *evenat* instead of *eveniat*. The diphthong *ei* for *i* frequently occurs in proper names, and in words terminating in that vowel, as *Capeius* for *Capius*, *vertutei* for

vertuti. M N S were sometimes also omitted even in the middle of words, as *Popeius* for *Pompeius*, *cosol*, *cesor*, for *consol*, *ensor*. The long vowels were represented by double short vowels, as *feelix* for *felix*, *juus* for *jus*. The conjunction of two consonants was prevented by the introduction of a vowel between them, as in *auacetum*, *sinisterum*, *materi*, in lieu of *auctum*, *sinistrum*, and *matri*; and the conjunction of two vowels, by the insertion of D, as *antedac* for *antehac*. And this took place occasionally even between two words, whence we have *med*, *altod*, *marid* for *me*, *alto*, *mari*, when these words were followed by a vowel.* The aspirate H is rarely found on the most ancient inscriptions; it came into general use after the fifth century of Rome, when its use was carried to excess; it is found in the epitaph of Lucius, son of L. Scipio Barbatus, who was consul in the year B.C. 259. F was a comparatively late addition. Q was originally represented by a double letter, CV; it is found for the first time in the inscription on the tomb of Scipio Barbatus. Y and Z were first adopted from the Greeks in the time of Augustus, before which they wrote CS, GS, SS, for Z, and I for Y. X, which was originally written CS, as *macsimus* instead of *maximus*, was added about the same period. It is found in the Duilian Column, but according to Ciacconius, the inscription is not the original one, the orthography being too modern. The F or Æolic digamma was sometimes used to express the sound of the consonant V, as FOTVM, FIRGO for *votum*, *virgo*. The Latin, in ancient times, had no sound for the V but that of a vowel: they supplied the Greek Y by their V, when they wrote Greek words in Latin characters. The consonant V was the Æolic digamma, and answered in power to the Phœnician *vau*.

The most ancient inscriptions of the Romans, those from which we can deduce the history of the variations of their written and spoken language, are 1. The hymn of the Fratres Arvales. It is preserved, in an inscription, which was written in the first year of the Emperor Elagabalus (A.D. 218) who was elected a member of the college of the Fratres Arvales.† This

* This D was probably the old ending of the ablative. It is also found before consonants, e.g. "gnaivod patri" in Epist. of Scipio Barbatus.

† The corporation of the twelve Arvales was of very ancient Latin origin, having been founded, according to tradition, by the sons of Acca Larentia (mother of the Lares), the foster-mother of Romulus. The society, whose original object was to offer a yearly sacrifice to the Dea Dia (goddess of

inscription contains the hymn, which appears to have been sung at their festivals from the most ancient times, the college tracing its origin to Romulus himself. It was found in digging for the foundations of the Sacristy of St. Peter's, at Rome, where a leaden copy of the inscription may still be seen, the original, according to Ritschl, being hidden away or, very probably, lost. A facsimile of the inscription will be found in Ritschl's "*Priscæ Latinitatis Monumenta Epigraphica*." The following passage from this ancient hymn we give as an example of the ancient Latin, and its ancient orthography:—ENOS LA-SES IVVATE NEVELVERVEMARMARSINSINCVRRE IN PLEORES SATVR FV FERE MARS LIMEN SALI STA BERBER SEMVNIS ALTERNIS ADVOCAPIT CONCTOS ENOS MARMOR IVVATO TRVMPE.—Enos, Lases, Juvate! Neve lue, rue, Marmar, Sins, incurrere in pleores; Satur fu, fere Mars! limen sali! Sta! berber! Semunis alternis, advocapit conctos! Enos, Marmar, Juvate! Triumpe! This dance-chant of the Arval brethren, an address to Mars, the Lares, the Semones, praying for fair weather and for their protection to the flocks, was probably composed to be sung in alternate parts, and is thus arranged by Dr. Mommsen:

To the Gods	{	Nos, Lares, juvate Ne luem ruem (ruinam) Mamers, sinas incurrere in plures! Satur este, fere Mars.
To the individual brethren	{	In limen insili! sta! verbera (limen?)
To all the brethren	{	Semones alterni advocate cunctos.
To the God	{	Nos, Mamers, juvate!
To the individual brethren	{	Tripudia!

The Latin of this chant, and of kindred fragments of the

plenty), and call down her blessings on the fields, was entirely remodelled by Augustus. The homely "agricultural brotherhood" became a privileged order, consisting of the relations and friends of the emperor.

Salian song, Dr. Mommsen remarks, which were regarded even by philologists of the Augustan age as the oldest documents of their mother tongue, is related to the Latin of the Twelve Tables somewhat as the language of the Nibelungen is related to the language of Luther.*

2. The inscription on the Duilian Column erected by C. Duilius after his first naval victory over the Carthaginians A.U.C. 493 (B.C. 261). It is now in the Palace of the Conservatori on the Capitol. In the opinion of P. Ciacconus it is not that which was erected in the time of Duilius, as the carving of the letters is too good for those rude times, and the orthography of some of the words is too modern. The original inscription, defaced by time, is supposed to have been replaced by this copy in the reign of Claudius. At the end we give the inscription as it is now, with the restoration of the entire inscription by Ciacconus.

3. The inscription on the Sarcophagus of L. Scipio Barbatus, great-grandfather of Scipio Africanus, who was consul A.U.C. 456 (B.C. 298). It was found in the tomb of the Scipios, which was discovered in 1780. It is now in the Vatican. A number of other inscriptions in the same tomb belonging to the Scipio family, exhibit the state of the Roman alphabet and Latin orthography during the fifth and sixth centuries of Rome.

4. The Latin tablets of the Eugubian Tables;† the date of

* A number of inscriptions connected with the Arval brotherhood have been lately discovered by Professor Henzen at the Vigna Ceccarelli, about four miles from Rome, on the road to Portus, which has been ascertained to be the site of the sacred grove of the Fratres Arvales. The inscriptions range from the reign of Augustus to that of Gordian (3rd century), after which all trace of the fraternity is lost.

† The Eugubian tables are seven in number, and were found in 1444 among the ruins of the theatre of Iguvium, now Gubbio. They are now preserved in that city. The tables are of bronze, covered with inscriptions, four in Umbrian, two in Latin, and one in Etruscan letters. The first are by far the more ancient; they belong to the fourth century of Rome (about B.C. 400), whereas those in Latin character may be two centuries later. The language is the same in both, apparently Umbrian, but the state of development is different. It exhibits strong traces of affinity with the older Latin forms. The inscriptions, facsimiles of which were first published by Dempster, have exercised the critical ingenuity of several scholars. Buonarrotti considers them as articles of treaties between the states of Umbria; Bourquet and Gori thought that they were forms of prayer among the Pelasgi, after the decline of their power; Maffei and Passeri that they were statutes or donations to the temple of Jupiter. In the

which Lanzi brings down as low as the seventh century of Rome. Dr. Aufrecht considers them to be of the sixth century, two centuries later than the Umbrian tablets. Dr. Lepsius, of Berlin, struck by the assertion of Lanzi that the language of the tables is full of archaisms, and bears great affinity to the Etruscan dialect, visited Gubbio for the purpose of examining them as philological illustrations of the formation of Latin. From a careful comparison of these tablets he arrives at the conclusion, now universally admitted, that the Latin language, both among the people of Italy generally and among the Umbri, was much more recent than the Etruscan, and that the Etruscan literature was common to the Umbri. He might also have added that these inscriptions leave little doubt that the Latin language was mainly derived from the Umbrian. The tables present, moreover, many peculiarities deserving the attention of the archæologist. The lines, like the Etruscan and other ancient languages, run from right to left; the letters show that there is little difference between the Umbrian character, and that form of ancient Greek which we call Pelasgic.* The Umbrian inscriptions of the Eugubian tablets are highly interesting to the philological student; the letter O is used in the place of V; G, a letter supposed to have been unknown B.C. 353, is also to be recognised; *pir* (*πυρ*) is used for fire, *puni* for bread, and *vinu* for wine. Niebuhr supposed the Latin to have been a mixed language, possessing a Greek element imported by the Pelasgi, and another originally Italic tribe. He supported this assertion by a very acute and essentially true observation. He remarks that, whereas the words belonging to the sphere of peaceable rural life agree in Greek and Latin, the Latin expressions for everything belonging to warfare, arms, and hunting have no words corresponding to them in Greek.

We might point out here other monuments not less useful for the study of Roman palæography, but the examples we have

opinion of Lanzi, the inscriptions related solely to the sacrificial rites of the various towns of Umbria, and are the fragments of what the ancients named pontificales et rituales libri. The text, with an introduction and translation, has been lately published by M. Michel Breal. See 'Academy,' Nov. 3, 1877.

* Murray's 'Central Italy.'

here given will be sufficient for our purpose in this concise treatise.

Roman inscriptions become less rare during the seventh and following centuries of Rome, according as they approach the time of the emperors. Inscriptions are common enough during the period of the emperors. Inscribed monuments of this period are found, not only in Italy, but also in France, Spain, Germany, and England; as Gibbon remarks, if all our historians were lost, inscriptions would be sufficient to record the travels of Hadrian. These inscriptions ought to be carefully collected, as their interpretation frequently throws some important lights on the history and customs of nations. The text of these inscriptions is connected either with the worship of the gods, the ceremonies of religion, with history, as they contain public acts, the names of priests and magistrates, indications of epochs and of places, facts of general importance, such as the construction and dedication of public buildings, honours decreed to citizens. Funereal inscriptions are the most numerous and the most frequently found in many countries. Altars, statues, temples, were dedicated to the gods by inscriptions; vows were made to them, the accomplishment of which was acknowledged by an inscription on the object itself which had been vowed to them. The names and surnames of the gods are usually in the first lines of the inscription in the dative case, as IOVI SERENO, MARTI AVGVSTO. Then follows the name of the person who dedicated, and this name is followed by the titles and qualities of the devotee, and sometimes by the motives of the vow, and its accomplishment (*voto suscepto*), and by the formula EX VOTO, which indicates the object of the monument. This formula is also frequently expressed by EX VOTO. S. L. M. or V. S. L. M. votum solvit libens merito; or again UT VOVERAT. D. D. ut voverat dedit, dedicavit. If the inscription is terminated by the word SACRUM, or a simple S, which is its abbreviated form, it is not the result of a vow, but only proceeding from the piety of the person at whose cost it was erected.

Among religious inscriptions we must also class the acts of the colleges of priests, sacrifices, such as the taurobolia (the sacrifice of a bull), suovetaurilia (the sacrifice of a boar, a sheep and a bull). Their object always was the health of the emperor

or his success in some difficult undertaking. The inscription names the person at whose cost the sacrifice was performed, the magistrate who presided, the priest who made the invocation, the singers, the flute-player, the decorator, and the indication of the date terminates it.

Historical inscriptions comprise the *Senatus consulta*, *plebis-cita*, the decrees, letters, and addresses of the civil colleges of the emperors, agreements with regard to hospitality, *clientela*, and patronage between towns, colonies, *municipia*, or corporations, and between citizens, military commissions, and all which concerns civil and political rights. In the same class may be comprised the inscriptions on public monuments, buildings, which usually indicate the date of the construction of the buildings, the object proposed, at whose cost it was built, and sometimes also the partial repairs rendered necessary by decay. Such are the inscriptions which are read on arches of triumph, columns, theatres, amphitheatres, *basilicæ*, on baths, bridges, aqueducts, gates, walls of town, and on *milliary* columns, which mark the distances on public roads. These columns usually present only the names, titles, and surnames (in the ablative if the nominative is not expressed) of the emperor in whose reign the road was constructed or repaired, followed by the indication of the number of thousand Roman paces from the place which has been taken as a point of departure. The name of this place is generally found on the column. (See page 71.)

In these inscriptions, as in all those which belong to the class of historical monuments, the abbreviations are the portion which usually present the greatest difficulty in their interpretation. The titles of the emperors are sometimes very numerous, and those of the magistrates are almost always indicated by the single initial letter of the word. Not to enter too much at length on the usual method of interpretation, we shall here cite an example, as in all teaching examples are better than rules. In the following inscription, discovered at Narbonne, we shall find almost all the formulæ relative to the titles of the Roman emperors: — IMP. CAESARI. DIVI. ANTONINI. PII. FIL. DIVI. HADRIANI. NEPOTI. DIVI. TRAJANI. PARTHICI. PRONEPOTI. DIVI. NERVAE. ABNEPOTI. L. AVRELIO. VERO. AVG. ARMENIACO. PONT. MAXIM. TRIBVNIC.

POTESTAT. IIII. IMP. II. COS. II. PROCOS. DECVMANI
NARBONENSES.

This inscription has few abbreviations, but the nearly complete words will be of great assistance in recognising them more easily in inscriptions where they will be found more abridged. In every case we should endeavour to comprehend the construction of the sentence, by taking as a guide the verb, if it is expressed, or the cases of the names, if they are understood. The following is the grammatical construction of the sentence in this inscription:—Decumani Narbonenses (dedicaverunt hoc monumentum) imperatori Cæsari Lucio Aurelio Vero Augusto Armeniaco, pontifici Maximo tribunicia potestate quartum, imperatori secundum, consuli secundum, proconsuli; filio divi Antonini Pii, nepoti divi Hadriani, pronepoti divi Trajani Parthici abnepoti divi Nervæ.

It may be thus translated:—"The decumans of Narbonne (have dedicated this monument) to the Emperor Cæsar Lucius Aurelius Verus Augustus Armeniacus, chief pontiff, exercising the tribunicial power for the fourth time, emperor for the second time, consul for the second time, proconsul; son of the deified Antoninus Pius, grandson of the deified Hadrian, great-grandson of the deified Trajan, surnamed the Parthian, great-great-grandson of the deified Nerva." We may remark in this inscription—

1. The words decumani Narbonenses, as a geographical indication.
2. The titles, prænomina, and names of the emperor to whom the monument is dedicated, Lucius Aurelius Verus Augustus, the colleague of Marcus Aurelius.
3. The surname of Armeniacus, because he made war in Syria and in Armenia.
4. The title of chief pontiff, common to all the emperors, who combined in their persons priestly and imperial authority.
5. The fourth tribuneship, the emperors assuming also the office of tribune, which was renewed every year, and as the emperors renewed this office of tribune from the first year of their accession, the indication of the number of the tribuneship is also the indication of the years of the emperor's reign; the inscription of Narbonne is therefore of the fourth year of the reign of Lucius Verus, and of the year A.D. 164, L. Verus having been associated in the empire by M. Aurelius in the month of March, A.D. 161.
6. The words emperor for the second time, This title of emperor followed by a number must not be confounded with the same title in the

beginning of the sentence, where it is indicative of his sovereign power; here it relates to two victories gained by him, and when he was saluted emperor by the army for the second time. 7. The words consul for the second time; the emperors were sometimes consuls before their accession to the throne, and also during their reign. 8. The title of proconsul which he assumed with all the others. 9. The words son, grandson, great-grandson, and great-great-grandson, which indicate his real or adopted genealogy, each of his predecessors being styled DIVUS, a title which was given to the emperors only after their death. The successive examination of the words of this inscription thus leads one to recognise the subject, the period, its authors, and the emperor who was the object of it. For this kind of monument it is extremely useful to become familiar with the text of imperial legends, in which the prænomena, surnames, titles, and qualities of the emperors are usually written in an abbreviated form.

With regard to the precise date of an historical or any other inscription, it may be deduced from indications analogous to those we have just remarked. 1. By the number of the tribuneships of an emperor, which invariably answers to the number of the years of his reign, counted from the year of his accession. 2. Sometimes by the consulships, but the consulships were not borne year after year by the same person; and thus an emperor may have been only once or twice consul, though he may have reached the fourth or tenth year of his reign. In this case, and if the number of tribuneships is not expressed, attention ought to be directed to some other event of the reign given in the inscription, either to the very number of the consulships, for it is certain that the inscription could not be anterior to the year in which the emperor exercised the last consulship mentioned in the inscription; or to the surnames derived from his victories, for the time in which he obtained them is recorded in history. 3. By the means of the date itself of the monument expressed by the names of the consuls in office, as: T. SEXTIO. LATERANO L CUSPIO. RUFINO. COSS.—Tito Sextio Laterano, Lucio Cuspio Rufino consulibus. It may be seen by the list of the Roman consuls, given by chronologists, that Titus Sextius Lateranus and Lucius Cuspius Rufinus were consuls in the year A.D. 197. 4. If all other indications fail, particular attention should be given to the form of the titles and to the orthography of the words.

Among historical inscriptions the *fasti consulares* or Capitoline marbles may be considered first in importance. They contain a list of the consuls and all public officers from A.U.C. 272 to the reign of Augustus. After the year 610, the account is not kept so accurately as before. Only one tribune of the people is named out of the ten, and several other magistrates are omitted. These inscriptions were found in 1545, in the Forum not far from the Church of Santa Maria Liberatrice. They are in several fragments and sadly mutilated, but are very legible. They were collected and arranged under the inspection of Cardinal Farnese, and deposited in the Capitol. Another portion was found in 1815, which supplies some names which were not known before.* A facsimile of these was published by Borghesi, with learned illustrations. In the fire which consumed the Capitol in the time of Vitellius, all the records preserved there were burnt. Vespasian, who rebuilt the temple, had the loss repaired by copies from the most authentic documents; and it is not improbable that these fragments are of that date. Another inscription of historical importance is the *Kalendarium Prænestinum*, or *Fasti Verriani*, an inscription, according to Suetonius, set up by Verrius Flaccus, at Præneste, arranged by himself, and engraved on marble slabs. Fragments of the marble slabs of this ancient calendar were found near Palestrina by an Italian antiquary, Foggini. The months of January, March, April, and December, were recovered by him. They contain information concerning the festivals, and a careful detail of the honours bestowed upon, and the triumphs achieved by, Julius, Augustus, and Tiberius.

Another important inscription presents us with one of the most interesting records of antiquity, the celebrated *Monumentum Ancyranum*, which may still be read in the vestibule of a temple at Ancyra, in Galatia, is a Latin inscription in parallel columns, covering the walls of the pronaos, or exterior porch of

* A square block of marble on which part of the *Fasti Consulares Capitolini* is engraved has been discovered (1876) in the Roman Forum. The fragment includes the names of the consuls from the year 755 to 760; and so completes one of the existing columns of the *Fasti*, which begins with the year 761. The opinion held almost universally by topographers was that the 'fasti' were engraved in the temple of Castor and Pollux, but the recent discovery makes more trustworthy the supposition that they belonged to the temple of Julius Cæsar, the remains of which stand within ten feet of the spot where the block was brought to light.

a temple of Augustus at Ancyra. It attests the energy, sagacity and fortune of the second Cæsar in a detailed register of all his public undertakings through a period of fifty-eight years. Commencing with his nineteenth year, it bears witness to his filial piety in prosecuting his father's murderers; it touches lightly on the proscriptions, and vaunts the unanimity of all good citizens in his favour, when 500,000 Romans arrayed themselves under the banner of the triumvir. It records his assignments of lands to the veterans, and the triumphs and ovations decreed him by the senate. It signalises his prudence in civil affairs, in revising the senate, in multiplying the patricians, and in thrice performing the lustrum of the people. It enumerates the magistracies and priesthoods conferred upon him and boasts of his three times closing the temple of Janus. His liberality is commemorated in his various largesses both of corn and money, and the contributions he made from his private treasures to relieve the burdens of his subjects. His magnificence is made to appear in the temples and public structures he built or caused to be built; in his halls and forums, his colonnades and aqueducts; nor less in the glorious spectacles he exhibited, and the multitude of beasts he hunted in the circus. The patriotism of Octavius shone conspicuously in the overthrow of the pirate Sextus, with his crew of fugitive slaves. Italy, it was added, swore allegiance to him of her own accord, and every province in succession followed her example. Under his auspices the empire had reached the Elbe, a Roman fleet had navigated the Northern Ocean, the Pannonians and Illyrians had been reduced, the Cimbric Chersonese had sought his friendship and alliance. No nation had been attacked by him without provocation. He had added Egypt to the dominions of Rome; Armenia, with dignified moderation, he had refrained from adding. He had planted Roman colonies in every province. He had recovered from the Parthians the captured standards of Crassus. For all these merits, and others not less particularly enumerated, he had been honoured with the laurel wreath and the civic crown; he had received from the senate the title of Augustus, and been hailed by acclamation as father of his country.

This record purports to be a copy from the original statement of Augustus himself, engraved on two bronze pillars, at Rome, and ordered by him to be erected after his death at the entrance of his mausoleum:—"Rerum gestarum divi Augusti

exemplar subjectum." It runs throughout in the first person : " Annos undeviginti natus exercitum privato consilio et privata impensa comparavi," &c.

It was first copied by Busbequius, in 1544, and has been transcribed often since. The traces of the letters have become fainter, but the greater care of recent explorers has more than balanced this misfortune. In the present century fragments of the Greek text of the same inscription have been discovered at Apollonia in Pisidia, which have served to supply some defects and verify some corrections.*

Inscriptions on bronze tablets sometimes occur. These are *tabulæ honestæ missionis*, diplomas or good conduct discharges. They are copies of decrees, promulgated at Rome, conferring upon the soldiery, as a reward for distinguished service, the privilege of Roman citizenship and the right of marriage. They seem to have been usually inscribed on two sheets of metal, which being united by thongs, folded together like a book. Examples of these tablets have been found from the year A.D. 34 of the Emperor Claudius to the year of the Emperor Maximian, A.D. 300. They were invariably suspended on the walls of the temple in the Capitol for public exhibition. They were of two kinds, those given to the Prætorian guards, and those assigned to the troops of the line and auxiliaries. Three of these tablets have been found in Britain, facsimiles of which are given in Dr. Bruce's 'Lapidarium Septentrionale;' the originals are in the British Museum. One of the time of Vespasian has been lately discovered by Dr. Cullen near Constantinople, a copy of which we give further on.

Funereal inscriptions are the most commonly found in all countries under the Roman domination. They are specially characterised by their first words and sigla D M. Diis Manibus Sacrum, QVIETI, or MEMORIAE AETERNAE, or PERPETVAE; these invocations are then followed by the names of the deceased in the genitive. Sometimes their names are in the dative or nominative, the invocation to the "Gods manes" is then unconnected with the rest of the sentence. Examples of these styles of inscriptions are found in the following, taken from urns in the British Museum :

* Merivale, 'The Romans under the Empire,' vol. iv. p. 359.

D M
SERVILIAE ZOSIMENI
QVAE VIXIT ANN XXVI
BENE MEREN FECIT
PROSDICIUS FILIVS

MAN.
COMICVS. ET
AVRIOLA . . PARENTES
INFELICISSIMI
LICINIO SVCCESO
V.A. XIII. M.I.D. XIX

Frequently the inscription begins with the names in the nominative; it is then a *titulus*, or indication of the person buried in the tomb to which the cippus or marble tablet belongs. To the names of the deceased are added his civil or military titles, if he had any during his lifetime, his age, and the names, qualities, and relationship of the persons who consecrated the monument; if the deceased was a Roman citizen, the name of the tribe in which he was enrolled precedes his surname. It sometimes happened on the death of a head of a family that the surviving members, in consecrating the tomb to him, destined it also for themselves, and took care to mention it in the inscription. A few examples will illustrate these rules. The following is an inscription found at Lyons:—D. M. AEMILI VENVSTI MIL. LEG. XXX. V. P. F. INTERFECTI. AEMILI GAIVS ET VENVSTA FIL. ET. AEMIFIA. AFRODISIA. LIBERTA, MATER EORVM. INFELICISSIMA. PONENDVM. CVRAVERVNT. ET SIBI. VIVI. FECER. ET. SVB ASCIA DEDICAVERT. ADITVS. LIBER EXCEPTVS. EST. LIBRARIVS EJVSD. LEG. The names of Æmilius being here in the genitive we must read *Diis manibus Æmilii Venusti*; the six abridged words or sigla which follow indicate the profession of Æmilius; and are to be read thus: *militis legionis tricesimæ victricis piæ felicis*, and we learn that he was a soldier of the 30th legion, surnamed the victorious, the pious, the happy; and the word *interfecti* informs us that he was killed in the service. The nominatives Gaius and Venusta show that another sentence commences. All following the word *interfecti* may be construed thus: *Æmilius Gaius et Venusta filia (ejus) et Æmilia Afrodisia liberta Mater eorum infelicissima, ponendum curaverunt et sibi vivi fecerunt et sub ascia dedicaverunt*: “Æmilius Gaius and Venusta his children, and Æmilia Afrodisia, a freedwoman, their unhappy mother, took care to erect this monument, and during their lifetime destined it for themselves, and dedicated it sub ascia.” The words

aditus liber exceptus est, inform us that when the place of the tomb was conceded by public authority, the path which led to it was expressly reserved. The words *librarius ejusdem legionis* were added to show that the deceased held the office of librarian or accountant in the 30th legion. The words *sub ascia* are variously interpreted; *ascia* is the name of a kind of chisel, used by stone-cutters, the figure of which is often found represented on sepulchral marbles. They are generally supposed to indicate that the monument was erected according to the wish of the children or relatives of the deceased, and that it was dedicated as soon as finished by the stone-cutter (*sub ascia*).

The following inscription shows us how the name of a tribe to which a deceased citizen had belonged was placed: M. TITIO. M. F. VOLT. GRATO. The words M. (Marco) Titio Grato, were the prænomen, nomen, and surname (cognomen) of the deceased; the letters M. F. read Marci filio. The abbreviation VOLT is explained by the word *Voltiniae* (*tribus*), and thus we see the monument was consecrated to Marcus Titius Gratus, son of Marcus, a citizen of the Voltinian tribe at Rome. Another inscription presents, L. LICINIVS. L. F. QUIR. PATERNVS. and reads Lucius Licinius, son of Lucius, (of the tribe) Quirina, (surnamed) Paternus. When the heirs of the deceased built a tomb for him at their own expense (*de suo*) they frequently recorded it in the inscription on the funereal monument, as in the following example taken from an urn in the British Museum:

DIIS MANIBVS
L. LEPIDI EPAPHRAE
PATRIS OPTIMI
L. LEPIDIVS.
MAXIMVS F.
DE SVO.

Magistracies, priesthoods, military grades and functions are very frequently indicated in funereal inscriptions, but it would be impossible to give their nomenclature in this concise treatise. For their interpretation, recourse must be had to the large

collections of inscriptions. It will be sufficient for us to place before the reader a list of the most difficult abbreviations, or those most usually found in Roman inscriptions. In this list we shall not include either prænomena or cognomina, as these words will not be likely to present any difficulty to the archæologist.

SIGLA; OR, ABBREVIATIONS IN ROMAN INSCRIPTIONS.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>A. absolvo, ædilis, æs, ager, ago, aio, amicus, annus, antiquo, auctor, auditor, Augustus, augustales, augustalis, aulus, aurum, aut.</p> <p>A. A. æs alienum, ante audita, apud agrum, aurum argentum.</p> <p>A.A. augusti, AAA. augusti tres.</p> <p>A. A. A. F. F. auro argento flando feriundo.</p> <p>A. A.V. alter ambove.</p> <p>AB. AC. SEN. ab actis senatus.</p> <p>A. C. acta causa, alius civis.</p> <p>A. D. ante diem, e.g. A. D. V. ante diem quintum.</p> <p>A. D. A. ad dandos agros.</p> <p>ÆE. CVR. ædilis curulis.</p> <p>ÆED. ædes, ædilis, ædilitas.</p> <p>ÆEM. and AIM. Æmilius, Æmilia.</p> <p>ÆER. ærarium.</p> <p>ÆER. P. ære publico.</p> <p>A. F. actum fide, Auli filius.</p> <p>A. FRVM. a frumento.</p> <p>AG. ager, ago, Agrippa.</p> <p>A. G. animo grato, Aulus Gellius.</p> <p>A. H. D. M. amico hoc dedit monumentum.</p> <p>A. K. ante kalendas.</p> | <p>A. L. ÆE. arbitrium litis æstimandæ.</p> <p>A. M. A. MILL. ad milliarium.</p> <p>AN. Aniensis, annus, ante.</p> <p>ANN. annales, anni, annona.</p> <p>ANT. ante, Antonius.</p> <p>A. O. alii omnes, amico optimo.</p> <p>A. O. F. C. amico optimo faciendum curavit.</p> <p>AP. Appius, apud.</p> <p>A. P. ad pedes, ædilia potestate, amico posuit.</p> <p>A. P. F. auro (argento) publico feriundo.</p> <p>A. P. M. amico posuit monumentum, annorum plus minus.</p> <p>A. P. R. C. anno post Romam conditam.</p> <p>ARG. argentum.</p> <p>A. R. V. V. D. D. aram votam volens dedicavit, arma votiva dono dedit.</p> <p>A. S. L. animo solvit libens, a signis legionis.</p> <p>A. T. a tergo.</p> <p>A. T. V. aram testamento vovit.</p> <p>AV. augur, Augustus, Aurelius.</p> <p>A. V. annos vixit.</p> <p>A. V. C. ab urbe condita.</p> <p>AVG. augur, Augustus.</p> |
|---|---|

AVGG. augusti.

AVGGG. augusti tres.

AVT. PR. R. auctoritas provinciae Romanorum.

A. XX. H. EST. annorum viginti hic est.

B. Balbius, balbus, beatus, bene, beneficiarius, beneficium, bonus, Brutus, bustum.

B (for V). berna, bivus, bixit.

B. A. bixit, *pro* vixit annos, bonis auguriis, bonus amabilis.

B. B. bene bene, *i.e.* optime.

B. D. bonæ deæ, bonum datum.

B. DD. bonis deabus.

B. DE. SE. M. bene de se meritæ, *vel* merito.

B. F. bona femina, bona fides, bona fortuna, bonum factum.

B. H. bona hereditaria, bonorum heres.

B. I. bonum iudicium.

B. I. I. boni iudicis iudicium.

B. M. beatæ memoriæ, bene merenti.

B. M. D. S. bene merenti, bene merito de se.

B. N. bona nostra, bonum nomen.

BN. H. I. bona hic invenies.

B. P. bona paterna, bonorum potestas, bonum publicum.

B. P. D. bono publico datum.

B. Q. bene quiescat, bona quæsitæ.

B. RP. N. bono reipublicæ natus.

BPT. Britannicus.

B. T. bonorum tutor, brevi tempore.

B. V. bene vale, bene vixit, bonus vir.

B. V. V. balnea vina Venus.

BX. bixit, *for* vixit.

BX. ANOS. VII. ME. VI. DI. XVII. vixit annos septem, menses sex, dies decem septem.

C. Cæsar, Caius, caput, causa, censor, civis, cohors, colonia, comitalis (dies), condemno, consul, cum, curo, custos.

C. B. civis bonus, commune bonum, conjugii benemerenti, cui bono.

C. B. M. conjugii bene merenti.

C. B. M. F. conjugii bene merenti fecit.

C. C. calumniæ causa, causa cognita, conjugii carissimæ, consilium cepit, curiæ consulto.

C. C. C. calumniæ cavendæ causâ.

C. C. F. Cæsar (or Caius) curavit faciendum, Caius Caii filius.

CC. VV. clarissimi viri.

C. D. Cæsaris decreto, Caius Decius, comitalibus diebus.

CES. censor, censores.

CESS. censores.

CENS. PERP. P. P. *or* CENS.

P. P. P. censor perpetuus, pater patriæ.

C. F. causa fiducia, conjugii fecit, curavit faciendum.
C. H. custos heredum, custos hortorum.
C. I. Caius Julius, consul jussit, curavit judex.
C. I. O. N. B. M. F. civium illius omnium nomine bene merenti fecit.
C. K. L. C. S. L. F. C. conjugii carissimo loco concessio sibi libenter fieri curavit.
CL. clarissimus, Claudius, Clodius, colonia.
CL. V. clarissimus vir, clypeum vovit.
C. M. Caius Marius, causa mortis.
CN. Cnæus.
COH. coheres, cohors.
COH. I. AFRIC. R. cohors prima Africanorum Romanorum.
COL. collega, collegium, colonia, columna.
COLL. collega, coloni, coloniæ.
COM. comes, comitium, comparatum.
CON. conjux, consensus, consiliarius, consul, consularis.
COR. Cornelia (tribus), Cornelius, corona, corpus.
COS. consiliarius, consul, consulares.
COSS. consules.
C. P. carissimus or clarissimus puer, civis publicus, curavit ponendum.
C. P. T. curavit poni titulum.
C. R. civis Romanus, Caius Rufus, curavit reficiendum, curaverunt refici.

CS. Cæsar, communis, consul.
C. S. H. communi sumptu hæredum.
C. V. clarissimus, or consularis vir.
CVR. cura, curator, curavit, curia.

D. dat, dedit, de, decimus, Decius, decretum, decurio, decuria, Deus, devotus, dies, dicit, diis, divus, dominus, domus, domo, donum, quingenta.
D. C. decurio coloniæ, diebus comitialibus, divus Cæsar.
D. C. D. P. decuriones coloniæ dederunt publice.
D. D. dea dia, decurionum decreto, dedicavit, Deo dedit, dono dedit.
D. D. D. S. decreto decurionum datum sibi, dono dedit de suo.
D. E. R. de ea re.
DES. designatus.
D. K. OCT. dedicatum kalendis octobris.
D. I. dedit imperator, diis immortalibus, diis inferis.
D. I. M. deo invicto Mithræ, diis inferis manibus.
D. M. deo magno, dignus memoria, diis manibus, dolo malo.
D. M. ET. M. diis manibus et memoriæ.
D. N. M. E. devotus numini majestate ejus.
D. O. M. deo optimo maximo.
D. O. S. deo optimo sacrum, diis omnibus sacrum.

D. P. S. dedit proprio sumptu, deo perpetuo sacrum, de pecunia sua.

D. P. P. D. D. de propria pecunia dedicaverunt, de pecunia publica dono dedit.

D. S. F. C. H. S. E. de suo faciendum curavit, hic situs est.

E. ejus, eques, erexit, ergo, est, et, etiam, ex.

E. CVR. erigi curavit.

EDV. P. D. edulium populo dedit.

E. E. ex edicto, ejus ætas.

EG. æger, egit, egregius.

E. H. T. N. N. S. exterum hæredem titulus nostri non sequitur.

E. I. M. C. V. ex jure manium consertum voco.

E. M. egregiæ memoriæ, ejusmodi, erexit monumentum.

EQ. M. equitum magistro.

E. R. A. ea res agitur.

E. S. ET. LIB. M. E. et sibi et libertis monumentum erexit.

E. T. F. I. S. ex testamento fieri jussit sibi.

E. V. L. S. ei votum libens solvit.

F. Fabius, facere, fecit, familia, fastus (dies), felix, femina, fides, filius, flamen, fortuna, frater, fuit, functus.

FAC. C. faciendum curavit.

F. C. faciendum curavit, fecit conditorium, felix constans, fidei commissum, fieri curavit, fiduciæ causa.

F. D. fidem dedit, flamen dialis, fraude donavit.

F. H. P. fieri hæres fuit, fieri hæredes fecerunt.

F. I. D. P. S. fieri jussit de pecunia sua.

FL. filius, flamen, Flaminus, Flavius.

F. L. favete linguis, fecit libens, felix liber.

F. M. D. D. D. fecit monumentum datum decreto decurionum.

F. P. D. D. L. M. fecit publice decreto decurionum locum monumenti.

F. Q. Flamen Quirinalis.

FR. forum, fronte, frumentarius.

F. R. forum Romanum.

F. T. C. fieri testamento curavit.

F. V. F. fieri vivens fecit.

G. Gaius (Caius), Gallia, gaudium, Gellius, gemina, gens, gesta, gratia.

G. D. gratis datus, *or* dedit.

G. F. gemina fidelis.

GL. gloria.

G. L. genio loci.

G. M. genio malo.

GN. genius, gens, genus, Gnæus (Cnæus).

G. P. F. gemina pia fidelis.

G. P. R. genio, *or* gloria populi Romani.

G. S. genio sacrum, genio senatus.

G. V. S. genio urbis sacrum, gratis votum solvit.

H. habet, hâc, hæres, hastatus,
hic, homo, honestas, honor,
hora, horis, hostis.

H. B. M. F. hæres bene merenti
fecit.

H. C. CV. hic condi curavit,
hoc einerarium constituit.

H. D. D. hæredes dono dedêre,
honori domûs divinæ.

HER. heres, Herennius.

HER. and HERC. Hercules.

HE. M. F. S. P. hæres monu-
mentum fecit sua pecunia.

HIC. LOC. HER. N. S.

HIC. LOC. HER. NON. SEQ.
hic locus hæredem non se-
quitur.

H. L. hac lege, hoc loco, hon-
esto loco.

H. L. H. N. T. hunc locum
hæres non teneat.

H. M. hoc monumentum, hon-
esta mulier, hora mala.

H. M. AD. H. N. T.

H. M. AD. II. N. TRAN. hoc
monumentum ad hæredes
non transit.

H. N. S. N. L. S. hæres non se-
quitur nostrum locum se-
pulturæ.

HOC. M. H. N. F. P. hoc monu-
mentum hæredes nostri
fecerunt ponere.

H. P. C. hæres ponendum cura-
vit, hic ponendum curavit.

H. P. C. L. D. D. D. hæres pon-
endum curavit loco, dato
decreto decurionum.

H. S. E. hic sepultus est, hic
situs est.

H. S. C. P. S. hoc sepulcrum

condidit sua pecunia, hoc
sibi condidit proprio sump-
tu.

H. T. V. P. hæres titulum vivus
posuit, hunc titulum vivus
posuit.

H. V. hæc urbs, hic vivit, hon-
este vixit, honestus vir.

I. immortalis, imperator, in
infra, inter, invictus, ipse,
Isis, Judex, Julius, Junius,
Jupiter, justus.

I.A. jam, intra.

I. AG. in agro.

I. C. Julius Cæsar, juris con-
sultum, jus civile, judex
cognitionum.

ID. idem, idus, interdum.

I. D. inferis diis, Jovi dedica-
tum, jus dicendum, jussu
dei.

I. D. M. inferis diis maledictis,
Jovi deo magno.

I. F. in foro, in fronte.

I. F. P. LAT. in fronte pedes
latum.

I. H. jacet hic, in honestatem,
justus homo.

IM. imago, immortalis, immu-
nis, impensa.

IMP. imperator, imperium.

IN. AGR. P. XV. IN. F. P.
XXV. in agro pedes quin-
decim in fronte pedes viginti
quinque.

I. O. M. Jovi optimo maximo.

I. O. M. D. D. SAC. Jovi optimo
maximo diis deabus sacrum.

I. P. indulgentissimo patrono,
innocentissimo puero, in

- pace, jussit poni, in publico, intra provinciam, justa persona.
- I. S. V. P. impensâ suâ vivus posuit.
- II. V. DD. duumviris dedicantibus.
- II. VIR. AVG. duumviris augustalis.
- II. VIR. COL. duumvir coloniae.
- II. VIR. I. D. duumvir juri dicundo.
- II. VIR. QQ. Q. RP. O. PEC. ALIMENT. duumviro quinquennali quaestori respublicae operum pecuniae alimentariae.
- III. VIR. AED. CER. triumvir aedilis cerealis.
- III. V. quatuorviratus.
- III. VIR. A. P. F. quatuorviri argento, *or* auro publico feriundo.
- III. VIREL IOVR. DEIC. quatuorviri juri dicundo.
- IIII. VIR. QQ. I. D. sexvir quinquennalis juri dicundo.
- K. Cæso, Kaia, calumnia, caput, carus, castra.
- K. KAL. KL. kalendæ.
- K. B. M. carissimæ, *or* carissimo bene merenti.
- K. CON. Θ. carissimæ conjugii defunctæ (Θανούσα).
- K. D. kalendis decembris, capite diminutus.
- L. Lælius, legio, lex, libens, liber, libra, locus, Lollius, Lucius, ludus, libertus, liberta.
- LB. libens, liberi, libertus.
- L. B. M. D. libens bene merito dicavit, locum bene merenti dedit.
- L. D. D. D. locus datus decreto decurionum.
- LEG. legatus, legio.
- L. F. C. libens fieri curavit, libertis faciendum curavit, libertis fieri curavit, lugens fieri curavit.
- LIB. liber, liberalitas, libertus, librarius, libertas.
- LIB. ANIM. VOT. libero animo votum.
- LL. leges, libentissimè, liberti.
- L. L. FA. Q. L. libertis libertabus familiisque libertorum.
- L. M. libens merito, locus monumenti.
- L. M. T. F. J. locum monumenti testamento fieri jussit.
- LOC. D. EX. D. D. locus datus ex decreto decurionum.
- L. P. C. D. D. D. locus publice concessus datus decreto decurionum.
- L. Q. ET. LIB. libertisque et libertabus.
- L. S. laribus sacrum, libens solvit, locus sacer.
- LVD. ludus.
- LV. P. F. ludos publicos fecit.
- L. XX. N. P. sestertiis septuaginta nummum pendit.
- M. magister, magistratus, magnus, manes, Marcus, Marius, Marti, mater, memoria, mensis, miles, mille, monu-

- mentum, mortuus, Mucius, mulier.
- M'. Manius.**
- MAN. IRAT. H.** manes iratos habeat.
- M. B.** memoriæ bonæ, merenti bene, mulier bona.
- M. D.** magno deo, manibus diis, matri deum, merenti dedit.
- M. D. M. SACR.** magnæ deûm matri sacrum.
- MES.** mensis.
- MESS.** menses.
- M. F.** mala fides, Marci filius, monumentum fecit.
- M. I.** matri Idææ, matri Isidi, maximo Jovi.
- MIL. K. PR.** milites cohortis prætoriæ.
- MN. MV. MVNIC.** municipium.
- MNT. MON.** moneta.
- M. P.** male positus, monumentum posuit.
- M. P. V.** millia passus quinque, monumentum posuit vivus.
- M. S.** manibussacrum, memoriæ sacrum, manu scriptum.
- MVN.** municeps, municipium.
- M. V. S.** Marti ultori sacrum, merito votum solvit.
- N.** natio, natus, nefastus (dies), nepos, Neptunus, Nero, nomen, non, nonæ, noster, novus, numen, numerius, numerus, nummus.
- NAT. ALEX.** natione Alexandrinus.
- NB. G.** nobili genere.
- N. D. F. E.** ne de familiâ exeat.
- NEP.** nepos, Neptunus.
- N. F. C.** nostræ fidei commissum.
- N. H. V. N. AVG.** nuncupavit hoc votum numini augusto.
- N. L.** non licet, non liquet, non longe.
- N. M. V.** nobilis memoriæ vir.
- NN.** nostri.
- NN. NNO. NNR.** nostrorum.
- NN. AVGG. IMPP.** nostri Augusti imperatores.
- NOB.** nobilis.
- NOB. NOBR. NOV.** novembris.
- NON. TRAS. H. L.** non transilias hunc locum.
- N. P.** nefastus primo (priore parte diei), non potest.
- N. T. M.** numini tutelari municipii.
- N. V. N. D. N. P. O.** neque vendetur neque donabitur neque pignori obligabitur.
- O.** ob, officium, omnis, oportet, optimus, opus, ossa.
- OB.** obiit, obitu, orbis.
- OB. HON. AVGVR.** ob honorem auguratûs.
- OB. HON. II. VIR.** ob honorem duumviratûs.
- O. C.** ordo clarissimus.
- O. C. S.** ob cives servatos.
- O. E. B. Q. C.** ossa ejus bene quiescant condita.
- O. H. F.** omnibus honoribus functus.
- O. H. I. N. R. S. F.** omnibus honoribus in republica sua functus.
- O. H. S. S.** ossa hic sita sunt.

- O. LIB. LIB. omnibus libertis libertabus.
 O. O. ordo optimus.
 OP. DOL. opus doliare *or* doliatum.
 OR. horæ, ordo, ornamentum.
 O. T. B. Q. ossa tua bene quiescant.
- P. pars, passus, pater, patronus, pax, perpetuus, pes, pius, plebs, pondo, populus, post, posuit, præses, prætor, primus, pro, provincia, publicus, Publius, puer.
- P. B. M. patri, *or* patrono, *or* posuit bene merenti.
- P. C. pactum conventum, patres conscripti, pecunia constituta, ponendum curavit, post consulatum, potestate censoria.
- P. C. ET. S. AS. D. ponendum curavit et sub ascia dedicavit.
- PED. Q. BIN. pedes quadrati bini.
- P. F. pia fidelis, pius felix, promissa fides, Publii filius.
- P. GAL. præfectus Galliarum.
- PIA. M. H. S. E. pia mater hic sita est.
- P. M. piæ memoriæ, passus mille, pedes mille, plus minus, pontifex maximus, post mortem, posuit merenti, posuit mærens, posuit monumentum.
- P. P. pater patriæ, pater patratu, pater patrum, patrono posuit, pecunia publica, perpetuus populus, posuit præfectus, prætorio præpositus, propria pecunia, pro portione, pro prætor, publice posuit, publice propositum, præpositus, primipilus.
- P. Q. E. *or* EOR. posterisque eorum.
- PR. præses, prætor, pridie, princeps.
- P. R. permissa respublicæ, populus Romanus.
- P. R. C. post Romam conditam.
- PR. PR. præfectus prætorii, proprætor.
- P. S. pecunia sua, plebiscitum, proprio sumptu, publicæ salutis.
- P. S. D. N. pro salute domini nostri.
- P. V. pia victrix, præfectus urbi, præstantissimus vir.
- P. V. S. T. L. M. posuit voto suscepto titulum libens merito.
- Q. quæstor, quando, quantus, que, qui, quinquennalis, quintus, Quirites.
- Q. D. R. qua de re.
- Q. I. S. S. qua infra scripta sunt.
- Q. K. quæstor kanditatus.
- Q. P. *or* Q. PROV. quæstor provinciæ.
- QQ. quæcunque, quinquennalis, quoque.
- Q. R. *or* Q. RP. quæstor rei publicæ.
- Q. S. S. S. quæ supra scripta sunt.
- Q. V. A. III. M. II. D. V. qui

or quæ vixit annos tres,
menses duos, dies quinque.

Q. V. A. P. M. qui vixit annos
plus minus.

R. recte, res, respublica, retro,
rex, ripa, Roma, Romanus,
Rufus, rursus.

R. C. Romana civitas, Romanus
civis.

RESP. *or* RP. respublica.

RET. P. *or* R. P. retro pedes.

R. N. LONG. P. X. retro non
longe pedes decem.

ROM. ET. AVG. COM. ASI.
Romæ et Augusto commu-
nitates Asiæ.

R. P. C. reipublicæ causa, rei-
publicæ conservator, rei-
publicæ constituendæ, retro
pedes centum.

R. R. PROX. CIPP. P. CLXXIII.
rejectis ruderibus proxime
cippum pedes centum sep-
tuaginta quatuor.

R. S. P. requietorium sibi
posuit.

S. sacellum, sacrum, scriptus,
semis, senatus, sepultus,
Servius, servus, sextus, sibi,
sine, situs, solus, solvit, sub,
suus, sepulcrum, sequitur,
serva, singuli, stipendium.

S. uncia.

S. centuria.

S. semuncia.

SAC. sacerdos, sacrificium,
sacrum.

SB. sibi, sub.

S. D. sacrum diis, salutem dicit,

senatus decreto, sententiam
dedit.

S. D. D. simul dederunt, *or*
dedicaverunt.

S. D. M. sacrum diis manibus,
sine dolo malo.

S. ET. L. L. P. E. sibi et libertis
libertabus posteris ejus.

SER. Servius, servus.

S. E. T. L. sit ei terra levis.

S. F. S. sine fraude sua.

SGN. signum.

S. M. P. I. sibi monumentum
poni jussit.

SN. senatus, sententia, sine.

SOLO. PVB. S. P. D. D. D. solo
publico sibi posuit dato
decreto decurionum.

S. P. sacerdos perpetua, sine
pecuniâ, sua pecunia.

S. P. C. suâ pecuniâ constituit,
sumptu proprio curavit.

S. P. Q. R. senatus populusque
Romanus.

S. S. sanctissimus senatus,
supra scriptum.

S. T. T. L. sit tibi terra levis.

S. V. L. D. sibi vivens locum
dedit.

T. terminus, testamentum,
Titus, tribunus, tu, turma,
tutor.

TABVL. P. H. C. tabularius
provinciæ Hispaniæ citeri-
oris.

TB. TI. TIB. Tiberius.

TB. TR. TBR. tribunus.

T. C. testamento curavit, *or*
constituit.

T. F. testamentum fecit, Tito

filius, titulum fecit, Titus Flavius.
 TM. terminus, testamentum, thermæ.
 T. P. terminum posuit.
 T. T. F. V. titulum testam-
 tum fieri voluit.
 V. urbs, usus, uxor, vale, verba,
 vestalis, vester, vir, vivus,
 vixit, volo, votum.
 V. A. veterano assignatus, vixit
 annos.
 V. C. vale conjux, vir clarissi-
 mus, vir consularis.
 V. C. P. V. vir clarissimus præ-
 fectus urbi.
 V. D. P. S. vivens dedit proprio
 sumptu, vivens de pecuniâ
 suâ.
 V. E. vir egregius, visum est.
 V. E. D. N. M. Q. E. vir egregius
 devotus numini majestati-
 que ejus.
 V. F. usûs fructus, verba fecit,
 vivus fecit.
 VI. ID. SEP. sexto idus septem-
 bris.

VII. VIR. EPVL. septem vir
 epulonum.
 V. L. A. S. votum libens animo
 solvit.
 VO. DE. vota decennalia.
 V. P. urbis præfectus, vir per-
 fectissimus, vivus posuit.
 V. S. A. L. P. voto suscepto
 animo libens posuit.
 V. R. urbs Roma, uti rogar,
 votum reddidit.
 V. V. C. C. viri clarissimi.
 VX. B. M. F. H. S. E. uxor bene
 merenti fecit, hic situs est.
 X. decem.
 X. ANNALIB. decennalibus.
 X. IIII. K. F. decimo quarto
 kalendis februarii.
 X. VIR. AGR. DAND. ADTR.
 IVD. decem vir agris dandis
 attribuendis judicandis.
 XV. VIR. SAC. FAC. quin-
 decim vir sacris faciendis.
 XXX. P. I. N. F. triginta pedes
 in fronte.
 XXX. S. S. trigesimo stipendio
 sepultus.

EXAMPLES OF ROMAN INSCRIPTIONS OF DIFFERENT PERIODS.

Inscription on Duilian Column. A.U.C. 493. B.C. 261.

C. BILIOS. M. F. COS. ADVORSOM. CARTACINIENSEIS. EN. SICELIAD.
 REM. CERENS. ECESTANOS. COCNATOS. POPLI. ROMANI. ARTISVMAD
 OBSSEDEONED. EXEMET. LECIONEIS. CARTACINIENSEIS. OMNEIS.
 MAXIMOSQVE. MACISTRATOS. LVCAES. BOVEBOS. RELICTEIS NOVEM.
 CASTREIS. EXFOCIONT. MACELAM. MOENTITAM. VRBEM PVCNANDOD.
 CEPET. ENQVE. EODEM. MACESTRATOD. PROSPERE REM. NAVEBOS.
 MARID CONSOL. PRIMOS. CESET. RESMECOSQVE CLASESQVE. NAVALES
 PRIMOS. ORNAVET. PARAETQVE DIEBOS. LX CVMQVE EIS. NAVEBVS

CLASEIS. POENICAS. OMNIS. PARATASQVE SVMAS. COPIAS CARTACI-
NIENSIS. PRAESENTED. MAXVMOD DICTATORE. OLOROM. IN ALTOD
MARID. PVCNANDOD. VICET XXXQVE: NAVEIS. CEPET. CVM. SOCIEIS.
SEPTEMBRESMOMQVE DVCIS QVINRESMOSQVE. THIRESMOSQVE. NAVEIS.
XX. DEPRESET

AVROM. CAPTOM. NVMEI. 𐀀 𐀀 𐀀 DCC.

ARCENTOM. CAPTOM. PRAEDA. NVMEI. CCCI000 C.

CRAVE CAPTOM. AES CCCI000 CCCI000 CCCI000 CCCI000 CCCI000
CCCI000 CCCI000 CCCI000 CCCI000 CCCI000 CCCI000 CCCI000
CCCI000 CCCI000 CCCI000 CCCI000 CCCI000 CCCI000 CCCI000
CCCI000 CCCI000 PONDOD TRIOMPOQVE NAVALED. PRAEDAD.
POPLOM. ROMANOM. DONAVET CAPTIVOS. CARTACINIENSEIS, IN-
CENVOS. DVXET. ANTE. CVROM PRIMOSQVE. CONSOL. DE. SICELEIS.
CLASEQVE CARTACINIENSEOM TRIOMPAVET. EAROM. REROM. ERCO.
S. P. Q. R. EI. HANCE. COLVMNAM. P.

In more modern orthography.

C. DVILIVS. M. F. COS. ADVERSVS. CARTHAGINIENSES.
IN. SICILIA. REM. GERENS. EGESTANOS. COGNATOS.
POPVLI. ROMANI ARCTISSIMA. OBSIDIONE. EXEMIT.
LEGIONES. CARTHAGINIENSES. OMNES. MAXIMOSQUE
MAGISTRATUS ELEPHANTIS RELICTIS NOVEM. CAS-
TRIS. EFFVGERVNT. MACELLAM MUNITAM VRBEM.
PVGNANDO. CEPIT. INQVE. EODEM. MAGISTRATV. PRO-
SPERE REM. NAVIBVS. MARI. CONSVL. PRIMUS. GESSIT.
REMIGISQVE CLASSESQVE. NAVALES. PRIMVS. ORNA-
VIT. PARAVITQVE DIEBVS. LX. CVMQVE. IIS. NAVIBVS
CLASSES. PVNICAS OMNES PARATASQVE SVMMAS.
COPIAS. CARTHAGINIENSES. PRAESENTE. MAXIMO.
DICTATORE ILLORVM. IN. ALTO. MARI. PVGNANDO
VICIT XXXQVE NAVES. CEPIT. CVM. SOCIIS. SEPTIRE-
MEMQVE. DVCIS. QVINQVEREMEMQVE. TRIREMESQVE.
NAVES. XX. DEPRESSIT.

AVRVM. CAPTVM. NVMMI. III. M. DCC.

ARGENTVM. CAPTVM. PRAEDA. NVMMI. C. M. C.

GRAVE CAPTVM. AES. XXI. C. M. PONDO.

TRIVMPHOQVE. NAVALI. PRAEDA. POPVLVM. ROMA-
NVM. DONAVIT. CAPTIVOS. CARTHAGINIENSES. INGE-
NVOS DVXIT. ANTE. CVRRVM PRIMVSQVE. CONSVL.
DE. SICVLIS. CLASSEQ. CARTHAGINIENSIVM. TRIVM-

PHAVIT. EARVM. RERVVM. ERGO. S. P. Q. R. EI. HANCE.
COLVMNAM P.

Capitol.

Inscription in Saturnian verse on the Sarcophagus of L. Scipio Barbatus, great-grandfather of Scipio Africanus. Consul V.C. 455. B.C. 297.

CORNELIVS. LVCIVS. SCIPIO. BARBATVS. GNAIVOD.
PATRE
PPOGNATVS. FORTIS. VIR. SAPIENSQVE. QVOIVS.
FORMA. VIRTVTEL. PARISVMA
FVIT. CONSOL. CENSOR. AIDILIS. QVEI. FVIT. APVD.
VOS. TAVRASIA. CISAVNA.
SAMNIO. CEPIT. SVBIGIT. OMNE. LOVCANA. OPSIDESQV.
ABDOVCIT.

Vatican.

On the tomb of Lucius Scipio, son of Sc. Barbatus. Consul V.C. B.C. 259.

HONC. OINO. PLOIRVME. CONSENTIONT. R
DVONORO. OPTVMO. FVISE. VIRO
LVCIOM. SCIPIONE. FILIOS. BARBATI.
CONSOL. CENSOR. AIDILIS. HIC. FVET. A.
HEC. CEPIT. CORSICA. ALERIAQVE. VRBE
DEDET. TEMPESTATIBVS. AIDE. MERETO.

According to the Augustan orthography :

HVNC. VNVM. PLVRIMI. CONSENTIVNT ROMÆ
BONORVM OPTIMVM. FVISSE. VIRVM
LVCIVM. SCIPIONEM. FILIVS. BARBATI.
CONSVL. CENSOR. ÆDILIS. HIC. FVIT.
HIC. CEPIT CORSICAM. ALERIAMQVEVRBEM
DEDIT TEMPESTATIBVS. ÆDEM. MERITO.

Epitaph of Syphax, king of Numidia, who was brought to Italy by Scipio Africanus, to grace his triumph, B.C. 203.

SYPHAX. NVMIDIAE. REX.
A. SCIPIONE. AFRC. IVR. BEL. CAVSA.
ROM. IN. RIVMPH. SVMORNV.

CAPTIVS. PERDVCTVS.
 INTIBVRTINO. TERRI. RELEGATV.
 SYAMQSERVIT-V-INANIREVOL
 SVPREM. B. CLAVSIT
 ETATIS, ANN. XLVIII. M. VI. B. XI
 CAPTIVITS. V. OBRVT
 P. C. SCPIO. CONDITOSEPVL.

It may be written at length in the following manner :

SYPHAX. NVMDIAE. REX.
 A. SCIPIONE. AFRICANO. IVRIS. BELL. CAUSA.
 ROMAM. IN TRIVMPHV. SVVM. ORNANDVM.
 CAPTIVVS PERDVCTVS
 IN. TIBVRTINORVM. TERRIS. RELEGATVS
 SVAMQVE. SERVITVTEM. IN. ANIMO. REVOLVENS.
 SVPREMAM. DIEM. CLAVSIT.
 ÆTATIS. ANNO. XLVIII. MENSE. VI. DIE. XI
 CAPTIVITATIS. VI. OBRVTVS
 P. C. SCIPIONE. CONDITORE. SEPULCRI.

Vatican.

Epitaph on the tomb of C. Publicius Bibulus. According to Burton he was probably grandson of the C. Pobl. Bibulus, who was tribune in A.U.C. 544. This would fix the date of the monument about A.U.C. 630, B.C. 123.

C. POBLICIO. L. F. BIBVLO. AED. PL. HONORIS
 VIRTVTISQVE. CAVSSA. SENATVS
 CONSVLTO. POPVLIQUE. IVSSV. LOCVS.
 MONVMENTO. QVO. IPSE. POSTERIQUE
 EIVS. INFERRENTVR. PVBLICE. DATVS. EST.

At the foot of the Capitoline Hill.

*Inscription of Augustus, on his restoration of the Aqua Julia,
 B.C. 34.*

IMP. CAESAR. DIVI. IVLI. F. AVGVSTVS.
 PONTIFEX MAXIMVS. COS. XII.
 TRIBVNIC. POTES. XIX. IMP. XIII.
 RIVOS. AQVARVM. OMNIVM. REFECIT.

On the Porta St. Lorenzo. Rome.

On the arch of Titus. A.D. 82.

SENATVS. POPVLVSQVE. ROMANVS
DIVO. TITO. DIVI. VESPASIANI. F.
VESPASIANO. AVGVSTO.

Another inscription supposed to have been on the other side of the arch.

IMP. TITO. CAESARI. DIVI. VESPASIANI. F.
VESPASIANO. AVG. PONTIFICI. MAXIMO
TRIB. POT. X. IMP. XVII. COS. VIII. P. P.
PRINCIPI. SVO. S. P. Q. R.
QVOD. PRAECEPTIS. PATRIS. CONSILIISQVE. ET.
AVSPICIIS. GENTEM. IVDAEORVM. DOMVIT. ET.
VRBEM. HIEROSOLYMAM. OMNIBVS. ANTE. SE
DVCIBVS. REGIBVS. GENTIBVSQVE. AVT. FRVSTRA
PETITAM. AVT. OMNINO. INTENTATAM. DELEVIT.

On the column of Trajan. A.D. 115.

SENATVS. POPVLVSQVE. ROMANVS.
IMP. CAES. DIVI. NERVAE. F.
TRAIANO. AVG. GERM. DACICO. PONT
MAXIMO. TRIB. POT. XVII. IMP. VI. COS. VI. P. P.
AD. DECLARANDVM. QVANTAE. ALTITVDINIS
MONS. ET. LOCVS. TANTIS. OPERIBVS. SIT. EGESTVS.

On the arch of Septimius Severus. A.D. 205.

IMP. CAES. LVCIO. SEPTIMIO. M. FIL. SEVERO. PIO.
PEPTINACI. AVG. PATRI. PATRIAE. PARTHICO.
ARABICO. ET
PARTHICO. ADIABENICO. PONTIFICI. MAXIMO. TRIBV-
NIC. POTES. XI. IMP. XI. COS. III. PROCOS. ET
IMP. CAES. M. AVRELIO. L. FIL. ANTONINO. AVG. PIO.
FELICI. TRIBVNIC. POTES. VI. COS. PROCOS. P. P.
OPTIMIS. FORTISSIMISQVE. PRINCIPIBVS.
OB. REM. PVBLICAM. RESTITVTAM. IMPERIVMQVE
POPVLI. ROMANI. PROPAGATVM
INSIGNIBVS. VIRTVTIBVS. EORVM. DOMI. FORISQVE.
SENATVS POPVLVSQVE. ROMANVS.

The words OPTIMIS FORTISSIMISQVE PRINCIPIBVS were substituted by Caracalla, after he had put his brother Geta to death A.D. 213, for the original words P. SEPT. LVC. FIL. GETAE. NOBILISS. CAESARI.

On the arch of Gallienus. A.D. 260.

GALLIENO. CLEMENTISSIMO. PRINCIPI.
CVIVS. INVICTA. VIRTVS.
SOLA. PIETATE. SVPERATA. EST.
ET. SALONINAE. SANCTISSIMAE. AVG.
M. AVRELIVS. VICTOR.
DEDICATISSIMVS.
NVMINI. MAIESTATIQVE
EORVM.

*On the arch of Constantine, erected on his victory over Maxentius.
A.D. 312.*

IMP. CAES. FL. CONSTANTINO. MAXIMO.
P. F. AVGVSTO. S. P. Q. R.
QVOD. INSTINCTV. DIVINITATIS. MENTIS.
MAGNITVDINE. CVM. EXERCITV. SVO
TAM. DE. TYRANNO. QVAM. DE. OMNI. EIVS
FACTIONE. VNO. TEMPORE. IYSTIS.
REMPUBLICAM. VLTVS. EST. ARMIS.
ARCVM. TRIVMPHIS. INSIGNEM. DICAUIT.

Diploma, or Tabula honestæ missionis.

IMP. CAESAR VESPASIANVS AVGVSTVS
PONTIFEX MAXIMVS TRIBVNIC. POTESTAT.
VIII. IMP. XVII. P.P. CENSOR COS. VII. DESIGN. VII.
NOMINA SPECVLATORVM QVI IN PRAETORIO
MEO MILITAVERVNT ITEM MILITVM QVI
IN. COHORTIBVS NOVEM PRAETORIIS ET QVA
TVOR VRBANIS SUBIECI QVIBVS FORTITER
ET PIE MILITIA FVNCTIS IVS TRIBVO CONV
BII. DVMTAXAT CVM SINGVLIS ET PRIMIS
VXORIBVS VT ETIAM SI PEREGRINI IV
RIS FEMINAS MATRIMONIO SVO IVNXE
RINT PROINDE LIBEROS TOLLANT AC SI EX

DVOBVS CIVIBVS ROMANIS NATOS
 AD. IIII. NON. DECEMRR.
 GALEONE TETTIENO PETRONIANO
 COS.
 M. FVLVIO GILLONE
 COH. VI. PR.
 L ENNIO L. F. TROFEROCIA QVISS TATELLIS
 [a quibus tabellis?]
 DESCRIPTVM ET RECOGNITVM EX TABVLA
 AENEA QVAE FIXA EST ROMAE IN CAPITOLIO
 IN BASI IOVIS AFRICI.

This inscription is on a bronze plate, nine inches by six, and was found in the sand on the sea-shore near Constantinople. It is a Prætorian diploma, and was issued on the 2nd of December, A.D. 76.

Epitaphs.

M. ARRIVS. DIOMEDES
 SIBI. SVIS. MEMORIAE.
 MAGISTER. PAG. AVG. FELIC. SVBVRB.

Pompeii.

M. ALLEIO. LVCCIO. LIBELLAE. PATRI. AEDILI
 II. VIR. PRAEFECTO. QVINQ. ET. M. ALLEIO. LIBELLAE. F.
 DECVRIONI. VIXIT. ANNIS. XVII. LOCVS. MONVMENTI
 PVBLICE. DATVS. EST. ALLEIA. M. F. DECIMILLA. SA-
 CERDOS
 PVBLICA. CERERIS. FACIENDVM. CVRAVIT. VIRO. ET.
 FILIO.

Pompeii.

NAEVOLEIA. I. LIB. TYCHE. SIBI. ET
 C. MVNATIO. FAVSTO. AVG. ET. PAGANO.
 CVI. DECVRIONES. CONSENSV. POPVLI
 BISELLIVM. OB. MERITA. EIVS. DECREVERVNT
 HOC. MONIMENTVM. NAEVOLEIA. TYCHE. LIBERTIS.
 SVIS.
 LIBERTABVSQ. ET. C. MVNATI. FAVSTI. VIVA. FECIT.

Pompeii.

M. PORC. M. F.
 EX. DEC. DECRETO.
 IN. FRONT. P. XXV.
 IN. AGRO. PED. XXV.

Pompeii.

IVLIA. ALPINVLA
 HIC. IACEO
 INFELICIS PATRIS INFELIX. PROLES
 DEAE. AVENTIAE. SACERDOS
 EXORARE PATRIS. NECEM. NON. POTVI
 MALE. MORI. IN. FATIS. ILLE. ERAT
 VIXI. ANNOS. XXIII.

“I know of no human composition so affecting as this, nor a history of deeper interest.”—*Byron*. Said to be a forgery.

D. M.
 DASVMIAE. SOTERIDI. LI
 BERTAE. OPTIMAE. ET. CON
 IVGI. SANCTISSIMAE. BENE
 MER. FEC. L. DASVMIVS. CAL
 LISTVS. CVM. QVA. VIX. AN
 XXXV. SINE. VLLA. QVE
 RELLA. OPTANS. VT. IPSA
 SIBI. POTIVS. SVPERSTES. FV.
 ISSET. QVAM. SE. SIBI. SVPER
 STITEM. RELIQVISSET.

On a cippus in the British Museum.

VALE ET SALVE ANIMA C. OPPIÆ. TELNESS NOS
 EO ORDINE QVO NATVRA PERMISERIT TE SEQVEMVR.
 VALE MATER DULCISSIMA.

DIIS. MANIBVS
 CLAVDIAE. PISTES.
 PRIMVS. CONIVGI
 OPTVMAE. SANCTAE
 ET. PIAE. BENEMERITAE

NON. AEQVOS. PARCAE. STATVISTIS. STAMINA. VITAE
TAM. BENE. COMPOSITOS. POTVISTIS. SEDE. TENERE
AMISSA. EST. CONIVNX. CVR. EGO. ET. IPSE. MOROR.
SI. FELIX. ESSEM. PISTE. MEA. VIVERE. DEBVIT
TRISTIA. CONTIGERVN. QVI. AMISSO. CONIVGE. VIVO
NIL. EST. TAM. MISERVN. QVAM. TOTAM. PERDERE.
VITAM.

NEC. VITAE. NASCI. DVRA. PEREGISTIS. CRVDELIA
PENSA. SORORES.

RVPTAQVE. DEFICIVNT. IN. PRIMO. MVNERE. FVSI
O. NIMIS. INIVSTAE. TER. DENOS. DARE. MVNVS. IN.
ANNOS

DECEPTVS. GRAVIVS. FATVM. SIC. PRESSIT. EGESTAS.
DVM. VITAM. TVLERO PRIMVS. PISTES. LVGEA. CON-
IVGIVM.

Galleria Lapidaria. Vatican.

D. M.

OTTEDIAE. ZMYRNAE. CONIVG. B. M. Q. V. ANN XVI
M. VIII. C. SALVIVS. ABASCANTVS. FECIT. ET. SIBI. ET
SVIS. POSTERISQVE. EORVM

HIC. IACEO INFELIX ZMYRNA. PVELLA. TENEBRIS
QVAE. ANNOS. AETATIS. AGENS. SEX. ET. DECEMEN-
SIBVS. OCTO

AMISI. LVCEM. ANIMAM. ET. RAPVERVNT FATA INIQVA
CASTIOR VT PROBIOR SERVATOR VLLA MARITO
TE PRECOR HOC. QVI RELEGES. SI PIETAS HABETVLLA.
LOCVM.

SIC. SIMILE TITVLVM.....TIS NON SCRIBERET OSSIS
DISCEDENS DIC ZMYRNA....E ITERVM TERET
IN...

Galleria Lapidaria. Vatican.

VITRIA. PHRYNE. VIXIT. TERSENOS. ANNOS
CARA MEIS. VIXI SVBITO FATALE. RAPINA
FLORENTIEM. VITA. SVSTULIT. ATRA. DIES
OC. TVMVLO. NVNC. SVM. CINERES. SIMVL. NAMQVE.
SACRATI
PER. MATREM. CARAM. SVNT. POSITIQUE. MEI

QVOS. PIVS. SAEPE. COLIT. FRATER. CONIVNXQVE.
PVELLAE.

ATQVE. OBITVM. NOSTRVM. FLETIBVS. VSQVE. LVGENT
DI. MANES. ME. VNVM. RETINETE. VT. VIVERE. POS-
SINT

QVOS. SEMPER. COLVI. VIVA. LIBENTE. ANIMO
VT. SINT. QVI. CINERES. NOSTROS. BENE. FLORIBVS
SERTI

SAEPE. ORNENT. DICAT. SIT. MIHI. TERRA. LEVIS.

Galleria Lapidaria. Vatican.

CHRISTIAN INSCRIPTIONS.

As Christian inscriptions form a portion of Roman inscriptions, being contemporaneous and in the same language, we have thought fit to introduce here a short notice of them.

Christian inscriptions form a separate class. They are all funereal, and are for the most part found in the catacombs, or subterranean cemeteries* of the early Christians in Rome. They

* The word cemetery is derived from *κοιμητήριον*, "a sleeping place," hence the frequent formulæ in the Christian epitaphs, "dormit in pace," he sleeps in peace; "dormitio Elpidis," the sleeping place of Elpis; "cubiculum Aureliæ," the sleeping chamber of Aurelia. The term catacomb was applied to these subterranean cemeteries at a much later period. The practice of subterranean burial among the early Christians was evidently derived from the Jewish custom of burying the dead in excavated sepulchres, and thus may have been adopted by the early Jewish converts. The Roman Jews had a very early catacomb of their own, in the Monte Verde, contiguous to their place of abode, in the Trasteverine quarter of Rome. This subterranean mode of sepulture is undoubtedly of Egyptian origin. It is generally supposed that the early Christians used for their burial places the excavations made by the Romans for procuring stone and cement for building purposes. This is an erroneous view. Recent geological observations on the soil of the Agro Romano have shown that the surface of the Campagna consists of volcanic rocks of different natures and ages. The earliest of the series, the tufa lithoide, was constantly employed from the earliest ages in the buildings of the city, as attested by the massive blocks of the Cloaca Maxima, the tabularium of the Capitol, and the walls of Romulus; the second, or tufa granolare, which though it has just consistency enough to retain the form given to it by the excavator, cannot be hewn or extracted in blocks; and the puzzolana, which has been extensively used in all ages for mortar or Roman cement. The tufa lithoide and the puzzolana were thus alone used

are characterised by symbols and formulæ peculiar to the Christian creed; the idea of another life, a life beyond the grave, usually prevails in them.

The symbols found in connection with the funereal inscriptions are of three kinds; the larger proportion of these refer to the profession of Christianity, its doctrines and its graces. A second class, of a partly secular description, only indicate the trades of the deceased; and the remainder represent proper names: thus a lion must be read as a proper name, *Leo*; an ass,



Onager; a dragon, *Dracontius*. Of the first kind the most usually met with is the monogram of Christ.*

The other symbols generally in use are the ship, the emblem of the church, the fish (*ἰχθῦς*, containing the initials of Ἰησοῦς Χριστὸς Θεοῦ Υἱὸς Σωτὴρ) the emblem of Christ. The palm, the symbol of martyrdom. The anchor represented hope in immortality; the dove, peace; the stag reminded the faithful of the pious aspiration of the Psalmist; the horse was the emblem of strength in the faith; the hunted hare, of persecution; the peacock and the phoenix stood for signs of the resurrection. Christ, as the good pastor, and the A—Ω of the Apocalypse were also introduced in the epitaphs. Even personages of the pagan mythology were introduced, which the Christians employed in a concealed sense, as Orpheus, en-

for building purposes by the Romans, and the catacombs are never found excavated in these. The catacombs were hewn only in the tufa granolare, and were consequently excavated expressly for burials by the early Christians. The Christian architects carefully avoided the massive strata of the tufa lithoide, and we believe it is ascertained that all the known catacombs are driven exclusively along the courses of the tufa granolare. With equal care these subterranean engineers avoided the layers of puzzolana, which would have rendered their work insecure, and in which no permanent rock tomb could have been constructed. Thus we arrive at the curious fact, that in making the catacombs the excavators carefully avoided the strata of hard stone and the strata of soft stone, used respectively for building and for mortar, and selected that course of medium hardness which was best adapted to their peculiar purpose.—*Edinburgh Review*, CXX.

* This monogram is not of Christian origin. It was probably only adopted by the Christians, as it occurs on coins of Probus, who was not a Christian, and in inscriptions anterior to Christianity. It was not in received use among the Christians until the time of Constantine. The cross, alone, is found as an ornamental device in Egyptian paintings, fifteen centuries before the Christian era.

chanting the wild beasts with the music of his lyre, was the secret symbol of Christ as the civiliser of men leading all nations to the faith. Ulysses, fastened to the mast of his ship, was supposed to present some faint resemblance to the crucifixion.

The most usual written formulæ are H. R. I. P. hic requiescit in pace, BONAE. MEMORIAE. The following are also sometimes met with: "anima sancta salve, bibas (vivas) in Christo," and all those in which the name of Christ or the idea of a resurrection are expressed: "gratia plena; innox et dulcis, nobile decus; Kere, Xere" (for the Greek *Χαίρε*); "lux vivas in Deo; pax tecum sit; pudicæ feminæ, quiescas in pace; qui in meum Deum credidit; recessit in somno pacis; recordetur illius Deus; Spiritus tuus in pace; servus Dei fidelis; vive in æterno: zezes (vivas), pie zezes (pie vivas)." The pagan D. M. was also retained by the Christians in the earlier ages. When Christianity was established on securer foundations, imprecations and anathemas against any person who should violate the tombs, were also employed in the inscriptions. These formulæ are sometimes found: "male pereat insepultus; jaceat non resurgat, cum Juda partem habeat, se quis sepulchrum hunc violaverit; sit maledictus et in perpetuum anathemate constrictus."

In classifying the Roman inscriptions, M. de Rossi has adopted the following divisions. The first comprises those inscriptions only which contain some express note of time, and are therefore susceptible of exact chronological arrangement. The second comprises the select inscriptions, viz.: first, sacred and historical ones, and next those which, either by testimony, by forms, or by symbols, illustrate the doctrines, the worship, or the morals of the Christians. The third, the purely topographical, assigns each inscription its proper place among the ancient localities of Rome. This comprises also inscriptions of unknown or uncertain locality, as well as inscriptions of spurious origin or doubtful authenticity.

In considering the chronological arrangements of Christian inscriptions, it is important to keep in view that in the earlier centuries the Christians kept note of time either by the years of the bishop, or by some of the civil forms which prevailed in the various countries in which they resided. In Rome the common date was that of the consular year. The common use of the Christian era as a note of time began, as is well known, later

than the sixth century, at which M. de Rossi's series terminates. In M. de Rossi's collection one inscription bears date from the year A.D. 107, and another from 111. Of the period from the year 204, in which the next inscription with a date occurs, till the peace of the church in 312, twenty-eight dated inscriptions have been found; after the peace of the church the number of dated inscriptions increases rapidly. Between the accession of Constantine and the close of the fourth century, his collection contains 450 dated inscriptions, and the fifth century presents about the same number; but in the sixth, the number again declines, that century producing little more than 200.

In those cases where no note of time is marked, M. de Rossi has availed himself of other chronological indications and tests, founded on the language, on the style, on the names, and on the material execution of the inscription, in determining the date. Out of the 11,000 extant Roman inscriptions anterior to the seventh century, M. de Rossi finds chronological evidence of the date of no fewer than 1374.

One of the leading peculiarities of these inscriptions is the frequent disregard of the usual rules of grammar, and the tendency to the corruption of words, as "cum uxorem suam," "cum quem," "pro caritatem," "in seculum, hoc tumulum," "santa" for "sancta," "sexes" for "sexies," "posuete" for "posuit," "iscribit" for "scribit," "filie" for "filix," "que" or "qae" for "quæ," "hac" for "ac," "pride" for "pridie," "mesis" for "mensis," "michi" for "mihi," "exibit" for "exivit," "opsequia" for "obsequia," "vicxit," "visit," "vissit," or "visse" for "vixit;" "adque" for "atque," "quesquenti" for "quiescenti," "depossio" for "depositio," &c. The lapse of Latin into Italian is marked by such changes as prefixing *i*, as *ispirito* for *spiritui*, or affixing *e*, as *posuete* for *posuit*. We find also the cockney aspirate and its contrary anticipated in the inscriptions, as *Hossa* (*ossa*), *Hordine*, *Hoctobres*, *Heterna*, and *oc* for *hoc*, *ic* for *hic*.

There are also varieties in inflexion, such as "*spiritu sancta*" for "*spiritu sancto*," "*pauperorum*" for "*pauperum*," "*vocitus*" for "*vocatus*," "*requiescent*" for "*requiescunt*," &c.

There are also new or unusual terms, or new familiar words in new or unusual meanings, such as "*pausavit*, *ἀνεπαύσατο* (rested), *bisomus*, *trisomus*, *quadrisomus*," holding two, three, four bodies; *compar* and *conpar* (husband and wife); *fecit* for

egit, *passed*; “percepit,” received, *scil.* baptism, as also “consecutus est,” in the same sense, &c.

Sometimes Latin is written in Greek characters, as ΔΗΠΟ-CEITΟΥC depositus, ΑΝΝΟΥC annus (annos). Sometimes Greek in Latin as zeses = ζησης = *vivas*. We give an example of a Latin inscription in Greek characters at page 530.

The phraseology, also, deserves attentive consideration. The terms and expressions for our “Here lies” are “hic jacet, ἐνθάδε κεῖται, hic situs est, hic positus, depositus, dormit, quiescit,” &c. For death we have the tense forms “defunctus est, decessit, recessit, de sæculo recessit, de sæculo exivit, reddidit animam, reddidit debitum, Deo reddidit spiritum sanctum, pausavit, requevit, ivit ad Deum, migravit de hoc sæculo, recessit de hac luce, præcessit, τελευτᾷ, ἐτελεύτησεν, ἀνεπαύσατο, ἐτελειώθη, and the participial forms, defunctus, absolutus de corpore, evocatus a Domino, arcessitus ab angelis, receptus ad Deum, accepta apud Deum, obita,” ἐξελθών, ἐκ τοῦ βίου, &c.

The age is expressed by “vixit,” or “vixit in sæculo,” “annos” (or “annis” or “annus”)——, “menses” (or “mensibus”), “dies” (or “diebus”)——, with the number of hours sometimes stated. Sometimes “qui fuit” stands for “vixit,” sometimes neither is expressed, and we have the form in the genitive, “sal. annorum,” &c.

Frequently the time passed in married life is mentioned, and we find such phrases as “vixit mecum, duravit mecum, vixit in conjugio, fecit mecum, fecit in conjugio, fecit cum compare,” with a precise statement of the number of years, &c., and often with some expression marking the happiness of the couple’s married life, such as, “sine læsione animi, sine querela, sine jurgio,” &c.

The epithets applied to the deceased indicate strong affection, and the eulogies are sometimes extravagant. Thus we have “dulcissimus, carissimus, innocentissima, piissimus, castissima, pudicissima, incomparabilis, totius pudicitiae veritas, forma pudoris.”

The occupation or position in life is stated, with the proper titles, in many dated Christian epitaphs. But they are all, it is supposed, later than the time of Constantine.

The same designations of the place of burial and of the tomb are found in both Christian and Pagan epitaphs, such as “tumulus, sepulcrum, locus, locus justus, locus emptus, locus

concessus, locus donatus, memoria, sarcophagus," even to the use of "domus æterna."

Acclamations or expressions of good wishes or prayers to or for the deceased frequently occur in the inscriptions, such as, "Refrigera, Deus tibi refrigeret, vivas inter sanctos, vivas in Deo," *Εὐρήνη σοι, ἐν θεῷ ζήσης*.

The letters also of these inscriptions are usually very irregular. They are from half an inch to four inches in height, coloured in the incision with a pigment resembling Venetian red. The sense, too, of the inscriptions is not always very obvious. An extreme simplicity of language and sentiment is the prevailing characteristic of the earlier inscriptions. But, on the other hand, exaggerated examples of the opposite style are occasionally met with.

Another peculiarity in these Christian inscriptions is the disuse of the three names usually assumed by the Romans. M. de Rossi has given twenty inscriptions with the names complete, prior to Constantine. Of these, no fewer than seventeen have prænomena, whereas after Constantine prænomena may be said entirely to disappear. The gentile name was displaced by new forms terminating in antius, entius, ontius, osus, and their feminines, as Lactantius, Crescentius, Amantius, Vincentius, Gaudentia, Leontius, Bonosus, Gaudiosa. The names of the fourth, fifth and later centuries are usually fanciful appellations, as *πίστις*, *ἐλπίς*, *ἀγάπη*, Decentia, Prudentia, Dignitas, Idonitas, Renatus, Redemptus, Projectus; or self-abasing appellations, as Stercorius, Contumeliosus. Compound names are also found, Deus dedit, Servus Dei, Adeodatus, Quod Deus vult. In general, the Christians took the names of their saints, sometimes they retained their pagan names, such as Afrodisius, Mercurius. They assumed also the names of animals, as Leo, Onager, Ursa, Ursula.

The year is usually indicated by the names of the consuls. The abbreviation COS for "consulibus" was in use up to the middle of the third century, when COSS, CONS, and CONSS began to be adopted; COS is very seldom found during the fourth century, and almost never in the fifth or sixth; COSS fell into disuse about the first quarter of the fifth century, and after that CONS was used; in the time of Diocletian with S for one consul and SS for two. At the same time CC . SS . CS were introduced, but they were very rarely used in the fifth, and

there is scarcely an example of them in the sixth. From about the middle of the fourth century CONS began to be placed before instead of after the names, and this usage became the prevalent custom in the fifth and sixth.

At the date of the discovery of the Roman catacombs, the whole body of known Christian inscriptions collected from all parts of Italy, fell far short of a thousand in number. Of these, too, not a single one was of subterranean origin, and not dated earlier than A.D. 553. At present the Christian inscriptions of Rome alone, and anterior to the sixth century, considerably exceed 11,000. They have been carefully removed from the cemeteries, and are now systematically arranged by M. de Rossi, on the walls of the Christian museum, recently formed by order of Pius IX., in the Lateran Palace. A large number of these inscriptions are also inserted in the walls of the Galleria Lapidaria in the Vatican.

SIGLA : OR, CHRISTIAN ABBREVIATIONS.

A. ave, anima, aulus, &c.	IN. D. in Deo, indictione.
A. B. M. animæ bene merenti.	IN. P. D. in pace Domini.
A. D. anima dulcis.	IN. X. in Christo.
B. F. bonæ feminæ, bonæ fidei.	M. monumentum, memoria,
B. M. bene merenti.	martyr.
BVS. V. bonus vir.	N. DEVS. nobile decus.
CL. F. clarissima femina, or filia.	P. pax, ponendus, posuit.
C. R. corpus requiescit, or re- positum.	P. M. plus, minus.
D. depositus, dormit, dulcis, &c.	PPS. probus.
D. B. Q. dulcis bene quiescas!	P. Z. pie zezes.
D. D. S. decessit de sæculo.	Q. quiescat.
D. I. P. decessit in pace.	Q. FV.AP.N. qui fuit apud nos.
DM. Dominus.	R. recessit, requiescit.
DPS. depositus, depositio.	R. I. PA. requiescit in pace.
H. R. I. P. hic requiescit in pace.	S. salve, spiritus, suus.
	SAC. VG. sacra virgo.
	S. I. D. spiritus in Deo.

SC. M. sanctæ memoriæ.	V. C. vir clarissimus.
S. T. T. C. sit tibi testis cælum.	VV. F. vive felix.
Θ. θανοῦσα, defuncta.	V. S. vale, salve.
TT. titulum.	V. X. vivas charissime.
V. vixit, virgo, vivas.	X. Christus, decem.
V. B. vir bonus.	Z. Zeses, Ζεσο (Jesus).

EXAMPLES OF CHRISTIAN INSCRIPTIONS OF DIFFERENT PERIODS.

VG. VESPASIANO III COS
IAN

A.D. 71.

This fragment has been received as a part of a Christian epitaph by Reggi, Marini, and de Rossi. It is the most ancient of all such as bear dates.

SERVILIA. ANNORVM. XIII
PIS. ET BOL. COSS.

Servilia, aged thirteen, died in the consulate of Piso and Bolanus. A.D. 111.

TEMPORE. ADRIANI. IMPERATORIS. MARIVS. ADOLES-
CENS DVX.
MILITVM. QVI. SATIS. VIXIT DVM VITAM PRO CHO
CVM. SANGVINE
CONSVNSIT. IN. PACE. TANDEM QUIEVIT. BENE ME-
RENTES CVM
LACRIMIS. ET. METV. POSVERVNT. I. D. VI.

In the time of the Emperor Adrian, Marius, a young military officer who had lived long enough, when with blood he gave up his life for Christ. At length he rested in peace. The well-deserving set up this with tears and in fear, on the 6th before the ides. A.D. 130.

ALEXANDER MORTVVS NON EST SED VIVIT SVPER
 ASTRA ET CORPVS
 IN HOC TVMVLO QVIESCIT VITAM EXPLEVIT SVB
 ANTONINO IMP^o
 QVIVBI MVLTVM BENE FITII ANTEVENIRE PRAEVI-
 DERET PROGRATIA
 ODIVM REDDIDIT GENVA ENIM FLECTENS VERO DEO
 SACRIFICATVRVS
 AD SVPLICA DVCITVRO TEMPORA INFAVSTA QVI-
 BVS INTER SACRA
 ET VOTA NE IN CAVERNIS QVIDEM SALVARI POSSI-
 MVS QVID MISERIVS
 VITA SED QVID MISERIVS IN MORTE CVM AB AMICIS
 ET PARENTIBVS
 SEPELIRI NEQVEANT TANDEM IN COELO CORVSCANT
 PARVM VIXIT QVI
 VIXIT IV. X. TEM.

In Christ. Alexander is not dead, but lives beyond the stars, and his body rests in this tomb. He lived under the Emperor Antoninus, who, foreseeing that great benefit would result from his services, returned evil for good. For, while on his knees, and about to sacrifice to the true God, he was led away to execution. O, sad times! in which sacred rites and prayers, even in caverns, afford no protection to us. What can be more wretched than such a life? and what than such a death? when they could not be buried by their friends and relations.—At length they sparkle in heaven. He has scarcely lived who has lived in Christian times. A.D. 160.

From the cemetery of St. Callisto.

AVRELIA DULCISSIMA FILIA QVAE
 DE. SAECVLO RECESSIT VIXIT ANN. XV. M. IIII.
 SEVERO ET QVINTIN COSS.

Aurelia; our sweetest daughter, who departed from the world. She lived fifteen years and four months. Severus and Quintinus being consuls. A.D. 325.

ΚΩΣΟΝΛΕ ΚΛΥΔΕΙΩ ΕΔ ΠΑΤΕΡΝΩ
 ΝΩΝΕΙC ΝΟΒΕΝΒΡΕΙΒΟΥC ΔΕΙΕ
 ΒΕΝΕΡΕC ΛΟΥΝΑ ΧΧΙΙΙ ΛΕΥΚΕC
 ΦΕΛΕΙΕ CΕΒΗΡΕ ΚΑΡΕCCEΜΕ
 ΠΟC°ΥΕΤΕ ΕΔ ΕΙCΠΕΙΡΕΙΤΩ CΑΝΚΤΩ
 ΤΟΥΩ ΜΟΡΤΟΒΑ ΑΝΝΟΝΩΡΩΜ ΛV
 ΕΔ ΜΗCΩΡΩΝ ΧΙ ΔΕΥΡΩΝ Χ.

Consule Claudio et Paterno, nonis Novembribus, die Veneris, luna XXIV, Leuces filiæ Severæ carissimæ posuit et spiritui sancto tuo. Mortua annorum LV et mensium XI dierum X.

In the consulship of Claudius and Paternus, on the nones of November, on Friday, the 24th day of the moon, Leuce erected (this memorial) to her very dear daughter, and to thy holy spirit. She (died at the age) of fifty-five years, and eleven months, (and) ten days. A.D. 269.

D. M.

P. LIBERIO VICXIT
 ANN N. V MENSES N. III
 DIES N. VIII R. ANICIO
 FAVSTO ET VIRIO GALLO
 COSS

Publius Liberio lived five years, three months, and eight days. He retired (from this world) in the consulship of Anicius Faustus and Virius Gallus. A.D. 298.

B. M.

CVBICVLVM. AVRELIAE. MARTINAE. CASTISSIMAE
 ADQVE. PVDI.
 CISSIMAE FEMINAE QVE FECIT. IN. COIVGIO. ANN.
 XXIII. D. XIII.
 BENE MERENTI. QVE VIXIT. ANN. XL. M. XI. D. XIII.
 DEPOSITIO EIS
 DIE. III. NONAS. OCT. NEPOTIANO. ET FACVNDI.
 CONNS. IN PACE.

To the well deserving.

The chamber of Aurelia Martina, my wife, most chaste and modest, who lived in wedlock twenty-three years and fourteen days. To the well-deserving one, who lived forty years, eleven months, and thirteen days. Her burial was on the third nones of October. Nepotianus and Facundus being consuls. In peace. A.D. 336.

Galleria Lapidaria. Vatican.

ΕΝΘΑΔΕ ΚΕΙΤΕ
ΕΥΤΕΡΠΗ Η ΤΩΝ
ΜΟΥΣΩΝ ΣΥΝΤΡΟΦΟΣ
ΒΙΩΣΑσα ΑΠΛΩς ΚΑΙ
ΟΣΕΙΩς ΚΑΙ ΑΜΕΜ
ΠΤΩς ΕΠΙ ΕΤ ΙΕ
ΕΙΜ ΚΒ ΜΗΝ Γ
ΕΤΕΛΕΥΤΗ ΠΡΟ Ε ΚΑΛ
ΔΕΚΕΜΒ ΥΠΑΤΙΑ
ΤΩΝ ΚΥ ΤΟ Ι ΚΑΙ ΤΟ Γ

Ενθαδε κείτε (κειται) Ευτερπη ἡ τῶν Μουσῶν συντροφος βιωσασα ἀπλως και οσειως (οσιως) και αμεμπτως επι ετη ιε ημερας κβ, μηνas γ. Ετελευτησεν τη προ ε καλανδων Δεκεμβριων ὑπατια των κυριων το ι και το γ.

Here lies Euterpe, the companion of the Muses, having lived simply and piously, and irreproachably for fifteen years, twenty-two days, and three months. She died on the fifth day before the calends of December, in the consulship of our lords, for the tenth time, and for the third time (*i.e.* in the Consulship of Constantine, for the tenth time, and Julian for the third time). A.D. 360.

ROMANO. NEOFITO
BENEMERENTI QVI VI
XIT. ANNOS. VIII. DXV.
REQVIESCIT IN PACE DN
FL. GRATIANO. AVG. II. ET.
PETRONIO PROBO. CS.

To Romanus, the neophyte, the well-deserving, who lived eight years, fifteen days. He rests in the peace of the Lord. Flavius and Gratianus and Petronius Probus being consuls. A.D. 1371.

HIC QVIESCIT ANCILLA DEI QVE DE
SVA OMNIO POSSIDIT DOMVM ISTA
QVEM AMICE DEFLEN SOLACIVMQ REQVIRVNT.
PRO HVNC VNVM ORA SVBOLEM QVEM SVPERIS.
TITEM REQVISTI ETERNA REQVIEM FELICITA.
S. CAVSA MANBIS IIIIX. KHELENDAS OTOBRIS
CVCVRBITINVS ET ABVMDANTIVS HIC SIMVL QVIESCIT
DD. NN. GRATIANO V. ET TEODOSIO. AAGG.

Thus read by M. de Rossi :

Hic quiescit ancilla Dei, quæ de suis omnibus possidet domum istam, quam amicæ deflent solaciumque requirunt. Pro hac una ora subole, quam superstitem reliquisti. Æterna in requie felicitatis causa manebis, XIV. kalendis Octobris, Cucurbitinus et Abumduantius hic simul quiescunt. DDNN Gratiano v et Theodosio Augustis (Consulibus).

Here rests a handmaid of God, who out of all her riches now possesses but this one house, whom her friends bewail, and seek in vain for consolation. Oh pray for this one remaining daughter, whom thou hast left behind! Thou wilt remain in the eternal repose of happiness. On the 14 of the Calends of October. Curcurbitinus and Abumduantius rest here together. In the consulship of our Lords Gratian (V.) and Theodosius Emperors. A.D. 380.

HIC POSITA EST ANIMA DVLCES
INNOCA SAPIENS ET PVLCHRA NOMINE
QUIRIACE QVE VIXIT. ANNOS. III. M III. DVIII.
DP IN PACE III. ID. IAN. CONSS. DN. TEVDOSIO.
AVG. II ET MEROBAVDE. VC. III.

Hic posita est anima dulces (dulcis) innoca (innocua), sapiens et pulchra, nomine Quiriace, quæ vixit annos III., menses III., dies VIII. Deposita in pace, IV. Idus Januarias, Consulibus Domino nostro Teudosio (Theodoric) Augusto II. et Merobaude, Viro Clarissimo III.

Here has been laid a sweet spirit, guileless, wise and beautiful, by name Quiriace, who lived three years, three months, and eight days. Buried in peace, in the fourth day before the Ides of January, in the consulship of our Lord Theodorus Augustus, for the second time, and Merobaudes a most distinguished man, for the third time. A.D. 388.

PERPETVAM SEDEM NVTRITOR POSSIDES IPSE
 HIC MERITVS FINEM MAGNIS DEFVNCTE PERICLIS
 HIC REQVIEM FELIX SVMIS COGENTIBVS ANNIS
 HIC POSITVS PAPAS ANTIMIOO VIXIT ANNIS LXX
 DEPOSITVS DOMINO NOSTRO ARCADIO II ET FL.
 RVFINO VVCCSS NONAS NOBEMB.

You, our nursing father, occupy a perpetual seat, being dead, and deserving an end of your great dangers. Here happy, you find rest, bowed down with years. Here lies the tutor Antimio, who lived seventy years. Buried on the nones of November; our Lords Arcadius for the second time, and Flavius Rufinus being consuls. A.D. 392.

Galleria Lapidaria.

HIC REQVIESCET IN SOMNO PACIS
 MALA QVI VIXIT ANNOS XXXVIII. M. V. DV.
 ACCEPTA APVT DE IV. IDVS IVNIAS AETIO CONL.

Hic requiescet (requiescit) in somno pacis, Mala qui (quæ) vixit annos XXXVIII. menses V. dies V. Accepta apud (apud) De(um) IV idus Junias. Aetio Consule.

Here rests in the sleep of peace Mala, who lived 38 years, 5 months, 5 days. Received before God, on the fourth day before the Ides of June, in the consulship of Aetius. A.D. 432.

LEVITAE CONIVNX PETRONIA FORMA PVDORIS
 HIS MEA DEPONENS SEDIBVS OSSA LOCO
 PARCITE VOS LACRIMIS DVLCE CVM CONIVGE NATAE
 VIVENTEMQVE DEO CREDITE FLERE NEFAS
 DP IN PACE III NON OCTOBRIS FESTO VC. CONSS.

Petronia, a priest's wife, the type of modesty. In this place I lay my bones; spare your tears, dear husband and daughters, and


believe that it is forbidden to weep for one who lives in God.
Buried in peace on the 3rd nones of October, in the consulate of
Festus. A.D. 472.

IRENE IN PACE.

Irene sleeps in peace.

ARETVSA IN DEO.

Aretusa sleeps in God.



Valeria sleeps in peace.

ZOTICVS HIC AD DORMIENDVM.

Zoticus laid here to sleep.

DOMITIANVS ANIMA SIMPLEX
DORMIT IN PACE.

Domitianus, a simple soul, sleeps in peace.

NICEFORVS ANIMA
DVLCIS IN REFRIGERIO.

Nicephorus, a sweet soul, in a place of refreshment.

IN PACE
AVRELIO. FELICI QVI BIXIT CVM COIVCE
ANNOS X. VIII DVLCIS. IN COIVGIO
BONE MEMORIE BIXIT. ANNOS. L. V
BAPTVS ETERNE DOMVS. XII KAL. IENVARIAS

In peace

To Aurelius Felix, who lived with his wife eighteen years in sweetest wedlock. Of good memory. He lived fifty-five years. Snatched away eternally on the twelfth kalend of January.

PRIMITIVS IN PACE QVI POST
MVLTA. ANGVSTIAS FORTISSIMVS MARTYR
ET. VIXIT. ANNOS P.M. XXXVIII CONIVG. SVO
PERDVLCISSIMO BENEMERENTI FECIT.

Primitius in peace: a most valiant martyr after many torments. Aged 38. His wife raised this to her dearest well-deserving husband.

LANNVS XPI. MARTIR HIC REQVIESCIT.
SVB DIOCLIZIANO PASSVS.

Lannus, a martyr of Christ, rests here. He suffered under Diocletian.

NABIRA IN PACE ANIMA DVLCIS
QVI BIXIT ANNOS XVI. M.V
ANIMA MELEIEA
TITVLV FACTV
A PARENTES.

Navira in peace; a sweet soul who lived 16 years and 5 months; a soul sweet as honey: this epitaph was made by her parents.

SEVERO FILIO DVL
CISSIMO LAVRENTIVS
PATER BENEMERENTI QVI BI
XIT ANN. IIII. ME. VIII. DIES. V.
ACCERSITVS AB ANGELIS VII. IDVS. IANVA.

Laurence to his sweetest son Severus, borne away by angels on the 7th ides of January.

MACVS PVER INNOCENS
ESSE IAMINTER INNOCENTIS COEPISTI.
QVAM STAVILES TIVI HAEC VITA EST
QVAM TELETVM EXCIP ET MATER ECLESIAE DEO
MVNDO REVERTENTEM COMPREMATVR PECTORVM.
GEMITVS STRVATVR FLETVS OCVLORVM.

Macus (or Marcus) an innocent boy. You have already begun to be among the innocent ones. How enduring is such a life to you! How gladly will your mother, the church of God, receive you, returning to this world! Let us restrain our sighs and cease from weeping.

Galleria Lapidaria.


PAX

HIC MIHI SEMPER DOLOR ERIT IN AEVO
 ET TVVM BENERABILEM BVLTVM LICEAT VIDERE
 SOPORE
 CONIVNX ALBANAQVE MIHI SEMPER CASTA PVDICA
 RELICTVM ME TVO GREMIO QVEROR.
 QVOD MIHI SANCTVM TE DEDERAT DIVINITVS AVTOR
 RELICTIS TVIS IACES IN PACE SOPORE
 MERITA RESVRGIS TEMPORALIS TIBI DATA REQVETIO
 QVE VIXIT ANNIS XLV. MENV. DIES XIII
 DEPOSITA IN PACE FECIT PLACVS MARITVS

Peace.

This grief will always weigh upon me: may it be granted me to behold in sleep your revered countenance. My wife Albana, always chaste and modest, I grieve, deprived of your support, for our Divine Author gave you to me as a sacred (boon). You, well-deserving one, having left your (relations), lie in peace—in sleep—you will arise—a temporary rest is granted you. She lived 45 years, 5 months, and 13 days. Buried in peace. Placus her husband, made this.

Galleria Lapidaria.



APPENDIX.

TABLE OF EGYPTIAN CHRONOLOGY.

Dynasty.	Wilkinson.	Mariette.	Lepsius.	Events.
I.—THINITE	Seat—This.
MENES	2700	5004	3893	Founded the temple of Pthah at Memphis.
OURENPHES	Builder of the Pyramid of Sakkara.
II.—THINITE.				
BOETHOS.				
KHÆEKHOS	4751	..	Introduction of the worship of the bull. Apis at Memphis, Mnevis at Heliopolis.
BINOTHRIS.				
SETHNES, SENT				
NEPHERCHÆRES.				
III.—MEMPHITE.	Seat—Memphis.
	..	4449	3640	Building of the pyramids of Sakkara and Dashour (Lepsius).
IV.—MEMPHITE.				
SENEFRU (SORIS)*	Builder of the Pyramid of Meydoun.
KHUFU.†				
KNUM { SUPHIS } . . .	2450	4235	3426	Builder of the great Pyramid.
KHUFU { CHEOPS }				
SHAFRA (SUPHIS II.)				
KEPHREN	Builder of the second Pyramid.
MENKARE (MYCERINUS).	Builder of the third Pyramid.
V.—ELEPHANTINE.	..	3951	..	Seat—Elephantine.
VI.—MEMPHITE.				
PAPI { PHIOPS } . . .	2240	3703	..	Was the first who added a royal prænomen to his phonetic nomen, calling himself Mairè-Papi. The Mæris of the Greeks, according to Bunsen.
NOFF-KE-RE.				
NEIT - A - KER - NITOCRIS (QUEEN).				Tombs of this period at Beni-Mohammed el Khofoor.
VII.—MEMPHITE.		3500		
VIII.—MEMPHITE.		3500		
IX.—HERACLEOPITAN.	..	3358	..	Seat—Heracleopolis.
X.—HERACLEOPITAN.		3244		

* Placed by Lepsius in the 3rd Dynasty.

† Dr. Birch regards KHUFU and KNUM-KHUFU as two names of the same monarch, which opinion has been confirmed by the new tablet of Abydos, found by Mr. Dümichen, and by the tablet of Sakkara, discovered by Mariette. SHAAFRA he considers to be the SUPHIS II. of Manetho.

Dynasty.	Wilkinson.	Mariette.	Lepsius.	Events.
XI.—THEBAN.	Seat—Thebes.
ANTEF.				
ANTEFAA	2031	3064	2330	Tomb in the valley of Assasif of Thebes.
XII.—THEBAN.				
AMENEMHA I.				
OSIRTASEN I.	2020	3064	2120	Commenced the temple of Karnak. The Sesonchosis of Manetho. Built the original sanctuary of the temple of Amen-Ra at Karnak. Erected the obelisk, and built the temple of the Sun (Ra) at Heliopolis. Tombs of his age at Beni-Hassan.
AMENEMHA II.				
OSIRTASEN II.				
OSIRTASEN III.				
AMENEMHA III.	The Mæris of the Labyrinth and of the Lake (Wilkinson).
AMENEMHA IV.				
SEBEKNOFR.				
XIII.—THEBAN. .	..	2851		
XIV.—XOITE. .	..	2398		
XV. { THE HYKSOS				
XVI. { OR				
XVII. { SHEPHERD	..	2214	..	Arrival of Joseph in Egypt in the reign of Apepi II.
KINGS. }				
XVIII.—THEBAN.	Seat—Thebes.
AAHMES OF AMOSIS . .	1520	1703	..	The Shepherds driven out by Amosis, who assumes the title of Lord of Upper and Lower Egypt. Repaired the temple of Karnak.
AMUNOPH I.	1498	Added some new chambers to the great temple of Karnak. Crude brick arches used in Egypt. The sandstone quarries of Silsilis begun to be generally used for building.
THOTMES I.	1478	Made additions to the great temple of Karnak, and erected two obelisks, one still standing. The granite quarries of Syene used for obelisks and statues.
THOTMES II.	1464			
HASHEPS OF HATASU .	1464	A queen who reigned with her brothers, Thotmes II. and III. She erected the great obelisks at Karnak.
THOTMES III.	1463	Erected numerous buildings in Thebes, and throughout Egypt, built the sanctuary of the temple of Amun, at Karnak; made great additions to Karnak, and built the chamber "of the kings," and a small temple to I'thah. Monuments at Memphis, Heliopolis, Coptos, Ombos, bear his

Dynasty.	Wilkinson.	Mariette.	Lepsius.	Events.
				name. The obelisks of Alexandria, Constantinople, and St. John Lateran, bear his name. The Speos Artemidos begun. A great conqueror. Reigned 47 years.
AMUNOPH II.	1414	Added the small edifice attached to the first area of the temple of Karnak.
THOTMES IV.. . . .	1410	The great Sphinx at the pyramid bears his name. His name is on the obelisk of St. John Lateran.
AMUNOPH III.	1403	Added to the great temple of Karnak, built the principal part of that of Amun Ra, at Luxor. Built also that of Khum, at Elephantine. Erected the two sitting colossi at Thebes, one of which has been known as the "vocal Memnon." The temple of Eileithyia (El Kab) bears his name. The name of his queen, Taia, was usually introduced with his own in his records.
				Scarabæi used as records. Great progress in the arts of sculpture and painting.
AMUNOPH IV. KHUENATEN.	He introduced sun worship. Tel-el-Amarna his capital. Tombs of this period at Tel-el-Amarna.
HORUS	1337	Built the fourth gateway of the great temple of Amun, at Karnak. Restored the worship of Amun.
XIX.—DIOSPOLITES OR THEBANS.				
RAMESES I.	1324	1462	1440	Tomb in valley of the kings.
SETI I. OSIREI-MENEPHTAH.	1322	Built the hypostyle hall of Karnak; commenced Memnonium at Abydos; dedicated Temple of Amun at Koorneh (Thebes). His tomb in the valley of the kings. Sarcophagus in Soane Museum.
RAMESES II.	1311	Rameses the Great, styled Miamun, or Amun-mai. The Sesostris of the Greeks. A great conqueror. Built the Rameseum or palace-temple (called Memnonium) at Thebes. Rock-cut temples of Aboosimbel and Kalabsche. Added to the temples of Luxor and Karnak, and had his victories sculptured on the walls; erected the obelisks at Luxor; completed temple at Koorneh; erected a colossal statue of himself in red granite in the Rameseum, and another of limestone at Memphis. Completed Memnonium and temple of Osiris at Abydos. Tablet of Abydos on one of the walls of the apartment, sculptured by his order; made considerable additions to the

Dynasty.	Wilkinson.	Marlette.	Lepsius.	Events.
				temple of Pthah, at Memphis. Set up a tablet commemorative of his victories on the rocks near Berytus. Augustan age of Egypt. The Pharaoh of the Exodus.
MENEPHTAH I. . . .	1245	
AMENMESES	1237			
MENEPHTAH II.				
SETI II. MENEPHTAH. .				
XX.—DIOSPOLITES.				
SIPHTAH, SETHI, OSIREI II.	1232	1288	..	Added avenue of Sphinxes to the great temple of Karnak.
SETNEKT, SETHI, OSIREI III.	1232	..	1270	
RAMESSES III.	1219	{1300} {Birch}	..	Called also Miamun and Amumai. Built temple at Medeenet Haboo. Known to the Greeks as Rampsinitus. His campaigns sculptured on its walls. A great conqueror. Art beginning to evince decline.
RAMESSES IV.	1189	{1240} {Birch}	..	These four were sons of Rameses III., Tombs in the Biban-el-Molook.
RAMESSES V.	1185			
RAMESSES VI.	1180			
RAMESSES VII.	1176			
RAMESSES VIII.	1171	Made additions to the temple of Karnak.
RAMESSES IX.	1161	Tombs of the ancient kings broken into.
RAMESSES X.	1142			
RAMESSES XI.	1138	Decline of Thebes.
RAMESSES XII.	Tablet of his to the God Khonsu, at Karnak.
RAMESSES XIII. HERHOR.				
XXI.—TANITE.				
SMEDES	1085	1110		Seat—Tanis.
AMUNSE-PEHOR	1019			
PIONK	1013	High priests, according to Sir G. Wilkinson.
PISHAM	1004			
XXII.—BUBASTITE.				
SHESHONK	990	980	..	Seat—Bubastis.
OSORKON	968	The Shishak of Scripture, and the Sesonchosis of the Greeks, the contemporary of Solomon. The first king of Lower Egypt. Rise of Bubastis. Took Jerusalem; and recorded his campaigns on the outside of the great temple of Karnak. Adorned the temple of Pasht at Bubastis.
TIKLAT I.	953			
OSORKON II.	952			
SHESHONK II.	929			
TIKLAT II.	914			
OSORKON III.	899			

Dynasty.	Wilkinson.	Mariette.	Lepsius.	Events.
SHESHONK III. . . .	872			
PISHAI	818			
SHESHONK IV. . . .	815			
XXIII.—TANITE.	Seat—Tanis.
PETUBASTES	777	810	..	Egypt falls into the power of the Ethiopians. Memphis and Heliopolis taken by Pianki, king of Ethiopia.
OSORCHON. PSAENMUT.				
XXIV.—SAITE.	Seat—Sais.
BOCCHORIS	737	721	..	Called "The Wise." Sole king of this dynasty. Burnt alive by Sabaco.
XXV.—ETHIOPIAN.				
SABACO, or SHEBEK I. .	714	715	..	So or Sava of Scripture. Contemporary of Sargon, king of Assyria.
SABACO, or SHEBEK II.	702	The Sebichos of the Greek list.
TEHRAK	690	The Tirhaka of Scripture, and the contemporary of Hezekiah, added court to the temple of Medeenet Habou, Thebes. Egypt annexed to Assyria by Esarhaddon, and divided into twenty nomes or districts.
RUTAMEN	Thebes taken by Assurbanipal, king of Assyria, and two granite obelisks of the temple of Amen thrown down.
XXVI.—SAITE.	Seat—Sais.
PSAMATIK (PSAMMETICHUS I.)	664	665	..	Accepted the services of the Greeks; made additions to the temples in Thebes, and to the temple of Pthah at Memphis. Rise of Sais. Revival of Egyptian art. Assyrian garrisons expelled from Egypt.
NECO	610	Africa circumnavigated by his orders.
PSAMMETICHUS II. . .	594	Made additions to the temple at Karnak.
APRIES	588	The Hophra of Scripture. The school of Heliopolis flourished. New gods found in the sculptures.
AMASIS (AMES) . . .	569	His name was the same as that of the first king of the 18th dynasty (called by way of distinction, Amosis); made several additions to the Temple of Neith, at Sais; monolith naos brought from Elephantine to Sais; erected temple to Isis at Memphis. Solon, Thales, Pythagoras visited Egypt. Port of Manocrates opened to the commerce of Greece.
PSAMMETICHUS III. . .	525	His short reign of six months was cut short by the Persian conquest, B.C. 525.
XXVII.—PERSIAN.				
CAMBYSES	525	527	..	Egypt conquered by Cambyses. The monuments of Egypt injured and destroyed by the Persians.

Dynasty.	Wilkinson.	Marlette.	Lepsius.	Events.
DARIUS HYSTASPES	519	The Persians expelled from Egypt. In the second year of Xerxes, the Egyptians were again reduced to subjection, and Artabanus, his brother, made governor.
XERXES	483	
ARTABANUS	462			The Egyptians again revolted, and are assisted by the Greeks.
ARTAXERXES	462	
XERXES II.	421			In his tenth year, the Egyptians revolted again, and succeeded in freeing their country from the Persians; Amyrtæus became king.
SOGDIANUS.	421			
DARIUS NOTHUS	420	
XXVIII.—SAITE.				Seat—Sais.
AMYRTÆUS	411	406	..	Reigned six years. Herodotus visited Egypt.
XXIX.—MENDESIAN.				Seat—Mendes.
NEPHERITES	406	399	..	Added to the temples of Thebes.
ACHORIS	399			
PSAMMOUTIS	316			
XXX.—SEBENNYTE.				
NECTANEBO	381	378	..	The Nectabis of Pliny. Dedicated a small chapel to Athor, and built a temple of Isis at Philæ. Renewed the temple of Khonsu at Karnak. Plato visited Egypt. His sarcophagus in the British Museum, formerly supposed to be that of Alexander. The two obelisks of basalt in the British Museum belong to his reign.
TEOS, or TACHOS	363			Defeated by the Persians. The last of the Pharaohs.
NECTANEBO II.	361	
XXXI.—PERSIAN.				
OCHUS	342	340		Conquest of Egypt by Alexander, B.C. 332.
ARSES	341			
DARIUS	338	
MACEDONIAN.				
PHILIP ARIDÆUS	323	Alexandria founded B.C. 323. The sanctuary at Karnak rebuilt.
ALEXANDER (Son of Alexander the Great).	
PTOLEMIES, or LAGIDÆ.				
LAGUS, or SOTER	305	Married—1. Eurydice; 2. Berenice. Serapeum Library and Museum built at Alexandria.
PHILADELPHUS	284	Mar. Arsinoë. Commenced to rebuild the temple of Isis at Philæ. Pharos of Alexandria built. Berenice founded.

Dynasty.	Wilkinson.	Mariette.	Lepsius.	Events.
EUERGETES	246	Mar. Berenice; erected Pylon of Karnak; founded small temple at E'Dayr, near Esne.
PHILOPATOR	221	Mar. Arsinoë. Temple at Antæopolis (Gow. el. Khebeer) erected.
EPIPHANES	204	Mar. Cleopatra. A decree of the priesthood of Memphis (the Rosetta stone) set up in his honour.
PHILOMETOR	180	Mar. Cleopatra. Temple of Apollinopolis Magna (Ediou) founded. Temple at Ombos founded.
EUERGETES II., or PHYSCON	145	Mar.—1. Cleopatra; 2. Cleopatra Cocce; consecrated small temple to Athor at Philæ. Small temple at Edfoo erected. Greek inscription containing a petition of the priests set up at Philæ.
SOTER II., or LATHYRUS	116	Mar.—1. Cleopatra; 2. Selene. Temple erected at Contra Latopolis. Expelled 106.
ALEXANDER I. . . .	106	With his mother. Mar. Cleopatra. Lathyrus restored, 88. Thebes taken, after three years' siege, and the monuments ruined.
BERENICE	81	Daughter of Lathyrus.
ALEXANDER II. . . .	80	Bequeaths his kingdom to the Romans.
NEUS DIONYSUS, or AULETES	65	Mar. Cleopatra; expelled 58; restored 55. Temple of Ombos completed. Diodorus Siculus visited Egypt.
PTOLEMY, the elder son of AULETES.	51	With Cleopatra, his sister and wife. Temple of Edfoo completed. Temple of Isis at Philæ continued.
PTOLEMY, the younger .	47	Mar. Cleopatra also.
CLEOPATRA	44	Alone, and then with Cæsarion, her son, by J. Cæsar. Built temple at Hermonthis (Erment).
	30	Egypt becomes a Roman province.
	27	Great earthquake at Thebes. Temple of Karnak destroyed.

A.D.	EVENTS.
122	Visit of Hadrian to Egypt; and again A.D. 130.
297	Taking of Alexandria by Diocletian.
325	Council of Nicæa in the reign of Constantine; Athanasius; Arius.
379	Edict of Theodosius; destruction of the temple of Serapis.
622	Conquest of Egypt by Amrou.

TABLE OF GREEK AND ROMAN ARTISTS.

The following list and dates of eminent artists of Ancient Greece and Italy has been taken from Julius Sillig's Dictionary of the Artists of Antiquity.

Olymp.	B.C.	Names of Artists, &c.	Contemporary Events.
		<p>Dædalus of Athens. Smilis of Ægina. Eucheir I. discovers the art of painting. Dibutades of Corinth, and his daughter Core, first make plaster-casts. Philocles the Egyptian, or Cleanthes the Corinthian, invent painting in outline. Their contemporaries are Arego, Crato of Sicyon, and Saurias of Samos. Ardices the Corinthian, and Telephanes I. the Sicyonian, exercise the art of painting.</p> <p>(The precise dates of the above facts are uncertain.)</p>	<p>Iphitus of Elis and Cleostrates, of Pisa re-establish the Olympian games.</p>
I.	776	About this period flourished Chersiphro of Cnossus, the architect, and Telecles and Theodorus I., sons of Rhoecus. In a rather later period Metagenes I., son of Chersiphro, Pæonius I. of Ephesus, and Learchus of Rhegium.	Corcebus of Elis is victorious in running. The era of the Olympiads begins.
VI. 3.	753	Rome built.
XVIII.	708	Shortly before this time Bularchus, the painter, appeared in Asia.	Pentathlon and wrestling introduced at the Olympic games.
XXV.	680	Glaucus I. invents the soldering iron.	Chariot races established at Olympia.
XXIX.	664	Eucheir II. and Eugrammus, Corinthian modellers, exercise their art in Italy.	
XXX.	660	Cleophrantus, the Corinthian, flourishes.	
XXXV.	640	Malas of Chios appears as a sculptor.	
XLII.	612	Micciades, the Chian, practises sculpture.	Age of Solon.
XLVIII.	588	Mnesarchus, the Etrurian, the father of Pythagoras, becomes eminent as an engraver of precious stones.	
L.	580	Dipænus and Scyllis, natives of Crete, attain great eminence in sculpturing marble. About this period flourished also Anthernus or Archeneus of Chios, Byres of Naxos, and Endoëus the Athenian.	
LIII.		Polycrates, tyrant of Samos.
LIV.	564	Aristocles, the Cydonian, flourishes.	
LV.	560	Perillus, probably of Agrigentum, flourishes.	Pisistratus usurps sovereign power at Athens.

Olymp.	B.C.	Names of Artists, &c.	Contemporary Events.
LVIII.	548	Tectæus and Angello make the statue of the Delian Apollo. About this time flourished also Bupalus and Anthenis of Chios, and Theocles the Laconian, sculptors; Dontas, Doryclidas, and Medo, all of Laconia, statuaries; and Theodorus II., the Samian, an engraver.	
LIX.	544	Syadras and Chartas, Lacedæmonian statuaries, flourish probably about this period.	
LX.	540	Bathycles the Magnesian, a statuary, and Spintharus, an architect of Corinth, flourish. About this time Antistates, Callæschrus, Antimachides, and Porinus, architects, lay the foundation of the Temple of Jupiter Olympius at Athens.	
LXI.	536	Cleetas, of Sicyon, the statuary ..	Thespis begins to have his plays exhibited.
LXII.	532	Demeas I. of Crotona, statuary, flourishes.	
LXV.	520	Ageladas of Argos, statuary, makes a statue of Anochus, a victor in the Olympic games.	
LXVI.	516	Ageladas makes a chariot in honour of the victory of Cleosthenes at Olympia, and about the same period ennobles a victory obtained by Timasitheus. Callo I. of Ægina, Chrysothemis and Eutelidas of Argos, and Gitiadas the Lacedæmonian, flourish as statuaries.	
LXVII. 3.	510	Pisistratidæ expelled from Athens. Phrynichus obtains his first prize as a dramatic poet.
LXVIII.	508	Amphicrates, the statuary, makes the figure of a lioness. Antenor makes statues of Harmodius and Aristogeiton. Aristocles II. and his brother Canachus I., both of Sicyon, flourish as statuaries. This was the age also of Clearchus of Rhegium.	
LXX.	500	Hegesias and Hegias of Athens, Menæchmus and Soidas of Naupactus, Telephanes II. of Phocis, and Arce-silaus I. flourish as statuaries. Aglaopho I. of Thasos, father of POLY-EXOTUS and Aristopho, exercises the art of painting. Sillax of Rhegium, the painter, flourishes.	Æschylus produces his first tragedies.
LXXI. 4.	493	Demophilus I. and Gorgasus practise the arts of painting and making plaster-casts at Rome.	
LXXII.	492	Stomius, statuary, flourishes.	

Olymp.	B.C.	Names of Artists, &c.	Contemporary Events.
LXXII. 3.	490	Battle of Marathon.
LXXIII.	488	Glaucias of Ægina, statuary, flourishes. Pythagoras I. of Rhegium, begins to exercise the art of statuary. About this time PHEIDIAS is born.	
LXXIV.	484	Ascarus, the Theban, forms for the Thessalians a statue of Jupiter out of the spoils of the Phocians. Amyclæus, Dilylus, and Chionis prepare several statues out of the spoils taken from the Thessalians by the Phocians, which are dedicated by the latter at Delphi. Aristomedeo is also engaged in this undertaking.	Epicharmus flourishes.
LXXV.	480	Synnoot of Ægina, statuary, flourishes. Aristomedes and Socrates, two Theban statuarys, flourish. Critias Neslota makes statues of Harmodius and Aristogeiton, which are almost immediately erected.	Battles of Thermopylæ and Salamis.
LXXVI.	476	Anaxagoras of Ægina makes a statue of Jupiter at the request of several states of Greece, which participated in the victory over Xerxes at Salamis. Dionysius I. and Glaucus of Argos, and Sîmo of Ægina, flourish. Hippodamus, an architect of Miletus, fortifies the Peiræus at Athens.	Æschylus produces his <i>Perseæ</i> and obtains a prize.
LXXVII.		Sophocles produces his first tragedy.
LXXVIII.	468	Onatas of Ægina and Calamis make a chariot in honour of Hiero, lately deceased, which is afterwards dedicated at Olympia. Their contemporaries are Ageladas of Argos, Hegias of Athens, Calliteles, Calythus, Hippias, Sophroniscus, and Pasiteles I. Ageladas and Hippias here mentioned were instructors of Pheidias.	
LXXIX.	464	Demophilus II. of Himera, and Neseas of Thasos, flourish as painters.	Pericles appears as a public character.
LXXX.	460	Sostratus I., statuary; Mico I. of Athens, statuary and painter; and probably Olympus, statuary, flourish. To this period likewise belong POLYGNORUS and Aristopho, painters of Thasos, and Dionysius of Colopho, a painter and probably Cimo of Cleonæ, together with Arcesilaus II. and Nicanor of Paros, who practised the same art.	
LXXXI.	456	Ptolichus of Corcyra, statuary, flourishes. Soon after this year Ageladas II., of Argos, prepares a statue of Jupiter for the Messenians occupying Naupactus.	Death of Æschylus. Euripides appears as a tragic poet.

Olymp.	B.C.	Names of Artists, &c.	Contemporary Events.
LXXXII.	452	Acestor of Cnossus, and Ptolichus of Ægina, flourish as statuaries; Scymnus as a statuary and engraver, and Eucadmus as a sculptor.	
— 2.	451	Decemviri first created at Rome.
		PHEIDIAS, of Athens, attains great eminence.	
LXXXIII.	448	Alcamenes, an Athenian, and Agoracritus the Parian, both pupils of PHEIDIAS, flourish as statuaries and sculptors. In this period likewise Critias Nesiota is still living, and the following artists are engaged in their several professions: Cydo and Diodotus as statuaries; Xenocles the Athenian, a statuary; Pauænus the Athenian, cousin of PHEIDIAS by the father's side; Plistænetus, the brother of PHEIDIAS, and Timagoras of Chalcis — painters.	
LXXXIV.	444	Libo, the Elæan, builds the temple of Zeus Olympius. Mys, the engraver, flourishes.	Herodotus completes his history at Thurii.
LXXXV. 3.	438	PHEIDIAS dedicates his statue of <i>Athene</i> , made of ivory and gold, in the <i>Parthenon</i> . The Vestibule of the Acropolis commenced.	
LXXXV. 4.	437	PHEIDIAS commences his statue of Zeus Olympius, with the assistance of Colotes of Paros. About this time flourish Ictinus, Callicrates, Metagenes II. of Athens, Stypax of Cyprus, architects, and, probably, Carpio.	
LXXXVI.	436	Corobus and Mnesicles, architects; Ctesilaus, a statuary, and probably Demetrius III., a statuary, flourish. This appears to have been the period when Socrates, the philosopher, bestowed attention on sculpture.	
— 4.	433	PHEIDIAS dedicates his statue of Zeus Olympius.	
LXXXVII.	432	PHEIDIAS dies.	Euripides produces his <i>Medea</i> .
		Myro of Eleuthera, and POLYCLERUS I., of Argos, attain great eminence as statuaries. About this time flourished also the following statuaries: Calo I., of Elis, Gorgias of Laconia, Phradmo of Argos, Scopas of Elis, and Theocostmus of Megara.	Commencement of the Peloponnesian war.
— 3.	430	Calamis makes his statue of Apollo, the Averter of evil.	Pericles dies.
LXXXVIII.	428	Amphio of Cnossus, statuary, and Pæonius II., of Mende in Thrace, statuary and sculptor, flourish.	

Olymp.	B.C.	Names of Artists, &c.	Contemporary Events.
LXXXIX.	424	Sostratus of Rhegium flourished as a statuary.	Aristophanes produces his <i>Nubes</i> .
XC.	420	POLYCLETUS I., of Argos, makes his statue of Hera. Apellas, Dionysiodorus, Niceratus of Athens, Nicodamus of Mænalus, Pericletus and Sostratus of Chios, flourish as statuaries. Praxias and Androthenes, two Athenian sculptors, decorate with their productions the temple at Delphi. Cleisthenes, the architect, flourishes. Eupalamus, the Argive, rebuilds the <i>Heraeum</i> near Mycenæ. To this period we should in all probability refer Callimachus <i>καταμήτις</i> .	
XCI.	416	Expedition of the Athenians against Sicily. Alcibiades eminent as a statesman.
XCII.	412	Lycius, the son of Myro, flourishes as a statuary. To this period we should probably refer Thericles.	
XCIII.	408	Phryno, the statuary, flourishes.	Euripides dies.
XCIV.	404	Antiphanes of Argos and Aristander of Paros, flourish as statuaries. A large group of statues is dedicated at Delphi by the Lacedæmonians, in commemoration of their victory at Ægospotamos, made by the following artists: Alypus, Patrocles I., and Canachus II. of Sicyon, Demeas II. of Clitor, Piso of Calaura, Samolas of Arcadia, Theocosmus of Megara, and Pisander. Alcamenes makes statues of Athene and Heracles, which are dedicated in acknowledgment of the overthrow of the Thirty Tyrants.	Sophocles dies.
XCV. 3.	398	Aristocles IV. flourishes as a sculptor	
XCV. 1.	Socrates put to death.
XCV. 4.	397	ZEUXIS of Heraclea, the distinguished painter, flourishes. To this period we must refer, also, Androcydus of Cyzicus, and Eupompus of Sicyon, painters; Naucydes the Argive, brother and instructor of Polycletus II., who was also engaged as an artist about this time; Dinomenes, Callicles of Megara, and Dædalus II. of Sicyon, all statuaries.	
XCVI.	396	PARRHASIUS of Ephesus, Timanthes of Sicyon, Pauso, and Colotes II., flourish as painters, Pantias of Chios, a statuary, flourishes.	Vell taken by the Romans.
XCVII.	392	SCOPAS, the celebrated Parian sculptor, builds the temple of Pallas at Tegea. Aristodemus I., a painter, flourishes.	

Olymp.	B.C.	Names of Artists, &c.	Contemporary Events.
XCVII. 3.	390	The Gauls take and burn the city of Rome.
XCVIII.	388	To this period belong Ctesidemus, the painter, and the following statuary, all of whom were pupils of POLYCLETUS I.: Alexis, Asopodorus, Aristides, Phryno, Dino, Athenodorus, and Demeas II.	
C.	380	Polycletus II. of Argos, Cleo I. of Sicyon, Democritus I. of Sicyon, flourish as statuary, and Pamphilus I. of Amphipolis, and Euxenidas, as painters.	Plato and Xenophon flourish.
CII.	372	The following statuary flourish: Aristogito of Thebes, Cephisodotus I. of Athens, Dædalus II. of Sicyon, Hypatodorus, Pausanias I. of Apollonia, Polycles I., Xenophon the Athenian, Callistonicus the Theban, and probably Olympiosthenes and Strongylio. Demophon the Messenian, and Euclidean II., the Athenian, practise sculpture; and Miccio, and Ephorus the Ephesian, the instructor of APOLLES, flourish as painters.	Battle of Leuctra.
— 2.	371	
CIII.	368	LYSIPPUS, the Sicyonian, first appears as an artist.	
CIV.	364	Euphranor, the distinguished statuary and painter, and PRAXITELES, eminent in the arts of statuary and sculpture, flourish. To this period, also, belong Euphronides, and Herodotus the Olynthian statuary, Cydias of Cythnos, and Nicias I., painters. The last of these artists assisted PRAXITELES in the decoration of his statues.	The battle of Mantinea. Philip reigns in Macedon.
— 3.	362	
CV.	360	Nicomachus I., a Theban painter, flourishes.	
CVI.	356	SCOPAS, the Parian, engaged with other artists in building the Temple of Diana at Ephesus. Brietes of Sicyon, the father of Pausias, flourishes as a painter. Pamphilus I., of Amphipolis, is still living.	The sacred war.
— 4.	353	Mausolus, king of Caria, dies.
CVII.	352	APOLLES just appears as a painter. Aristides II. of Thebes, Echio, and Therimachus, all painters, now flourish. The <i>Mausoleum at Halicarnassus</i> , built by Phiteus and Satyrus, is about this time decorated with figures by SCOPAS, PRAXITELES, Leochares, Timotheas, Bryaxis, and Pythis. This was probably the age of the statuary Chæreas.	

Olymp.	B.C.	Names of Artists, &c.	Contemporary Events.
CVII. 4.	349	Olynthian war. Demosthenes delivers his Olynthian orations.
CVIII.	348	Corybas, the painter, flourishes.	
— 4.	345	Timoleon undertakes the expedition to Syracuse.
CIX.	344	Philocharas, the Athenian, appears as a painter.	
CX.	340	Antorides and Leontion flourish as painters. Leochares is still living.	
— 2.	337	Battle of Chæronea.
CXI.	336	Antidotus the pupil of Euphranor, Carmanidas, and Leonides of Anthedon, flourish as painters.	Alexander ascends the throne of Macedonia.
CXII.	332	APELLES flourishes. The painters contemporary with him are, Amphio, Antiphilus the Egyptian, Nicophanes, Asclepiodorus, Theo of Samos, Melanthus, Pausias of Sicyon, Theomnestus, Nicias II. of Athens, and Ctesilochus, the pupil, and perhaps the brother, of APELLES. PYRGOTELES, the engraver on precious stones, flourishes. To this period also belong Philo the statuary, Pamphilus II. the sculptor, and Dinocrates, an architect of Macedonia.	
— 2.	331	The battle of Arbela. Aristotle flourishes.
CXIII.	328	Alcimachus, Aristocles V., and Philoxenus (the last two inhabitants of Eretria), flourish as painters; and Amphistratus as a statuary and sculptor.	
CXIV.	324	LYSIPPUS still living. In this period the subjoined artists flourish; Lysistratus the brother of LYSIPPUS, Apollodorus, Io, Polyeuctus Silanion the Athenian, Sostratus III., and Sthenis the Athenian, statuaries; Glaucio the Corinthian, Gryllo, Ismenias of Chalcia, Aristo and his brother Niceros, both of Thebes, painters; and probably Menestratus II. sculptor.	Alexander dies.
— 3.	322	Demosthenes dies. Menander.
CXV.	320	Dætondas, the Sicyonian, flourishes as a statuary.	
— 3.	318	Demetrius Phalereus governs Athens.
CXVII.	312	Bryaxis still exercises the arts of statuary and sculpture.	Epicurus begins to flourish.
CXVIII.	308	APELLES and Nicias II. the Athenian, still living. Diogenes, Persens, and Aristolaus, son of Pausias, flourish as painters, and Callias of Aradus as an architect. To this period we should also refer Menæchmus the Sicyonian.	Antigonus, Lysimachus, Seleucus, and Ptolemy, assume the name of kings.

Olymp.	B.C.	Names of Artists, &c.	Contemporary Events.
CXIX. 1.	304	Protopogenes of Caunus paints in the island of Rhodes his figure of Ialysus. FABIVS PICTOR decorates with his paintings the Temple of the goddess <i>Salus</i> at Rome. This was probably the age of Praxiteles II. the engraver.	
CXX.	300	Cephisodotus II., a statuary, sculptor, and painter, and Timarchus a statuary, both sons of Praxiteles, now flourish. Daippus, Euthycrates, Eutychides of Sicyon, Phoenix, Pyromachus, and Tisicrates of Sicyon, flourish as statuaries; and Athenio of Maronea and Mechopanes as painters.	The celebrated Alexandrian library collected and arranged.
CXXII.	292	Bodas, son of LYSIPPVS, Chares of Lindus, and Xeuxiades, flourish as statuaries.	
CXXV.	280	Omphalio, a painter, flourishes.	
— 2.	279	The Gauls attack Greece.
CXXVI.	276	Pisto and Xenocrates flourish as statuaries.	
CXXVIII.	268	Cantharus, the Sicyonian, practises the art of statuary; and Mydo of Soli, and Arcesilaus III., probably of Sicyon, that of painting.	The Romans become masters of all Italy.
CXXIX.	264	The Parian marbles engraved.
CXXXIII.	248	Nealces and Arigonus flourish as painters.	
CXXXV.	240	Timanthes II., painter, flourishes.	
CXXXVI.	236	Isigonus, Pyromachus, Stratonicus, and Antigonus, flourish as statuaries, and Leontiscus as a painter.	
CXL.	220	Anaxandra, the daughter of Nealces, practises the art of painting. Ægineta, a modeller, and his brother Pasias, a painter, flourish.	
CXLII.	216	Mico III., of Syracuse, flourishes as a statuary.	
CXLVII.	192	Stadileus, Athenian statuary, flourishes.	
CL.	Library of Pergamos formed.
CLI.	180	Cossutius, Roman architect, flourishes.	
CLIII.	176	Heraclides I., a Macedonian, and Metrodorus, probably an Athenian, flourish as painters.	P. Terentius flourishes.
CLV.	168	Antheus, Polycles II., Callistratus, Calixenus, Pythias, Pythocles, Timocles, and Timarchides, flourish as statuaries and sculptors. To this period we should probably refer Philo of Byzantium.	

Olymp.	B.C.	Names of Artists, &c.	Contemporary Events.
CLVII.	152	Pacuvius, the tragic poet and painter, flourishes.	
CLVIII. 3.	146	Corinth destroyed. Greece subjected to the Romans.
CLXXVI. 3.	74	Arcehilans IV., sculptor, the intimate friend of L. Lucullus, flourishes.	
CLXXIX. 2.	63	Valerius of Ostia flourishes as an architect. The following artists flourished about this period: Pasiteles, statuary, sculptor, and engraver; Timomachus of Byzantium, and Arellius, painters; Cyrus, architect; Posidonius of Ephesus, statuary and engraver; Leostatides, and Pytheas I., engravers; Coponius, Roman sculptor; and Epitynchanus, engraver on precious stones.	Cicero, Cæsar, Varro, and Sallust flourish.
	48	Battle of Pharsalia.
	42	Battle of Philippi.
	31	Battle of Actium.
CLXXXVII. 3.	30	In this period Pasiteles still practises the arts of sculpture and engraving, and the following artists also flourish: Saurus, Batrachus, Diogenes, Ly-sias, and, probably, Stephanus, sculptors; Aulanius Evander, Athenian sculptor and engraver; Dionysius, Sopolis, Ludius, Pædus, a youth, and Lala, a female, born at Cyzicus, painters; Dioscorides and Admo, engravers on gems; and Posia, a Roman modeller.	Augustus constituted emperor. Horace, Virgil, Livy, Tibullus, and Vitruvius flourish.
	28	Palatine library of Augustus formed.
	A.D. 20	Chimarus, a statuary, flourishes; probably, Menelaus, a sculptor.	
	54	Dorotheus and Fabullus, flourish as painters; Meno, the Athenian, as a statuary and sculptor; and Xenodorus as a statuary.	Nero emperor. Seneca, Persius, and Lucan flourish.
	69	Agesander, Athenodorus his son, and Polydorus, make for Titus, who afterwards became emperor, the celebrated group of the Laocoon. To this period also belong Craterus, the two Pythadori, Polydectes, Hemolaus, Artemo, and Aphrodisias of Tralles, sculptors; Cornelius Pinus, Attius Priscus, Turpilius the Venetian, and Artemedorus, painters; and Evodus, an engraver on precious stones.	Vespasian emperor.
	79	Titus emperor. Eruption of Mount Vesuvius. Pliny the elder dies.

LIST OF THE PRINCIPAL GREEK AND ROMAN ARCHITECTS.

(From Gwilt's Encyclopædia of Architecture.)

BEFORE CHRIST.

Name of Architect.	Century.	Principal Works.
Theodorus of Samos	7th	Labyrinth at Lemnos; some buildings at Sparta; and the temple of Juno at Samos.
Hermogenes of Alabanda	Temple of Bacchus at Teos, and that of Diana at Magnesia.
Agamedes and Trophonius of Delphi	Temple of Apollo at Delphi; a temple dedicated to Neptune, near Mantinée.
Demetrius of Ephesus . . .	6th	Continuation of the temple of Diana, which had been begun by Chersiphron.
Eupalinus of Megara.	Aqueducts, with many other edifices at Samos.
Mandrocles of Samos.	Wooden bridge over the Thracian Bosphorus, erected by the command of Darius.
Chirosophus of Crete	Temple of Ceres and Proserpine; another of the Paphian Venus; and one of Apollo; all at Tegea.
Pytheus of Priene	5th	Mausoleum of Artemisia in Caria; design for the temple of Pallas at Priene. In the former he was assisted by Statirus.
Spentharus of Corinth	Rebuilt the temple of Apollo at Delphi, which had been destroyed by fire.
Agaptos of Elis	Portico at Elis.
Libon of Elis	Temple of Jupiter Olympius at Olympia.
Ictinus of Athens.	Parthenon at Athens; temple of Ceres and Proserpine at Eleusis; temple of Apollo Epicurius in Arcadia.
Callicrates of Athens	Assisted Ictinus in the erection of the Parthenon.
Mnesicles of Athens	Propylæa of the Parthenon.
Antistates of Athens.	A temple of Jupiter at Athens.
Scopas of Greece	One side of the tomb of Mausolus; a column of the temple at Ephesus.
Archias of Corinth	Many temples, and other edifices, at Syracuse.
Callias of Aradus	Temples, &c., at Rhodes.
Ayclius of Aradus	Temple of the Ionian Æsculapius.
Mnesthes	Temple of Apollo at Magnesia.
Cleomenes of Athens. . . .	4th	Plan of the city of Alexandria.
Dinocrates of Macedonia.	Rebuilt the temple of Diana at Ephesus; engaged on works at Alexandria; was author of the proposition to transform Mount Athos into a colossal figure.
Andronicus of Athens	Tower of the Winds at Athens.
Callimachus of Corinth	Reputed inventor of the Corinthian order.
Sostratus of Cnidus	The Pharos of Alexandria.
Philo of Athens	Enlarged the arsenal and the Piræus at Athens; erected the great theatre, rebuilt by order of Hadrian.
Eupolemus of Argos.	Several temples, and a theatre at Argos.
Phæax of Agrigentum	3rd	Various buildings at Agrigentum.
Cossutius of Rome	2nd	Design for the temple of Jupiter Olympius at Athens.
Hermodorus of Salamis	Temple of Jupiter Stator (Minerva Chalcidica) in the Forum at Rome; temple of Mars in the Circus Flaminius.
Calus Mutius of Rome	Temple of Honour and Virtue, near the trophies of Marius at Rome.

BEFORE CHRIST.

Name of Architect.	Century.	Principal Works.
Valerius of Ostia	Several amphitheatres with roofs. These two architects built several temples at Rome. The name of the first (βάρπαχος) signifies a frog; and that of the latter (σαῦρος) a lizard; and they perpetuated their names on some of their works by the allegorical representation of these two animals sculptured upon them. The churches of St. Eusebius and of St. Lorenzo fuori le Mura, at Rome, still contain some columns whose pedestals are sculptured with a lizard and a frog.
Batrachus of Laconia	1st	
Saurus of Laconia	
Dexiphanes of Cyprus	Rebuilt the Pharos of Alexandria, at the command of Cleopatra, the other having fallen down.
Cyrus of Rome	Architect to Cicero.
Postumius of Rome	Many works at Rome and Naples.
Cocceius Auctus	Grotto at Puzzuoli; grotto of Annæ.
Fussitius of Rome	Several buildings at Rome; the first Roman who wrote on architecture.

AFTER CHRIST.

Name of Architect.	Century.	Principal Works.
Vitruvius Pollio of Fano	1st	Basilica Justitiæ at Fano; a great writer on architecture.
Vitruvius Cerdo of Verona	Triumphal arch at Verona.
Celer and Severus of Rome	Golden House of Nero.
Rabirius of Rome	Palace of Domitian on Mt. Palatine.
Mustius of Rome	Temple of Ceres at Rome.
Frontinus of Rome	2nd	He has left a work on aqueducts.
Apollodorus of Damascus	Forum Trajani at Rome; a bridge over the Danube, in Lower Hungary.
Lacer of Rome	A bridge over the Tagus, in Spain; a temple, now dedicated to San Giuliano.
Detrianus of Rome	Moles Hadriani; and the Pons Ælius, now called the Castello and Ponte Sant' Angelo.
Antoninus, the Senator of Rome	Pantheon at Epidaurus; baths of Æsculapius.
Nicon of Pergamus	Several fine works at Pergamus.

LIST OF ROMAN EMPERORS, EMPRESSES, AND THEIR RELATIONS.

CAIUS JULIUS CÆSAR,

Son of C. Cæsar and of Aurelia, born 100, pontifex maximus 63, prætor 62, consul 59, dictator 48, assassinated 44 B.C.

CORNELIA, wife of Cæsar, daughter of L. Cinna, died 68 B.C.

JULIA, daughter of Cæsar and Cornelia, married to Pompey 59 B.C., died 54 B.C.

JULIA, sister of Cæsar and wife of M. Atius Balbus.

ATIA, daughter of M. A. Balbus and Julia, wife of Caius Octavius, mother of Augustus.

C. OCTAVIUS, father of Augustus, died 58 B.C.

CAIUS OCTAVIUS CÆSAR AUGUSTUS,

Son of C. Octavius and Atia, niece of Julius Cæsar, born 63 B.C., declared emperor 29 B.C., obtained the name of Augustus 27 B.C., died 14 A.D.

CLODIA, daughter of Clodius and Fulvia, first wife of Octavius.

SCRIBONIA, second wife of Octavius, married 40 B.C., was divorced by him in order to marry Livia.

LIVIA DRUSILLA, was married first to Tib. Claudius, and afterwards became third wife of Augustus, born 57 B.C., died 29 A.D.

OCTAVIA, sister of Augustus, married first to C. Marcellus 50 B.C., and subsequently to Antony 40 B.C., died 11 B.C.

MARCELLUS, son of C. Marcellus and Octavia, married to Julia, daughter of Augustus, was

adopted by him, and was destined to be his successor, but died in 23 B.C.

MARCELLA, daughter of C. Marcellus and Octavia, was thrice married—first, to M. Agrippa; second, to Julius Antonius; third, to Sextus Appuleius.

MARCUS AGRIPPA, son-in-law of Augustus, born 63 B.C., died 12 A.D.

JULIA, daughter of Augustus and Scribonia; wife of M. Marcellus, Marcus Agrippa, and lastly of Tiberius, born 39 B.C., died 14 A.D.

CAIUS and LUCIUS, sons of M. Agrippa and Julia—Caius, born 20 B.C., Cæsar 17 B.C., died 4 B.C.; Lucius, born 17 B.C., Cæsar same year, died 2 A.D.

AGRIPPA POSTUMUS, son of M. Agrippa and Julia, born 12 B.C., Cæsar 4 A.D., killed 14 A.D.

JULIA, daughter of M. Agrippa and Julia; wife of L. Æmilius Paulus, banished by her grandfather, Augustus, to the island Tremerus, died 28 A.D.

TIBERIUS CLAUDIUS NERO, married to Livia Drusilla, father of the Emperor Tiberius.

TIBERIUS CLAUDIUS NERO CÆSAR,

Son-in-law of Augustus, born 42 B.C., Cæsar 4 A.D., emperor 14 A.D., smothered 37 A.D.

DRUSUS SENIOR, brother of Tiberius, born 38 B.C., died 9 A.D.

ANTONIA, wife of Drusus senior, born 38 B.C., poisoned 38 A.D.

DRUSUS JUNIOR, son of Tiberius, born 13 B.C., poisoned 23 A.D.

LIVIA, or **LIVILLA**, daughter of Drusus senior and Antonia, and wife of Drusus junior, starved 32 A.D.

JULIA, daughter of Drusus junior and Livia, married to Nero, son of Germanicus; afterwards to Rubellius Blandus.

GERMANICUS, son of Drusus senior, born 15 B.C., Cæsar 4 A.D., poisoned 19 A.D.

AGRIPPINA SENIOR, daughter of M. Agrippa and of Julia, daughter of Augustus; wife of Germanicus, born 15 B.C., starved 33 A.D.

NERO and **DRUSUS**, sons of Germanicus and Agrippina. Nero born 7 A.D., starved 31 A.D.; Drusus born 8 A.D., died of hunger 33 A.D.

CAIUS CÆSAR CALIGULA,

Son of Germanicus and Agrippina, born 12 A.D., emperor 37 A.D., killed 41 A.D.

CLAUDIA, first wife of Caligula, married 33 A.D., died 36 A.D.

ORESTILLA, consort of Cn. Piso, second wife of Caligula.

LOLLIA PAULINA, espoused and shortly after repudiated by Caligula, 38 A.D.

CÆSONIA, fourth wife of Caligula, married 39 A.D., killed 41 A.D.

DRUSILLA, daughter of Cæsonia, killed 41 A.D.

DRUSILLA, sister of Caligula, born 17 A.D., died 38 A.D.

JULIA LIVILLA, sister of Caligula, youngest daughter of Germanicus and Agrippina, married to M. Venicius, born 18 A.D., killed 41 A.D.

TIB. CLAUDIUS DRUSUS NERO GERMANICUS,

Son of Drusus senior (brother of Tiberius) and Antonia, born 10 B.C., emperor 41 A.D., poisoned 54 A.D.

PLAUTIA URGULANILLA, first wife of Claudius.

ÆLIA PETINA, second wife of Claudius.

VALERIA MESSALINA, third wife of Claudius, killed 48 A.D.

AGRIPPINA JUNIOR, daughter of Germanicus and Agrippina, was married first to Cn. Domitius Ahenobarbus (28 A.D.), by whom she had a son, afterwards the Emperor Nero; next to Crispus Passienus; and thirdly to the Emperor Claudius (44 A.D.), although she was his niece. Murdered 59 A.D.

DRUSUS, son of Tiberius and Plautia Urgulanilla, died in infancy.

CLAUDIA, daughter of Tiberius and Plautia Urgulanilla, killed 65 A.D.

ANTONIA, daughter of Claudius and Ælia Petina.

BRITANNICUS, son of Claudius and Messalina, born 42 A.D., poisoned 55 A.D.

NERO CLAUDIUS CÆSAR DRUSUS GERMANICUS,

Son of Cn. Domitius Ahenobarbus and Agrippina, son-in-law of Claudius, born 37 A.D., Cæsar 50 A.D., emperor 54 A.D., killed himself 68 A.D.

OCTAVIA, first wife of Nero, daughter of the Emperor Claudius and Messalina, married to Nero 53 A.D., killed herself 62 A.D.

POPPÆA SABINA, second wife of Nero, died 66 A.D.

STATILIA MESSALINA, third wife of Nero, married 66 A.D.

CLAUDIA, daughter of Nero and Poppæa, born 64 A.D., died same year.

SER. SULPICIUS GALBA,

Born 3 B.C., emperor 68 A.D., killed 69 A.D.

M. SALVIUS OTHO,

Born 32 A.D., emperor 68 A.D., killed same year.

A. VITELLIUS,

Born 15 A.D., emperor 69 A.D., put to death same year.

L. VITELLIUS, father of the emperor, died 49 A.D.

L. VITELLIUS, son of the preceding, and brother of the emperor.

**T. FLAVIUS SABINUS VESPA-
SIANUS**,

Born 9 A.D., emperor 69 A.D., died 79 A.D.

FLAVIA DOMITILLA, wife of Vespasian.

DOMITILLA, daughter of Vespasian and Flavia Domitilla.

**TITUS FLAVIUS SABINUS VES-
PASIANUS**,

Son of Vespasian and Flavia Domitilla, born 41 A.D., Cæsar 69, emperor with his father 71, sole emperor 79, died 81 A.D.

ARRICIDIA, first wife of Titus.

MARCIA FURNILLA, second wife of Titus.

JULIA, daughter of Titus and Furnilla, married Flavius Sabinus, nephew of Vespasian.

**T. FLAVIUS DOMITIANUS AU-
GUSTUS**,

Son of Vespasian and Flavia Domitilla, born 51 A.D., Cæsar 69, emperor 81 A.D., assassinated 96 A.D.

DOMITIA, wife of Domitian, died 140 A.D.

ANONYMUS, son of Domitian and Domitia.

M. COCCEIUS NERVA,

Born 32 A.D., emperor 96 A.D., died 98 A.D.

M. ULPIUS TRAJANUS,

Born 53, associated in the empire with Nerva 97 A.D., sole emperor 98, died 117 A.D.

POMPEIA PLOTINA, wife of the Emperor Trajan, died 129 A.D.

TRAJANUS PATER, father of the Emperor Trajan, died 100 A.D.

MARCIANA, sister of Trajan, died 144 A.D.

MATIDIA, daughter of Marciana.

P. ÆLIUS HADRIANUS,

Son-in-law of Matidia, Trajan's niece, born 76 A.D., adopted by Trajan 117, emperor same year, died 138 A.D.

JULIA SABINA, wife of Hadrian, grand-niece of Trajan, being daughter of Matidia, who was daughter of Mariana, Trajan's sister; killed herself 137 A.D.

PAULINA, sister of Hadrian, married to Servianus.

**T. ÆLIUS HADRIANUS ANTO-
NINUS PIUS**,

Born 86 A.D., adopted by Hadrian 138 A.D., emperor same year, died 161 A.D.

ANNIA GALERIA-FAUSTINA SENIOR, wife of Antoninus Pius, born 105 A.D., died 141 A.D.

GALERIUS ANTONINUS, son of Antoninus and Faustina.

M. AURELIUS ANTONINUS,
Son-in-law of Antoninus Pius, and son of Hadrian's sister Paulina, born 121 A.D., adopted by Antoninus 138, emperor 161, died 180 A.D.

ANNIA-FAUSTINA JUNIOR, wife of M. Aurelius, daughter of Antoninus Pius and the elder Faustina, died 175 A.D.

ANNIUS VERUS, youngest son of Marcus Aurelius and Faustina, born 163 A.D., Cæsar 166, died 170 A.D.

LUCIUS AURELIUS VERUS,
Son of L. Ceionius Commodus, who had been adopted by Hadrian in 136. On the death of his father in 138, he was adopted, along with M. Aurelius, by M. Antoninus; associated in the empire by M. Aurelius 151, died 169 A.D.

ANNIA LUCILLA, daughter of M. Aurelius and the younger Faustina, and wife of Lucius Verus, banished to Capræ 183 A.D.

L. AURELIUS COMMODUS,
Elder son of Marcus Aurelius and Faustina the younger, born 161 A.D., Cæsar 166, emperor 176, sole emperor 180, strangled 192 A.D.

CRISPINA, wife of Commodus, died 183 A.D.

HELVIUS PERTINAX,
Born 126 A.D., emperor 192, assassinated after a reign of 87 days.

TITIANA, wife of Pertinax.

M. DIDIUS SALVIUS JULIANUS,
Born 133 A.D., emperor 198, put to death after a reign of 66 days.

MANLIA SCANTILLA, wife of Didius Julianus.

DIDIA CLARA, daughter of Didius Julianus and Scantilla.

C. PESCENNIUS NIGER,
Saluted emperor by the legions in the East 193 A.D., killed 194 A.D.

CLODIUS ALBINUS,
Named Cæsar by Septimius Severus 193 A.D., took title of emperor 196, defeated and killed by Septimius Severus 197 A.D.

L. SEPTIMIUS SEVERUS,
Born 146 A.D., emperor 193, master of the whole empire 197, died 211 A.D.

JULIA DOMNA, wife of Septimius Severus, starved herself 217 A.D.

MARCUS AURELIUS ANTONINUS CARACALLA,

Son of Septimius Severus and Julia, born 188 A.D., Cæsar 196, Augustus 198, emperor with his brother Geta 211, sole emperor 212, assassinated 217.

FULVIA PLAUTILLA, wife of Caracalla, put to death 212.

SEPTIMIUS GETA,
Second son of Septimius Severus and Julia Domna, born 189 A.D., Cæsar 198, emperor with his brother Caracalla 211, assassinated by him 212 A.D.

MACRINUS,
Born 164, emperor 217, killed 218 A.D.

DIADUMENIANUS, son of Macrinus, Cæsar 217, killed 218.

MARCUS AURELIUS ANTONINUS—ELAGABALUS,

(Priest of Baal, the Sun-god), son of Varius Marcellus and Julia Sœmias, born 205 A.D., emperor 218, put to death 222 A.D.

JULIA CORNELIA PAULA, first wife of Elagabalus, divorced 200 A.D.

AQUILIA SEVERA, second wife of Elagabalus.

ANNIA FAUSTINA, third wife of Elagabalus.

JULIA SÆMIAS, mother of Elagabalus, killed 222 A.D.

JULIA MÆSA, sister-in-law of Septimius Severus, aunt of Caracalla, and grandmother of Elagabalus and A. Severus, died 223 A.D.

M. AURELIUS ALEXANDER SEVERUS,

Son of Gessius Marcianus and Julia Mamæa, was first cousin of Elagabalus, born 205, adopted by Elagabalus with the name of Cæsar 221, emperor 222, assassinated 235 A.D.

MEMMIA, second wife of Alexander Severus.

BARBIA ORBIANA, third wife of Alexander Severus.

JULIA MAMÆA, daughter of Julia Moesa, and mother of Alexander Severus, put to death 235 A.D.

URANIUS ANTONINUS, emperor in Asia during the reign of Alexander Severus.

MAXIMINUS I.,

Born 173, emperor 235, assassinated 238 A.D.

PAULINA, wife of Maximinus.

MAXIMUS, son of Maximinus, Cæsar 235, killed 238 A.D.

JUNIA FADILLA, wife of Maximus.

TITUS QUARTINUS, emperor in Germany during the reign of Maximinus.

M. ANTONIUS GORDIANUS AFRICANUS I., PATER,

Son of Metius Marullus and Ulpia Gordiana, born 158 A.D., emperor in Africa 238 A.D., puts an end to his life after reigning 40 days.

FABIA ORESTILIA, wife of Gordianus pater.

GORDIANUS AFRICANUS II., FILIUS,

Son of Gordianus Af. I. and Fatia Overtilla, born 192 A.D., emperor with his father 238, killed 40 days afterwards.

D. CÆLIUS BALBINUS,

Born 178, emperor with Pupienus 238, massacred after a reign of three months.

M. CLODIUS PUPIENUS MAXIMUS,

Born 164 A.D., emperor with Balbinus 238 A.D., massacred about three months afterwards.

GORDIANUS PIUS III.,

Grandson of Gordianus the elder, born 222, Cæsar 238, emperor same year, assassinated 244 A.D.

TRANQUILLINA, wife of Gordian III.

M. JULIUS PHILIPPUS, I.,

Born 204 A.D., emperor 244, killed 209 A.D.

MARCIA OBACILIA SEVERA, wife of Philip the elder.

M. JULIUS PHILIPPUS II.,

Son of Philip the elder, born 237, Cæsar 244, Augustus 247, killed 249 A.D.

MARINUS, emperor in Mœsia and Pannonia, 249 A.D.

JOTAPIANUS, emperor in Syria
248 A.D.

PACATIANUS } only known on
SPONSIANUS } coins.

C. MESSIUS QUINTUS TRAJANUS DECIUS,

Born 201 A.D., emperor 249,
drowned in a bog 251.

ETRUSCILLA, wife of Decius.

HERENNIUS ETRUSCUS, son of Decius,
Cæsar 249, Augustus 251,
killed same year.

HOSTILIANUS, son of Decius, Cæsar
249, emperor with Gallus 251,
died same year.

C. VIBIUS TREBONIANUS GALLUS,

Emperor 251, put to death 254
A.D.

VOLUSIANUS, son of Gallus, Cæsar
251, emperor 252, killed 254.

ÆMILIUS ÆMILIANUS, born 208
A.D., emperor in Mœsia 253,
killed 254.

CORNELIA SUPERA, wife of Æmilianus.

P. LICINIUS VALERIANUS,
SENIOR,

Born 190, emperor 253, taken
prisoner by the Persians 260,
died 263.

MARINIANA, second wife of Valerian.

**P. LICINIUS VALERIANUS EGNA-
TIUS GALLIENUS**,

Son of Valerian by his first wife,
emperor 253, assassinated 268.

SALONINA, wife of Gallienus.

SALONINUS, son of Gallienus, born
242 A.D., Cæsar 253, put to
death 259 A.D.

QUINTUS JULIUS GALLIENUS,
youngest son of Gallienus.

VALERIANUS JUNIOR, son of Valerian and Mariniana, killed 268
A.D.

LICINIA GALLIENA, aunt of Gallienus.

POSTUMUS PATER, emperor in
Gaul 258, killed 267.

JULIA DONATA, wife of Postumus.

POSTUMUS FILIUS, Augustus in
Gaul 258, killed 267.

LAELIANUS.

LOLLIANUS.

QUINTUS VALENS AELIANUS.

VICTORINUS PATER, associated in
the empire of Gaul by Postu-
mus 265, killed 267.

VICTORINUS FILIUS, Cæsar in Gaul
267.

VICTORINA, mother of Victorinus
senior.

MARIUS, emperor in Gaul 267,
killed after a reign of three
days.

TETRICUS PATER, emperor in Gaul
267, defeated by Aurelian 274
A.D.

TETRICUS FILIUS, son of the above,
Cæsar in Gaul 267.

CYRIADES, emperor in Asia 257,
killed 258.

MACRIANUS PATER, emperor in
the East 261, killed by his
soldiers 262.

MACRIANUS FILIUS, son of Macri-
anus pater.

QUIETUS, brother of Macrianus
filius.

BALISTA, emperor in Syria 262,
killed 264.

INGENUUS, emperor in Mœsia and
Pannonia 262.

REGALIANUS, emperor in Mœsia
261, killed 263.

DRYANTILLA, wife of Regalianus.

VALENS, emperor in Achaia 261.

PISO FRUGI, emperor in Thessalia 261.

ALEXANDER ÆMILIANUS, emperor in Egypt 262.

SATURNINUS I., emperor 263.

TREBELLIANUS, emperor in Isauria 264.

CELSUS, emperor of Carthage 265.

AUREOLUS, emperor in Illyria and Rhetia 267, killed 268.

SULPICIUS ANTONINUS, emperor in Syria 267.

M. AURELIUS CLAUDIUS II. GOTHICUS,

Born 214 A.D., emperor 268, died 270.

CENSORINUS, emperor at Boulogne 270.

QUINTILLUS, brother of Claudius Gothicus, emperor at Aquileia 270.

AURELIANUS,

Born 207 A.D., emperor 270, - assassinated 275.

SEVERINA, wife of Aurelian.

SEPTIMUS ODENATHUS, king of Palmyra 261, associated in the empire by Gallienus 264, assassinated 266.

ZENOBIA, last wife of Odenathus, queen of Palmyra 261.

HERODES, son of Odenathus by his first wife, Augustus 264, killed 267.

TIMOLAUS, son of Odenathus and Zenobia, Augustus 266, taken prisoner by Aurelian 273.

VABALATHUS ATHENODOROS, son of Zenobia, emperor in Syria 266, taken prisoner by Aurelian 273.

MACONIUS, emperor 267.

FIRMUS, emperor in Egypt 275.

M. CLAUDIUS TACITUS,

Emperor 275, assassinated 276 A.D.

M. ANNIUS FLORIANUS,

Brother of the emperor Tacitus, born 232, emperor 276, killed same year.

M. AURELIUS PROBUS,

Born 232, emperor 276, massacred 282 A.D.

BONUSIUS, emperor of Gaul 280.

SATURNINUS, emperor of Egypt and Palestine 280.

PROCLUS, emperor of Cologne 280.

M. AURELIUS CARUS,

Born 230 A.D., emperor 282, killed by lightning 283.

M. AURELIUS CARINUS,

Eldest son of Carus, born 249 A.D., Cæsar 282, emperor 283, killed 284 A.D.

MAGNIA URBICA, wife of Carinus.

M. AURELIUS NUMERIANUS,

Youngest son of Carus, born 254 A.D., Cæsar 282, Augustus 283, died 284 A.D.

NIGRINIANUS, son of Carus.

MARCUS AURELIANUS JULIANUS, emperor in Pannonia 284, killed 285.

VALERIUS DIOCLETIANUS,

Born 245, emperor 284, adopted Galerius 292, abdicated 305, died 313 A.D.

PRISCA, wife of Diocletian, executed by order of Licinius 315 A.D.

M. AURELIUS VALERIUS MAXIMIANUS I.,

Styled **HERCULIUS** and **JOVIUS**, associated in the empire with Diocletian 286, abdicated 305, retook the empire 306, abdicated again 308, emperor a second time 309, strangled himself 310 A.D.

EUTROPIA, wife of Maximian.

AMANDUS, emperor in Gaul 285, killed 287.

ÆLIANUS, emperor in Gaul 285, killed 287.

CARAUSIUS, emperor in Britain 287, assassinated 289 A.D.

ALLECTUS, emperor in Britain 293, killed 296 A.D.

ACHILLEUS, emperor in Egypt 292.

DOMITIUS DOMITIANUS, emperor in Egypt 305.

CONSTANTIUS I. CHLORUS,

Born 250, Cæsar 292, emperor 305, died 306.

HELENA, first wife of Constantius Chlorus, died 328.

THEODORA, second wife of Constantius Chlorus.

GALERIUS VALERIUS MAXIMIANUS II.,

Adopted and named Cæsar by Diocletian in 292, Augustus and emperor 305, died 311.

GALERIA VALERIA, daughter of Diocletian and Prisca, and second wife of Galerius Maximianus, executed by order of Licinius 315 A.D.

FLAVIUS VALERIUS SEVERUS, named Cæsar by Maximianus I. 305, Augustus and emperor 306, put to death 307.

GALERIUS VALERIUS MAXIMINUS II., DAZA, nephew of Galerius, named Cæsar by Diocletian 305,

son of the Augusti 307, emperor 308, poisoned himself 313 A.D.

CANDIDIANUS, natural son of Galerius Maximianus, put to death by Licinius 313.

M. AURELIUS VALERIUS MAXENTIUS, son of Maximianus I. and Eutropia, born 282, emperor of Rome 306, drowned in the Tiber 312 A.D.

ROMULUS, son of Maxentius, born 306, Cæsar 307, died 309.

ALEXANDER, emperor of Carthage 306, put to death 311 A.D.

LICINIUS SENIOR, son-in-law of Constantius Chlorus, born 263, associated in the empire with Galerius Maximianus 307, put to death by his brother-in-law Constantine 323.

CONSTANTIA, daughter of Constantius Chlorus, wife of the elder Licinius, died 330 A.D.

LICINIUS JUNIOR, son of the elder Licinius, born 315, named Cæsar 317 put to death 326.

AURELIUS VALERIUS VALENS, named Cæsar by Licinius 314.

MARTINIANUS, Cæsar and Augustus at Byzantium by Licinius 323.

EUTROPIA, daughter of Constantius I. and sister of Constantine.

JULIUS CONSTANTIUS, son of Constantius Chlorus, and brother of Constantine.

GALLA, first wife of J. Constantius.

BASILINA, second wife of J. Constantius.

CONSTANTINUS I. MAGNUS,

Son of Constantius Chlorus and Helena, born 274, named Cæsar and Augustus 306, converted to the Christian religion 311, sole emperor 311, changed the

seat of government to Byzantium, which he called Constantinople, 336, died 337 A.D.

MINERVINA, first wife of Constantine.

FAUSTA, second wife of Constantine, daughter of Maximian; smothered by her husband's order 326 A.D.

FLAVIUS JULIUS CRISPUS, son of Constantine and Minervina, born 300, Cæsar 317, put to death by order of his father 326.

HELENA, wife of Crispus.

DALMATIUS, brother of Constantine, Cæsar 335, killed 337 A.D.

HANNIBALIANUS, brother of Constantine and of Dalmatius, died 337 A.D.

CONSTANTINUS II.,

Eldest son of Constantine and Fausta, born 316, Cæsar 317; emperor and Augustus 337, killed in 340 A.D.

CONSTANS I.,

Youngest son of Constantine and Fausta, born 320 A.D., Cæsar 333, emperor of the East 346, assassinated 350 A.D.

SATURNINUS, emperor in the reign of Constans.

CONSTANTIUS II.,

Second son of Constantine and Fausta, born 317, Cæsar 323, Augustus 337, master of all the empire 350, died 361 A.D.

EUSEBIA, wife of Constantius, married 352.

FAUSTINA, wife of Constantius; favours the cause of Procopius 365 A.D.

NEPOTIANUS, son of Eutropia, sister of Constantine, emperor at Rome 350, killed after a reign of 28 days.

VETRANIO, emperor in Pannonia 350, died 356.

NONIUS.

FLAVIUS POPILIUS MAGNENTIUS, born 303, emperor at Autun 350, killed himself 353 A.D.

DECENTIUS, brother of Magnentius, Cæsar 351.

DESIDERIUS, brother of Magnentius, Cæsar 351.

CONSTANTIUS GALLUS,

Son of Julius Constantius and Gallus, nephew of Constantine, born 325, Cæsar 351, executed 354.

CONSTANTINA, wife first of Hannibalianus, and secondly of Constantius Gallus, died 354 A.D.

SYLVANUS, emperor at Cologne, 355 A.D.

FLAVIUS CLAUDIUS JULIANUS,

Surnamed the Apostate, son of Julius Constantius, brother of Constantine, by his second wife (Basilina), and nephew of Constantine, born 331, Cæsar 355, emperor at Paris 360, sole emperor 361, killed in battle against the Persians 363 A.D.

HELENA, daughter of Constantine and wife of Julian, died 360 A.D.

FLAVIUS CLAUDIUS JOVIANUS,

Born 331, emperor 363, died 364 A.D.

VALENTINIANUS I.,

Son of Gratianus, born 321, emperor 364, died 375.

VALERIA SEVERA, first wife of Valentinian I.

JUSTINA, second wife of Valentinian, died 387.

FLAVIUS VALENS,

Brother of Valentinian, born 328, associated in the empire and Augustus 364, burnt alive 378.

DOMINICA, wife of Valens.

PROCOPIUS, born 334, emperor at Constantinople 365, put to death by order of Valens 366 A.D.

GRATIANUS,

Son of Valentinian I. and Severa, born 350, Augustus at Amiens 361, emperor 375, slain 389 A.D.

CONSTANTIA, daughter of Constantine and Faustina, granddaughter of Constantine, and wife of Gratian, died 383 A.D.

VALENTINIANUS II.,

Son of Valentinian I. and Justina, born 371, Augustus 375, emperor of the Western Empire 383, assassinated 392 A.D.

THEODOSIUS MAGNUS I.,

Born 346, Augustus and associated in the empire by Gratian 379, entered Rome in triumph 389, died 395 A.D.

FLACCILLA, first wife of Theodosius, died 388.

GALLA, daughter of the Emperor Valentinian I., and second wife of Theodosius.

MAGNUS CLEMENS MAXIMUS, Augustus in Britain 383, acknowledged emperor in Britain and Gaul 387, put to death 388.

FLAVIUS VICTOR MAXIMUS, son of Magnus Maximus, Augustus 383, put to death 388.

EUGENIUS, a rhetorician, proclaimed emperor by Arbogastes 392, defeated and slain by Theodosius 394.

ARCADIUS, elder son of Theodosius, born 377, Augustus 383, emperor of the East 395, died 408 A.D.

EUDOXIA, wife of Arcadius, died 404.

FLAVIUS HONORIUS,

Youngest son of Theodosius and Flaccilla, born 384, Augustus 393, emperor of the West 395, died 423.

CONSTANTINUS III., Augustus in England and Gaul 407, put to death 411 A.D.

CONSTANS, son of Constantinus III., Augustus in Gaul 408, assassinated 411 A.D.

CONSTANTIUS III.,

Augustus and associated in the empire of the West 421 A.D., died same year.

GALLA PLACIDIA, daughter of Theodosius and Galla, sister of Honorius, widow of Ataulf, king of the Goths, 414, wife of Constantius 417, died in 423.

MAXIMUS, emperor in Spain 409, abdicated 411.

JOVINUS, emperor in Mayence 411, beheaded 413.

SEBASTIANUS, brother of Jovinus, associated in the sovereign power by his brother 412, beheaded 413 A.D.

PRISCUS ATTALUS, made emperor by Alaric at Rome 409, deprived of that title, reassumed it in Gaul 410, died in the island of Lepari.

THEODOSIUS II., son of Arcadius born 401, Augustus 402, emperor of the East 418, died 450 A.D.

EUDOCIA (ATHENAIS), daughter of Leontius, wife of Theodosius II., died 460.

JOHANNES, born 383, emperor at Rome 423, died 425.

VALENTINIANUS III.,

Son of Constantius III. and Galla Placidia, born at Rome 419, emperor 425, slain by Petronius Maximus 455 A.D.

LICINIA EUDOXIA, daughter of Theodosius II. and Eudocia, wife of Valentinian III., married to the Emperor Maximus 455 A.D.

HONORIA, daughter of Constantius III. and Galla Placidia, and sister of Valentinian III., born 417, Augusta 433, died 454.

EUDOCIA, eldest daughter of Valentinian III. and Eudoxia, married to Hunneric, son of Genseric king of the Vandals.

PETRONIUS MAXIMUS,

Born 395, emperor at Rome 455, slain after a reign of three months.

MARCIANUS, a Roman senator, born 391, married the Empress Pulcheria and acknowledged emperor of the East 450, died 457.

PULCHERIA, sister of Theodosius II., born 399, proclaimed empress on the death of Theodosius, married the Senator Marcian 450, died 453 A.D.

AVITUS, emperor 455, deposed 456.

LEO I., emperor of the East 457, died 474 A.D.

VERINA, wife of Leo I., died 484 A.D.

MAJORIANUS, emperor 457, compelled to abdicate 461, died five days after.

LIBIUS SEVERUS emperor 461, died 465.

ANTHEMIUS, son of Procopius, emperor 467, slain by his son-in-law Ricimer 472.

EUPHEMIA, daughter of the Emperor Marcian, and wife of Anthemius.

OLYBRIUS, a Roman senator, emperor of the West 472, died same year.

PLACIDIA, youngest daughter of Valentinian III. and Eudoxia, and wife of Olybrius.

GLYCERIUS, Augustus at Ravenna, 473, permitted to exchange the Roman sceptre for bishopric of Salona 474, died 480.

LEO II., born 495, emperor of the East 474.

ZENO, son-in-law of Leo I., and father of Leo II., born 426, associated in the Eastern empire by his son, Leo II., 474, sole emperor same year, deposed 476, re-established 477, died 491.

BASILISCUS, brother of Verina, emperor of the East 476, de-throned by Zeno 477.

AELIA ZENONIS, wife of Basiliscus.

MARCUS, son of Basiliscus.

ANASTASIUS, emperor 491.

ARIADNE, daughter of Verina, and wife of Anastasius.

JULIUS NEPOS,

Married to a niece of the Empress Verina, emperor of the West 474, retires to Dalmatia 475, assassinated by Glycerius 480.

ROMULUS AUGUSTULUS,

Son of the patrician Orestes, emperor of the West 475, de-throned by Odoacer, king of the Heruli, 476, extinction of the Western empire.

ODOACER assumes the title of king of Italy.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS USED IN GREEK AND ROMAN ARCHITECTURE.

- ABACUS.** The flat and quadrangular stone which constitutes the highest member of a column, being interposed between the capital and the architrave.
- ACROTERIA.** Bases or low pedestals resting on the angles and vertex of a pediment, and intended for the reception of statues, or ornaments.
- ADITUS.** The approach or entrance to a building.
- ADYTUM.** The chamber in a temple to which none but priests had access.
- ÆTOMA.** The tympanum of a pediment, so called from being decorated with the figure of an eagle.
- AMBITUS.** A space which surrounded a tomb.
- AMPHIPROSTYLE.** Having a portico at both extremities.
- ANNULET.** A term given to the small fillets or bands which encircle the lower part of the Doric capital immediately above the neck or trachelium.
- ANTÆ.** Pilasters terminating the side walls of a temple.
- ANTIFIXÆ.** Ornaments of lions' heads, and other heads, below the eaves of the temple, through the perforation in which the water from the roof was carried off.
- APODYTERIUM.** The apartment at the entrances of the baths, where a person took off his dress.
- APOPHYGE.** The small curvature given to the top and bottom of the shaft of a column, where it expands to meet the edge of the fillet, under the capital, and at the top of the base.
- APOTHECA.** A storehouse or cellar, for oil or wine.
- APSIS.** The semicircular and vaulted end of a basilica.
- APTERAL.** A temple without columns on the flanks or sides.
- ARÆOSTYLE.** An intercolumniation of four or more diameters.
- ARCADE.** A range of arches, supported either on columns or on piers and detached or attached to the wall.
- ARCHITRAVE.** The lowest horizontal member of the entablature, and which rests immediately on the columns.
- ARCHIVOLT.** A collection of mouldings on the under curve of an arch, resting on the imposts.
- ARENA.** The central space in a Roman amphitheatre.
- ARENATUM.** A plaster used on walls, formed of lime and sand.
- ASTRAGAL.** A narrow moulding, the profile of which is semicircular. It is also a moulding composed of beads and berries.
- ATLANTES.** Male figures supporting an entablature. (*See TELAMONES*.)
- ATRIUM.** An open court surrounded by porticos.
- ATTIC-BASE.** The base of a column consisting of an upper and lower torus, a scotia, and fillets between them.

BALTEUS. The wide step in theatres and amphitheatres, which afforded a passage round them without disturbance to the sitters.

BASE. A general term for the lowest member of any construction. The base of a column is the ornamental portion on which the shaft is placed.

BASILICA. A court of justice, with a semicircular vaulted end, apsis.

BELL. That portion of a column around which the foliage and volutes are arranged.

BEMA. The semicircular recess or hexedra, in the basilica where the judges sat.

CALDARIUM. A room for hot baths.

CANEPHORÆ. Figures of females, bearing a basket on their heads.

CAPITAL. The head or upper part of a column or pilaster.

CARYATID. A female figure supporting an entablature.

CASTELLUM AQUÆ. A reservoir in the city, which formed the head of water, received by the aqueduct, and thence conducted through leaden pipes to the several parts of the city.

CAULICOLÆ. The small inner volutes or scrolls of a Corinthian capital.

CAVÆDIUM. An open court within a house.

CAVEA. The place for spectators in a theatre, so called as it was often a real excavation from the side of a hill.

CAVEA. Subterranean cells in amphitheatres where wild beasts were confined.

CAVETTO. A hollowed moulding, whose profile is the quadrant of a circle.

CELLA (νῆος). The central chamber of a temple, supposed to be the peculiar habitation of the deity whose statue it usually contained.

CENOTAPH. A monument erected to the memory of a person buried in another place.

CEROMA. An apartment in the baths, where the bathers were anointed with oil thickened by wax.

CHALCIDICUM. A chamber attached to a basilica, for the convenience of the judges and lawyers.

CHORAGIC MONUMENT. A monument erected in honour of the choragus who gained a prize at the festivals of Bacchus.

CIPPUS. A small low column, frequently bearing an inscription, generally for sepulchral purposes.

CLOACÆ. The common sewers at Rome.

COENACULUM. A supper room.

COLONNADE. A range of columns.

COLUMN. A cylindrical pillar, which serves either for support or ornament of a building.

COMITIUM. The open space in the centre of the Roman forum, where assemblies of the people were held.

COMPLUVIUM. An area in the centre of a Roman house, for the purpose of receiving the water from the roof.

CONCAMERATA SUDATIO. The vapour bath in Roman *Thermæ*.

CONISTERIUM. A room in a gymnasium, where the wrestlers, having been anointed with oil, were sprinkled over with dust.

CORNICE. The crowning projection of the entablature.

CORONA. A broad flat member, below the cymatium, in a cornice.

CRYPTO PORTICUS. A subterranean or dark gallery in a Roman villa, used as a cool sitting-room.

CUBICULUM. A bedchamber.

CUNEUS. That part of the Roman theatre where the spectators sat, so called from its wedge-like shape.

CURIA. A Roman council house.

CYMA. A moulding, so called from its contour resembling that of a wave, being hollow in its upper part, and swelling below. This is distinguished as the *cyma recta*; the *cyma reversa* is where the upper part swells, whilst the lower is hollow.

CYMATIUM. The upper moulding of a cornice, of an undulating form.

CYZICENUS. A large hall decorated with sculpture.

DADO. The solid block or cube forming the body of the pedestal of a column between its base and cornice.

DECASTYLE. A temple with ten columns in front.

DENTILS. Ornaments resembling teeth, used in the bed moulding of Ionic, Corinthian, and Composite cornices.

DIASTYLE. An intercolumniation of three diameters.

DIATONI (*διὰ τόνοι*). Bond stones of a single piece crossing the wall, from one face to the other.

DIAZOMA. Landings, or resting places, encircling the amphitheatre at different heights.

DICASTERIUM. A tribunal, or hall of justice.

DICHTHYOTHETON. Masonry worked in courses like the meshes of a net.

DIGLYPH. A projecting face, with two panels or channels sunk thereon.

DIPTERAL. A temple surrounded by a double range of columns.

DISPLUVIATUM. An open court, its roof so inclined as to throw the water off to the outside of the house, instead of carrying it into the impluvium.

DISTYLE. A portico of two columns.

ECHEA. Vessels of bronze, in the form of a bell, placed under the seats of spectators in a theatre, to give resonance to the voices of the actors. Earthenware jars are often found in the walls of Roman buildings, and have been supposed to be for similar purposes. They were for the purpose of lightening the building, and, it is supposed, were used to

expedite the work. They are generally found in Roman buildings of a late date, in the walls of a circus, or such buildings where no conveyance of sound was required. Examples may be seen at the circus of Romulus, near Rome.

ECHINUS. The ovolo or quarter round; it is usually carved with the egg and tongue moulding.

ELÆOTHESIUM. An apartment in the baths, where the bathers, after leaving the bath, anointed themselves.

EMPLECTON. A term employed in masonry by Vitruvius, in which the front stones were wrought, and the interior left rough and filled in with stones of various sizes.

ENCARPUS. Festoon on a frieze.

ENGAGED COLUMNS. Those attached to walls, by which a portion of them is concealed.

ENTABLATURE. The horizontal portion of a temple, supported on the columns, and including the architrave, frieze, and cornice.

ENTASIS. The swelling of the shaft of a column.

EPHEBEIUM. A building for the exercise and wrestling of the youth.

EPISCENIUM. The upper order of the scene in a theatre.

EPISTYLIUM. The same as architrave.

ERGASTULUM. A prison house for slaves.

EUSTYLE. An intercolumniation of two diameters and a half.

EXEDRA. A recess, or small room, in the thermæ and other buildings, appropriated for conversation.

FASCIA. A band or broad fillet on an architrave.

FASTIGIUM. See Pediment.

FAVISSA. A treasury or magazine under ancient temples, where votive offerings were kept.

FILLET. A small flat face or band used principally between mouldings to separate them from each other.

FLUTING. The vertical channelling of the shafts of the columns.

FORUM. A public place in Rome, and the leading Italian towns, where causes were tried, public business transacted, and political speeches made by the great orators of the state; also a market place.

FRET. An ornament consisting of one or more small fillets, meeting in vertical and horizontal directions.

FRIEZE. The central course of the entablature between the cornice and the architrave.

FRIGIDARIUM. The apartment in which the cold bath was placed.

FRUSTUM OF A COLUMN. The part next the base, when the top is cut off.

GLYPH. A vertical channel in a frieze.

GLYPTOTHECA. A building for the preservation of works of sculpture.

GRÆCOSTASIS. A wall or portico adjoining the Roman ,comitia, in which foreign ambassadors waited before entering the senate.

GUILLOCHE. An ornament composed of a series of bands twisting over each other.

GUTTÆ. Drops or ornaments, introduced under the triglyphs, in the Doric order.

GYMNASIUM. A building used for the exercise of athletic games.

GYNÆCEUM. A portion of a Greek house, set apart for females.

HECATOMPEDON. A term applied to the Parthenon, from the use of 100 feet in one of its leading dimensions, probably the breadth.

HELIOCAMINUS. A chamber in the Roman houses, which depended on the rays of the sun for warming it.

HELIX. A small volute under the abacus of the Corinthian capital.

HEMICYLE. A semicircular building, with an arched roof.

HEXASTYLE. A temple having six columns in front.

HIPPODROME. A place appropriated by the Greeks to equestrian exercises.

HYPÆTHRAL. A temple with an inner court without a roof, and open to the sky. Tribunals were placed in them, in the fora of the emperors.

HYPERTHYRUM. The upper member or lintel of a doorway.

HYPOCAUSTUM. A vaulted apartment under the baths, which served to distribute the heat from the furnace.

HYPOGÆUM. A building below the level of the ground.

HYPOSCENIUM. The front wall of the theatre, facing the orchestra.

HYPOTRACHELIUM. The slenderest part of the shaft of a column, being that immediately below the neck of the capital.

IMPLUVIUM. The open portion of a court in a Roman house, into which the rainwater was carried.

IMPOST. The capital of a pier or pilaster which receives an arch.

INCERTUM. A style of masonry used in walls, consisting of very small rough stones, not laid in courses.

INTERCOLUMNIATION. The space between two columns.

ISODOMUM. Masonry employed by the Greeks. It was executed in courses of equal heights.

KOILON. The Greek term for the cavea.

LACONICUM. A kind of stove in the vapour bath which served to heat the room.

LACUNAR, LACUNARIA. Ornamental compartments in ceilings.

LAQUEAR. Ornamental compartments with bands between them.

LARARIUM. The apartment in which the lares or household gods were kept.

LYSIS. A plinth above the cornice of the podium of ancient temples, which surrounded the stylobate.

MÆANDER. An ornamental border, like the fret, on the different members of buildings.

MARMORATUM. Plaster composed of lime and pounded marble, used in the last coat on ancient walls.

MAUSOLEUM. A sepulchral building, the term derived from the celebrated one erected to the memory of Mausolus, king of Caria, by his wife Artemisia, about 353 B.C.

META. A mark or goal in a Roman circus.

METOCHE. A term used by Vitruvius, to denote the space or interval between the dentils of the Ionic, or triglyphs of the Doric order.

METOPE. The square space or interval between the Doric triglyphs.

MINUTE. Sixtieth part of the lower diameter of a column.

MODILLION. An ornament resembling a bracket in the Ionic, Corinthian and Composite orders.

MODULE. A certain measure, either a diameter, or semidiameter, by which the proportions of columns are regulated.

MÆNIANA. Divisions of seats in a Roman amphitheatre.

MONOLITH. A work consisting of a single stone.

MONOPTERAL. A temple of a round form, without walls or cella, but only one range of columns.

MONOTRIGLYPH. The space of one triglyph and two metopes, between two Doric columns.

MUTULE. A projecting ornament in the Doric cornice, corresponding to the modillion in the Ionic and Corinthian entablatures.

NAOS. The central chamber of a temple.

NAUMACHIA. A place where mock sea engagements were exhibited.

NECK, or NECKING. The space between the astragal of the shaft and the annulet of the capital.

NYMPHÆUM. An artificial grotto dedicated to the nymphs.

OCTASTYLE. A temple having eight columns in front.

ODEUM. A kind of theatre among the Greeks, wherein poets and musicians rehearsed their compositions.

ÆCUS. A hall or saloon, in a Roman house, used for extensive banquets.

OPISTHODOMUS. The chamber behind the cella, often used as a treasury.

ORCHESTRA. A level space in a theatre, set apart for the chorus.

OVA. Ornaments in the shape of an egg, on the echinus.

OVOLO. A moulding, the section of which is usually the quarter of a circle.

- PALÆSTRA.** A Grecian building, appropriated to wrestling and gymnastic exercises.
- PARASCENTIUM.** Another name for the postscenium in the theatre.
- PARASTAS.** An end pilaster.
- PARODOS.** The grand entrance of the scene of a Greek theatre that conducted on to the stage.
- PEDIMENT.** The triangular termination of the roof of a temple, resting upon the entablature which surrounds the building, and enclosing the tympanum.
- PENETRALE.** The most sacred part of the temple.
- PENETRALIA.** Small chapels dedicated to the Penates, in the innermost part of Roman houses.
- PERIBOLOS.** Enclosure within a wall, surrounding a temple.
- PERIDROMOS.** The space between the columns of a temple and the walls enclosing the cells.
- PERIPTERAL.** A temple encompassed by columns.
- PERISTYLE.** A court which had a colonnade around it; also a range of columns within a court or temple.
- PILASTER.** A square *engaged* pillar, i.e. attached to a wall.
- PISCINA.** A reservoir in the Roman baths for practising swimming.
- PLINTH.** The low square step on which a column is placed.
- PODIUM.** A continued pedestal; a parapet surrounding the arena of an amphitheatre.
- POLYSTYLE.** Of many columns.
- PORTICO.** The covered space in front of a temple, supported by columns.
- POSTICUM.** The covered space behind a temple.
- POSTSCENIUM.** The back part of a theatre.
- PRÆCINCTIO.** The landing which separated and gave access to the ranges of seats in theatres.
- PRODROMOS.** The portico before the entrance to the cell of a temple.
- PRONAOS.** The part of a temple in front of the naos.
- PROPYLÆUM.** A vestibule before a building or temple.
- PROSCENIUM.** The stage in a Grecian theatre.
- PROSTYLE.** A portico in which the columns stand in advance of the building to which they belong.
- PROTHYRUM.** An entrance door.
- PSEUDISODOMUM.** A style of masonry in which the stones are arranged in regular courses of unequal heights.
- PSEUDODIPTERAL.** A temple with eight columns in front, and only one range round the cell.
- PSEUDOPERIPTERAL.** A temple with a range of columns in front, and the columns on the sides engaged in the wall.
- PTERA.** Colonnades which surrounded the cell of the temple.

PTEROMA. The space between the wall of the cell of a temple and the columns of the peristyle, called also *ambulatio*.

PULPITUM. The stage in a Roman theatre.

PULVINAR. The emperor's seat in the circus.

PULVINARIA. Couches provided for the statues of the gods in the temple.

PUTEAL. The marginal stone of a well.

PYCNOSTYLE. An intercolumniation of a diameter and a half.

PYRAMID. A solid square massy edifice, constructed in the form of a pyramid.

PYRAMIDION. The small pyramid which terminates the top of an obelisk.

REGULA. A band below the *tænia* in the Doric architrave.

RETICULATUM. A style of masonry in which the stones were placed diagonally, so as to resemble network.

ROSTRUM. The platform in the Roman forum whence the orators addressed the people, so called from its basement being decorated with prows of ships.

ROTUNDA. A circular building.

RUDERATIO. Applied to a floor paved with pieces of bricks, tiles, stones, &c.

SACELLUM. A small enclosure without a roof, consecrated to a god, containing an altar, and sometimes a statue of a god.

SACRARIUM. A term applied to any place in which sacred things were deposited or kept, whether in a temple or a private house.

SCAPUS. The shaft of a column.

SCHOLA. The margin or platform surrounding a bath.

SCOTIA. The hollow moulding in the base of an Ionic column.

SCROLL. A spiral ornament.

SECOS. The secret chamber in a temple, to which none but the priests had access.

SHAFT. The body of a column or pillar; the part between the capital and base.

SOFFIT. A ceiling; the under side of arches, and other architectural members.

SPANDREL. The triangular space between any arch, and a rectangle formed by the outer mouldings over it.

SPECUS. The conduit or covered channel, through which the water flowed in aqueducts.

SPHÆRISTERUM. A building for the exercise of the ball.

SPINA. A low wall running down the centre of a circus, so called from its resemblance to the position of the dorsal bone in the human frame.

STADIUM. A place for foot races.

STEREOBATE. A basement, distinguished from *stylobate* by the absence of columns.

STOA. A porch, used as a public walk.

STRIÆ. The fillets between the flutes of columns.

STRIGES. The channels of a fluted column.

STYLAGALMATIC. Supported by figure-columns.

STYLOBATE. The basis on which a colonnade is placed.

SUBPLINTH. A second or lower plinth placed under the principal one in columns and pedestals.

SUDATIO
SUDATORIUM } A vapour bath.

SYSTYLE. An intercolumniation of two diameters.

TABLINUM. A hall or chamber at the further end of the atrium, in a Roman house, and separate from it by an aulæum or curtain. In summer it was used as a dining-room.

TÆNIA. The fillet which separates the Doric frieze from the architrave.

TECTORIUM OPUS. The smooth finishing coat of plaster on a wall.

TELAMONES. Figures of men used in the same manner as Caryatides, sometimes called Atlantes.

TEMONES. Places in a temple where statues were placed.

TEPIDARIUM. The temperate hall in a Roman bath.

TERMINUS. A pillar with the bust of a man or god, on the top. It was generally used as a boundary stone.

TESTUDO. An arched roof.

TETRASTOÖN. An atrium or rectangular courtyard, having a colonnade on every side.

TETRASTYLE. A temple with four columns in front.

THERMÆ. A term applied to Roman buildings for public baths, but strictly meaning only warm baths.

TORUS. A large semicircular moulding, used in the bases of columns.

TRACHELIUM. In Doric or Ionic capitals, a short space intervening between the hypotrachelium and the mass of the capital.

TRICLINIUM. A Roman dining-room, in which were three couches, lectus imus, lectus medius, lectus summus, on which the guests reclined at dîner. The table was placed in the centre, and the fourth side was left open for the servants to place on or remove the dishes.

TRIGLYPH. A tablet fluted with three upright grooves, in the Doric frieze.

TRIPOD. A table or seat with three legs.

TROCHILUS. An annular moulding whose section is concave.

TYMPANUM. The triangular space within the cornices of a pediment.

VELARIUM. The awning covering a theatre or an amphitheatre.

VESTIBULUM. The entrance to a Roman house.

VISORIUM. The audience part of an amphitheatre

VOLUTE. A spiral scroll, which forms the principal feature of the Ionic and Composite capitals.

VOMITORIA. Passages facilitating entrance to and egress from a theatre or amphitheatre.

VOUSSOIR. A name for the wedge-shaped stones of an arch.

XENODOCHIUM. A building for the reception of strangers.

XYSTUS. A spacious portico in which athletes exercised themselves during winter; also the garden at the further end of a Roman house.

ZIGZAG. The most primitive style of ornament, and generally indicative of a very early stage in art.

ZOPHORUS. The frieze of an entablature.

ZOTHECA. A small room or alcove, which might be added to, or separated from, the room to which it adjoined.

TABLE OF SOME OF THE PRINCIPAL GREEK AND ROMAN TEMPLES.

Athens . .	Theseion . .	Doric . .	Hexastyle, peripteral, with 12 inter-columns on sides, 46 feet by 105 feet.
„	Parthenon . .	Doric . .	Octastyle, peripteral, 100 feet by 228 feet; Ictinus and Callicrates, architects.
„	Propylæa . .	Doric . .	Hexastyle on both fronts, with wings of a smaller order, at right angles to west front. Mnesicles, architect, 437-432 B.C.
„	Erechthelion .	Ionic . .	Hexastyle, prostyle at east end, with a tetrastyle, diprostyle on north side.
„	Panops . . .	Ionic . .	Tetrastyle, amphiprostyle. A well-known example, though no longer extant, having been destroyed by the Turks since Stuart's time.
„	Nike Apteros .	Ionic . .	Tetrastyle, amphiprostyle. Recently explored, and since rebuilt.
„	Jupiter Olympius	Corinthian	Decastyle, peripteral, hypæthral, columns 60 feet high, 96 feet by 259 feet. Enclosed by a peribolus. A Roman work, originally begun in the time of Pisistratus, continued by Antiochus Epiphanes, and completed by Hadrian.
Eleusis . .	Ceres . . .	Doric . .	A square building of about 180 feet on each side, with a dodecastyle colonnade forming the west front. This temple begun by Ictinus; colonnade added by Philo, architect, about 315 B.C.
„	Propylæum .	Doric . .	Hexastyle on both fronts, with inner Ionic order as at Athens, 50 feet by 60 feet. A second and smaller propylæa within the peribolus, distyle in antis. See 'Unedited Antiquities of Attica.' None of these buildings now remain.

TABLE OF SOME OF THE PRINCIPAL GREEK AND ROMAN
TEMPLES—*continued.*

Thoricus	Doric . .	Eptastyle, peripteral, or with seven columns at each end, and fourteen on each side. No cella remaining; but supposed to have been a double temple, with a passage through the centre, from the sides, dividing the cella into two.
Rhamnus .	Nemesis . .	Doric . .	Hexastyle, peripteral, eleven intercolumns on sides, 33 feet by 70 feet.
"	Themis, or lesser Temp. of Nemesis	Doric . .	Distyle in antis.
Ægina . .	Athene . . .	Doric . .	Hexastyle, peripteral, 41 feet by 90 feet. This structure is celebrated for its polychromy and sculpture (the Æginetan Marbles).
Olympia .	Jupiter Olym- pius	Doric . .	Hexastyle, peripteral, 95 feet by 230 feet. Completed about 435 B.C. Libon, architect.
Bassæ . .	Apollo Epicu- rius	Doric . .	Hexastyle, peripteral, 47 feet by 125 feet. Date, about 430 B.C. Ictinus, architect. In interior, Ionic columns.
Tegea . .	Athene Alea .	Ionic . .	Peripteral. Doric internally; with upper Corinthian order. Scopas, architect.
Nemea . .	Jupiter . .	Doric . .	Hexastyle, peripteral.

MAGNA GRÆCIA AND SICILY.

Pæstum .	Neptune . .	Doric . .	Hexastyle, peripteral, 79 feet by 195 feet.
	Ceres . . .	Doric . .	Hexastyle, peripteral, 47 feet by 107 feet.
Agrigentum	Jupiter Olym- pius	Doric . .	Apteral, or with engaged columns, eptastyle, 182 feet by 369 feet. Wilkins, in his restoration of it, makes this temple hexastyle amphiprostyle.
"	Juno Lucina .	Doric . .	Hexastyle, peripteral, 57 feet by 124 feet.
"	Concord . .	Doric . .	Hexastyle, peripteral, 31 feet by 93 feet. Deep pronaos and opisthodomus.
Segeste . .	"	Doric . .	Hexastyle, peripteral, 76 feet by 190 feet. All the external columns (unfluted) standing, but no remains of cella.
Selinus . .	Great Temple .	Doric . .	Octastyle, dipteral, 160 feet by 330 feet. There are remains of five other temples, two of which appear to have been hexastyle peripteral.
Syracuse .	Minerva . .	Doric . .	Hexastyle, 13 intercolumns on sides: now converted into a church with a modern Italian Corinthian façade.

TABLE OF SOME OF THE PRINCIPAL GREEK AND ROMAN
TEMPLES—*continued*.

ASIATIC GREEK.

Epheesus .	Diana . . .	Ionic . .	Decastyle, dipteral, hypæthral; columns 60 feet high; one of the largest Grecian temples, being 239 feet by 418 feet. Ctesiphon and Metagenes, architects. Date about 340 B.C.
Miletus .	Apollo Didymæus	Ionic . .	Decastyle, dipteral, 164 feet by 303 feet. Columns, 9½ diameters. Pæonius, architect. A peribolus.
Magnesia .	Diana . . .	Ionic . .	Octastyle, pseudo-dipteral, 106 feet by 198 feet. Hermogenes, architect.
Priene . .	Minerva Polias	Ionic . .	Hexastyle, peripteral, 64 feet by 116 feet. Pytheas, architect, about 340 B.C. The order the best example of Asiatic Ionic. This temple had a peribolus and propylæum; the latter tetrastyle, with two rows of square pillars within.
Teos . .	Bacchus . .	Ionic . .	Hexastyle, peripteral. Hermogenes, architect; about the time of Alexander the Great.
Samos . .	Juno . . .	Ionic . .	Decastyle, dipteral; 189 feet by 346 feet.

ROMAN.

Rome . .	Saturn . . .	Ionic . .	Hexastyle. Appears to have been a di-prostyle, but nothing of the cella remains.
"	Fortuna . .	Ionic . .	Tetrastyle, di-prostyle, cella pseudo-peripteral; about 24 feet by 44 feet.
"	Jupiter and Juno	Corinthian	Two separate temples, alongside each other, in centre of a colonnaded peribolus. Similar in dimensions, but the one octastyle, peripteral; the other octastyle, di-prostyle. Erected by Metellus Macedonicus, about 140 B.C. No remains; but the authority is the ancient plan of Rome in the capitol.
"	Castor and Pollux	Corinthian	Supposed to have been octastyle, peripteral. The celebrated "Three Columns," in the Forum, are all that now remain of this very fine example.
"	Vespasian	Corinthian	Octastyle, dipteral; 92 feet by 115 feet. Columns 47 feet high.
"	Mars Ultor .	Corinthian	Of this temple, sometimes called that of Nerva, only three columns remaining; but it is said to have been octastyle, peripteral.
"	Venus and Roma	Corinthian	Decastyle, pseudo-peripteral, enclosed within a peribolus formed by double colonnades of a lesser order.
"	Antoninus and Faustina	Corinthian	Hexastyle, triprostyle; 33 feet by 55 feet.
"	Pantheon . .	Corinthian	An octastyle, triprostyle, attached to a rotunda.
"	Vesta . . .	Corinthian	A circular peripteral of 20 columns.

TABLE OF SOME OF THE PRINCIPAL GREEK AND ROMAN
TEMPLES—*continued*.

Tivoli . .	Vesta, or the Sibyl	Corinthian	A circular peripteral, of 18 columns around cella. The order a very peculiar and fine example.
Præneste .	Fortuna . .	Corinthian	No remains of this celebrated temple itself; but merely of the series of terraces and flights of steps on which it was elevated.
Pompeii .	Jupiter . .	Corinthian	Hexastyle, tetraprostyle; about 50 feet by 110 feet.
Nismes . .	Maison Carrée, or Temple of Caius and Lucius	Corinthian	Hexastyle, triprostyle; order continued along the cella, making it a pseudo-peripteral; 38 feet by 77 feet.
Baalbec. .	Great Temple .	Corinthian	Decastyle, peripteral; 160 feet by 290 feet.
"	Lesser Temple .	Corinthian	Octastyle, peripteral; 118 feet by 225 feet.
Palmyra .	Helios, or the Sun	Corinthian	Octastyle, peripteral; 95 feet by 180 feet. Enclosed within a peribolus about 740 feet square, formed by an outer wall and two ranges of Corinthian columns, making a double colonnade.

Names of Orders.	Base.		Column.		Capital.		Architrave.		Frieze.		Cornice.		Entablature.		Inter-columniation.		Diameter of Column.	
	Dia.	Mod. Ft.	Dia.	Mod. Ft.	Dia.	Mod. Ft.	Dia.	Mod. Ft.	Dia.	Mod. Ft.	Dia.	Mod. Ft.	Dia.	Mod. Ft.	Dia.	Mod. Ft.	d.	in.
Doric.																		
Parthenon at Athens	5	1 0	0	0 28	0	1 14½	0	1 19½	0	0 26	2	0 0	2	0 30	6	1½
Temple of Theseus, Athens	5	1 0	0	1 0	0	1 20	0	1 19½	0	0 25½	2	0 11	2	1 6	6	1½
Great Temple of Festum	4	0 16	0	1 24	0	1 11½	0	1 24	0	0 23	1	1 12	2	0 10	7	0-03
Temple of Apollo, at Delos	5	0 26	0	0 28½	0	1 20	0	1 7	0	1 74	2	0 44	2	0 25
Portico of Philip, do.	6	0 20	0	0 18	0	1 9	0	1 14	0	0 25	1	1 13	3	1 5	2	5-10
Temple at Corinth	5	0 10	0	0 25½	0	1 16	2	0 15
Propyleum at Athens	5	1 0	0	0 23
Portico of Augustus, Athens	6	0 0	0	0 23
Theatre of Marcellus, Rome	7	0 18	0	1 2½	0	1 1	0	1 10	0	1 0½	1	1 21½
Ionic.																		
Temple on the Ilissus	0	1 0	3	0 0	0	1 9½	0	1 25	0	1 19	0	1 2	2	0 16	2	0 15	1	9-4
Temple of Minerva Polias, Athens	0	0 26	3	1 0	0	0 13½	0	1 21½	0	1 19½	0	1 7½	2	0 17½	3	0 0	2	9-4
Temple of Erechtheus, Athens	0	0 23½	0	0 0	0	1 10	1	1 20	2	3-0
Temple of Fortuna Virilis, Rome	0	1 0½	3	1 2½	0	0 20	0	1 3½	0	0 24½	1	0 10½	2	0 17½	2	1 5	3	3
Theatre of Marcellus	0	1 0	3	0 0	0	1 1½	0	1 13	0	0 0½	1	0 6	2	0 25½
Corinthian.																		
Choragic Monument of Lysicrates	0	1 0½	10	0 0	1	0 24	0	1 21	0	1 9½	0	1 20	2	0 20½	2	0 6	1	2
Temple of Jupiter Olympius, Athens	0	0 21	3	1 15	1	0 27	0	1 11½	0	0 27½	0	1 18	1	1 27	3	0 0	2	11-3
Incas tads at Saronica	0	0 26	3	0 16	1	0 11	0	1 16½	0	1 12½	0	1 13½	2	0 7½	3	0 24	2	5-9½
Arch of Titus, Athens	0	0 23	3	1 0	1	0 16	0	1 12½	0	1 10	0	1 18	2	0 14
Temple of Castor and Pollux, Rome	0	0 20	10	0 0½	1	0 6½	0	1 13½	0	1 13½	1	0 9½	2	1 0½	1	1 5	4	10-0
Temple of Vespasian	0	0 23½	10	0 16	1	0 10	0	1 12½	0	1 13	0	1 16½	2	0 11½	1	1 3½	4	8-7½
Portico of Pantheon	0	0 24	9	1 14½	1	0 7½	0	1 12	0	1 9½	0	1 21½	2	0 16½	2	0 16	4	11
Interior of Pantheon	0	0 23½	9	1 4½	1	0 12½	0	1 12½	0	1 12	0	1 21½	2	0 16½	2	0 24	3	8-7½
Temple of Antoninus and Faustina	0	0 24	9	1 6	1	0 8½	0	1 13½	0	1 10½	0	1 21½	2	0 16½	1	1 1½	4	10-2½
Arch of Constantine	0	0 24	9	1 7	1	0 6½	0	1 16	0	1 10	0	1 28½	2	0 23½	2	11-1½
Temple of Mars Ultor	1	0 18	0	1 14	0	1 15	0	1 25½	2	0 20½	3	6
Temple of the Sibyl, Tivoli	0	0 16½	9	0 25	1	0 0	0	1 0	0	1 9½	0	1 3	1	1 12½	2	0 14	2	4½
Composite.																		
Arch of Titus	0	0 23	10	0 6	1	0 14½	0	1 16	0	1 14	1	0 2	2	1 2	2	10-0
Arch of Septimius Severus	0	0 20	9	1 10	1	0 5½	0	1 15	0	0 25½	1	0 8½	2	0 0	2	0-7½

LIST OF OBELISKS.

Situation.	Height.	Thickness.	
		At top.	Below.
	ft. in.	ft. in.	ft. in.
EGYPT.			
Obelisk of Heliopolis. Hieroglyphics. It bears the oval of Osirtasen I. of the XIIIth dynasty. (3064 B.C. Mariette.)	66 6	. .	<div><div>6 1</div><div>N. & S. face</div><div>6 3</div><div>E. & W. face.</div></div>
The Great Obelisks of Karnak Hieroglyphics. Erected by Thotmes I. and the Queen Amun-nou-het. (Ha-ta- su.) (1464 B.C.)	108 10	. .	8 0
The Smaller Obelisks of Karnak Hieroglyphics. Erected by Thotmes I. (1478 B.C.)	70 0	4 5	5 1
Obelisks of Luxor, Larger Smaller, taken to Paris Hieroglyphics. Erected by Rameses II. (1311 B.C.)	82 0 75 0	5 3 5 3	8 0 8 0
Obelisks of Alexandria One standing (Cleopatra's Needle) . . . The other, formerly lying down, now taken to London. (1703 B.C. Mariette.)	71 0 68 5½	7 7 7 5
Hieroglyphics. In the central line they bear the oval of Thotmes III., and in the lateral lines are the ovals of Rameses II.			
Obelisks of Tanis. They are about ten in number, and are all of the time of Rameses II.; some with only one, others with two lines of hieroglyphics. They vary in size: some have a mean diameter of about 5 feet; and when entire, may have been from 50 to 60 feet high. Those at the lower extremity of the avenue, measure about 33 feet.			
Obelisk of Biggig Hieroglyphics. It bears the oval of Osirtasen I. Broken in two parts.	42 9	. .	<div><div>6 9½</div><div>Sides.</div><div>4 0</div></div>
ROME.			
Obelisk of the Vatican Without hieroglyphics. It was erected by Sixtus V. in 1586. It was brought from Heliopolis to Rome in the reign of Caligula, and was placed in the Circus of Nero.	82 9	. .	8 10
Obelisk of S. Maria Maggiore Without hieroglyphics. Was erected in 1587 by Fontana, during the pontificate of Sixtus V. It was one of a pair which originally flanked the entrance of the mausoleum of Augustus.	48 5	2 9	4 3
Obelisk of the Lateran Hieroglyphics. Was erected by Fontana, in the pontificate of Sixtus V., in 1588. It was brought from Heliopolis to Alexandria by Constantine, and was removed to Rome by his son Constan- tius, who placed it on the spina of the Circus Maximus. It bears the ovals of Thotmes III. and Thotmes IV.	105 6	6 2	<div><div>9 8½</div><div>9 0</div></div>

Situation.	Height.	Thickness.	
		At top.	Below.
	ft. in.	ft. in.	ft. in.
Obelisk of the Piazza del Popolo, or Flaminian Hieroglyphics. Was erected by Fontana in 1859, during the pontificate of Sixtus V. It stood originally before the temple of the Sun at Heliopolis. It was removed to Rome by Augustus, and placed in the Circus Maximus. According to Lepsius it bears the oval of Seti I. (Menepthah).	78½ 0	4 5	7 4
Obelisk of the Piazza Navona, or Pamphilian Hieroglyphics. Erected by Bernini in 1651, during the pontificate of Innocent X. A Roman work of the time of Domitian. It was found in the Circus of Romulus.	51 0	2 9	4 5
Obelisk of the Piazza della Minerva . . . Hieroglyphics. Erected in 1667 by Bernini. Of the time of Psammetichus II. (594 B.C.)	17 0	2 0	2 6
Obelisk of the Pantheon . . . Hieroglyphics. Erected in 1711 by Clement XI. Of the time of Rameses II.	17 0	2 1	2 4
Obelisk of the Monte Cavallo . . . Hieroglyphics. Erected in 1786 by Antinori. It formerly stood with that of S. Maria Maggiore, in front of the mausoleum of Augustus.	45 0
Obelisk of the Trinità de' Monti, or Sallustiano Hieroglyphics. Erected by Antinori, in 1789. A Roman imitation of that of the Piazza del Popolo	48 0	2 9	4 3
Obelisk of Monte Citorio . . . Hieroglyphics. Erected by Antinori, in 1792. It was brought to Rome by Augustus from Heliopolis, and placed in the Campus Martius, where it was used as a gnomon. According to Lepsius, it was erected in honour of Psammetichus I.	72 0	4 9	7 9
Obelisk of Monte Pincio, or Barberini. . . Hieroglyphics. It was erected in honour of Antinous, in the name of Hadrian and Sabina.	30 0	2 2	3 9
Obelisk of the Villa Mattei . . . Hieroglyphics. It bears the oval of Rameses II.	26 4	2 2	2 7
CONSTANTINOPLE.			
Obelisk in the Hippodrome, or Atmeidan . Hieroglyphics on two faces. Erected by the Emperor Theodosius. An imitation of an earlier work.	50 0	4 5	7 2
Small Obelisk . . . Hieroglyphics. In the Sultan's garden.	35 0	3 9	5 9
Obelisk at Arles . . . Without hieroglyphics. It was discovered in 1389, and erected in 1675.	55 1	4 5	7 6
Albani Obelisk Executed in Egypt by Sextus Rufus, a Roman prefect. At Munich.			

Situation.	Height.	Thickness.	
		At top.	Below.
	ft. in.	ft. in.	ft. in.
Obelisk at Corfe Castle Bears oval of Euergetes II.	22 0		
Obelisk at Benevento. Hieroglyphics. A Roman imitation of the time of Domitian.			
The Borgian Obelisk. In the Egyptian Museum at Naples. A fragment found at Palestrina. An imitation.			
The Obelisk of Philæ. Of sandstone. It is now erected at King- ston Hall, Dorset, and is the property of Mr. W. J. Bankes. Oval of Euer- getes II.	22 1½	1 5½	2 2
Obelisk of Catania. It is polygonal. A Roman imitation.			
The Obelisks in the British Museum. . . . These two obelisks are fragments. They are of black basalt. Belong to the time of Nectanebo. (381 B.C.)	8 1		
Two small obelisks. Florence	5 10		
Obelisk at Syon House Red granite. Of Amunoph II.	7 3		
Obelisk at Alnwick Sandstone. From a private tomb. Bears a votive inscription.	8 0		

DIMENSIONS IN ENGLISH FEET OF THE PRINCIPAL
AMPHITHEATRES.

	ENTIRE BUILDING.		ARENA.	
	Greater axis.	Shorter axis.	Greater axis.	Shorter axis.
Puzzuoli	626½	475	367	216
Colosseum	616	510½	281	176
Falerii	586	348
Capua	557	458	250	150
Julia Cæsarea	551	289	459	197
Italica (Seville)	513	439½
Verona.	505½	403	248	145½
Tarraco	486	390	277	181
Thysdrus	457	392	253½	188
Pola	452	369½	230	147
Arles	448	352	228	129
Pompeii	445	341	218½	115
Tours	443	393½	223	98½
Nismes	433½	392½	227	126½

SHORT GRECIAN MEASURES OF LENGTH.

										Decimals of a Foot.	Feet.	Inches.
Δάκτυλος0632	"	.7584375
2 Κόδωλος1264	"	1.516875
4 Παλαιστή, Δάρον, Δοχμή, or Δακτυλοδοχμή2528	"	3.03375
8 Διχάς, or Ἡμιπόδιον5056	"	6.0675
10 Διχάς6320	"	7.584375
11 5½ 2½ 1½ 1½ Ὀρθόδορον6952	"	8.3428125
12 6 3 1½ 1½ 1½ Σπιθαμή7584	"	9.10125
16 8 4 3 1½ 1½ 1½ ΠΟΥΣ										1.01125	1	0.135
18 9 4½ 2½ 1½ 1½ 1½ ΠΟΥΣ										1.13766	1	1.651875
20 10 5 2½ 2 1½ 1½ 1½ ΠΥΓΜΟΝ										1.264	1	3.16875
24 12 6 3 2½ 2½ 2½ 1½ ΠΗΧΥΣ										1.5169	1	6.2025
72 36 18 9 7½ 6½ 6 4½ 4 3½ 3 ΕΛΛΟΝ										4.5506	4	6.6075
96 48 24 12 9½ 8½ 8 6 5½ 4½ 4 1½ ὈΡΓΑΝΙΑ										6.0675	6	0.81

SHORT ROMAN MEASURES OF LENGTH.

—										Decimals of a Foot.	Feet.	Inches.
Digitus60675	"	.7281
1½	UNCIA or Pollex0809	"	.9708
4	3	Palmus2427	"	2.9124
12	9	3	Palmus Major (of late times)7281	"	8.7372
16	12	4	1½	Pes9708	"	11.6496
20	15	5	1¾	1½	Palmipes	1.2135	1	2.562
24	18	6	2	1¾	1½	CUBITUS	.	.	.	1.4562	1	5.4744

APPROXIMATE VALUES.—The Greek foot, cubit, and orguia only exceed the English foot, foot and a half, and fathom, by about 1-10th, 2-10ths, and 8-10ths of an inch respectively. The Roman uncia, pes, and cubitus only fall short of our inch, foot, and foot and a half, by less than 1-10th, 4-10ths, and 6-10ths of an inch respectively.

INDEX.

- ABACUS**, 59.
Abbreviations. *See* Sigla.
Aboo Simbel, 31.
Abraxas, 398, 400.
Achates, 423.
Achilles, 241; statue of, 242.
 ——— delivering Briseis to the Heralds, 273.
Adamas, 410.
Adytum, 24.
Æginetan style, 155, 159.
Æs, 250; **Candidum**, 250; **Corinthian**, 250.
Æsculapius, 235; statues of, 235.
Aetos, **Aetoma**, 32.
Agate, 423.
Agatho dæmon, 195, 201.
Age of Painted Vases:
 according to Dr. Birch, 298.
 " " Millingen, 298.
 " " Kramer, 299.
Agoracritus, 169.
Agoræ, 105; of Athens, 105.
Alabanda, 418.
Alabastron, 322.
Alæ, 18.
Alcamenes, 169.
Aldobrandine Marriage, 275.
Almandine Garnet, 417.
Alphabets, Egyptian, 445; Greek, 455, 458.
Altare, 54.
Altars, 53; Egyptian, 53; Greek, 53; Roman, 54.
Alto rilievo, 243, 244.
 of the Parthenon, 244.
Amazon stone, 420.
Amazons, 241; statue of, 241.
Amethyst, 413, 415; **Oriental**, 416.
Ἀμφίθαλαμος, 16.
Ἀμφικίων, 45.
Amphiprostyle, 45.
Amphitheatres, Etruscan, 91; Roman, 91; form of, 91: **Flavium**, 93; **Capua**, 94; **Verona**, 94; **Pola**, 94; **Tysdrus**, 94.
Amphitrite, 211.
Amphora, 317.
Amset, 134.
Amun, 184.
Amymone, 211.
Anacoluthon, 435.
Anadyomene of Apelles, 259.
Anaglypta, 243.
Andronitis, 16.
Androsphinx, 195.
Animals, Egyptian, 199.
 Etruscan, 204.
Annulus signatorius, 406; **bigem-
meus**, 406; **pronubus**, 407.
Antæ, 45.
Antinous, statue of, 182.
Antiptosis, 435.
Apelles, 259.
Ἀφροίσις, 98.
Aphrodite, 219.
Apodyterium, 99.
Apollo, 212; bust of, 213; statues, 213; **Sauroctonos**, 172; **Pythian**, 172, 213; **Belvedere**, 181, 213; **Citharædus**, 213.
Apollodorus, 258.
Ἀποξυόμενος, 176, 181.
Apop, 201.
Appianum, 262.
Apse, or **apsis**, 51.
Apteral, 45.
Aqua. *See* **Aqueducts**.
Aquamarine, 416.
Aqueducts, 120; Greek, 120; Roman,

- 120; Anio Vetus, 122; Aqua Marcia, 122; Tepula, 122; Julia, 123; Virgo, 123; Alsietina, 123; Claudia, 123; Anio Novus, 123; Trajana, 124; Alexandrina, 124; Argentia, 124; Pont du Gard, 124; Segovia, 124; Tarragona, 124.
- Ara, 54.
- Aræostyle, 46.
- Arch, 113, 114; brick arch at Thebes, 115; of Cloaca Maxima, 117; in Campbell's tomb, 117; in Etruria, 117.
- Arches, triumphal, 102; of Drusus, 103; of Titus, 103; of S. Severus, 104; of Constantine, 104; of Janus, 104; of Trajan, 104.
- Archaic style, 14.
- Architecture, 1.
 Egyptian, 3, 23.
 Greek, 4, 31.
 Roman, 5, 40.
- Architrave, 59.
- Area, 95.
- Arena, 91.
- Arenatum, 275.
- Ares, 218.
- Armenium, 262.
- Artemis, 214.
- Artists, ancient, 349.
 three classes, 350.
- Aryballos, 323.
- Asaroton, 277.
- Ascos, 322.
- Askh, 148.
- Asteria, 419.
- Astriferi, 335.
- Astrion, 419.
- Astrological gems, 394.
- Ἄστυλος, 45.
- Atef, 189.
- Athene, 215.
 — Promachus, 165.
- Athla, 297.
- Athlete, by Lysippus, 176.
- Athletes, 162.
- Atrium, 17; five kinds of atria, 17.
- Augustus, statue of, 181.
- Aulæa, 88.
- Aulina, 21.
- Avanturine, 424; green, 424.
- BACCHANTE, 172, 231.
- Bacchus, 225; bust of, 227; statues of, 227.
- Baking of vases, 289.
- Βαλαρεῖον, 98.
- Balas ruby, 414.
- Balneæ, 98.
- Balneum, 98.
- Basalt, basaltæ, 429.
- Basilica, 51; of Trajan, 51; of Maxentius, 52; Julia, 52; of Treves, 52; at Pompeii, 52.
- Bas-relief, 284, 244, 246; Egyptian, 244; Etruscan, 244; Greek and Roman, 244; of the Parthenon, 246; painted, 254; on sarcophagi, 248.
- Basso-relievo, 243.
- Bast, 194.
- Baths. *See* Thermæ.
- Belvedere Torso, 177.
 — Mercury, 224.
- Bennu, 197.
- Beryllus, 416.
- Beryl, 416; yellow, 417.
- Bibliotheca, 21.
- Bilingual inscription, 434.
- Black, 263.
 used by the Egyptians, 254.
- Blue, 262.
 used by the Egyptians, 253.
- Bombylios, 322.
- Boustrophedon, 437.
- Bricks, Egyptian, 14; Greek, 14; Roman, 15.
- Bridges, 111; Greek, 111; Roman, 111.
- Bronze, 250; Corinthian, 251.
 of Delos and Ægina, 251.
- Brown, 263.
- Buccinum, 263.
- Bust, 205.
- Βωμὸς, 53.
- CABOCHON, 334.
- Cadmus, 455.
- Cadmian alphabet, 455.
- Cæruleum, 262.
- Calamis, 161.
- Calathus, 59.
- Caldarium, 99.
- Calida lavatio, 99.

- Callais, Callaina, 428.
 Calliope, 234.
 Calpis, 321.
 Camei, 329, 334, 368.
 Cameo, 333; derivation, 333.
 of Ste. Chapelle, 364.
 Gemma Augustea, 365,
 Ptolemy Philadelphus and Arsinoe, 366.
 Tazza Farnese, 366.
 Ptolemy and Berenice, 367.
 Jupiter Ægiochus, 368.
 Carpegna, 368.
 Canachus, 161.
 Canates, 59, 121.
 Canephoræ, 63.
 Canons of proportion :
 Egyptian, 151.
 of Polycletus, 162, 176.
 Canopi, 133.
 Cantharus, 321.
 Capital, 57.
 Capitoline marbles, 498.
 Capitulum, 57.
 Capricci, 335.
 Capsarii, 99.
 Carbunculus Indicus, 413.
 — Garamanticus, 417.
 Carbuncle, 417.
 Carceres, 92, 95.
 Carchesium, 321.
 of St. Denys, 374.
 Carnelian, 421, 422.
 Carrara marble, 250.
 Cartouche, 197, 445.
 Caryatides, 63.
 Castellum, 122.
 Castor, 242.
 Cauliculi, 59.
 Cauterium, 264.
 Cavædium, 17.
 Cavea, 86, 92.
 Celebe, 321.
 Cella, 32.
 Cenotaph, 145.
 Centaur, 232; statues, 233.
 Cerachates, 424.
 Ceras, 322.
 Ceres, 321; statues of, 212.
 Cerussa, 264.
 Cimon of Cleonæ, 258.
 Cippus, 137, 148.
 Chalcedonius, 421.
 Chalcedony, 421.
 Χαλκὸς, 250.
 Characteristics of the human form
 adopted by Greek sculptors,
 172.
 of Greek gems, 392.
 of Roman gems, 395.
 Chares, 176.
 Charites, 234.
 Charun, 203.
 Χαράνιοι κλίμακες, 88.
 Chilon, 206.
 Doric Ionic, 206.
 Chimæra, Etruscan, 204.
 Chimæræ, 335.
 Chlamys, 206.
 Christian inscriptions, 521, 528.
 Chronological signs, 454.
 Chryselephantine statues, 164.
 Chrysoberyl, 414.
 Chrysoberyllus, 417.
 Chrysocolla, 262.
 Chrysolite, 414.
 Chrysolithos, 414.
 Chrysoprase, 420.
 Chrysoprasius, 420.
 Chrysopteron, 414.
 Χώματα, 136.
 Cinerary urn, 145.
 Cinnabaris, 261.
 Circular temples, 47.
 Circumlitio, 265.
 Circus, 95; Maximus, 96.
 of Romulus, 96; Flaminius, 96.
 Agonalis, 96; of Flora, 97.
 Apollinaris, 97; of Nero, 97.
 Classes of Etruscan vases, 286.
 Clipeus, 99.
 Clio, 234.
 Cochlis, columnna, 69.
 Cocumella, 141.
 Coelon, 262.
 Collections, glyptographic, ancient,
 347; modern, 375.
 Colosseum, 93.
 Colossi, Egyptian, 151.
 Memnon, 151; Rameses II., 151.
 Colossus of Rhodes, 176.
 Colours, used by the Egyptians, 253;

by the Greeks, 261; by the Romans, 276; in painting vases, 288.
 Colouring architecture, 50.
 statues, 264.
 Columbarium, 145.
 Columna cochlis, 69
 — rostrata, 71.
 Columns, 54; Egyptian, 55; Greek, 57; Roman, 64; Greek Doric, 59; Ionic, 61; Corinthian, 63; Roman Doric, 64; Ionic, 65; Corinthian, 65; Composite, 68; Etruscan, 68; monumental, 69; naval, 71; milliary, 71; of Trajan, 69; of Antoninus, 70; Pompey, 70; Phocas, 71; of Duilius, 71.
 Compluvium, 17.
 Composite columns, 68.
 — arches, 68.
 Concamerata sudatio, 99.
 Conduit, 121.
 Consulship on inscriptions, 497.
 Corinthian atrium, 17.
 — column, 63; 65.
 — temples, 38.
 Cornice, 59.
 Coronis, 59.
 Corundum, 410.
 Costume, Greek, 206.
 Roman, 208.
 Cotyliskos, 323.
 Crater, 321.
 Creta viridis, 262.
 Crio-sphinx, 196.
 Crobylos, 212, 220.
 Crowns of the Pharaohs, 188.
 of Osiris, 189.
 Crusta adamantis, 336, 411.
 Crystallum, 418.
 Cubicula, 20.
 Cunei, 86, 92.
 Cupid, 233.
 of Praxiteles, 170.
 Cupra, 202.
 Cups, 374; of St. Denys, 374.
 Brunswick, 374.
 Cyathus, 321.
 Cybele, 235.
 Cyclopean walls, 6.
 Cylinders, Egyptian, 383.
 Cylix, 322.

Cymatium, 59.
 Cymbe, 323.
 Cymophane, 419.
 Cynocephalus, 201.
 DACTYLIOGLYPHS, 332.
 Dactyliography, 332.
 Dactyliologia, 332.
 Dactyliotheca, 332.
 Dædalean style, 155, 157.
 Dates, 454.
 on inscriptions, Egyptian, 449.
 Greek, 454; Roman, 497;
 Christian, 527.
 Decadence. *See* Decline.
 Decastyle, 46.
 Decline of art, 147, 155.
 of Egyptian sculpture, 148.
 of Greek sculpture, 178.
 of painting, 260.
 of vase painting, 316.
 Decursoria, 111.
 Deities, Egyptian, 183.
 under three forms, 183.
 Etruscan, 202.
 Greek and Roman, 204.
 Demeter, 211.
 Demotic writing, 447.
 when first used, 447.
 Dendrachates, 424.
 Determinatives in hieroglyphs, 444.
 Dextræ, 407.
 Diadumenos, 162.
 Diamond, 411.
 Diana, 214; statues of, 214; Lucifera, Lucina Triformis, 214; of the Ephesians, 214.
 Diastyle, 46.
 Διατόνοι, 5.
 Διαζώματα, 86.
 Dictyotheton, 12.
 Didoron, 14.
 Digamma, 456.
 Dionysus, 225.
 Dioscorides, 329, 351.
 Dioscuri, 242.
 Διπλοῖς, 206.
 Δίπτερος, dipteral, 45.
 Dirce, 177.
 Discobolus, 181.
 Displuviatum, atrium, 17.

- Distemper, 264, 276.
 Divus, 497.
 Doric column, 59, 64; Greek, 59;
 Roman, 64.
 Doryphorus, 162.
 Drill (terebra), 330.
 Δρόμος, 24.
 Duilian column, 71, 512.
 Dying Gladiator, 177.
 Dynasts, 197.
 Ἡχεῖα, 88.
 Echinus, 59.
 Editor, 92.
 Ἔδος, 33.
 Egg and tongue ornament, 296.
 Ἐγκαυστική, 264.
 Egyptian architecture, 223.
 sculpture, 148.
 painting, 253.
 glyptic art, 323.
 deities, 183.
 palæography, 443.
 inscriptions, 449.
 Elæothesium, 99.
 Ellipsis, 435.
 Embalming, mode of, 130.
 Emerald, 412.
 oriental, 412.
 Ἡμιδιπλοῖδια, 206.
 Emplecton, 512.
 Encaustic, 264.
 Ἐνδύματα, 206.
 Engraved stones, 324.
 origin and history, 327.
 materials, 330.
 knowledge and tests, 335.
 subjects of, 343.
 glyptographic collections, ancient,
 347; modern, 375.
 ancient artists, 347, 349.
 celebrated, 364.
 Egyptian, 378.
 Etruscan, 384.
 Greek, 390.
 Roman, 394.
 Mithraic and Gnostic, 397.
 Enigmatic, class of hieroglyphs, 444.
 Entablature, 59.
 Ἐντασις, 57.
 Ἐπιβλήματα, 206.
 Epistylum, 59.
 Epitaphs, Greek, 477; Roman, 518;
 Etruscan, 487; Christian, 528;
 of Scipio, 514; of Syphax, 514; of
 Bibulus, 515.
 Ἐπίθημα, 138.
 Epochs of sculpture:
 Egyptian, 148.
 Etruscan, 155.
 Greek, 155.
 of Painted Vases, 305.
 Earliest or Primitive, 305.
 Early or Egyptian, 306.
 Archaic Greek, 307.
 Transitional, 309.
 The Beautiful, 309.
 Florid, 312.
 Decadence, 316.
 Erato, 234.
 Erinyes, 236.
 Eros, 233.
 Ἡρώα, 137.
 Ἐσχάραι, 53.
 Essonite, 417.
 Etruscan architecture, 39.
 sculpture, 153.
 deities, 202.
 painting, 257.
 glyptic art, 384.
 palæography, 478.
 inscriptions, 486.
 language, 478.
 temples, 39.
 Etruscan column, 68.
 intagli, 384.
 Eugubian tables,
 Eumenides, 236.
 Euphranor, 176.
 Euripus, 96.
 Eustyle, 46.
 Euterpe, 234.
 Eutyches, 341, 352.
 Evodus, 352.
 Exedra, 21.
 FARNESE Bull, 177.
 Hercules, 177.
 Mercury, 224.
 Tazza, 367.
 Fastigium, 32.
 Fasti consulares, 498.

- Fasti Verriani**, 498.
Fates, 236.
Fauces, 18.
Faun of Praxiteles, 172, 181.
Fauns, 228.
 statues of, 230.
Fenestræ, 21.
Fighting gladiator, 178.
Fistula, 120.
Flora, 238; statue of, 238.
Fluor spar, 424.
Fora, 105.
 Venalia, 107.
Fortune, 236.
 statue, 237.
Forum, 105; civile, 106; Roman
 Forum, 106; Julium, 107;
 Augusti, 107; of Trajan, 107; of
 Vespasian, 107; of Nerva, 107; of
 Pompeii, 107.
Fratres Arvales, 490.
Fresco, 264.
Frieze, 59.
 of the temple of Theseus, 161.
 of the Parthenon, 167.
 of the temple of Apollo, 169.
Frigidarium, 99.
Funereal inscriptions:
 Greek, 465.
 Etruscan, 487.
 Roman, 500.
 Christian, 521.
Furiæ, 236.
GARNET, 417.
 Pyrope, Almandine, Siriam, hya-
 cinthine, 417.
 varieties of, 418.
Gates, gateways, 113.
 at Segni, 114.
 Cœniade, 116.
 Arpino, 114.
 Pompeii, 118.
 of Rome, 118.
 of Athens, 119.
 See also Portæ.
Genii of Amenti, 134, 188.
Γῆ σφηματρίς, 431.
Glaze of painted vases, 288.
Glyptic art, Egyptian, 378.
 Etruscan, 384.
Glyptic art:
 Greek, 390.
 progress and decline of, 391.
 Roman, 394.
Glyptography, 324.
 of ancient nations, 377.
 See Engraved stones.
Glyptographic collections:
 ancient, 347.
 modern, 375.
Gnostic gems, 397.
Graces, the, 234.
Gradus, 92, 95.
Granite, 429.
Γραφική, 258.
Greek architecture, 4, 16, 31, 57, 84.
 sculpture, 155.
 deities, 208.
 painting, 257.
 glyptic art, 390.
 mosaics, 277.
 painted vases, 287, 307.
 inscriptions, 453.
Green, 262.
 used by the Egyptians, 253.
Gremium, 108.
Group, 205.
Gryllus, 334.
Guarnaccino, 417.
Gynæceum, 16.
Gynæconitis, 16.
HADES, 236.
Hadrian, statue of, 182.
Hæmachates, 424.
Hæmatite, 428.
Hæmatites, 428.
Hall of RhameSSION, 26.
 hypostyle, 27.
Hapi, 134, 201.
Harmachis, 197.
Harpy monument, 138, 159.
Hebe, 239.
Hecate, 214.
Helices, 59.
Heliotrope, 420.
Heliotropium, 427.
Helix, 296.
Hephæstus, 215.
Hera, 210.
Hermæ, 72.

- Hercules**, 239.
 statues of, 240.
 Belvedere, 177, 239.
 Farnese, 177, 240.
Hermaphroditus, 233.
Hermes, 205, 223.
Hestia, 225.
Het, 188.
Hexastyle, 46.
Hieraco-sphinx, 196.
Hieratic writing, 447.
 invention of, 447.
 how used, 447.
Hieroglyphic writing, 443.
 five classes, 444.
 arrangement of, 446.
 antiquity of, 446.
 numbers of signs used, 446.
Hieron, 32.
Himation, 206.
Hinthial, 204.
Hippodrome, 97.
Historical inscriptions :
 Parian chronicle, 461.
 Sigeon, 464.
 Potidæan, 455.
 Fasti consulares, 498.
 Kalendarium Prænestinum, 498.
 Monumentum Ancyranum, 498.
 — Adulitanum, 438.
Holcion, 321.
Horhat, 201.
Horizontal walls, 7.
Horta, 203.
Horus, 194.
Houses, 15.
 Egyptian, 16.
 Greek, 16.
 Roman, 17.
Human figure, Egyptian method of drawing, 254.
Hyacinthine garnet, 415, 417, 418.
Hyacinths, 415.
Hyacinthos, 413.
Hydria, 321.
Hygeia, 235.
Hymettus, marble of, 249.
Hymn of the Fratres Arvales, 490.
Hypæthral, 45.
Hypergæan tombs, 125.
Hypocaustum, 100.
Hypogæan tombs, 125.
Hypostyle hall, 25, 27.
Hypotrachelium, 59.
Hysginum, 263.
IASPACHATES, 423.
Iaspis, 427.
Ibis, 201.
Iconic statues, 162.
Iconographic class of hieroglyphs, 444.
Ideographic signs, 444.
Ἰερὸν, 32.
Imitations of painted vases, 305.
 — of inscriptions on gems, 342.
 — of gems, 430.
Imperator, Emperor, 496.
Impluvium, 17.
Impressions of gems, 430.
In antis, 45.
Indigo, indicum, 262.
Inscriptions. *See also* Palæography.
 inscribed, engraved, laid on, 433.
 bilingual, 434.
 text, style, 435.
 metrical, 435.
 Religious; Historical, 436.
 Scientific; Funereal; Christian, 437.
 History, 437.
 collections, 442.
 Egyptian, 443.
 most celebrated, 449.
 Greek, 453.
 monumental, 461.
 commemorative, 463.
 bearing decrees, treaties, 460, 464.
 Προσκυνήματα, 464.
 funereal, 465.
 Sigla, 467.
 examples of Greek inscriptions, 469.
 Etruscan, 477.
 at Perugia, 480.
 votive inscriptions, 484.
 funereal, 484.
 Roman, 488.
 hymn of the Fratres Arvales, 490.
 Duilian column, 492.
 on Sarcophagus of Scipio, 492.

Inscriptions, votive :

connected with religious worship, 494.

historical, 495.

Fasti consulares, 498.

Kalendarium Prænestinum, 498.

Monumentum Ancyranum, 498.
funereal, 500.

Sigla, 503.

examples of Roman inscriptions, 512.

Christian, 521.

funereal, 521.

symbols used, 522.

formulae, 523.

De Rossi's classification, 523.

grammatical peculiarities, 524.

names found in them, 526.

Sigla, 527.

examples of Christian inscriptions, 528.

Inscriptions, celebrated :

of Adulis, 438.

Rosetta stone, 449.

of Abydos, 450.

of Karnak, 450.

of Memphis, 451.

of Tanis, 452.

Parian chronicle, 461.

of Aboo Simbel, 463, 470.

on bronze serpent, 463, 471.

Sigeon, 464, 469.

on bronze tablet, 464.

Potidæan, 472.

of Perugia, 480.

hymn of the *Fratres Arvales*, 490.

on *Duilian* column, 492, 512.

on sarcophagus of *Scipio*, 492, 514.

Eugubian tables, 492.

Fasti consulares, 498.

Kalendarium Prænestinum, 498.

on arch of *Titus*, 516.

on column of *Trajan*, 516.

on arch of *Septimius Severus*, 516.

on arch of *Gallienus*, 517.

on arch of *Constantine*, 517.

Inscriptions on painted vases, 291.

on Greek gems, 338.

on Etruscan, 387.

on Roman, 396.

Intagli, celebrated, 369.

Egyptian, 382.

Etruscan, 384.

Greek, 369.

Roman, 394.

Intaglio, 333.

rilevato, 244.

Ionic column, 61, 65.

Greek, 61.

Roman, 65.

Iris, 238.

Irregular horizontal walls, 7.

Isiac table, 452.

Isis, 186, 187,

Isodomum, 5.

JADE, 425,

Jacinths, 415.

Jasper, 426 ; green, 427.

Jaspis, 421.

Judgment of the soul, 135.

Juno, 210 ; busts of, 210.

statues of, 210.

Pronuba Sospita, 210.

of *Polycletus*, 162.

Jupiter, 208 ; bust of, 209.

statues, 209.

Olympius, *Meilichios*, *Orkios*, 209.

Olympius of *Phidias*, 164.

Serapis, 209.

Ægiochus, 368.

KABHSENUF, 134.

Kalendarium Prænestinum, 498.

Καλὸς on painted vases, 291.

Καῦσις, 264, 266.

Κερκίδες, 86.

Khem, 184.

Kings, Egyptian, 197.

Kionedon, 458.

Κίονες, 137.

Kirchoff's division of Greek alphabet. 458.

Κλίμακες, 86.

Κλιμακτῆρες, 88.

Kneph, 399.

Köhler's division of scarabæi, 385.

Koilanaglypha, 244.

Κοῖλον, 86.

Κοιμητήριον, 521.

Κόλπος, 206.

Koppa, 457.
Kúavos, 262.
 Ku-kufa, 188.
 Kulmu, 203.

LABRUM, 99.
 Laconicum, 99.
 Landscape painting, 271.
 Laocoon, 177.
 Lapidary style, 460.
 Lapides, 71.
 Lapis lazuli, 427.
 Lapis ruber, 10.
 Albanus, Gabinus, Tiburtinus, 10.
 Lararium, 18.
 Lasa, 203.
 Lateres, crudi, cocti, 15.
 Latin alphabet, 489.
 — language, 493.
 — tablets of Iguvium, 492.
 Lavacrum, 99.
 Lecythi, sepulchral, 315.
 Lecythus, 322.
 Lekane, 322.
 Lepaste, 322.
 Lepsius on the Pyramids, 81.
 on Latin language, 493.
 Leucachates, 424.
 Lighting of Temples :
 modes of, 47.
 according to Fergusson, 47.
 Lithocollesis, 332.
 Lithoglyphi, 331.
 Lithostroton, 277.
 Loculi, 145.
Λογείον, 87.
 Losna, 203.
Λουτρόν, 99.
 Lucina, 214.
 Ludius, Marcus, 268.
Λυκάβαρτος, 462.
 Luni, marble of, 249.
 Lychneum, 249.
 Lychnis, 414.
 Lyncurium, 415.
 Lysicrates, monuments of, 176.
 Lysippus, 175.

MÆANDER, 296.
 Mænades, 311.
 Mænianum, 92.

Malachite, 427.
 Mania, 203.
 Mantus, 203.
 Manufactories of painted vases, 303.
 Manufacture of painted vases, 287.
 Marble, 249; Parian, Pentelic, Hy-
 mettan, of Thasos, Luni, 249.
 Marmoratum, 275.
 Mars, 218; statues of, 219.
 Masonry, 4; Greek, 4; Italian, 5;
 Roman, 12; according to Canina,
 12.
 Materials of sculpture, 249.
 — used in the glyptic art, 332.
 Mausoleum :
 of Halicarnassus, 139, 172.
 of Hadrian, 143.
 Maut, 184.
 Mean, 203.
 Meleager, 242; statue of, 242.
 Melinum, 263.
 Melpomene, 234.
 Memnon, 151.
 Menrva, 202.
 Méplat, 336.
 Mercury, 223; bust, 225; statues of,
 225.
 Meta, 95.
 Methods of painting fresco, distemper,
 encaustic, 264.
 Metopes of Selinus, 159.
 of Temple of Theseus, 162.
 of the Parthenon, 167.
 Mezzo-relievo, 243, 246.
 in gems, 333.
 Milliaria, 71.
 Milliarium aureum, 71.
Μίλτος, 261.
 Minerva, 215; statues of, 218.
 of Phidias, 164.
 Farnese, 166.
 Minium, 261, 265.
 Mithraic gems, 397.
 Mithras, 397.
 Moabite stone, 456.
 Moiræ, 236.
 Molochites, 427.
 Monogram of Christ, 522.
 Monogrammon, 258.
 Monopteral, 47.
 Monument of Lysicrates, 176.

- Monumentum**, 142.
 Adulitanum, 438.
 Ancyranum, 498.
Monuments of architecture, 1.
Morio, 418.
Mortar, 13 ; **Roman**, 13.
Mosaic, 276 ; **Egyptian**, 277 ; **Greek**, 277 ; **Roman**, 281 ; of the Capitol, 278 ; of Dioscorides, 278 ; of Acratus, 279 ; pavement, 280 ; of Palestrina, 281 ; of Pompeii, 281 ; of Heracitus, 281.
Mummies, 128.
 Egyptian, 130.
 Greek, 132.
Mummification, why adopted by the Egyptians, 130.
Mummy cases, 132.
Mural painting, 272, 275.
Murex, 263.
Murrha, 424.
Muses, 234 ; statues of, 235
Myron, 161.
Mythology of sculpture :
 Egyptian, 183.
 Etruscan, 202.
 Greek and Roman, 205

Ναῖδια, 137.
Naos, 32.
Nathum, 204.
Naumachia, 97.
 of Augustus, 97.
 of Domitian, 97.
 of Claudius, 97.
Naval column, 71.
Naxium, 330.
Necro-Corinthia, 300.
Necropolis, 142.
Neith, 184.
Nemesis, 169, 238.
Nephrite, 425.
Nepthys, 186.
Neptune, 211 ; bust of, 211 ; statues of, 211.
Nethuns, 203.
Nicias, 260.
Nicolo, 422.
Nike, 238.
Nilion, 425.
Niobe, 172.

Nomenclature of painted vases :
 English and Italian, 323.
Nortia, 203.
Nucleus, 108.
Numbers, Greek, 462.
Νόστος, 156, 251.
OBELISKS, 73.
 Egyptian, 73.
 Greek, 75.
 Roman, 76.
 of Heliopolis, 453.
 inscription on, 453.
Obsidian, 429.
Obsidianum, 429.
Ochre (ὄχρα), 262.
Octostyle, 46.
Œci, 21.
Œnochoë, 321.
Odeum, 90.
 of Pericles, 90.
 of Herodes Atticus, 90.
Ollæ, 145.
Olpe, 321.
Olympiads, Greek and Roman, 461.
Onyx, oriental alabaster, 422.
Opalus, opal, 419.
Opera Tuscanica, 153.
Ophites, 429.
Opisthodomos, 32, 33.
Oppidum, 95.
Opus, quadratum, 10.
 incertum, 4, 11.
 reticulatum, 4, 12.
 lateritium, 12.
 musivum, 276.
 sectile, 277.
 tessellatum, 277.
Orchestra, 86, 87.
Oriental emerald, 412.
 topaz, 414,
 amethyst, 416.
 carnelian, 421.
Ornaments on painted vases, 295.
Orpheus, 242.
Ostia, 95.
Ostium, 263.
Oval, Royal Egyptian, 197.
 containing names and titles of kings, 448.
 of Rameses II., 448.

- PÆDEROS**, 416, 419.
Pæonios, 169.
Painted vases. *See* Vases.
Painting, 252.
 Egyptian, 253.
 on bas-reliefs, 254.
 on walls, 256.
 on wood, 256.
 portraits, 256.
 Etruscan, 257.
 Greek, 257.
 methods of, 264.
 Roman, 268.
 at Pompeii, 269.
 Herculaneum, 271.
 Baths of Titus, 271.
 mural, 272.
 of vases, 287.
Palæography, 431.
 aim and utility, 431.
 materials, 433.
 relative importance of inscriptions, 434.
 critical knowledge, 435.
 classification, 436.
 history of, 437.
 Egyptian, 443.
 Etruscan, 477.
 Greek, 453.
 Roman, 488.
 Christian, 521.
Palamedes, 455.
Palla, 208.
Pallas of Velletri, 166, 217.
 Promachos, 217.
Pan, 230.
Panathenaic vases:
 subjects on, 297, 307.
Panels, 261.
Papyri, 135.
Παρασκήνια, 88.
Parian chronicle, 461.
 — marble, 249.
Parrhasius, 259.
Parthenon, 85.
Pasht, 164.
Paste of painted vases, 287.
Pediment, 32; of the Parthenon, 167.
 of temple of Jupiter at Olympia, 169.
Pelasgic wall, 6.
Pelasgic alphabet, 455.
Pelice, 320.
Pentadoron, a brick, 14.
Pentelic marble, 249.
Peplos, 208.
Περύβολος, 32.
Peridot, 415.
Periods of art:
 Egyptian, 148.
 Etruscan, 153.
 Greek, 155.
 Dædalean, 157.
 Æginetan, 159.
 Phidian, 163.
 Praxitelean, 170.
 Decline, 178.
 Roman, 179.
Peripteral, 45, 47.
Peristyle, 16, 18.
Persephone, 236.
Perseus, 242.
Petasis, 206.
Phaleræ, 334.
Phiale, 322.
Phidian style, 155, 163.
Phoenix, 197.
Phonetic, class of hieroglyphs, 445.
Phtah, 185.
Phuphluns, 203.
Pinacotheca, 21.
Πίνakes, 261.
Piscinæ, 121.
Plans of temples, 44.
Plasma, 420.
Plinth, 57.
Pluto, 236.
Podium, 92.
Pollux, 242.
Polyandrion, 136.
Polychrome vases, 315.
Polychromy, 264.
Polycletus, 162.
Polygnotus, 258.
Polygonal walls, 6.
Polyolithic sculpture, 250.
Polymnia, 234.
Poniatowsky gems, 342.
Pontes, 111.
 Sublicius, 111.
 Æmilius, 112.
 Fabricius, Cestius, 112.

Pontes :

Aurelius, 112.
 Triumphalia, 112.
 Ælius, 112.
 Milvius, 113.
 Narniensis, 113.
 of Trajan, 113.
 of Alcantara, 113.
 Porch, 45.
 Πορφύρα, 263.
 Porphyry, 429.
 Porphyrites, 429.
 Portæ, 118.
 Porta triumphales, 95.
 Porticoes, 45.
 Poseidon, 211.
 Posticum, 32.
 Postscenium, 89.
 Potidæan inscription, 454, 472.
 Potteries, 302.
 Præcinctio, 89, 92.
 Præcinctiones, 86.
 Prase, 420.
 Prasius, 420.
 — sanguineis punctis, 420.
 Praxitelean style, 155, 170.
 Primitive tombs, 140.
 Prochous, 321.
 Πρόδρομος, 32.
 Pronaos, 32.
 Propylon, 24.
 Proserpine, 236.
 Προσκήριον, 87.
 Proscenium, 89.
 Προσκήνημα, 464.
 Προστάς, 16.
 Prostyle, 45.
 Πρόστυλος, 45.
 Prothyrum, 17.
 Protogenes, 260.
 Pschent, 188.
 Pseud-isodonum, 5.
 Pseudo-dipteral, 45.
 Pseudo-peripteral, 45.
 Ψήφισμα, 460.
 Psyche, 233.
 Pteromata, 46.
 Pulpitum, 87, 89.
 Pulvinar, 95.
 Punctum lapidis, 336, 411.
 Purple, 262.

Purple, Tyrian, 263.
 Purpurissimum, 263.
 Puzzolana, 521.
 Pycnostyle, 46.
 Pylon, 24.
 Pyramids, 77.
 Egyptian, 78.
 Etruscan, 83.
 Greek, 83.
 Roman, 83.
 of Cheops, 78.
 of Chephren, 80.
 of Mycerinus, 80.
 Sakkára, 80.
 Meydoun, 81.
 Ethiopia, 81.
 Erasinus, 83.
 Caius Cestius, 83.
 Pyreicus, 260.
 Pyrope, 417.
 Pythagoras, 161.
 QUEENS, Egyptian, 197.
 RA, 185.
 Rasena, 478.
 Red, 261.
 used by the Egyptians, 253.
 Regolini Galassi tomb, 141.
 Regular horizontal walls, 8.
 Relief in sculpture, 243.
 Egyptian, 244.
 Etruscan, 244.
 Greek and Roman, 244.
 in gems, 333.
 Representational, class of hieroglyphs, 444.
 Rhamession, 24.
 Rhea, 235.
 Rhyparographos, 260.
 Rhyton, 322.
 Ring, of Cheops, 401 ; of Amunoph III., 401 ; of Horus, 401 ; of Alexander, 404 ; of Polycrates, 404.
 Rings, 400.
 Egyptian, 401.
 Greek, 403.
 Etruscan, 404.
 Roman, 405.
 thumb, 409.
 subjects on, 407.
 silver, bronze, lead, iron, 410.

Ritual of the Dead, 136.
 Roads, 108. *See* Viæ.
 Rock crystal, 415.
 Rock-cut temples, 31.
 — tombs, 120, 139, 140.
 Roman architecture, 8, 17, 40, 51, 64, 88, 91.
 sculpture, 178.
 painting, 268.
 mosaics, 281.
 glyptic art, 394.
 Rosetta stone, 449.
 Rotunda, columnna, 71.
 Rubric, 261.
 Ruby, 423.
 Rudus, 108.

SANDARACHA, 262.
 Sandastros, male, female, 425.
 Sandaresos, 425.
 Sapphire, 413.
 green, 412.
 star, 419.
 Sapphirus, 427.
 Sarcophagi, 132.
 of Seti I., 133.
 of Nectanebo, 133.
 Sarcophagus, 145.
 of Scipio, 145.
 Sard, 421.
 Sarda, 421.
 Sardachates, 422.
 Sardonyx, 422.
 Satyrs, 228.
 Scapus, 57.
 Scarabæus :
 Egyptian, 200, 378.
 Etruscan, 384.
 Scarabæi, Egyptian, 200, 334, 378, 379; talismanical, historical, physiographical, various, 382; Etruscan, 384; Köhler's division of, 385.
 Sceptres, Egyptian, 188.
 Schola, 99.
 Scopas, 172.
 Scotia, 57.
 Sculpture, 147.
 Egyptian, 148.
 Etruscan, 153.
 Greek, 155.
 of the Parthenon, 166.

Sculpture :
 Roman, 179.
 coloured, 265.
 Scyphus, 321.
 Sealing earth, 431.
 Seal rings, 404.
 Sekhet, 149, 194.
 Σηκός, 24.
 Selinus, 159.
 Sepulchrum, 142.
 Serapis, 192.
 — Jupiter, 209.
 Seth, 399.
 Sethlans, 202.
 Seti, 185.
 Seti Menepthah I., sarcophagus of, 133.
 — II., statue of, 198.
 Shabta, 134.
 Shapes of painted vases, 317.
 Shenti, 198.
 Sigeian inscription, 464, 469.
 Sigma, 21.
 Signatures on gems, 339, 340.
 Signet rings, 401, 405.
 Signet of Sennacherib, 420.
 Signs, hieroglyphic, 443.
 Sileni, 230; statues of, 230.
 Sima, 59.
 Simonides, 445.
 Siriam garnet, 417.
 Σκηνή, 86, 87.
 Σκιδάγραμμα, 238.
 Smaragdus, 412.
 Scythian, Bactrian, Egyptian, 412; Medicus, 427.
 Smaragdachates, 424.
 Solon, 329, 353.
 Sosus of Pergamos, 277.
 Sothic period, 197.
 Σφενδονή, 98.
 Sphinx, 195.
 three kinds, 195.
 Great Sphinx, 196.
 Sphragis, 427.
 Σφραγίδες, 404.
 Specus, 121.
 Spina, 95.
 Spira, 57.
 Spoliatorium, 99.
 Stadium, 98.

- Stadium of Olympia, 98.
 of Athens, 98.
 Stage of theatre, 86, 87, 89.
 Stages of development of masonry in
 Italy and Greece, 5.
 Stamnos, 320.
 Statue, 205.
 Statumen, 108.
 Stelæ, 201.
 Στήλαι, 137.
 Stephanos, 210.
 Stereobate, 33.
 Stibadium, 21.
 Stola, 208.
 Stone, employed by the Romans, 10.
 Stones, used in glyptics, 332.
 for engraving, 410.
 See Engraved stones.
 Styles in sculpture:
 Egyptian, 148.
 Etruscan, 153.
 Greek, 155.
 Roman, 179.
 Styles of painted vases, 305.
 — of Greek inscriptions, 438.
 — of masonry, 5.
 Subjects on painted vases, 292.
 on gems, 343.
 on rings, 409.
 Sudatorium, 99.
 Suggestus, 92.
 Sulci, 108.
 Summanus, 202.
 Summum dorsum, 108.
 Sunstone, 425.
 Suovetaurilia, 494.
 Syenite, 430.
 Symbolical, class of hieroglyphs, 444.
 Symplegmata, 335.
 Systyle, 46.

 TABLET of Abydos, of Karnak, 450.
 of Memphis, 451.
 Isiac, 452.
 Tablets, Eugubian.
 — sepulchral, 261.
 Tablinum, 17.
 Tabulæ, 261.
 — honestæ missionis, 500.
 Tam, 133.
 Tau, 188.

 Taurobolia, 494.
 Tautology, 435.
 Tazza Farnese, 366.
 Tefnu, 194.
 Telamones, 63.
 Telephanes, 258.
 Temples, Egyptian, 23.
 Greek, 31.
 Etruscan, 39.
 Roman, 40.
 Karnak, 26 ; Luxor, :
 29 ; Dendera, 29 ; Is
 Rock-cut, 31.
 Parthenon, 35.
 Jupiter at Olympia, 36 ;
 Samos, 36 ; Diana at :
 37, 172.
 Erechtheum, 37.
 Jupiter Olympius, 38.
 Nike Apteros, 172.
 Jupiter Capitolinus, 39
 of Castor and Pollux, 41.
 Vespasian, 41.
 Saturn, 41.
 Mars Ultor, 41.
 Antoninus and Faustina, 41.
 Fortuna Virilis, 41.
 Pantheon, 42.
 Vesta, 43 ; Sibyl, 43.
 Palmyra, 43.
 Baalbec, 43.
 Maison Carrée, Nismes, 44.
 forms of, 45.
 rectangular, 45.
 circular, 47.
 Τέμενος, 32.
 Tepidarium, 99.
 Τέρμα, 98.
 Termini, 72.
 Terpsichore, 234.
 Terracotta, 251.
 Tescher, 188.
 Tesserae, 421.
 Testudinatum, atrium, 17.
 Tetradoron, brick, 14.
 Tetrastyle, atrium, 17.
 Tetrastyle, 46.
 Θάλαμος, 16.
 Thalia, 234.
 Thasos, marble of, 249.
 Theatres, 84.

Theatres:

- Greek, 84.
- Etruscan, 88.
- Roman, 88.
- Θέατρον, 85.
- Thermæ, 98.
 - of Caracalla, 100.
 - of Diocletian, 101.
 - of Titus, 101.
 - of Pompeii, 101.
- Thesan, 203.
- Theseus, 240 ; statue of, 240.
- Thoth, 185, 189.
- Thumb rings, 409.
- Thymele, 87.
- Timanthes, 259.
- Tinia, 202.
- Toga, 205.
- Tombs, 125.
 - Hypergæan, 12.
 - Hypogæan, 125, 139.
 - Egyptian, 126.
 - Greek, 136.
 - Harpy tomb, 138.
 - in Magna Græcia, 139.
 - Etruscan, 139.
 - at Tarquinii, 140.
 - at Albano, 142.
 - Roman, 142.
 - of Cecilia Metella, 143.
 - of Augustus, 143.
 - of Hadrian, 143.
- Topaz, oriental, 414.
- Topazius, 414.
 - Prasoides, 415.
- Toro Farnese, 177.
- Torso Belvedere, 177.
- Torus, 57.
- Transitional style:
 - in sculpture, 157.
 - in painted vases, 309.
- Treasury of Atreus, 136.
- Triad, Egyptian, 187.
- Tribe, name of, in inscriptions:
 - Roman, 562.
 - of Athens, 466.
- Tribuneship, on inscriptions, 496.
- Triclinium, 20.
- Triumphal arches, 102. *See* Arches.
- Trochilus, 57.
- Tuautmutf, 134.

- Tubuli, 120.
- Tufa, 10.
 - lithoide, granolare, 521.
- Tumulus, 125.
 - of La Cocumella, 141.
 - at Cervetri, 141.
- Tumuli, 136, 140.
- Tunic, 208.
- Turan, 203.
- Turms, 203.
- Turquoise, 428.
- Tuscan column, 68.
- Tuscanica, opera, 153.
- Tuscanicum, 17.
- Tyche, 236.
- Tyrian marble, 263.
- UNCTUARIUM, 99.
- Ἰπὸγεια, 139.
- Ἰπὸσκήνιον, 87.
- Uræus, 189.
- Urania, 234.
- Urns, cinerary, 145.
- Uses of painted vases, 296.
- Ustrinum, 145.
- VANTH, 203.
- Vase of Meidias, 313.
 - Dodwell, 306.
- Vases, painted, 282.
 - denomination, 284.
 - Etruscan, 234.
 - classes of, according to Gerhard, 286.
 - Greek, 287.
 - paste, 287.
 - manufacture, 287.
 - painting of, 287.
 - colours used, 298.
 - glaze, 288.
 - baking, 289.
 - classes, 289.
 - archaic, 289.
 - artists, 290.
 - potters, 290.
 - inscriptions, 291.
 - subjects, 292.
 - ornaments, 295.
 - uses of, 296.
 - prizes, presents, 297.
 - for sepulchral purposes, 297.
 - age of, 298.

Vases, painted :

- found in tombs, 300.
- potteries, 302.
- manufactories, 303.
- imitations, 303.
- collections, 304.
- publications on, 304.
- epochs, 305.
- shapes, 317.
- English and Italian nomenclature, 323.

Vehicles of painting, 261.**Vejovis, or Vedius, 202.****Velarium, 88, 92.****Velum, 92.****Venus, 219 ; statues of, 222.**

- of Milo, 170.
- of Cnidos, 170, 181.
- de' Medici, 177.
- of the Capitol, 181.
- Urania, Genetrix, 220.
- Callypygos, 221.
- Anadyomene, 221.

Vermilion, 261.**Vesta, 225.****Vestibule, 17.****Vie, 108.**

- Appia, 109.
- Latina, 109.
- Labicana, 109.
- Prænestina, 109.
- Tiburtina, 109.
- Nomentana, 110.
- Salaria, 110.
- Flaminia, 110.
- Æmilia, 110.
- Cassia, 110.
- Aurelia, 110.
- Portuensis, 110.
- Ostiensis, 110.
- Ardeatina, Laurentina, Severiana, 110.

Victory, 238.**Villa Livia, 268.****Villas, Roman, 22.****Voltumna, 203.****Vomitoria, 92.****Votive inscriptions :**

- Greek, 462.
- Etruscan, 484.
- Roman, 494.

Vulcan, 215.**WALLS, 2.****Egyptian, 2.****Greek, 4.****Italian, 5.****cyclopean, polygonal, 6.****irregular horizontal, 7.****regular horizontal, 8.****Roman, 8.****of Athens, 5.****of Rome, 12.****of Romulus, 12.****of Servius Tullius, 12.****Aurelian, 13.****at Pompeii, 275.****White, 263.****used by the Egyptians, 254.****Windows, 21.****XYSTUS, 19.****YELLOW, 262.****used by the Egyptians, 254.****ZEUS, 208.****Olympius, Meilchios, Orkios, 208.****Zeuxis, 258.****Zigzag, 296.****Ζωφόρος, 59.****Ζωή, 206.**

